

Appeals to the Privy Council from the American Colonies

References to Enslaved Persons in the *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

APC abstracts that mention enslaved persons are listed here. They are not necessarily mentioned in the reports. This list does not capture mention in other documents. Further study of the printed cases and the registers will definitely expand the list.

The *APC* uses the words 'slave' and 'negro' variously spelled: Slave(s) or slave(s); Negro(s) or Negroe(s); negro(s) and negroe(s). In the reports, the editors use the spelling of the *APC* when quoting from the *APC*. When not quoting, we use the term the *APC* used in the abstract but with the following spellings: slave(s) and Negro(es).

Antigua

1714_01 Codrington v Lambert
1718_03 Eversden v Douglas
1727_02 Wetherill v Codrington
1735_01 Dunbar v Kerr
1736_03 Dunbar v Lory
1739_04 Thibou v Warner
1744_01 Langford v Simpson
1749_02 Dunbar v Shephard
1765_03 Payne v Abdy

Barbados

1699_01 Peers v Amory
1699_02 Chaplain v Cunningham
1704_02 Frere v Springham
1709_01 Gilligan v Crowe, Crowe v Matson, Crowe v Gilligan
1713_01 Walker v Nicholas
1714_01 Grey v Hothersall
1715_02 Cook v Hooper
1720_00 Appeal of Cook
1730_00 Andrews v Le Gay, Andrews v Young, Andrews v Moseley – Mulatto slaves are also mentioned.

1737_03 Arnoll v Phillips – The enslaved persons are also named in the Participants List.

Dominica

1770_00 Malloun v Mashart, Mashart v Malloun

Jamaica

1708_01 Orby v Long

1708_02 Russell v Clarke – The only mention is of being Factors to the Trade to Africa.

1721_00 Pratter v Chaplin

1741_02 Manning v Concannen

1742_04 Arcedeckne v Blackwood

1750_02 Witter v Doe - A Note on the case report says that there are slave traders mentioned in the register. (*APC* does not mention slaves or traders at all)

1751_01 Barclay v Morley

1751_02 Bonner v Price, Price v Bonner

1763_02 Bayly v Attorney General of Jamaica

1767_01 Hall v Laing

1767_03 Watson v Laird, Laird v Watson

1780_02 Parkinson v Parkinson, Parkinson v Harrison – Two participants in the list are noted as being slaves. The Notes field includes quotes from the register describing the women more precisely.

1781_01 Pinnock v Dickson

Montserrat

1771_00 Appeal of Brislane

Nevis

1740_02 Woodley v Gordon

St. Christopher

1704_00 Appeal of Van Belle

1732_00 Codrington v Markham, Markham v Codrington – The enslaved persons are also named in the Participants List.

1736_02 Arnold v Fleming

1743_02 Ottley v Blake

1753_02 Smith v Buckley

1756_00 Brown v Sharpe

1757_00 Tittle v Coleman