

Appeals to the Privy Council from the American Colonies

References to Enslaved Persons in the *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

This list parallels the list for Part 2. It records six cases that mention enslaved persons in the *APC* abstract. Two individuals are mentioned by name: Betty and Mariah. The *APC* abstracts use the words 'slave' and 'negro' variously spelled: Slave(s) or slave(s); Negro(s) or Negroe(s); negro(s) and negroe(s). When quoting from the *APC*, the editors use the spelling of the *APC*.

11_1721_01 – Owen, appeal of (“for the freight of 134 negroes”

12_1699_00 – Peers v Amory (cargo included “Negro slaves”)

13_1753_01 – Jones v Shields (“The case concerns some negroes left by . . .”)

13_1759_02 – Burwell v Johnson (“ . . .for an account of the slaves belonging or due to James Bray at his death, and for the delivery of the said slaves.”)

13_1760_00 – Tabb v Edmundson (“The names and values of twelve negro slaves are also given. Five men average 44 pounds, five women 40 pounds, and two boys 18 pounds.”)

13_1768_01 – Dixon v Turner (“ . . . and two slaves, Mariah and Betty.”)

In addition, we know of at least five appeals in which enslaved persons were mentioned in related materials. Further study of the printed cases and the Privy Council register and associated records may expand this list. We include these cases as examples of documents in which such information can be discovered.

08_1685_00 – Billop v West: action involved the alleged illegal seizure of slaves (*CSP*)

11_1746_02 – Vanbrugh v Powers: account issue involving Indian slaves (Bilder, *Salamanders and Sons of God*, p.297)

13_1736_00 – Burges v Hack: dispute involved slaves and land (printed case)

13_1763_02 – Steuart v Buchanan: dispute involved slaves and land (Committee report)

13_1765_02 – Wilson v Darrell: dispute involved slaves (petition)