

118 ACTS OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL (COLONIAL).

1767.

6 Nov. [49.] [An appearance is entered by Thomas Goostrey of  
Jamaica. Sherrard Street, near Golden Square, for David Bernard and  
Elizabeth his wife, to the appeal of Edward and William  
Woollery from Jamaica.] [p. 507.]

(1768.) [The appeal is referred to the Committee. The case arose  
17 Feb. from the will of John Woollery, deceased, and a bill filed by  
the Bernards for legacies thereunder to be paid by Aaron  
Baruch Lousada and James Dunn, the executors, and the  
testator's sons, the appellants named above, and John and  
Robert Dunstan Woollery. The Chancellor's decree of  
23 Jan., 1767, from which the appeal is brought, dismissed  
so much of the bill as related to Lousada.] [VI. pp. 63, 76.]

(1768.) [Reference to the Committee of Lousada's petition for a  
11 Mar. day for hearing his appeal from the Chancellor's decree of 4 July,  
1767, declaring him accountable along with Dunn as one of the  
executors of John Woollery. On 4 May Dunn's appeal from  
this decree is also referred, and on 29 June the four Woollerys  
entered an appearance as respondents to each of these appeals.]  
[VI. pp. 105, 162, 228.]

(1769.) [On the death of Lousada and Bernard, the Committee order  
11 Mar. that the appeals be revived by including their respective  
executors as parties—Charles Bernard; and Rachel Baruch  
Lousada, widow, Benjamin Dias Fernandes and Jacob  
Feurtado, merchants. The appeals are to be heard together  
and proofs in the one taken as evidence in the others.]  
[VI. pp. 482-3.]

(1770.) [On Committee reports of 30 Jan., all the appeals are  
9 Feb. dismissed, with 100*l.* costs from Dunn to the Woollerys,  
50*l.* from the Woollerys to Mrs. Bernard, and none against  
Lousada.] [VII. pp. 220-1, 227-8.]

18 Nov. [50.] [Reference to the Committee of a Board of Trade  
St. representation of 14 Oct. on a St. Christopher act of Aug., 1766,  
Christopher. for constituting a Court of Chancery in this island.] [p. 517.]

(1768.) [On the Committee report of 23 Feb. the act is disallowed,  
26 Feb. but the Governor is to recommend the passing of a new act  
of the same tenor, to take effect without further signification