

1736.
(1741.)
28 April. [Committee order for hearing on 8 May.] [VII. p. 463.]
(1752.)
7 May. [On 25 Feb., 1752, the Committee appoint a day for hearing. On the report of the Committee of 2 May, it is ordered] that the said Judgment of the said Superior Court, Be, and it is hereby affirmed. [The report of the Committee seems to show that no proceedings had taken place in the long interval.] [XIII. pp. 525, 536; XIV. pp. 46, 51, 70.]
- 27 Dec.
Virginia. [391.] This day Ferdinando John Paris Solicitor Entered an Appearance for Mr. John Hack to the Appeale of Frances Burges Widow from Virginia. [p. 48.]
(1737.)
- 21 Feb. [Reference to the Committee of the petition of Frances Burgess, widow, and of Lemuel Gulliver her lessee, for a short day for hearing their appeal from a judgment of the General Court of Pleas in Virginia, 15 April, 1736, in favour of John Hack in an ejection brought against Hack in the name of Gulliver on the demise of Frances Burgess for recovery of ten messuages and 2,266 acres of land with their appurtenances.] [p. 130.]
(1737.)
- 2 Nov. [Committee. On perusal of the proceedings] It appeared that the Case agreed upon between the Partys, and which was received by the Court in Lieu of a Special Verdict, and upon which the Judgment Appealed from was founded was defective in not having Stated how the said Frances Burgess became Heir at Law to David Tox the Testator in the said Proceedings mentioned—And their Lordships Apprehending this to be a Material Fact and necessary to be Asserted before they can make their Report to His Majesty on this appeale Do therefore think it proper to Order that the said Record be remitted back to the said General Court of Pleas who is hereby required to State how and when the said Frances Burgess Lessee of the said Lemuel Gulliver became Heir at Law to the Testator David Tox and how she derives her Pedigree as such from the said David Tox And in case the Fact shall not be agreed upon by the Parties, that the same be tried by a Jury and Establishd and made part of the said Case Agreed upon between the

- Partys and received in lieu of a Special Verdict in this Cause and decreed as part thereof And that the said Court do give the proper and necessary Directions for Carrying this Order into Execution and that they do return the Record so amended with all Expedition. [p. 293.] 1736-7.
- [Committee order for hearing on Tuesday next.] (1739.) 23 Nov.
- [VI. p. 427.] (1739.)
- [Committee hear counsel and adjourn to another time.] 27 Nov.
- [VI. p. 432.] 1737.
- [392.] [Reference to the Committee of a Board of Trade report of 10 June] upon a Representation of Mahomet Chief Sachem of the Mohegan Indians Setting forth the Good Services that have been done to the English by his Ancesters and their Tribe for a hundred years past and Complaining of the Injurers which the Mohegan Indians have received from His Majesty's Subjects of Connecticutt who have unjustly deprived them of their Lands and reduced them to the necessity of deserting their Native Country for want of Subsistance. Connecticut: 19 Jan.
- [p. 60.]
- [Committee. A copy of the Board of Trade report to] be delivered to the Solicitors. [p. 97.] 26 Jan.
- [Committee postpone consideration of the report] till the agent of Connecticutt attend. [p. 116.] 4 Feb.
- [Committee agree to consider the report on Tuesday next.] [p. 135.] 2 Mar.
- [Committee report. From the Board of Trade representation] it Appears that a Complaint of the like Nature was made in the Year 1703 by the then Chief Sachem of the Mohegan Indians And that Her then Majesty Queen Ann was pleased in Order to redress any Grievances that the said Indians might have received from the said Colony to Direct a Commission to be Issued under the Great Seal appointing the Governor of the Massachusetts Bay and several others to be Commissioners 9 Mar.