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PREFACE

This volume continues the Ames Foundation’s series of volumes independent of the Year Books of Richard II. Since the Year Book series is virtually complete, we hope to publish more works along the lines of these volumes. We have recently converted this series into an ‘eseries’, in which an electronic book in PDF format accompanies the publication in a traditional paper format.

Published here is a catalogue that lists all currently known colonial cases appealed to the Privy Council from the 13 colonies that became the United States. As is well known, in the century before the creation of the Supreme Court of the United States, the British Privy Council heard appeals from these colonies, and from the other ‘American’ colonies in Canada and the Caribbean. This catalogue lists nearly one-third of the more than 800 heard from the Americas. The printed catalogue is accompanied by a website (http://amesfoundation.law.harvard.edu/ColonialAppeals/) that provides links to original documents in England and the United States. The website includes images of surviving briefs filed in 54 of these appeals. Images of all the pages of Joseph H. Smith’s Appeals to the Privy Council from the American Plantations are also available on the site.

Conversion of a website drawn from a database into a printed book presented challenges that the Foundation had not faced before. Ultimately, we decided to err on the side of inclusiveness. Obviously, we could not include the links on the website in a printed book, nor could we include the images that are reached via the links. In the printed catalogue, the items that are linked on the website are shown in slightly grayer type, so that the reader can tell what can be found the website. Otherwise every page that is on the website is included here. Hence, the Introduction includes information that is useful only to those who have access to the website, but most of it is relevant images of the manuscripts online.

1 The series is represented, for example, by Tom Olding’s The Common and Piepowder Courts of Southampton, 1426–1483, which we published in conjunction with the Southampton Records Series and for which we posted the images of the manuscripts online.

2 The first volume in this ‘eseries’ is an edition by Dan Williman and Karen Ann Corsano of a series of papal documents telling a remarkable story of piracy in the mid-fourteenth century.
to the printed book as well.

The layout in the printed book differs from that on the website. Probably most noticeable is that the lines of text are shorter to allow them to fit on the printed page. All the data, however, is there.

The heart of the catalogue is a series of 257 reports on the ‘cases’ appealed to the Privy Council. The composition and limitations of these reports are described in some detail in the Introduction. The book also includes a memorandum, called ‘Opportunities for Further Research’, addressed to students and enthusiasts that offers advice on how to use the catalogue for developing a paper for a course or for advancing research in the field, and an example of the kind of research that can done using the material in the catalogue.

All the Useful Lists available on the website can be found here. These lists substitute for an index. Parties to the cases can be found on the lists of case names. Counsel retained in England can be found on the list of counsel. There is a separate list for vessels. There are also preliminary lists of appeals from Canada and from the Caribbean and a brief list of Caribbean printed cases found in three major libraries. The preliminary list of Caribbean appeals is particularly extensive. Persons other than parties and counsel, and place-names, are best found by using the search engines on the website.

The normal list of acknowledgements that are found at this point in Ames publications are found in this one at the end of the Introduction. Suffice it to say here that the Foundation is also grateful to all of the institutions and people who helped with this project. It is also grateful to Professors O’Connor and Bilder for having gently guided us into doing something that is at once quite far from what we have traditionally done but also quite closely related to it. It has been quite a trip for the undersigned, and it would not have been possible were it not for the fact that the compilers of the catalogue were such a delight to work with.

CHARLES DONAHUE, JR.

HARVARD LAW SCHOOL
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS
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Overview
This annotated digital catalogue offers a systematic presentation of the appeals to the Privy Council from the 13 colonies that became the United States, with links to related documents. The purpose is to provide a foundation for further study of these appeals through improved access to source material. This effort complements other ongoing international efforts to uncover the record of appeals within the colonies of the British Empire. Current complementary international projects address previously unpublished Privy Council cases from a somewhat later period and colonial appeals from India and Australia. Preliminary lists of appeals from Canada (pdf) and from the Caribbean (pdf) are included here. A brief list of printed cases for the Caribbean found in the British Library, the Law Library of Congress and the Law Library at Columbia University (pdf) may provide a beginning for similar study by interested scholars.

This catalogue incorporates four bodies of material:

- a list of appeals based on the information presented in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series (APC);
- digital images of the extant printed cases or briefs for the appeals;
- images of related documents preserved and classified at The National Archives (TNA) as PC 1;
- links to discussion of the appeals in the Privy Council’s registers (TNA PC 2).

Background
The Privy Council in England evolved with the monarchy over centuries. Its size and precise functioning varied from reign to reign as it advised the sovereign on administrative, legislative, and judicial matters. By the dawn of the eighteenth century, its power was already waning. Nonetheless, the Council and its associated subsidiaries retained responsibility for the administration of the growing number of English colonies. As part of this oversight of colonial governance, the Council exercised appellate jurisdiction over the decisions of the highest courts in each colony.
For a brief overview of the Council’s appellate jurisdiction and its significance, see Sharon Hamby O’Connor and Mary Sarah Bilder’s “Appeals to the Privy Council before American Independence: An Annotated Digital Catalogue” (pdf). To date, the most exhaustive study of the appellate process remains undoubtedly Joseph H. Smith’s Appeals to the Privy Council from the American Plantations, images of which are available on this site. A number of other studies have provided detailed discussion of more particular aspects.

Catalogue Content (and Related Documentation)

1. List of appeals
   a. Content

The list includes controversies indexed as appeals from the 13 ‘American’ colonies or that identify themselves as appeals in their descriptions in the APC, beginning with the establishment in 1696 of the Lords Commissioners of Trade and Plantations (commonly called ‘the Board of Trade’) and continuing until the Revolutionary War. The list also includes a limited number of earlier cases from colonies noted by Joseph Smith as sending appeals.

What counts as an ‘appeal’ is an issue of some complexity. The vast majority of the cases listed here resemble modern notions of appeals by private parties from lower court determinations, but the Privy Council heard matters in this process that blur these boundaries. Some matters were in fact petitions for assistance of other sorts; some were appeals meant for other bodies; some lack a clear lower court judgment. Prize and admiralty matters generate more than their share of confusion. Some inclusions appear to be the result of indexing choices made by APC editors. Joseph Smith, in his most scrupulous manner, sometimes notes that matters he treats extensively are not in a technical sense ‘appeals’. For example, in the case of Bayard v Rex, Smith notes that the case really reflects the pardoning power of the Council. Without further investigation into each case, it would have been a challenge to limit the list to those that fit the technical category of ‘true’ appeal.

Thus, we have erred here on the side of inclusion. If indexed or described as an appeal, the matter is included, leaving to the researcher further classification. The result is 257 reports, each reflecting a dispute or, in some cases, related disputes reported in a single numbered section in the APC. Comments in the Notes sections allude to the actions most obviously not formal appeals. Twenty-six of the 257 reports most clearly falling short of the definition of an appeal in various ways are marked ‘not a “true” appeal’ and can be searched separately by using the ‘true’ appeals search form, which can accessed from Specific Search Options or from Useful Lists. The Privy Council’s registers were not online when the catalogue was compiled. Their availability now, along with a growing number of related sources, will be a help in developing a more definitive list.

The Privy Council also heard a small number of appeals from royal commissions
on boundary disputes and on the long-running controversy between the Mohegans and the colony of Connecticut. That category of complaints is not included in this list of appeals. Researchers interested in these matters are advised to consult the significant number of secondary sources on these topics.

b. Description of appeal

The following information is provided for each appeal:
- the colony from which the case was appealed;
- full and short name of the appeal as compiled from the APC entry;
- references to all of the entries in the APC with links to those entries;
- references to entries in the Privy Council’s registers with the TNA request number (PC 2) for the registers and with links to the register entries on the Anglo-American Legal Tradition (AALT) website;
- reference to entries in the Calendar of State Papers, Colonial: America and the West Indies, 1680–1700 (CSP), if, and only if, that information was supplied by the editors of the APC;
- the names and dates of lower court actions as given in the APC;
- the names of participants;
- vessel names, if any;
- the subject matter and disposition of the case, if given.

A PDF version of all the reports will shortly be available for viewing and downloading. A hard-bound paper copy of it may be obtained from W. S. Hein & Co. Adobe does not quite capture all the formatting, but all the data is there. Individual reports can be downloaded from most browsers as a PDF file with the software known as ‘Acrobat Pro’. In the lower right-hand corner of each report there is a link to an HTML version of the report that is optimized for printing on 8.5 x 11 inch paper. It is a bit faster than converting the report to PDF, and it preserves all the formatting except for the horizontal spacing.

c. Relationship of catalogue description to Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

The APC, the foundation of the catalogue’s list, was compiled from the Privy Council’s registers. Researchers are advised to keep this aspect of the catalogue in mind. For example, in the APC female executors are sometimes called ‘executor’ and sometimes ‘executrix’; we have carried these designations over into the catalogue. This means that if one is searching for female executors, one has to use both terms. We noted and corrected some obvious errors in the APC, but further study of the appeals will undoubtedly uncover errors, confusions, and omissions that are reproduced here. Use of the APC and the registers means, of course, that appeals granted in the colonies but not pursued to the next level are not noted.
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The cases grouped together in the *APC* are grouped together here as a single report.

Dates used in the *APC* are accepted.

Spelling in the *APC* is retained. When more than one spelling of a name is used, it is indicated in the case description for that appeal only, even if the same person appears in a different case.

Each participant's status, occupation, and place of residence or origin is given, if provided. Descriptors for that information use the terminology employed in the *APC*. The relationships of the participants are explained as best they can be determined from the *APC* entry. Parties are described as deceased if the *APC* so states or if the *APC* indicates the presence of an heir; in cases of uncertainty the descriptor 'presumed deceased' is used.

In cases involving vessels, the name of the case used by the *APC* or by Joseph Smith is used. If neither applies a name to the case, the parties are named if the parties are certain. Otherwise, the case is called 'X, appeal of' when there is an element of doubt as to the opposing party.

The nature of the case is likewise taken from the wording of the *APC*. Note, however, that the sketchy nature of the material in the *APC* often obscures the real issue in an appeal. What appears to be an action to recover a debt becomes really an issue of currency valuation; what looks to be just another family dispute in fact questions the validity of a statute regarding inheritance.

In compiling the *APC*, its original editors took little interest in the specifics of appeals from the colonies and, unfortunately, chose not to document them in detail. To quote from the preface to volume 3, p.xii, of the *APC*, “Considerations of space have led in this volume to the compression into very narrow limits of the numerous colonial appeals. Most of these are of no biographical or legal interest and to have given in full the complicated details of the family broils and commercial vicissitudes of the forgotten, or the record of the orders for hearing, postponements, partial hearings and further postponements, would have been neither advantageous nor possible. But in no case has a name or the number of any page referring to the case been omitted, and any matters of biographical or legal importance have been given at length.” Researchers seeking more detail are encouraged to consult the registers and the *CSP*, the contents of which have not been searched beyond the citations given in the *APC*.

Except for the section number for entries in the *APC* (e.g., v.4 [601] p.717), information about an appeal presented in square brackets on the website was obtained from the work of Joseph Smith and does not appear in the *APC*.

Any other commentary not directly from the *APC* is referenced to its source. Only material in the Notes section of the description is provided by the compiler. Because of the particular interest in slavery in scholarly research, the compiler has included in the Notes references by the *APC* to instances in which
slaves figure in an appeal. However, a reading of the printed cases will undoubtedly reveal more cases concerning slaves.

While the catalogue itself is complete within the limits described above, much more clearly could and should be done. One of the advantages of web publication is that the contents of the website can be expanded as new research is done. We have included in two reports (Oulton v Savage, 05_1717_00, and Apthorpe v Pateshall, 05_1768_00) samples of such additional research, matter that was discovered in a quick trip to the Massachusetts State Archives. A more ambitious example of additional research is a memorandum that Charles Donahue prepared about the forty-seven cases that originated in actions of ejectment or like ejectment in the colonies. The Notes in the reports reference this memorandum where it is relevant. We have included on the site another memorandum by Mary Bilder that offers advice to students and enthusiasts about how to undertake further research using the catalogue.

2. Printed cases

A number of the appeals in the catalogue are accompanied by links to digital images of a ‘printed case’. Americans might use the term ‘brief’. The production of a printed case prepared for the hearing of the appeal before the Council became customary or required by a date as yet undetermined. These printed cases, central to the appeals, contain a summary of the facts and the legal arguments.

To date, printed cases for 54 appeals from the 13 ‘American’ colonies have been found, some with multiple copies, the earliest dating from 1727/8. For many appeals, the cases for both the appellant and respondent have been located. In others, only the papers of one party have been found. Many include handwritten notations and underlinings, some attributable and others a mystery. All have a similar look and feel, mirroring not only each other but also appeals presented in this era to the Lords Commissioners for Hearing Prize Appeals and to the House of Lords.

The major collections of printed cases are found in the Hardwicke Papers in the British Library, many with notes of Charles Yorke; in the papers of Sir George Lee in the Law Library of Congress; and in the collection of William Samuel Johnson in the Law Library at Columbia University. A smattering of cases has been located in other repositories.

For each appeal for which a printed case has been located and viewed, information as to the holding library or libraries is provided with a link to its images if (1) the copy contains manuscript notes, (2) it is the only copy of the case located, or (3) it is one of several copies located, none with manuscript notes. Where a number of copies meets this last criterion, preference is given to the one at the British Library. Call numbers at holding libraries are current as of 2009.
3. Privy Council documents

For some appeals, related documents filed with the Privy Council remain extant. Privy Council documents within the PC 1 classification at The National Archives at Kew are included with their PC 1 reference number and are linked to their images. Documents are entered as ordered and described in volume 6 of the APC or the online catalogue of TNA. Other classifications at TNA were not searched for relevant material, and only a few selected documents from other classifications are noted here.

The images of PC 1 documents are also available on the Anglo-American Legal Tradition website.

4. Privy Council registers

References to the Privy Council’s registers (classified as PC 2 at TNA) are linked to the AALT website.

Additional Resources Available in the Catalogue

As an aid to researchers, the following separate lists have been prepared, all of which can be accessed from the ‘Useful Lists’ index page:

1. appeals arranged by colony and date using the long form of the case name;
2. appeals arranged alphabetically by the short form of the case name;
3. legal counsel who signed the printed cases, arranged alphabetically by their surnames, accompanied by a list of the appeals each signed, as compiled from the printed cases located to date;
4. all vessels named in actions, arranged alphabetically;
5. names, addresses, and phone numbers of repositories identified as holding a printed case;
6. a conversion chart noting the differences in TNA and APC forms of citation and dating of volumes of the Privy Council’s registers;
7. a preliminary list of appeals taken from the Canadian provinces prior to 1784;
8. a preliminary list of appeals taken from the Caribbean prior to 1784;
9. a brief list of eighteenth-century Caribbean printed cases known to be extant in the British Library’s Hardwicke Papers, the Law Library of Congress, and the Law Library at Columbia University;
10. a bibliography of all published works cited in the catalogue;
11. a list of all the reports that contain printed cases;
12. a list of all the printed cases, with the report number and the surname of the party for whom they were produced;
13. a list of all the printed cases that have been assigned numbers in the
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*English Short Title Catalogue;*

14. a link to a search engine that allows one to list both those reports that
are believed to be ‘true’ appeals and those that are not.

The first four lists organize material that is in the database fields ‘Case Names Long’, ‘Case Names Short’, ‘Appellant’s Case Signature’ and ‘Respondent’s Case Signature’, and ‘Vessels’. The next five contain material that is not in the database. All nine are PDF documents that can be viewed online, or downloaded. The tenth is an HTML page with links, in most cases, to the reports in which the item is cited. The last four are made up dynamically by the user from the database.

*Advice on Using the Catalogue*

There are a number of ways to navigate through the catalogue. On the bottom of
the home page there are links to the ‘Useful Lists’ index page and to three
successively more detailed chronological lists with (1) colony and report number
only; (2) colony, report number, and case name; and (3) year, report number, case
name, and colony.

1. Markers in the report
   a. Report number

   The report number is broken into three parts separated by underscores, for
   example, 01_1699_01. The first part designates the name of the colony in
   alphabetical order: 01 = Connecticut, 02 = Delaware, 03 = Georgia, 04 =
   Maryland, 05 = Massachusetts, 06 = New Hampshire, 07 = New Jersey, 08 =
   New York, 09 = North Carolina, 10 = Pennsylvania, 11 = Rhode Island, 12 =
   South Carolina, 13 = Virginia. The second part designates the year (New Style or
   Gregorian calendar) of the first entry in the Privy Council records. The third part
   is a reference number that we assigned to each appeal from that colony in that
   year. ‘00’ indicates that it was the only appeal in that year from that colony. If
   you are looking at a report, you can move to another one by replacing the number
   of the report you are viewing, which appears at the end of the URL on your
   browser bar, with the number of the report you wish to see.

   b. Report name

   The APC frequently consolidates appeals from more than one case in the colonies
   when the cases dealt with the same or similar issues or have overlapping parties.
   In most cases what the APC does is reflected in the Privy Council records
   themselves. Later in the history of the appeal, different cases may be treated
   differently, for example, by having a different committee report for each case.
   The catalogue’s reports consolidate appeals when the APC does, but normally list
   at the head of the report the short names of all the cases involved in the appeal.
   All the case names are also found in the full contents lists referred to above.
   Other references to reports, however, for example, those that appear in the returns
   from searches, list only one name for each report.
c. Report navigation

There is a blue navigation bar at the top of each report. In addition to providing links to the home page, a list of Abbreviations used, the Bibliography, and the search engines, it allows one to navigate to the first, previous, next, and last report in chronological order for the entire database or in alphabetical order by colony and then by date within each colony (i.e., in report number order).

d. Bibliography and abbreviations

Citations in the reports are normally given in short form. The Bibliography, which is available on the navigation bar of the reports, gives the full references. With the exception of references that appear in a large number or all reports, the Bibliography gives the report number in which the item is cited, with a link to the report itself. The Bibliography begins with a list of Abbreviations that are used throughout the catalogue. This list can also be reached from the navigation bar.

2. Searching by search engine

a. Basic search

The basic search engine that appears on the home page searches most of the fields and most of the tables in the database. The most important fields that are not included are those that contain references to the APC, to the original Privy Council registers, and to the documents that we found at The National Archives. There are specific searches for these fields. The basic search engine searches for ‘words’ (i.e., any string of alphanumeric characters that begins and ends with a space or mark of punctuation or the beginning or ending of the field). The basic search engine is not case sensitive. It returns a list of reports that contain the searched-for item. It is a little slow, and would be even slower if it did not have the limitations that it has. Because the basic search engine searches the database rather than the reports, it will sometimes return words that are in the database but which, for one reason or another, are not included in the reports.

b. Advanced search

Because the basic search engine combines alphabetical characters and numeric characters, one can search for ESTC numbers. It will accommodate Boolean searching either by using MySQL’s markers or by using the words (all upper case) AND, OR, or NOT; it will accommodate string searching (use double quotation marks); it will accommodate truncated searching by using ‘*’. It uses MySQL’s rather large list of stop words (but such words may be included in string searches). It will not search for punctuation, but punctuation may be included in string searches. (The underscore character is not regarded as punctuation; hence, one can search for a specific report number if one enters the number with its underscores.) Truncated searching may be combined with Boolean searching, but combining Boolean searching with string searching sometimes produces unpredictable results.
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Boolean searching using OR and NOT almost always produces the desired results. The same cannot be said of AND. It frequently delivers more than one asked for because the way we have interpreted it is that the first-named item must be included and the second-named item may be. It thus is no different from searching for the first-named item. You can narrow the search further by entering the two words again and putting a plus sign (+) before each of them (and leaving out AND). This may, however, miss a report that you are looking for because MySQL searches by rows, and many reports contain more than one row. An example of a search with the double pluses that achieves the desired result is ‘+attorney +general’ in the basic search engine. That seems to turn up all the attorneys general in the fields searched. An example of a search that does not achieve the desired result is ‘+Anne +George’ in the specific search engine for the APC. There is, in fact, one case that has records from both reigns (Lillie v Bromfield), but they are noted on different rows in the report, so the search returns a null result.

c. Searching with specific search fields

We have also provided a number of specific search engines that search one or more of the fields in one or more of the tables. Fields that contain the names of parties, the names of participants, the names of counsel, and the names of vessels may all be searched specifically. Specific search forms are also provided for the fields that reference the APC and the original registers. Another specific search form is provided for searching the references to the TNA documents in PC 1.

d. Interpreting results

As already explained (1b, Report name), the reports in the catalogue frequently combine a number of separate cases, all of which are given names. Returns from searches, however, list only one name for each report. The results of the search will be found someplace in the report (which is linked in the return), but will not necessarily have anything to do with the case name or names.

3. Navigating by useful lists

Another way of navigating through this material is by making use of the page called ‘Useful Lists’. The contents of these lists are described above in Additional Resources Available in the Catalogue.

4. Viewing images

a. View link

If the database contains an image of the item being referred to, there will be a link marked ‘view’ in the right-hand margin of the line.

b. APC and CSP view images

View images are provided in PDF for the pages of the APC that describe the case or cases that are the subject of the report, and the same holds true for the descriptions of the case in the CSP that are referenced in the APC. The view
links on the lines noting the entry in the original registers of the Privy Council (PC 2) take you ultimately to the relevant image on the AALT website at the University of Houston, after stopping off at a page that lists all of the PC 2 entries relevant to the report. (The view links open on a new page or tab, so that you will not lose your place in the report.)

c. Printed cases and other documents

The view links that are marked on the printed cases, on the handwritten documents in The National Archives, and on the relatively small number of ‘Other Documents’ for which we have provided images take you to an intermediate image list. These are arranged by library or archive and list each of the images that concern the report that are in that library or archive, normally by linked page numbers. (The page numbers are our creation, and do not necessarily correspond to the page or folio numbers on the original; these latter are given in the reference on the image list.) Clicking on the page link will bring you to the image in question; it is embedded in an HTML frame, which provides the means to navigate through the document. The previous and following images (where they exist) are listed in the upper left-hand corner of the frame, and large navigation arrows at the top and bottom of the image allow one to flip back and forth. A few of the image holders have a link in the upper right-hand corner to images of higher resolution than those that are displayed. These images are sent directly to your browser (which may object to receiving them because of the size). From there they can be downloaded and manipulated if you want, say, to study watermarks or a particularly puzzling piece of handwriting.

d. Browsers

These pages have been tested in Internet Explorer 8 and Firefox 24.0. They do not look quite the same in those browsers (the vertical spacing on some pages is a bit different), but they work in both. We would appreciate hearing of any difficulties that users encounter with other browsers. (We are aware that IE 11 seems to have a mind of its own when it comes to vertical spacing. We do not recommend it if it can possibly be avoided.)

Additional Resources Available Elsewhere

Related materials can be found in manuscript, print collections, and online. These documents include petitions, committee reports, opinions of law officers, orders of reference, and filings found in local colonial court records. For example, the Journals of the Board of Trade and Plantations, 1704–1782 and the Calendar of State Papers, Colonial are available at British History Online with some links to images of the documents in the case of the CSP. The CSP is also available with The National Archives collection COI (Privy Council and related bodies: America and West Indies, Colonial Papers) in digital form in ProQuest’s Colonial State Papers for those with access to a subscription. Citations to related documents in those series are not generally noted here unless referenced in the APC. Grace Griffin’s Guide to Manuscripts enumerates many printed cases and
related materials available at the Library of Congress in transcription.

In their works, Joseph Smith and Mary Bilder provide many additional citations to related primary and secondary materials. The bibliography of manuscript sources in Bilder's dissertation, *Salamanders and Sons of God*, is an especially rich resource. Only a limited number of additional resources are noted on this website. These include: (1) reference to manuscript drafts of printed cases, (2) the reporting of the colonial case by an early American reporter, and (3) library holdings of extremely relevant manuscript collections. No attempt has been made to bring up to date the bibliographies of Smith and Bilder with later secondary resources. Only when necessary to make sense of an *APC* entry were additional secondary sources consulted and noted in the database. Researchers are encouraged to check for new resources as more and more materials, including colonial court records, become available online every day.

Those interested in the later history of the Privy Council should consult the ambitious records project devoted to the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, *Privy Council Papers Online* (1792–1998). The modern Judicial Committee has its own site.

**Updating**

Researchers are encouraged to email the Ames Foundation upon the discovery of additional printed cases along with verifying documentation. Please include “Colonial Appeals” in the subject line of the email.
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Notes

1 Bibliographical details for this and all other published works cited in this catalogue may be found in Abbreviations and Bibliography.

Smith (p.73) states that in addition to the 14 appeals of Edmund Randolph, there are 16 appeals before 1696, but he does not name them. It would seem that they are the following: Massachusetts (Thayre v Savage, three appeals of Jahleel Brenton); New Hampshire (Walton v Walford, Barefoot v Wadleigh, four appeals by William Vaughan); New York (Ward v Palmer, Darvall v Hall, Wright v Cornwall, Billop v West); and Virginia (Bland v Codd, Ludwell v Toton).

Owen and Tolley, in *Courts of Admiralty in Colonial America*, p.237, note that “terms for vessels were used loosely by the colonists. For example, a ‘ship’ is technically a three-masted square-rigged vessel but in all likelihood the term was most often used as synonymous with the generic ‘vessel.’” Vessels here are described using whatever term is applied in the *APC*.

In attempting to locate printed cases, the compiler followed up the leads of Joseph Smith and consulted various printed guides, such as Charles Andrews and Frances Davenport’s *Guide to the Manuscript Materials for the History of the United States to 1783*; Grace Griffin’s *Guide to Manuscripts relating to American History in British Depositories*; the Library of Congress, *Handbook of Manuscripts*; and John Raimo’s *Guide to Manuscripts relating to America in Great Britain and Ireland*, plus the online catalogues of historical societies and major research libraries and the online ESTC. Tips from librarians sometimes led to other findings when material had not yet been catalogued. With the exception of some of the copies of the respondent’s printed case in Fothergill v Stover, all printed cases were viewed by the compiler. In the case of Fothergill, a librarian at the holding institution verified ownership and whether there were manuscript notes.

It was not possible to consult the papers of Privy Council members attending hearings of appeals or all papers of counsel on the appeals. Efforts were made to check archive entries for counsel in the *Oxford Dictionary of National Biography* if they covered the years in question and if the description of the holdings held out some hope of finding a printed case within them. The librarian at Lincoln’s Inn reports that though it holds some papers of counsel, no printed cases are within their collection. Charles Yorke’s copies of his cases are in the Hardwicke collection. Sadly, the papers of Alexander Forrester, who is second in number only to Yorke as counsel on the signed cases located so far, do not seem to have survived.

Until 1753, the *APC* gives the day of the month in Old Style. That means that although the year begins on 1 January and not 25 March, an appeal filed before 1753 in the first few days of January will be designated by the preceding year in the Gregorian calendar.
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>1747</td>
<td>Kenner v Ball</td>
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<td>Brenton v Lawson (Massachusetts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1692</td>
<td>08_1692_00</td>
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<td></td>
</tr>
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<td>1707</td>
<td>Taylor v Jones (Maryland)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1708</td>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1709</td>
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<td></td>
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<tbody>
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</tr>
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<td>1712</td>
<td>Appeal of Crowley (New Jersey (East))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1712</td>
<td>Appeal of Macarell (Pennsylvania)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1715</td>
<td>Bannister v Bowe (Massachusetts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1715</td>
<td>Beverly v Seldon (Virginia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1716</td>
<td>Sonmans v Ormstone (New Jersey (East))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1716</td>
<td>Gouverneur v DeBiemer (New York)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1716</td>
<td>Stannard v Wormele (Virginia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1717</td>
<td>Oulton v Savage (Massachusetts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1717</td>
<td>Appeal of Summers (New York)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1717</td>
<td>Appeal of Smith (New York)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1718</td>
<td>Tunley v Maccarty (Massachusetts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1718</td>
<td>Flint v Willett (Massachusetts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1718</td>
<td>Taylor v Taylor (Massachusetts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1718</td>
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</tr>
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<td>1720</td>
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</tr>
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<td>1721</td>
<td>Appeal of Owen (Rhode Island)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1721</td>
<td>In re The Pearl (Rhode Island)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1722</td>
<td>Gilbert v Branfield (Massachusetts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1723</td>
<td>Brown v Boardley (Maryland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1724</td>
<td>Cranston v Jekill (Rhode Island)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1724</td>
<td>Perry v Randolph (Virginia)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11_1725_00 MacSparran v Mumford (Rhode Island)
05_1726_00 In re The Mary Ann (Massachusetts)
01_1727_00 Winthrop v Lechmere (Connecticut)
13_1727_00 Heal v Ball (Virginia)
09_1729_00 Gale v Everard (North Carolina)
11_1729_01 Wharton v Northrup (Rhode Island)
11_1729_02 Wood v Sanford (Rhode Island)
13_1729_00 Bayler v Carter (Virginia)

1730 – 1739

04_1730_01 Macnamara v Brooke (Maryland)
04_1730_02 Nelson v Beale (Maryland)
11_1730_00 Sabere v Sabere (Rhode Island)
05_1731_00 Bennet v Gray (Massachusetts)
11_1732_00 Brenton v Boreland (Rhode Island)
13_1732_00 Corbin v Faldo (Virginia)
05_1733_01 Waldo v Fairweather (Massachusetts)
05_1733_02 Stoddard v Barrell (Massachusetts)
05_1733_03 Byfield v Swasey (Massachusetts)
11_1733_00 Torrey v Mumford (Rhode Island)
05_1734_01 Waldo v Waldo (Massachusetts)
05_1734_02 Gooch v Dummer (Massachusetts)
05_1734_03 Tidmarsh v Brandon (Massachusetts)
05_1734_04 Waldo v Waldoe (Massachusetts)
05_1734_05 Philips v Savage (Massachusetts)
05_1734_06 Waldo v Fairweather (Massachusetts)
04_1735_00 Appeal of Collins (Maryland)
05_1735_01 Ellis v Sprague (Massachusetts)
05_1735_02 Leighton v Frost (Massachusetts)
08_1735_00 Mossesson v Norris (New York)
11_1735_01 Coggeshall v Pelham (Rhode Island)
11_1735_02 Hassard v Potter (Rhode Island)
11_1735_03 Johnson v Arnold (Rhode Island)
10_1736_00 Appeal of Evans (Pennsylvania)
11_1736_00 MacSparran v Hassard (Rhode Island)
13_1736_00 Burges v Hack (Virginia)
01_1737_01 Wheeler v Levenworth (Connecticut)
01_1737_02 Clark v Towsey (Connecticut)
05_1737_01 Stoddard v Jones (Massachusetts)
05_1737_02 Pelham v Stone (Massachusetts)
11_1737_00 Brenton v Brenton (Rhode Island)
04_1738_01 Jennings v Cuming (Maryland)
04_1738_02 Carroll v Parran (Maryland)
05_1738_00 Pelham v Bannister (Massachusetts)
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08_1738_00 Brown v Philips (New York)
11_1738_01 Brown v Allen (Rhode Island)
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13_1740_01 Rogers v Spalding (Virginia)
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08_1741_02 Pearse v Key (New York)
05_1742_00 Francis v Jeffries (Massachusetts)
08_1742_00 Kennedy v Fowles (New York)
13_1742_01 Randolph v Woodford (Virginia)
13_1742_02 Randolph v Beverley (Virginia)
13_1742_03 Starke v Thrustout (Virginia)
13_1743_00 Meade v Thrustout (Virginia)
11_1745_01 Fogg v Harvey (Rhode Island)
11_1745_02 Potter v Freeborn (Rhode Island)
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11_1746_02 Vanbrugh v Powers (Rhode Island)
11_1746_03 Polock v Verplank (Rhode Island)
11_1746_04 Tripp v Tripp (Rhode Island)
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11_1747_02 Freebody v Wanton (Rhode Island)
11_1747_03 Brenton v Remington (Rhode Island)
13_1747_01 Lillie v Tab (Virginia)
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05_1750_02 Knowles v Douglas (Massachusetts)
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11_1754_00 Freebody v Cook (Rhode Island)
03_1755_00 Demere v Davis (Georgia)
05_1755_00 Bannister v Cunningham (Massachusetts)
11_1755_01 Grant v Dyre (Rhode Island)
11_1755_02 Stanton v Thompson (Rhode Island)
11_1755_03 Chaloner v Bland (Rhode Island)
11_1755_04 Whipple v Bowen (Rhode Island)
11_1755_05 Hazard v Hazard (Rhode Island)
11_1755_06 Whipple v Ninigret (Rhode Island)
13_1755_01 Lidderdale v Chiswell (Virginia)
13_1755_02 Dunbar v Custis (Virginia)
01_1756_00 Danielson v Throop (Connecticut)
11_1756_00 Brenton v Sylvester (Rhode Island)
11_1757_00 Isaacs v Merrett (Rhode Island)
06_1758_00 Trecothick v Wentworth (New Hampshire)
11_1758_00 Freebody v Brenton (Rhode Island)
06_1759_01 Sherburne v Sherburne (New Hampshire)
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11 1766_01 Stead v Isaacs (Rhode Island)
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11 1768_00 Isaacks v Stead (Rhode Island)
13 1768_01 Dixon v Turner (Virginia)
13 1768_02 Hiscox v Hill (Virginia)
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07_1773_00 Wickoff v Ouke (New Jersey)
08_1773_00 Van Rensselaer v Jackson (New York)
09_1773_00 Nash v Dobbs (North Carolina)
10_1773_00 Styles v Kirkbridge (Pennsylvania)
11_1773_01 Sandford v Smith (Rhode Island)
11_1773_02 Elam v Dockray (Rhode Island)
11_1773_03 Dudley v Clarke (Rhode Island)
11_1773_04 Dudley v Shaw (Rhode Island)
11_1773_05 Keeler v Rhodes (Rhode Island)
02_1774_00 Finney v Bickerton (Delaware)
05_1774_00 Wentworth v Bowdoin (Massachusetts)
09_1774_00 Appeal of Casey (North Carolina)
10_1774_00 Thrustout v Logan (Pennsylvania)
11_1774_00 Bean v Wanton (Rhode Island)
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07_1775_00 Reid v Reed (New Jersey)
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Colonial Courts

Unnamed

Participants

Hallam, John, merchant
Palmes, Edward, esquire
[Christopher, Richard]
[Fosdick, Samuel]
[Winthrop, FitzJohn]

Description

Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition

Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes

Related to other Privy Council appeals:

  Hallam v Winthrop – Report No. 01_1699_02 (APC, v.2 [734] p.328)
  Palmes v Winthrop – Report No. 01_1703_00 (APC, v.2 [913] p.453)

The appeals of Palmes and Hallam are related, arising predominately from a dispute about the will of John Liveen, stepfather of John and Nicholas Hallam. This case amounts to requests by Palmes and Hallam to require Connecticut to allow an appeal in its courts in a matter regarding
the seizure of the ship Liveen, with further right to appeal to the King in Council if desired.

Hallam v Winthrop concerns the Hallam brothers’ attempt to obtain the estate of John Liveen. Palmes v Winthrop continues Palmes’s controversy regarding the Liveen and adds an appeal from a dispute related to his rights to a part of the estate of the late John Winthrop through Palmes’s late wife Lucy, daughter of John Winthrop.

These appeals are noted particularly for the comment of the crown law officers that appeals lay to the King in Council as a right inherent in the crown.

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**

Table of Cases (Palmes and Hallam v Winthrop et al)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

TNA Document Notes

All documents for this action are entered under Report No. 01_1703_00 (Palmes v Winthrop).
Report

Report No. 01_1699_02 Hallam v Winthrop Connecticut

Case Name Short Hallam v Winthrop
Case Name Long John Hallam and Nicholas Hallam v John Fitz Winthrop and Edward Palmes

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.2 [734] p.328 – 9 February 1699 – entry 1
CSP Citation XII no.120
PC Register Citation William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.302: PC 2/77/302
APC Citation v.2 [734] p.329 – 5 December 1700 – entry 2
CSP Citation XIII no. 974
PC Register Citation William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.109: PC 2/78/109
APC Citation v.2 [734] p.329 – 12 June 1701 – entry 3
PC Register Citation William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.218: PC 2/78/218
APC Citation v.2 [734] p.329 – 12 February 1702 – entry 4
PC Register Citation William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.343–344: PC 2/78/343–344
APC Citation v.2 [734] p.329–330 – 18 March 1702 – entry 5
PC Register Citation Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.39: PC 2/79/39
APC Citation v.2 [734] p.330 – 2 December 1703 – entry 6
PC Register Citation Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.467: PC 2/79/467
APC Citation v.2 [734] p.330 – 3 January 1704 – entry 7
PC Register Citation Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.489: PC 2/79/489
APC Citation v.2 [734] p.330 – 9 January 1704 – entry 8
PC Register Citation Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.494: PC 2/79/494

Colonial Courts
General Assembly (address relating to the case)
Court of Assistants – 2 May 1700

Participants
Hallam, John (brother of Nicholas Hallam)
Hallam, Nicholas (brother of John Hallam)
Palmes, Edward
Winthrop, John Fitz (Fitzjohn)

Description
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition
Confirmed

Notes
Related to other Privy Council appeals:


Palmes v Winthrop – Report No. 01_1703_00 (APC, v.2 [913] p.453)

The appeals of Palmes and Hallam are related, arising predominately from a dispute about the will of John Liveen, stepfather of John and Nicholas Hallam. Palmes and Hallam v [Winthrop] amounts to requests by Palmes and Hallam to require Connecticut to allow an appeal in its courts in a matter regarding the seizure of the ship Liveen, with further right to appeal to the King in Council if desired.

This case concerns the Hallam brothers’ attempt to obtain the estate of John Liveen. Palmes v Winthrop continues Palmes’s controversy regarding the Liveen and adds an appeal from a dispute related to his rights to a part of the estate of the late John Winthrop through Palmes’s late wife Lucy, daughter of John Winthrop.

These appeals are noted particularly for the comment of the crown law officers that appeals lay to the King in Council as a right inherent in the crown.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Hallam v Winthrop and Palmes)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
REPORT NO. 01_1703_00

Report

Report No. 01_1703_00  Palmes v Winthrop  Connecticut

Case Name Short  Palmes v Winthrop
Case Name Long  Edward Palmes v Fitzjohn Winthrop, Richard Christopher and Samuel Fosdick

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.2 [913] p.453 – 17 December 1703 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.476: PC 2/79/476

APC Citation  v.2 [913] p.453 – 3 January 1704 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.489: PC 2/79/489

APC Citation  v.2 [913] p.453 – 7 January 1704 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.496–497: PC 2/79/496–497

APC Citation  v.2 [913] p.453 – 10 January 1706 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.68–69: PC 2/81/68–69

APC Citation  v.2 [913] p.453 – 16 January 1706 – entry 5
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.71–72: PC 2/81/71–72

APC Citation  v.2 [913] p.453 – 14 February 1706 – entry 6
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.109: PC 2/81/109

APC Citation  v.2 [913] p.453–454 – 20 February 1706 – entry 7
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.111, 114–115: PC 2/81/111, 114–115

APC Citation  v.2 [913] p.454 – 8 April 1706 – entry 8
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.170: PC 2/81/170

APC Citation  v.2 [913] p.454 – 11 April 1706 – entry 9
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.174–175: PC 2/81/174–175

APC Citation  v.2 [913] p.454 – 13 March 1707 – entry 10
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.315: PC 2/81/315

APC Citation  v.2 [913] p.454 – 25 April 1707 – entry 11
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.350: PC 2/81/350
Colonial Courts

Court of Assistants – October 1699
Court of Assistants – May 1700

Participants

Christopher, Richard
Fosdick, Samuel
Palmes, Edward (widower of Lucy Winthrop Palmes)
Palmes, Lucy Winthrop (deceased wife of Edward Palmes and daughter of John Winthrop)
Winthrop, Fitzjohn, Governor of Connecticut
Winthrop, John (deceased father of Lucy Winthrop Palmes)

Vessels

*Liveen* (ship)

**Description**

Issue relating to the *Liveen* and dispute regarding the estate of John Winthrop

**Disposition**

Appeals regarding both judgments are dismissed.

**Notes**

Related to other Privy Council appeals:


Hallam v Winthrop – Report No. 01_1699_02 (*APC*, v.2 [734] p.328)

The appeals of Palmes and Hallam are related, arising predominately from a dispute about the will of John Liveen, stepfather of John and Nicholas Hallam. Palmes and Hallam v [Winthrop] amounts to requests by Palmes and Hallam to require Connecticut to allow an appeal in its courts in a matter regarding the seizure of the ship *Liveen*, with further right to appeal to the King in Council if desired.

Hallam v Winthrop concerns the Hallam brothers’ attempt to obtain the estate of John Liveen. This case continues Palmes’s controversy regarding the *Liveen* and adds an appeal from a dispute related to his rights to a part of the estate of the late John Winthrop through Palmes’s late wife Lucy, daughter of John Winthrop.

These appeals are noted particularly for the comment of the crown law
officers that appeals lay to the King in Council as a right inherent in the crown.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

| TNA Document | Petition of Edward Palmes that his appeals be admitted – 16 Dec. 1703 – PC 1/46/5 | view_Doc |
| Notes | Referenced in APC, v.6 [110] p.24 | view_APC |
| TNA Document | Depositions re the Palmes appeal – 14 June 1705 – PC 1/46/7 | view_Doc |
| Notes | Referenced in APC, v.6 [133] p.37–38 | view_APC |
| Notes | Referenced in APC, v.6 [140] p.40 | view_APC |
| TNA Document | Committee report – 9 April 1706 (8 April in vol. 2 of APC) – PC 1/46/8 | view_Doc |
| Notes | Referenced in APC, v.6 [140] p.40 | view_APC |
Report

Report No. 01_1727_00  Winthrop v Lechmere  Connecticut

Case Name Short  Winthrop v Lechmere
Case Name Long  John Winthrop v Thomas and Ann Lechmere

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APC Citation</th>
<th>PC Register Citation</th>
<th>View</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v.3 [112] p.139 – 8 February 1727 – entry 2</td>
<td>George I v.5 (September 1724 – May 1727) p.319: PC 2/89/319</td>
<td>view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v.3 [112] p.150 – 27 November 1728 – entry 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Colonial Courts

Court of Probates – county of New London – 21 February 1717/1718 – letters of administration of estate granted to Winthrop
Superior Court – judgments for Winthrop on multiple actions of Lechmere brought in July 1724
General Assembly – 1725 – Lechmere’s petition for relief and a new trial
Assembly – 1725 – order for a complete inventory of Wait Winthrop’s estate in Court of Probates
Court of Probates – 29 June 1725 – refusal to accept Winthrop’s inventory without real estate, from which judgment Winthrop appeals
Court of Probates – Lechmere brings suit to have administration of estate granted to him which, being stayed, leads Lechmere to appeal to Superior Court
Superior Court – 28 September 1725 – affirmanace of action of Court of Probates and order for both real and personal property to be inventoried, from which Winthrop appeals for review to Superior Court
Superior Court – 22 March 1725/6 – affirmanace of their judgment of 28 September 1725 and on Lechmere’s review grant of power of administration to Lechmere
Special Superior County Court – 29 April 1726 – approves Lechmere’s inventory of the estate
Assembly – 12 May 1726 – receipt of Lechmere’s petitions for right to sell land to pay debt of deceased
Governor – receipt of protest from Winthrop
Assembly – May 1726 – passage of an act empowering Lechmere to sell the land to pay the remaining debt and finding Winthrop in contempt
Superior Court – September 1726 – issuance of an order pursuant to the passing of the May Act of Assembly allowing the sale of land

Participants

Dummer, Jeremiah (agent for Connecticut)
Latimore, Robert
Lechmere, Ann (Anne) (wife of Thomas Lechmere, sister of John
Winthrop, daughter of the late Wait Winthrop, niece of the late Hon.
Fitzjohn Winthrop, and granddaughter of the late Hon. John Winthrop)
Lechmere, Charles (agent for Thomas and Ann Lechmere)
Lechmere, Thomas of Boston, merchant (husband of Ann Lechmere)
Talcott, John, Governor
Wally, Abell
Winthrop, Hon. Fitzjohn, late Governor (deceased uncle of John
Winthrop and Ann Winthrop Lechmere)
Winthrop, Hon. John, late Governor (deceased grandfather of John
Winthrop and Ann Winthrop Lechmere)
Winthrop, John of New London (brother of Ann Lechmere, son of the
late Wait Winthrop, nephew of the late Hon. Fitzjohn Winthrop, and
grandson of the late Hon. John Winthrop)
Winthrop, Major-General Wait of Boston (deceased father of John
Winthrop and Ann Winthrop Lechmere)

Description
Land dispute between a brother and sister following the death of their
father intestate. As Smith describes, at issue was the validity of the 1699
Connecticut Act for the Settlement of Intestate Estates, which, contrary
to English practice, divided real and personal property in equal shares
among the intestate’s children with the eldest son receiving a double
share.

Disposition
Colonial “Act for the Settlement of Intestate Estates declared null and
void as contrary to the laws of England in regard it makes Lands of
Inheritance distributable as personal Estates . . . .”

Sentences of 29 June 1725, 28 September 1725, and 22 March 1725/6
reversed. Court of Probates directed not to reject an inventory without
real property. Letters of administration for Lechmere vacated and order
of 29 April 1726 approving his inventory to be discharged and the
original letters of administration granted to Winthrop to be ordered to
stand. Lechmere suit dismissed and all acts under these proceedings to be
declared null and void, including court order of 27 September 1726.
Winthrop to be put in possession of any lands lost, with rents and profits
foregone and costs paid by petitioner repaid.

Notes
According to Smith (p.537), “the only instance we have seen of a
colonial act specifically declared null and void ab initio upon judicial
review by the King in Council.” Washburne, Imperial Control, p.184,
notes that three appeals are usually cited as involving the validity of
colonial legislative enactments: Winthrop v Lechmere, Philips v Savage, and Clark v Towsey. The importance of this case warrants its more detailed exposition here.

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*
Table of Cases (Winthrop v Lechmere) (extensive discussion)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complaint of J. Winthrop – his petition (typed copy) – 8 Feb. 1727 – PC 1/48/23</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [367] p.173–178; this typewritten copy of the petition has been added to the bundle.</td>
<td>view_APC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee report for a copy of the petition to be sent to the Governor for his answer – 18 Feb. 1727 – PC 1/48/23</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [367] p.178</td>
<td>view_APC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enclosure with Governor Talcott’s answer (copy 2)</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [367] p.178–181</td>
<td>view_APC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em> v.6 [367] p.178–181</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [367] p.181</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [367] p.181</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TNA Document</strong></td>
<td>Paragraph in a Connecticut law re houses and land to heirs (copy 1) – 19 Sept. 1727 – PC 1/48/23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [367] p.181</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TNA Document</strong></td>
<td>Paragraph in a Connecticut law re houses and land to heirs (copy 2) – 19 Sept. 1727 – PC 1/48/23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [367] p.181</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TNA Document</strong></td>
<td>Order referring Governor’s answer to the Committee – 4 Dec. 1727 (Dorse says Dec. 4, 1728) – PC 1/48/23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [367] p.181</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TNA Document</strong></td>
<td>List of papers re Winthrop’s complaint – no date – PC 1/48/23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [367] p.181</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TNA Document</strong></td>
<td>Complaint of J. Winthrop – extracts from the record of the colony authenticated by the Governor – 16 July 1728 – PC 1/48/24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [410] p.200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TNA Document</strong></td>
<td>Law for dividing the estates of intestates – Board of Trade report on the petition of J. Belcher and J. Dummer – 31 Dec. 1730 – PC 1/48/26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [431] p.215–216</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6, [431] p.216</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Documents**

**Document** | Winthrop’s printed case was written on 13 folios of 2 foolscap pages each. It was transcribed and printed at the end of the 19th century but the original cannot be located at present.
Printed in the *Collections of the Massachusetts Historical Society* (1892) under the general heading ‘Winthrop, “Brief”’ are:

1. the brief of the appellant (p.440–496),
2. the decree of the King in Council (p.496–509), and
3. correspondence from Winthrop (p.509–511).

Editors’ notes indicate that they were unable to find a copy of the respondent’s case, for whom they say the counsel were Willes (probably Sir John) and Booth, whom they could not further identify.

Report

Report No. 01_1737_01 Wheeler v Levenworth Connecticut

Case Name Short Wheeler v Levenworth
Case Name Long Robert Wheeler v Thomas Levenworth

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

APC Citation v.3 [421] p.580 – 29 November 1737 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.310: PC 2/94/310

APC Citation v.3 [421] p.580 – 15 December 1737 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.338–339: PC 2/94/338–339

APC Citation v.3 [421] p.580 – 12 January 1738 – entry 3
PC Register Citation George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.366: PC 2/94/366

Colonial Courts
General Assembly – 27 August 1734

Participants
Levenworth, Thomas
Wheeler, Robert

Description
Land

Disposition
Not stated in *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series* though not prosecuted according to Smith (p.643)

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*
Table of Cases (Wheeler v Levenworth)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Case Name Short  Clark v Towsey
Case Name Long  Samuel Clark v Thomas and Hannah Towsey (and against Thomas Towsey as guardian to John Clark), Thomas Clark (and as guardian to Gamaliel Clerk) and Richard Bryon (as guardian to children of late wife Mehitabell)

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

| APC Citation | v.3 [422] p.580 – 29 November 1737 – entry 1 |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.310–311: PC 2/94/310–311 |
| APC Citation | v.3 [422] p.580 – 25 May 1738 – entry 2 |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.343, 521–522, 544: PC 2/94/343 521–522, 544 |
| APC Citation | v.3 [422] p.581 – 1 April 1742 – entry 3 |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743) p.120: PC 2/97/120 |
| APC Citation | v.3 [422] p.581 – 17 May 1742 – entry 4 |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743) p.125–126, 137: PC 2/97/125–126, 137 |
| APC Citation | v.3 [422] p.581 – 24 June 1742 – entry 5 |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743) p.166, 175–176: PC 2/97/166, 175–176 |
| APC Citation | v.3 [422] p.581 – 2 June 1743 – entry 6 |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743) p.429: PC 2/97/429 |
| APC Citation | v.3 [422] p.581 – 18 July 1745 – entry 7 |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.133[bis], 144–145, 166: PC 2/99/133[bis], 144–145, 166 |

PC Register Note  There are 2 pages numbered ‘133’ in PC 2/99; the 2nd is the relevant one.

Colonial Courts

[Court of Probates – February 28, 1728]
Superior Court – first Tuesday of March 1733
Superior Court – 17 February 1741

Participants
Bryon, Mehitabell (deceased wife of Richard Bryon)
Bryon, Richard (widower of Mehitabell Bryon and guardian of her children)
Clark (Clerk), Gamaliel, infant
Clark (Clerk), John, infant
Clark (Clerk), Samuel, esquire ([son of deceased Samuel Clark])
Clark (Clerk), Samuel, esquire (deceased [father of Samuel Clark])
Clark (Clerk), Thomas (guardian of Gamaliel Clerk)
Towsey, Hannah (wife of Thomas Towsey)
Towsey, Thomas, esquire (husband of Hannah Towsey and guardian of John Clark, also spelled ‘Clerk’)

Description
Division of real estate of Samuel Clark, deceased

Disposition
Dismissed

Notes
A common alternate spelling for ‘Towsey’, not used in the APC, is ‘Tousey’. This case is often discussed with Winthrop v Lechmere and Philips v Savage as to their treatment of the division of an intestate’s property in the colonies and the degree to which colonial legislation was subject to review.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Clark v Tousey)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 01_1756_00  Danielson v Throop  Connecticut
Danielson v Fish

Case Name Short  Danielson v Throop
Danielson v Fish

Case Name Long  Samuel Danielson and Nathan West v Dan Throop and Caleb and Irena Hide
Samuel Danielson and Nathan West v Aaron Fish

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [302] p.325 – 27 January 1756 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.16 (1 January 1756 – 31 December 1757)
p.16–17: PC 2/105/16–17

APC Citation  v.4 [302] p.325 – 3 August 1764 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 September 1764)

PC Register Citation  George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766)

Colonial Courts

Unnamed – August 1753
Unnamed – December 1753
Unnamed – 19 March 1754

Participants

Danielson, James (deceased brother of Samuel Danielson)
Danielson, Samuel, esquire (brother of James Danielson)
Eyre, Francis of Surrey Street in the Strand
Fish, Aaron
Hide, Caleb (‘pretended’ executor of James Danielson)
Hide, Irena (‘pretended’ executor of James Danielson)
Throop, Dan (‘pretended’ executor of James Danielson)
West, Nathan (lessee of Samuel Danielson)

Description

One action of account (Throop) and one of disseisin for 90 acres of land in Lebanon (Fish)

Disposition

Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series
**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Order referring the petition of Samuel Danielson (Fish) – 27 Jan. 1756 – PC 1/59/5/2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catalogued as</td>
<td>Catalogued by TNA: (Fish) Order of 27 Jan. 1756 referring to a committee the petition of Samuel Danielson and Nathan West asking to be admitted to an appeal against Aaron Fish from Connecticut: 27 July 1764 read at committee and reported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Order referring the petition of Samuel Danielson (Throop) – 27 Jan. 1756 – PC 1/59/5/2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catalogued as</td>
<td>Catalogued by TNA: (Throop) Order of 27 Jan. 1756 referring to a committee the petition of Samuel Danielson asking to be admitted to an appeal against Daniel Throop and others from Connecticut: 27 July 1764 read at committee and reported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Committee report (Fish) – 27 July 1764 – PC 1/50/52</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Referenced in APC, v.6 [618] p.371</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Committee report (Throop) – 27 July 1764 – PC 1/50/52</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Referenced in APC, v.6 [618] p.371</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report No. 01_1765_00</th>
<th>Appeal of Whittlesey</th>
<th>Connecticut</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case Name Short</td>
<td>Whittlesey, appeal of</td>
<td>Not a ‘true’ appeal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Name Long</td>
<td>Elisha Whittlesey, appeal of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>view_APC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC Citation</td>
<td>v.4 [614] p.727 – 10 July 1765 – entry 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC Register Citation</td>
<td>George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.262: PC 2/111/262</td>
<td>view</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Colonial Courts

General Assembly – May 1763 (resolution)

## Participants

- Pierpoint, Jacob
- Whittlesey, Elisha

## Description

Land

## Disposition

Not stated in *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

## Notes

According to Smith (p.643), this attempt to appeal from a *legislative* resolution was refused.

---

## DOCUMENTATION

### Printed Cases

Not found

### Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
## Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report No. 02_1774_00</th>
<th>Finney v Bickerton</th>
<th>Delaware</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Finney v Byrne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Case Name Short
- Finney v Bickerton
- Finney v Byrne

### Case Name Long
- David, Robert, Elizabeth and Ann Dorothy Finney v Henry Bickerton et al
- David, Robert, Elizabeth and Ann Dorothy Finney v James Byrne et al

### Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series
- APC Citation: v.5 [306] p.402–403 – 28 October 1774 – entry 1
- PC Register Citation: George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.237: PC 2/118/237

### Colonial Courts
- Supreme Court for the Delaware counties – April term 1773

### Participants
- Bickerton, Henry
- Byrne, James
- Finney, Ann Dorothy
- Finney, David
- Finney, Elizabeth
- Finney, Robert
- Martin, Mary (deceased widow of Patrick Martin)
- Martin, Patrick (deceased husband of Mary Martin)

### Description
- Land dispute among possible heirs with respondents Byrne, et al., according to Smith, claiming “as brothers and sisters of the half-blood of the intestate by virtue of a colonial act which was contrary to the common law and had not received royal approbation,” attempting to advance Winthrop v Lechmere as applicable.

### Disposition
- Finney v Byrne was never argued due to the Revolutionary War, according to Smith (p.653).

### Notes
- During this period the lower Delaware counties were in fact a part of the colony of Pennsylvania, but they retained a fair amount of autonomy,
including their own Supreme Court.

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*

Table of Cases (Finney v Byrne)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 03_1751_00  Davis v Powell  Georgia

Case Name Short  Davis v Powell
Case Name Long  Caleb Davis v James Edward Powell

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [150] p.128 – 4 June 1751 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.245–246: PC 2/102/245–246

APC Citation  v.4 [150] p.128 – 12 July 1751 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.259–260, 276: PC 2/102/259–260, 276

APC Citation  v.4 [150] p.128–130 – 20 December 1752 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.278, 281: PC 2/102/278, 281
PC Register Citation  George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753) p.161, 165, 207–209, 259: PC 2/103/161, 165, 207–209, 259

Colonial Courts
Court of Savannah – 21 February 1749

Participants
Bradley, William, esquire, of Michelham in Surrey
Davis, Caleb, gentleman, of Lambeth in Surrey (late commander of the guard schooner Walker)
Heron, Lieut. Col. Alexander (Lieutenant Colonel of a regiment in Georgia after the discharge of Major Horton)
Horton, Maj. William (commanding officer at Georgia in 1745)
Oglethorpe, Lieutenant General
Powell, James Edward of Christchurch parish in South Carolina (owner of the Murray)
Wall, James, gentleman, of Lambeth

Vessels
Murray
Walker (guard schooner)

Description
Prize dispute

Disposition
Reversed

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*

Table of Cases (Davis v Powell)

-----

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Report No. 03_1755_00    Demere v Davis    Georgia

Case Name Short    Demere v Davis
Case Name Long    Raymond Demere v Caleb Davis

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation    v.4 [265] p.286–287 – 27 March 1755 – entry 1
PC Register Citation    George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.363: PC 2/104/363

Colonial Courts
Court in Savannah – 7 July 1749

Participants
Davis, Caleb
Demere, Raymond, esquire, of Frederica

Vessels
Duke of Cumberland
Heron

Description
Dispute over Demere’s refusal to allow two ships to proceed on their voyages

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 04_1694_00  Appeal of Rawstone  Maryland

Case Name Short  Rawstone, appeal of  Not a ‘true’ appeal.
Case Name Long  Richard Rawstone, appeal of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.2 [533] p.263 – 22 January 1694 – entry 1
CSP Citation  IX no. 832
PC Register Citation  William III v.3 (1 October 1692 – 29 November 1694) p.321: PC 2/75/321

Colonial Courts
No colonial court decision was appealed for the Privy Council to review.
See Notes.

Participants
Rawstone, Richard

Description
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
See Labaree, Royal Instructions 1670–1776, p.354, and the entry in the CSP for details of Rawstone’s request to have his complaint reheard in Maryland, rather than by the Privy Council, with liberty to sue Henry Damall and Nicholas Seawell for losses and damages sustained.

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Case Name Short: Taylor v Jones
Case Name Long: John Taylor v Ann Jones and Thomas Gassaway

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.2 [1019] p.516–517 – 20 March 1707 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.324: PC 2/81/324

APC Citation: v.2 [1019] p.517 – 25 April 1707 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.350: PC 2/81/350

APC Citation: v.2 [1019] p.517 – 29 April 1707 – entry 3
PC Register Citation: Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.356: PC 2/81/356

Colonial Courts
Provincial Court of Maryland

Participants
Gassaway, Nicholas, deceased
Gassaway, Thomas (executor of Nicholas Gassaway)
Jones, Ann (executor of Nicholas Gassaway)
Taylor, John, merchant, of London

Description
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition
Not stated in the APC, though Smith (p.280) says that the appeal never came before the Council.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Taylor v Jones)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Case Name Short: Macnamara v Rex
Case Name Long: Thomas Macnamara v Rex

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.2[1146] p.653 – 23 June 1711 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: Anne v.5 (2 June 1710 – 28 July 1712) p.256: PC 2/83/256

APC Citation: v.2.[1146] p.653 – 5 July 1711 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: Anne v.5 (2 June 1710 – 28 July 1712) p.265–266: PC 2/83/265–266

APC Citation: v.2[1146] p.653–654 – 16 August 1711 – entry 3
PC Register Citation: Anne v.5 (2 June 1710 – 28 July 1712) p.288: PC 2/83/288

APC Citation: v.2[1146] p.654 – 3 September 1711 – entry 4
PC Register Citation: Anne v.5 (2 June 1710 – 28 July 1712) p.295–296: PC 2/83/295–296

Colonial Courts
Provincial Court of Maryland

Participants
Rex
Macnamara, Thomas, attorney, of Annapolis

Description
Request to be restored to status of attorney following a conviction of homicide by chance medley.

Disposition
Reversed on writ of error to the Court of Appeals, removing further need for conciliar intervention, according to Smith (p.242)

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Rex v Macnamara)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Attorney General’s report – July 1711 – PC 1/58/1 view_Doc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catalogued as</td>
<td>Catalogued by TNA: Attorney General’s report concerning the case of Thomas Macnamara, burnt in the hand for homicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Viewed at TNA in Conservation due to its poor condition (2009)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Report

**Report No. 04_1720_00** Forward v Poulson (later Hunt)  
**Maryland**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Name Short</th>
<th>Case Name Long</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forward v Poulson (later Hunt)</td>
<td>Jonathan Forward v Gilbert Poulson (later William Hunt)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APC Citation</th>
<th>PC Register Citation</th>
<th>View</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v.2 [1339] p.783 – 12 April 1725 – entry 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REPORT NO. 04_1720_00

Colonial Courts
Vice Admiralty Court – date of proceedings not stated
Provincial Court – 20 September 1720
Maryland Court of Appeals and Errors – 7 May 1723
Court of Errors – 2 June 1726 (4 judgments in favor of Thomas Boardley)

Participants
Baltimore, Lord
Boardley (Bordley), Thomas (attorney, later deceased, for Gilbert Poulson)
Calvert, Charles, Deputy Governor of Maryland
Cockey, Thomas
Forward, Jonathan, merchant, of London
Gordon, Robert
Hunt, William, merchant, of London (executor for Thomas Boardley, who dies during proceedings)
Poulson, Gilbert, master of the \textit{Dolphin}
Rogers, Mary (wife of William Rogers)
Rogers, William (husband of Mary Rogers)
Young, Samuel, Judge of the Admiralty Court of Maryland

\textbf{Vessels}

\textit{Dolphin} (ship)

\textbf{Description}

Charter party dispute

\textbf{Disposition}

Reversed

\textbf{Notes}

Thomas Boardley (Bordley), the attorney for Poulson, dies during the proceedings. The appeal is revived against Boardley’s executor, William Hunt.

This is an extraordinarily complex litigation. It is understood only in part with the information from the \textit{APC}. A detailed explanation, along with transcription of colonial court documents, can be found in Bond, \textit{Maryland Court of Appeals 1696–1729}.

The printed case of the respondent in Forward is the only printed case located to date that is not signed. Subsequent to Forward, by order of March 10, 1731 (\textit{APC}, v.3 [228] p.310), printed cases delivered to the Privy Council had to be signed by counsel.

\textbf{References in Smith, \textit{Appeals to the Privy Council}}

Table of Cases (Forward v Poulson)

\begin{quote}
\noindent DOCUMENTATION
\end{quote}

\textbf{Printed Cases}

\begin{tabular}{ll}
\textbf{Appellant's case} & Case of the appellant (Forward) \\
\textbf{Note} & Not found \\
\textbf{Respondent's case} & Case of the respondent (Hunt) \\
\textbf{Counsel} & Not signed. \\
\textbf{Library} & Maryland Historical Society: Special Collections Reading Room – Rare Broadside 14
\end{tabular}
Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

**TNA Document**  
Case of J. Forward, contractor for transporting felons. Two copies of Forward’s petition, one fuller than the other (the fuller copy) – Received 29 Oct. 1725 – PC 1/48/21

**Notes**  
Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [337] p.154

**TNA Document**  
Case of J. Forward, contractor for transporting felons. Two copies of Forward’s petition, one fuller than the other (the shorter copy) – Received 29 Oct. 1725 – PC 1/48/21

**Notes**  
Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [337] p.154

**TNA Document**  
Order of reference – 4 Nov. 1725 – PC 1/48/21

**Notes**  
Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [337] p.154

**TNA Document**  
Petition of Forward, etc. – Read 10 Jan. 1728 – PC 1/48/24

**Notes**  
Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [397] p.193

**TNA Document**  

**Notes**  
Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [417] p.208
Report

Case Name Short: Brown v Boardley
Case Name Long: Joseph Brown v Thomas Boardley

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.3 [54] p.60 – 14 November 1723 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 August 1724) p.356: PC 2/88/356

APC Citation: v.3 [54] p.60 – 22 January 1724 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 August 1724) p.470: PC 2/88/470

APC Citation: v.3 [54] p.60 – 28 February 1724 – entry 3
PC Register Citation: George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 August 1724) p.483–484: PC 2/88/483–484

APC Citation: v.3 [54] p.60 – 9 March 1724 – entry 4
PC Register Citation: George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 August 1724) p.490: PC 2/88/490

Colonial Courts

Provincial Court of Maryland

Participants

Baltimore, Lord
Boardley, Thomas
Brown, Joseph

Description

Subject matter not stated in the APC. A judgment for £500 sterling had been entered.

Disposition

Brown admitted to bring his writ of error to Maryland Court of Appeals. Washburne, Imperial Control, p.79, notes that Brown had attempted to appeal over the heads of the higher courts of Maryland, a procedural irregularity that the Privy Council generally disallowed.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Brown v Bordley)

DOCUMENTATION
**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

**Notes**

Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [324] p.139
Report

Report No. 04_1730_01  Macnamara v Brooke  Maryland

Case Name Short  Macnamara v Brooke
Case Name Long  Michael Macnamara v Thomas Brooke

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [215] p.285–286 – 11 June 1730 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.248: PC 2/91/248

APC Citation  v.3 [215] p.286 – 23 November 1730 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.296: PC 2/91/296

APC Citation  v.3 [215] p.286 – 14 December 1730 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.301, 351: PC 2/91/301, 351

APC Citation  v.3 [215] p.286 – 11 May 1731 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.375 recte 374–375, 386–387: PC 2/91/375 recte 374–375, 386–387

Colonial Courts
Provincial Court – 21 May 1728
Court of Appeals and Errors – 15 May 1729
High Court of Appeals held by the Governor and Council – 24 May 1729

Participants
Brooke, Thomas
Macnamara, Michael of Ann Arundel County (son of Thomas Macnamara)
Macnamara, Thomas (deceased father of Michael Macnamara)

Description
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition
Reversed

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (MacNamara v Brooke)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 04_1730_02 Nelson v Beale Maryland

Case Name Short Nelson v Beale
Case Name Long Thomas Nelson v John Beale

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series view_APC

APC Citation v.3 [216] p.286 – 11 June 1730 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.248: PC 2/91/248

APC Citation v.3 [216] p.286 – 20 June 1737 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.240–241: PC 2/94/240–241

APC Citation v.3 [216] p.286 – 25 June 1737 – entry 3
PC Register Citation George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.242: PC 2/94/242

APC Citation v.3 [216] p.287 – 1 July 1737 – entry 4
PC Register Citation George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.244: PC 2/94/244

APC Citation v.3 [216] p.287 – 21 July 1737 – entry 5
PC Register Citation George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.261: PC 2/94/261

Colonial Courts
Provincial Court – 18 October 1726
Court of Appeals and Errors – 6 February 1729

Participants
Beale, John
Digges, John
Nelson, Thomas (lessee of John Digges)

Description
Land

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Nelson v Beale)

_________________________________________________________________________

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 04_1735_00  Appeal of Collins  Maryland

Case Name Short  Collins, appeal of
Case Name Long  John Collins, appeal of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [361] p.485 – 6 November 1735 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.251: PC 2/93/251

Colonial Courts
Vice Admiralty Court in Maryland – 31 July 1734

Participants
Collins, John, of Newbury in New England, master of the Patuxent, of Newbury
Ogle, Governor
Rousby, John, Collector of the Customs

Vessels
Patuxent of Newbury (sloop)

Description
Forfeiture of vessel and goods

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
Samuel Ogle is Governor of Maryland.

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 04_1738_01   Jennings v Cuming   Maryland

Case Name Short   Jennings v Cuming
Case Name Long   Edmund Jennings and John Gallaway v William Cuming
                 Executor of Thomas Facer and Achsah Woodward
                 Administrator de bonis non of Amos Garret

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation   v.3 [455] p.619–620 – 30 November 1738 – entry 1
PC Register Citation   George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.46:
                       PC 2/95/46
APC Citation   v.3 [455] p.620 – 6 September 1739 – entry 2
PC Register Citation   George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740)

Colonial Courts

Commissary General and Judge for the Probate of Wills – 30 August 1737
Court of Delegates – 25 October 1737

Participants

Cuming, William, esquire (executor of Thomas Facer)
Facer, Thomas, presumed deceased
Gallaway, John (attorney for William Woodward and Mary Holmes)
Garret, Amos, merchant, of Annapolis (deceased brother of Mary
Woodward and Elizabeth Gwin)
Gwin, Elizabeth (deceased sister of Mary Woodward and Amos Garret)
Holmes, Mary (executor of Mary Woodward)
Jennings, Edmund, esquire (attorney for William Woodward and Mary
Holmes)
Rudd, Sayer (executor of Elizabeth Gwin)
Seely, Edmund, gentleman (executor of Elizabeth Gwin)
Woodward, Achsah (administrator de bonis non of Amos Garret)
Woodward, Mary (deceased sister of Amos Garret and Elizabeth Gwin)
Woodward, William (executor of Mary Woodward)

Description

Validity of will of Amos Garret

Disposition

Dismissed for non-prosecution
Notes

Elizabeth Gwin was the sister of Amos Garret and Mary Woodward. (Skinner, Maryland Testamentary Abstracts 1736–1739, p.67) That relationship is noted in Participants. Her surname is spelled ‘Gwin’ in the APC and ‘Ginn’ in the Abstracts.

The APC is ambiguous as to whom Jennings and Gallaway were attorneys for. The Abstracts suggest that they were attorneys-in-fact for Woodward and Holmes as executors, Woodward and Holmes in their own names, and Rudd and Seely as executors, i.e., that they collectively represented all three pairs of parties against Cuming. The APC refers to ‘attorney’; however, the Abstracts refer to ‘attorney-in-fact’.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Jennings v Cumming)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 04_1738_02  Carroll v Parran  Maryland

Case Name Short  Carroll v Parran
Case Name Long  Charles Carroll v Mary Parran and John Parran

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [456] p.620 – 30 November 1738 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.47: PC 2/95/47

APC Citation  v.3 [456] p.620 – 27 December 1739 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.264, 335, 432, 445–450, 492: PC 2/95/264, 335, 432, 445–450, 492

Colonial Courts
Maryland Court of Chancery – 20 October 1731 and subsequent explanatory orders
Issuing body unnamed – Order of Dismission – 17 February 1735
High Court of Appeals – 17 May 1737

Participants
Carroll, Charles (deceased father of Charles Carroll)
Carroll, Charles, esquire, of Annapolis (son and surviving executor of Charles Carroll)
Carroll, Daniel (deceased executor of Charles Carroll)
Parran, Alexander (deceased husband of Mary Parran and father of John Parran)
Parran, John (son of Alexander Parran)
Parran, Mary (widow and administratrix of Alexander Parran)

Description
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition
Affirmed

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Case Name Short: Tasker v Simpson
Case Name Long: Benjamin Tasker v John Simpson on the demise of William Brent

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.3 [476] p.645 – 27 September 1739 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.351: PC 2/95/351

APC Citation: v.3 [476] p.645-646 – 31 January 1740 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.356, 527–530, 542: PC 2/95/356, 527–530, 542

Colonial Courts
Provincial Court – 18 October 1737
High Court of Appeals – 24 November 1738

Participants
Bennet, James
Brent, Giles (deceased father of Mary Fitzherbert and brother of Margaret Brent)
Brent, Margaret (deceased sister of Giles Brent and aunt of Mary Fitzherbert)
Brent, William (descendent of Giles Brent)
Fitzherbert, John (husband of Mary Fitzherbert)
Fitzherbert, Mary Brent (niece of Margaret Brent, daughter of Giles Brent and wife of John Fitzherbert)
Lynes (Lines), Philip
Moy, Ann (wife of Daniel Moy)
Moy, Daniel (husband of Ann Moy)
Moy, Richard
Simpson, John
Tasker, Benjamin, esquire

Description
Land dispute (trespass and ejectment) over 1000 acres in Queens County from a grant by the Lord Proprietary of Maryland in 1640

Disposition
Dismissed
Notes

The lease of William Brent to John Simpson is probably real. The Committee report describes William’s side of the Brent family as being resident in England and Virginia. The report also leaves little doubt that the underlying issue in the case is William’s claim to ownership of the premises. On the action of “trespass and ejectment” involved here, see Additional Research.

Washburne, Imperial Control, p.98–99, notes this appeal as an example of the difficulties arising from conflicting claims from very early land grants.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant’s case

Case of the appellant (Tasker)       ESTC: N15037

Counsel  [Signed] D. Ryder; W. Noel

Library New York Public Library. Schwarzman Building: Rare Book Collection *KVB++ (1739, Jan. 25) (Ryder, D. Maryland) (Includes manuscript notes. The ESTC also shows another entry [N474463] for the New York Public Library for the appellant’s case, but an additional copy cannot be located.) (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

Respondent’s case

Case of the respondent (Simpson)       ESTC: N15036

Counsel  [Signed] J. Strange

Library New York Public Library. Schwarzman Building: Rare Book Collection *KVB++ (1739, Jan. 25) (Strange, J. Benjamin Tasker, esq. appellant) (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found

Other Documents

Document See report on colonial court proceedings in Harris, Maryland Reports, under the name Brent’s Lessee v Tasker.
Report

**Report No. 04_1748_00  Frisby v Baldwin  Maryland**

**Case Name Short**  Frisby v Baldwin

**Case Name Long**  Elizabeth Frisby v Mary Frisby Baldwin

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

| APC Citation | v.4 [91] p.69 – 13 October 1748 – entry 1 |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.98: PC 2/101/98 |
| APC Citation | v.4 [91] p.69 – 6 August 1752 – entry 2 |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.104: PC 2/101/104 |

**Colonial Courts**

Chancery – October 1741
High Court of Appeals – 20 October 1747

**Participants**

- Baldwin, John, gentleman (husband of Mary Frisby Carrol Baldwin)
- Baldwin, Mary Frisby Carrol (widow and administratrix of William Frisby, widow of Dominick Carrol, and now wife of John Baldwin)
- Carrol, Dominick (a deceased husband of Mary Frisby Carrol Baldwin)
- Frisby, Elizabeth (widow and administratrix of Peregrine Frisby)
- Frisby, Nicholas (deceased son of William Frisby)
- Frisby, Peregrine, esquire, of Cecil county (deceased husband of Elizabeth Frisby and brother of William Frisby)
- Frisby, William (a deceased husband of Mary Frisby Carrol Baldwin and brother of Peregrine Frisby)

**Description**

Dispute re the allocation of the estate of William Frisby

**Disposition**

Reversed

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

**Appellant's case**  Case of the appellant (Frisby)  ESTC: T39018
[Signed] D. Ryder; A. Hume-Campbell

British Library: General Reference Collection – 1890.e.3.(79.)
(Includes manuscript notes and is bound with appeals to the House of Lords. The ESTC record states that the appeal was to that body view though the dorse indicates an appeal to the Privy Council.) (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

Case of the respondent (Baldwin)

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Case Name Short: Bordley v Thomas
Case Name Long: John Beale Bordley and Margaret Bordley and William and Mary Paca v Ann Thomas

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

APC Citation: v.5 [273] p.384 – 29 October 1773 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.330: PC 2/117/330

APC Citation: v.5 [273] p.384 – 31 December 1773 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.378, 397: PC 2/117/378, 397

Colonial Courts
Court of Appeals – 19 February 1771

Participants
Bordley, John Beale (husband of Margaret Bordley)
Bordley, Margaret (wife of John Beale Bordley)
Chew, Samuel, presumed deceased
Paca, Mary (wife of William Paca)
Paca, William (husband of Mary Paca)
Thomas, Ann of Ann Arundel county (widow of Philip Thomas)
Thomas, Philip (deceased husband of Ann Thomas)

Description
Dispute re estate of Samuel Chew

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

**Report No. 04_1776_00**  
**Chew v Weems**  
(Heeltap v Weems)  
**Maryland**

**Case Name Short**  
Heeltap v Weems  
Chew v Weems

**Case Name Long**  
Crispin Heeltap on the demise of William Chew v James Weems and David Weems

**Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series**

**APC Citation**  
v.5 [330] p.438 – 3 January 1776 – entry 1

**PC Register Citation**  
George III v.12 (June 1775 – May 1776) p.295, 394:  
PC 2/119/295, 394

**Colonial Courts**

Provincial Court – 8 September 1772  
Court of Appeals and Errors – 21 February 1775

**Participants**

Chew, William  
Heeltap, Crispin of Ann Arundel county (lessee of William Chew)  
Weems, David  
Weems, James  
Weems, John

**Description**

Action of ejectment

**Disposition**

Not stated in *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

**Notes**

As the Privy Council register makes clear, the ejectment action was originally brought by Heeltap and Chew. A judgment in their favor was reversed in the Court of Appeals and Errors, from which they appealed to the Privy Council. Crispin Heeltap and the lease to him are probably fictitious. ‘Heeltap’ is much more often found as a common noun than as a surname. On the action of ejectment involved here, see Additional Research, which provides further support for the proposition that Heeltap is fictional.

**DOCUMENTATION**
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1681_00  Appeals of Randolph  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Randolph, appeals of
  Randolph v Armitage
  Randolph v Bollard
  Randolph v Brock
  Randolph v Clay
  Randolph v Grecian
  Randolph v Harding
  Randolph v Huling
  Randolph v Hunkins
  Randolph v Hutchinson
  Randolph v Janon
  Randolph v Lucy (?)
  Randolph v Nichols
  Randolph v Severet and Nicholls
  Randolph v Whetstone

Case Name Long  Edward Randolph, appeals of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view_APC

v.2 [44] p.22–23 – 11 August 1681 – entry 1

PC Register Citation  Charles II v.16 (1 June 1680 – 31 May 1683) p.343–344: PC 2/69/343–344  view

Colonial Courts

Unnamed – locations given as “Boston and other Places"

Participants

Armitage, Timothy, master of the ship Two Sisters of Boston
Bollard, Nathaniel, master of a sloop of Linn
Brock, John, master of the Gift of God of Kittery
Clay, Steven, master of the Batchelor Delight of Providence
Grecian, Thomas, master of the pink Expectation of Boston
Harding, John of Salem
Huling, John, master of the ship Maidenhead of Nuberry
Hunkins, John, master of the ketch Industry of Plymouth
Hutchinson, John, merchant of Boston
Janon, Jeremia, master of a sloop of Scituate
Lucy (?), Samuel, master of the ship Expedition of Bristoll
Nichols, Eli, master of the barque Gift of God of Guernzey
Randolph, Edward, esquire
Severet, Philip and Nicholls, Eli., masters of two new ships of Piscataqua
Whetstone, ___, master of the *Mary* of Bristol

**Vessels**

*Batchelor Delight* of Providence (ship)
*Expectation* of Boston (pink)
*Expedition* of Bristoll (ship)
*Guift of God* of Guemzey (barque)
*Guift of God* of Kittery
*Industry* of Plymouth (ketch)
*Maidenhead* of Nuberry (ship)
*Mary* of Bristol
*Two Sisters* of Boston (ship)

**Description**

Seizures under the Navigation Acts

**Disposition**

Not stated in *APC*, but Smith (p.61) indicates that there is no evidence that any of the respondents appeared.

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**

Table of Cases (Randolph, Edward, Appeals of)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1682_00  Thayre v [Savage]  Massachusetts

| Case Name Short | Thayre v [Savage] |
| Case Name Long  | Richard Thayre v [Capt. Savage] and [Capt. Clapp] |

**Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series**

| APC Citation          | v.2 [98] p.41 – 8 December 1682 – entry 1 |
| CSP Citation          | VI no. 834 |
| PC Register Citation  | Charles II v.16 (1 June 1680 – 31 May 1683) p.591: PC 2/69/591 view |
| APC Citation          | v.2 [98] p.41 – 2 March 1683 – entry 2 |
| CSP Citation          | VI no. 989 |
| PC Register Citation  | Charles II v.16 (1 June 1680 – 31 May 1683) p.643–644: PC 2/69/643–644 view |
| APC Citation          | v.2 [98] p.41 – 2 April 1684 – entry 3 |
| CSP Citation          | VI no. 1621 |
| PC Register Citation  | Charles II v.17 (13 June 1683 – 4 February 1685) p.137: PC 2/70/137 view |

**Colonial Courts**

Unnamed – [Boston]

**Participants**

Thayre, Richard  
[Clapp, Capt.]  
[Savage, Capt.]

**Description**

Land [claim based on Indian deed]

**Disposition**

Not stated in *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**

Table of Cases (Thayre v Savage)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1691_00  [In re The Salisbury]  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  [In re The Salisbury]  Not a 'true' appeal.
Case Name Long  [In re The Salisbury]

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view_APC  view_CSP

APC Citation  v.2 [409] p.192 – 30 July 1691 – entry 1
CSP Citation  VIII no.1678
PC Register Citation  William III v.2 (3 September 1690 – 29 September 1692) p.219: PC 2/74/219
APC Citation  v.2 [409] p.193 – 2 January 1692 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  William III v.2 (3 September 1690 – 29 September 1692) p.319: PC 2/74/319

Colonial Courts

[Court of Assistants in Boston – February 1689/90]

Participants

Laurence, Capt. Nicholas, [master of the Salisbury]
Page, Col. Nicholas
[Brenton, Jahleel]

Vessels

[Salisbury]

Description

Condemnation of vessel

Disposition

Settlement with the Commissioners of the Treasury

Notes

The appeal regarding the Salisbury was directed to Admiralty, considered by the Massachusetts court for the King in Council, but finally found resolution with the Treasury with a passing reference to the Commissioner of Customs. It stands as an example of the early confusion over appellate jurisdiction, according to Smith (p.93).

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (In re The Salisbury)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1692_00  Brenton v Lawson  Massachusetts
(In re The Two Brothers)
Brenton v Wilkinson
(In re The Three Brothers)
Appeal of Brenton
(In re The Mary)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Name Short</th>
<th>Brenton v Lawson  Brenton v Wilkinson  In re The Three Brothers  Brenton, appeal of  In re The Two Brothers  In re The Mary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case Name Long</td>
<td>Jahleel Brenton v George Lawson (In re The Two Brothers)  Jahleel Brenton v Thomas Wilkinson (In re The Three Brothers)  Jahleel Brenton, appeal of (In re The Mary)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

| APC Citation | v.2 [480] p.237–239 – 22 December 1692 – entry 1 |
| PC Register Citation | William III v.3 (1 October 1692 – 29 November 1694) p.59: PC 2/75/59 |
| APC Citation | v.2 [480] p.239 – 23 April 1696 – entry 2 |
| PC Register Citation | William III v.4 (2 December 1694 – 23 April 1697) p.402: PC 2/76/402 |
| APC Citation | v.2 [480] p.239 – 23 February 1697 – entry 3 |
| PC Register Citation | William III v.4 (2 December 1694 – 23 April 1697) p.585: PC 2/76/585 |
| APC Citation | v.2 [480] p.239 – 27 May 1697 – entry 4 |
| PC Register Citation | William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.19: PC 2/77/19 |
| APC Citation | v.2 [480] p.239–240 – 27 May 1697 – entry 5 |
| PC Register Citation | William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.1, 22–23: PC 2/77/1, 22–23 |
| APC Citation | v.2 [480] p.240 – 3 June 1697 – entry 6 |
| PC Register Citation | William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.26: PC 2/77/26 |
| APC Citation | v.2 [480] p.240 – 17 June 1697 – entry 7 |
| PC Register Citation | William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.30: |
Colonial Courts

A county court in Boston – 25 August 1691 – *In re The Two Brothers*
Court of Assistants – Boston – 1 September 1691 – *In re The Two Brothers*
Court of Assistants – Boston – *In re The Three Brothers*
County Court – 17 February 1692 – *In re The Mary*
Court of Assistants – Boston – 1 March 1692 – *In re The Mary*

Participants

Brenton, Jahleel, gentleman, Collector, Surveyor and Searcher of the Customs in New England
Dew, George, privateer commander (*In re The Three Brothers*)
Griffin, Thomas, privateer commander (*In re The Three Brothers*)
Lawson, George, commander of the *Two Brothers*
Mason, Stephen, merchant, of London
Merry, Anthony (*concerned in* the *Two Brothers*)
Phips, Sir William, Governor of Massachusetts
Upton, Ambrose (owner of the *Three Brothers*)
Wilkinson, Thomas, commander of the *Three Brothers*

Vessels
Two Brothers (pink)
Three Brothers (pink)
Mary (brigantine)

Description
Seizures under the Navigation Acts

Disposition
The vessel the Two Brothers was forfeited; no defendants answered the summons.
The vessel the Three Brothers was forfeited for breach of the Acts of Trade.
The appeal of Brenton regarding the Mary was dismissed.

Notes
Related to another Privy Council appeal:
Brenton v Shrimpton – Report No. 05_1692_00 (APC, v.2 [716] p.324)
Brenton v Shrimpton arises out of a seizure of a parcel of iron and is reported separately in APC.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Brenton v Lawson; Brenton v Wilkinson; In re The Three Brothers)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Case Name Short: Brenton v Shrimpton
Case Name Long: Jahleel Brenton v Elizabeth Shrimpton

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.2 [716] p.324–325 – 1 September 1698 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.222: PC 2/77/222

APC Citation: v.2 [716] p.325 – 30 May 1700 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.34: PC 2/78/34

APC Citation: v.2 [716] p.325 – 28 June 1700 – entry 3
PC Register Citation: William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.72: PC 2/78/72

APC Citation: v.2 [716] p.325 – 18 July 1700 – entry 4
PC Register Citation: William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.72: PC 2/78/72

Colonial Courts

County Court at Boston – 26 July 1692

Participants

Brenton, Jahleel, esquire, Collector of His Majesties Customs in New England
Shrimpton, Col. Samuell of Boston (deceased husband of Elizabeth Shrimpton)
Shrimpton, Elizabeth (widow and executrix of Colonell Samuell Shrimpton)

Description

Seizure of a parcel of iron for illegal importation

Disposition

Dismissed

Notes

Related to other Privy Council appeals:
Brenton v Lawson; Brenton v Wilkinson; In re The Mary – Report No. 05_1692_00 (APC, v.2 [480] p.237–239)
These cases are closely related to this one, but are reported separately in
the $APC$.

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1700_00 Ting v Wynthrop Massachusetts

Case Name Short Ting v Wynthrop
Case Name Long Jonathan Ting v Wait Wynthrop

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.2 [803] p.358 – 22 October 1700 – entry 1
PC Register Citation William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.86: PC 2/78/86

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court of Common Pleas
Superior Court of Judicature – Boston

Participants
Ting, Jonathan of Boston (executor of Hezekiah Usher)
Usher, Hezekiah of Boston, presumed deceased
Wynthorp, Wait (tenant of Jonathan Ting)

Description
Land

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
## Report

**Report No. 05_1705_01**

### Allen v Spencer

**Massachusetts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Name Short</th>
<th>Allen v Spencer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case Name Long</td>
<td>Samuel Allen (later Thomas Allen) v Humphrey Spencer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APC Citation</th>
<th>v.2 [972] p.489 – 9 July 1705 – entry 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC Register Citation</td>
<td>Anne v.2 (20 January 1704 – 29 September 1705) p.388–389: PC 2/80/388–389</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APC Citation</th>
<th>v.2 [1006] p.510 – 15 July 1706 – entry 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC Register Citation</td>
<td>Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.238–239: PC 2/81/238–239</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Colonial Courts

Court of Common Pleas in Maine, otherwise referred to as Inferior Court at Wells
Superior Court – Boston – 7 November 1704

### Participants

- Allen, Samuel (deceased father of Thomas Allen)
- Allen, Thomas (son of Samuel Allen)
- Gorges, Sir Ferdinando
- Spencer, Humphrey

### Description

Land (“Writ of Ejectment,” according to the APC; “an Ejectment at Law,” according to the Committee report as given in the Privy Council register) near Newichawanock by grant from Ferdinando Gorges

### Disposition

Not stated in *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

### Notes

Related to another Privy Council appeal:

Allen v Waldron – Report No. 06_1701_00 (APC, v.2 [818] p.365)

This action, begun before the death of Samuel Allen in 1705, was continued by his son as heir, but apparently not further prosecuted. Smith (p.158) describes Allen’s attempt to enforce his Masonian claims in Massachusetts courts for lands in Maine. The APC indexes the appeal against Waldron by Samuel Allen, and then Thomas Allen, under New Hampshire where the Allens’ main efforts to enforce their claims were
directed.
On the action of ejectment involved here, see Additional Research.

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*
Table of Cases (Allen v Spencer)

-----------------------------

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**
Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1705_02  Gookin v Smith  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Gookin v Smith
Case Name Long  Samuel Gookin v Sarah Smith

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.2 [985] p.497 – 20 December 1705 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.58: PC 2/81/58

APC Citation  v.2 [985] p.497 – 9 April 1706 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.171: PC 2/81/171

APC Citation  v.2 [985] p.497 – 11 April 1706 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.174: PC 2/81/174

Colonial Courts
Superior Court – Boston

Participants
Gookin, Samuel of Cambridge in New England
Smith, Sarah, widow

Description
Disposition of a ship or money in lieu thereof

Disposition
Dismissed

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Gookin v Smith)

documentation
Printed Cases
Not found
Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1706_00  Lason v Serjeant  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Lason v Serjeant
Case Name Long  George Lason v Peter Serjeant

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.2 [990] p.499–500 – 3 January 1706 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.69–70: PC 2/81/69–70

APC Citation  v.2 [990] p.500 – 16 January 1706 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.71: PC 2/81/71

APC Citation  v.2 [990] p.500 – 20 January 1706 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.111–112: PC 2/81/111–112

APC Citation  v.2 [990] p.500 – 21 January 1706 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.115: PC 2/81/115

APC Citation  v.2 [990] p.500 – 13 March 1707 – entry 5
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.314–315: PC 2/81/314–315

APC Citation  v.2 [990] p.500 – 3 May 1707 – entry 6
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.358: PC 2/81/358

APC Citation  v.2 [990] p.500 – 20 May 1707 – entry 7
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.365: PC 2/81/365

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court of Common Pleas – Boston – October 1704
Superior Court – 7 November 1704

Participants
Lason, George
Serjeant, Peter

Description
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition
Dismissed, “but Lason may petition again upon the equity of his case, if
he thinks fit,” according to the *APC*, v.6 [169] p.55.

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**
Table of Cases (Lason v Sergeant)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Report

Report No. 05_1711_00  Lillie v Bromfield  Massachusetts
                    Lillie v Adams

Case Name Short  Lillie v Bromfield
                   Lillie v Adams

Case Name Long  Samuel Lillie v Edward Bromfield and Francis Burroughs
                   Samuel Lillie v Elias Adams

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.2 [1150] p.655 – 30 July 1711 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  Anne v.5 (2 June 1710 – 28 July 1712) p.278: PC 2/83/278

APC Citation  v.2 [1150] p.655 – 29 March 1715 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George I v.1(1 August 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.201–202: PC 2/85/201–202

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court of Massachusetts Bay – 7 October 1707 – re Bromfield and Burroughs
Inferior Court of Massachusetts Bay – 4 November 1707 – re Adams

Participants
Adams, Elias
Bromfield, Edward
Burroughs, Francis
Lillie, Samuel, merchant, of Boston

Description
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition
According to the APC, Lillie is allowed to appeal from the Inferior Court judgments and may also prosecute his appeals from the Admiralty Court of Massachusetts in the High Court of Admiralty in England.

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Catalogued by TNA with the petition of S. Lillie as follows: Petition of Samuel Lillie, merchant of Boston, concerning alleged unjust procedures in the Massachusetts courts of jurisdiction, with report of Attorney General.

Stitched together are the petition, true copies of Lillie’s original request, order of reference to the Attorney General, and the Attorney General’s answer. Adams and Bromfield are treated together in these documents.

Catalogued by TNA with the report of the Attorney General as follows: Petition of Samuel Lillie, merchant of Boston, concerning alleged unjust procedure in the Massachusetts courts of judicature, with report of Attorney General.

A complicated document: Our images are paginated consecutively. Page 1 is Lillie’s second petition. It is unpaginated and undated, though it must postdate 1 August 1714, because it is addressed to George I. The verso is not photographed and not paginated. Our page 2 is unpaginated but the verso is paginated 2. It contains “The Case of Samuel Lillie Merchant in Boston in New England relating to the Ship Samuel tried & determined the Court of Admiralty in New England, Nathaniel Byfield Judge.” This runs to page 9 (paginated 8), where there begins in mid-page “The Case of Samuel Lillie [sic] and Creditors tried and determined in the Courts of Common Law in Boston N. England.” This runs to page 12 (paginated 11), where there begins in mid-page “Case of Samuel Lillie relating to several oppressions and Wrongs sustained by him which have not been tried in any Court of Judicature.” This runs to page 14 (paginated 13). Page 15 (unpaginated but the next page is paginated 2) contains a certified copy of an order in Council dated 30 July 1711 referring the petition of Samuel Lillie to the Attorney General or the Solicitor General. Pages 16 and 17 (paginated 2 and 3) contain
a certified copy of the petition of Lillie so referred. In the middle of page 17 begins a certified copy of the Attorney General’s report, the same report contained in the previous document, dated 6 January 1714/15. This continues onto page 18 (unpaginated). The dorse tells us that the document contains the “Petition and Report thereon of Mr Attorney General relating to the admitting of Samuel Lillie to appeal from several Decrees and Judgments given against him in New England.”
Report

Report No. 05_1715_00    Bannister v Bowe    Massachusetts

Case Name Short    Bannister v Bowe
Case Name Long    Thomas Bannister v Margaret Bowe

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

APC Citation    v.2 [1230] p.697 – 17 June 1715 – entry 1
PC Register Citation    George I v.1 (1 August 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.235: PC 2/85/235

APC Citation    v.2 [1230] p.698 – 8 December 1715 – entry 2
PC Register Citation    George I v.1 (1 August 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.323: PC 2/85/323

APC Citation    v.2 [1230] p.698 – 13 December 1715 – entry 3
PC Register Citation    George I v.1 (1 August 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.325: PC 2/85/325

**Colonial Courts**

Superior Court of Judicature – 4 May 1714

**Participants**

Bannister, Thomas of Boston
Bowe, Margaret
Garvan, Jeremiah

**Description**

Payment of judgment sum of £400 1s 2d

**Disposition**

Reversed

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Case Name Short: Oulton v Savage
Case Name Long: John Oulton and Cornelius Waldo v Arthur Savage

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.2 [1270] p.721 – 15 July 1717 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.19: PC 2/86/19

APC Citation: v.2 [1270] p.721 – 5 February 1718 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.93–94: PC 2/86/93–94

APC Citation: v.2 [1270] p.721 – 16 March 1718 – entry 3
PC Register Citation: George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.116: PC 2/86/116

Colonial Courts
Superior Court of Suffolk County, Massachusetts Bay – 6 November 1716

Participants
Oulton, John of Boston
Savage, Arthur, master of the Province
Waldo, Cornelius of Boston

Vessels
Province (galley)

Description
Action on the case, according to Smith (p.166).

Disposition
Dismissed – petitioners may still apply for a review in New England

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Oulton v Waldo and Savage)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found
ADDITIONAL RESEARCH

Smith names the case Oulton v Waldo and Savage, but the original record he cites (Massachusetts Superior Court of Judicature Judgment [recte Record] Book, 1715–1717, f.158[r–v]) clearly names the case as “John Oulton & Cornelius Waldo both of Boston in the County of Suffolk Merchants three quarter part Owners of the Ship Province Galley, Appellants [v] Captain Arthur Savage now or late Comander of the said Ship Province Galley of Boston aforesaid Mariner, Appellee.”

The action, originally brought in an Inferior Court in July of 1716, charged Savage with having violated Oulton and Waldo’s orders with regard to a shipment of fish from Massachusetts to Portugal or Spain, with the result that they were damaged to the sum of £1,052 9s. The original jury found for Oulton and Waldo in the amount of £360. Oulton and Savage appealed to the Superior Court, and a second jury found entirely for Savage, who was awarded costs of £4 8s. 2d. A note in the margin states that on 3 December 1716, Savage acknowledged that this judgment (presumably for costs) was satisfied. Oulton and Waldo prayed leave to appeal to the Privy Council, and the record states: “The Court are of Opinion that an Appeal doth not ly in this Action.” Why they were of this opinion is perhaps indicated by the Privy Council’s dismissal of the appeal: Further review in the colony was available by way of writ of review. Compare the procedure followed half a century later in Apthorpe v Pateshall (05_1768_00, APC, v.5 [56] p.122).

Charles Donahue, Jr.
Report

Report No. 05_1718_01  Tunley v Maccarty  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Tunley v Maccarty
Case Name Long  William and Christian Tunley v William Maccarty

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

APC Citation  v.2 [1297] p.744 – 1 July 1718 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.154: PC 2/86/154

Colonial Courts
Courts of Massachusetts Bay

Participants
Maccarty, Florence (deceased husband of Christian Maccarty Tunley)
Maccarty, William
Tunley, Christian (widow of Florence Maccarty and wife of William Tunley)
Tunley, William (husband of Christian Maccarty Tunley)

Description
Estate dispute

Disposition
Not stated in *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

Notes
The unusual identification of Christian Maccarty as the widow and Florence Maccarty as the deceased husband raises a possibility that the editors of the APC or the Privy Council clerk confused the names.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1718_02  Flint v Willett  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Flint v Willett
Case Name Long  Henry Flint v Ann Willett

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view_APC

APC Citation  v.2 [1298] p.744 – 1 July 1718 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.154:
                     PC 2/86/154

APC Citation  v.2 [1298] p.744 – 8 August 1718 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.164:
                     PC 2/86/164

APC Citation  v.2 [1298] p.744 – 27 August 1718 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.170:
                     PC 2/86/170

Colonial Courts
General Court of Trials at Newport

Participants
Flint, Henry of Cambridge (grandson of Thomas Willett)
Willett, Ann
Willett, Thomas (deceased grandfather of Henry Flint)

Description
Estate dispute

Disposition
Appeal is dismissed; new suit must be commenced.

Notes
The APC labels this appeal ‘Massachusetts Bay’ where the appellant lived rather than ‘Rhode Island’, which is the site of the court in which the proceedings were held. From the entry in the Privy Council’s register, it appears that there may have been a misunderstanding about the colony in which Newport was located.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Flint v Willett)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1718_03  Taylor v Taylor  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Taylor v Taylor
Case Name Long  Christopher Taylor v Rebecca Taylor and Sarah Taylor

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view_APC
APC Citation  v.2 [1302] p.748 – 29 August 1718 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.175:  view

Colonial Courts
Probate of Wills
Governor and Council – 20 February 1717

Participants
Taylor, Christopher, gentleman, of Boston (eldest son of James Taylor and stepson of Rebecca Taylor)
Taylor, James of Lynn (deceased father of Christopher Taylor and husband of Rebecca Taylor)
Taylor, Rebecca (stepmother of Christopher Taylor, widow and executor of James Taylor, and mother of Sarah Taylor)
Taylor, Sarah (executor of James Taylor and daughter of Rebecca Taylor)

Description
Estate dispute

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Taylor v Taylor)

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1722_00 Gilbert v Branfield Massachusetts

Case Name Short Gilbert v Branfield
Case Name Long John Gilbert v John Branfield and William Ward

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.3 [27] p.32 – 20 January 1722 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George I v.3 (25 August 1720 – 31 May 1722) p.467: PC 2/87/467

APC Citation v.3 [27] p.32 – 2 March 1722 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George I v.3 (25 August 1720 – 31 May 1722) p.498: PC 2/87/498

APC Citation v.3 [27] p.32 – 4 March 1722 – entry 3
PC Register Citation George I v.3 (25 August 1720 – 31 May 1722) p.511: PC 2/87/511

Colonial Courts
Superior Court in New England – 1 November 1720

Participants
Branfield, John, goldsmith, of London
Gilbert, John
Ward, William, goldsmith, of London

Description
Debt

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

Notes
That Massachusetts is the jurisdiction is supplied by Smith (p.330).

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Gilbert v Branfill)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1726_00  In re The Mary Ann  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  In re The Mary Ann
Case Name Long  In re The Mary Ann

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [101] p.127 – 5 July 1726 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George I v.5 (September 1724 – May 1727) p.257: PC 2/89/257

Colonial Courts
Admiralty Court
Superior Court of Massachusetts Bay – 29 January 1726

Participants
Bury, Edmund, master of the Mary Ann
Robinson, Robert, Collector of his Majesty’s Customs at Salem and Marblehead

Vessels
Mary Ann (sloop)

Description
Concerns the sloop and her cargo

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (In re The Mary Ann)

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1731_00 Bennet v Gray Massachusetts

Case Name Short Bennet v Gray
Case Name Long Joseph Bennet and Samuel Freeth and William Walker v Benjamin Gray

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.3 [233] p.315–316 – 8 April 1731 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.367: PC 2/91/367

APC Citation v.3 [233] p.316 – 28 April 1734 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.375–376: PC 2/91/375–376

APC Citation v.3 [233] p.316 – 11 May 1734 – entry 3
PC Register Citation George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.387: PC 2/91/387

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court of Common Pleas – 4 April 1729 (see Notes below)
Supreme Court of Judicature – Boston – second Tuesday of August 1728

Participants
Bennet, Joseph of Birmingham
Freeth, Samuel of Birmingham
Gold, William
Gray, Benjamin of Boston (terretenant of William Gold)
Walker, William, merchant, of Whitton Hall in Warwickshire

Description
Land in dispute (trespass and ejectment) “lying at the Southerly end of Boston known as William Golds farm,” according to the APC

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
There is an error in the colonial court dates in the APC; the lower court decision is dated after its reversal. The Privy Council’s register at George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.375 corrects the date of the Inferior Court judgment to 4 April 1727 and calls the higher court the ‘Superior Court of Judicature’.

On the action of ‘trespass and ejectment’ involved here, see Additional
References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*

Table of Cases (Bennett v Gray)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Waldo v Fairweather (1) Massachusetts
Waldo v Fairweather (2)

Actors of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.3 [272] p.376–377 – 21 February 1733 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.114–115: PC 2/92/114–115

APC Citation v.3 [272] p.377 – 28 February 1733 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.119: PC 2/92/119

APC Citation v.3 [272] p.377 – 29 March 1733 – entry 3
PC Register Citation George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.134–135: PC 2/92/134–135

APC Citation v.3 [272] p.377 – 10 May 1733 – entry 4
PC Register Citation George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.163–164: PC 2/92/163–164

APC Citation v.3 [272] p.377 – 21 June 1733 – entry 5
PC Register Citation George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.179–180: PC 2/92/179–180

APC Citation v.3 [272] p.377 – 22 March 1734 – entry 6
PC Register Citation George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.389–390: PC 2/92/389–390

APC Citation v.3 [272] p.377 – 5 November 1734 – entry 7
PC Register Citation George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.31: PC 2/93/31

APC Citation v.3 [272] p.377–378 – 10 December 1734 – entry 8
PC Register Citation George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.37–38: PC 2/93/37–38

APC Citation v.3 [272] p.378–379 – 12 December 1734 – entry 9
PC Register Citation George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.39 recte 38–40: PC 2/93/39 recte 38–40

APC Citation v.3 [272] p.379 – 9 January 1735 – entry 10
PC Register Citation George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736)
Colonial Courts

Court of Probates – 27 December 1731 (first action)
Governor and Council – 13 January 1732 (first action)
Inferior Court for the County of Suffolk – 4 July 1732 (second action, brought about 20 June 1732)
Superior Court of Judicature – Boston – 8 August 1732 (second action)

Participants

Channing, Joshua
Fairweather (Fayrweather), Hannah (wife, later widow and executor, of Thomas Fairweather, and daughter of Jonathan Waldo)
Fairweather (Fayrweather), John (uncle and executor of Thomas Fairweather)
Fairweather (Fayrweather), Thomas (husband, later deceased, of Hannah Fairweather, and executor of Jonathan Waldo)
Parkin, William
Waldo (Waldoe), Jonathan of Boston (deceased father of Samuel Waldo and Hannah Waldo Fairweather)
Waldo (Waldoe), Samuel (son and executor of Jonathan Waldo)
Willard, Joshua, Judge of the Probate of Wills

Description

Samuel Waldo seeks in the first action the books of accounts, notes, etc. of his deceased father and in the second action the deeds for real estate he claims under his father’s will.

Disposition

Judgments of 27 December 1731 and 13 December 1732 affirmed according to the APC (likely that the latter is really 13 January). Judgments of 4 July and 8 August 1732 reversed and appellant allowed to bring a new action.

Notes

Related to other Privy Council appeals:

Waldo v Waldo – Report No. 05_1734_01 (APC, v.3 [295] p.405)
Waldo v Waldoe – Report No. 05_1734_04 (APC, v.3 [321] p.430–431)

Waldo v Fairweather, Waldo v Waldo, and Waldo v Tyng – Report No. 05_1734_06 (APC, v.3 [325] p.450)

For clarity in dealing with multiple Waldo (Waldoe) cases, listed here are the immediate family members, without regard to whether they appear in this report: Jonathan Waldo is the deceased father of the Waldo siblings:
Samuel (eldest son), Jonathan, Hannah, and Ann (Anne, Anna). Hannah is the wife of Thomas Fairweather (Fayrweather), who dies during the proceedings. Ann is the wife of Edward Tyng (Ting). Samuel and Jonathan Waldo, Ann Tyng, and Thomas Fairweather are the executors for Jonathan Waldo. Upon Thomas Fairweather’s death, Hannah, his widow, and his uncle, John Fairweather, become his executors.

Upon the death of Thomas Fairweather, the two appeals are revived against the executors, Hannah and John Fairweather, according to the *APC*.

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

- **Appellant's case**: Case of the appellant (Waldo)
- **Respondent's case**: Case of the respondent (Fayrweather)

**Counsel**

- [Signed] J. Willes

**Library**

- American Antiquarian Society: British Broadsides (Manuscript scribblings [dates, ?sums, pen trials, a couple of words] on dorse)

- **Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1733_02  Stoddard v Barrell  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Stoddard v Barrell
Case Name Long  William Stoddard v John Barrell, sen. (later revived against Barrell’s executors)

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [278] p.384 – 10 May 1733 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.162–163: PC 2/92/162–163

APC Citation  v.3 [278] p.384 – 8 January 1734 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.259
male, 286: PC 2/92/259 male, 286

PC Register Note  The citation in the APC to p.259 seems to be in error. The entry on p.311–312 (below) repeats the contents of that on p.286.

APC Citation  v.3 [278] p.384 – 12 February 1735 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.311–312: PC 2/92/311–312
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.41, 84, 86–88, 99: PC 2/93/41, 84, 86–88, 99

Colonial Courts

Superior Court – February 1732
Superior Court at Boston – in the fall sittings which commenced 8 August 1732

Participants

Barrell, Abiah (executor of John Barrell, sen.)
Barrell, Anna (executor of John Barrell, sen.)
Barrell, John (executor of John Barrell, sen.)
Barrell, John, sen., later deceased
Stoddard, William of Boston

Description

Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition

Judgment of 8 August 1732 reversed
Judgment of February 1732 affirmed

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Barrell v Stoddard)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1733_03  Byfield v Swasey  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Byfield v Swasey
Case Name Long  Nathaniel Byfield v Samuel Swasey

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [279] p.384 – 4 June 1733 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.172–173: PC 2/92/172–173

APC Citation  v.3 [279] p.384 – 21 June 1733 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.178: PC 2/92/178

APC Citation  v.3 [279] p.384 – 19 July 1733 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.195: PC 2/92/195

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court of Common Pleas
Superior Court at Boston – 1732

Participants
Byfield, Nathaniel, Judge of the Vice Admiralty Court
Swasey, Samuel, shipwright

Description
Action for alleged extortionate fee for the Vice Admiralty decree

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Waldo v Waldo Massachusetts

Case Name Short: Waldo v Waldo
Case Name Long: Cornelius Waldo v Samuel Waldo, Jonathan Waldo, Thomas Fairweather, Edward and Anna Tyng

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.3 [295] p.405 – 10 January 1734 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.290: PC 2/92/290

APC Citation: v.3 [295] p.405 – 31 January 1734 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.304-305, 419, 426–427: PC 2/92/304–305, 419, 426–427

APC Citation: v.3 [295] p.405 – 4 March 1735 – entry 3
PC Register Citation: George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.95, 106: PC 2/93/95, 106

APC Citation: v.3 [295] p.407 – 3 April 1734 recte 1735 – entry 4
PC Register Citation: George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.107–109: PC 2/93/107–109

APC Citation: v.3 [295] p.407 – 4 March 1735– entry 3
PC Register Citation: George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.143: PC 2/93/143

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court of Common Pleas for the county of Worcester – 8 May 1733
Superior Court – 19 September 1733

Participants

Alexander, John (tenant of Cornelius Waldo)
Barber, Robert (tenant of Cornelius Waldo)
Channing, Joshua, haberdasher, of London
Fairweather (Fayrweather), Thomas (executor of Jonathan Waldo)
Gookin, Daniel (tenant of Cornelius Waldo)
Parkin, William, ironmonger, of London
Tyng, Anna (Anne, Ann) (daughter of Jonathan Waldo, wife of Edward Tyng, and executrix of Jonathan Waldo)
Tyng, Edward (husband of Anna Tyng)
Waldo (Waldoe), Cornelius, merchant, of Boston
Waldo (Waldoe), Jonathan (son and executor of Jonathan Waldo)
Waldo (Waldoe), Jonathan, gentleman (deceased father of Samuel
Waldo, Jonathan Waldo, and Ann Waldo Tyng
Waldo (Waldoe), Samuel (son and executor of Jonathan Waldo)

Description
Land (action of ejectment) in dispute in Worcester county. Action was originally brought against the tenants of Cornelius Waldo.

Disposition
Judgment altered with direction for Cornelius Waldo to pay a sum certain to respondents within a specified time and with specific directions for future actions by the parties, depending upon that performance

Notes
Related to other Privy Council appeals:
   Waldo v Fairweather (1) and (2) – Report No. 05_1733_01 (APC, v.3 [272] p.376–377)
   Waldo v Waldoe – Report No. 05_1734_04 (APC, v.3 [321] p.430–431)
   Waldo v Fairweather, Waldo v Waldo, and Waldo v Tyng – Report No. 05_1734_06 (APC, v.3 [325] p.450)

For clarity in dealing with multiple Waldo (Waldoe) cases, listed here are the immediate family members, without regard to whether they appear in this report: Jonathan Waldo is the deceased father of the Waldo siblings: Samuel (eldest son), Jonathan, Hannah, and Ann (Anne, Anna). Hannah is the wife of Thomas Fairweather (Fayrweather), who dies during the proceedings. Ann is the wife of Edward Tyng (Ting). Samuel and Jonathan Waldo, Ann Tyng, and Thomas Fairweather are the executors for Jonathan Waldo. Upon Thomas Fairweather’s death, Hannah, his widow, and his uncle, John Fairweather, become his executors.

For the action of ejectment involved here, see Additional Research.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Waldoe v Waldoe)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Case Name Short: Gooch v Dummer
Case Name Long: James Gooch, Abraham Blish, James Allen, James Gooch, jun., and Francis Wilks v Samuel Dummer

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.3 [296] p.407 – 31 January 1734 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.307: PC 2/92/307

APC Citation: v.3 [296] p.407 – 6 February 1734 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.310: PC 2/92/310

APC Citation: v.3 [296] p.407 – 7 February 1734 – entry 3
PC Register Citation: George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.320: PC 2/92/320

APC Citation: v.3 [296] p.407 – 22 May 1735 – entry 4
PC Register Citation: George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.166: PC 2/93/166

APC Citation: v.3 [296] p.407 – 25 June 1735 – entry 5
PC Register Citation: George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.185–186: PC 2/93/185–186

Colonial Courts
Superior Court of Judicature – Boston – 8 February 1731

Participants
Allen, James, merchant, of Boston
Blish, Abraham, felt maker, of Boston
Dummer, Samuel, esquire, of Wilmington in the county of Middlesex, sheriff of the county
Gill, Michael
Gooch, James, jun., distiller, of Boston
Gooch, James, merchant, of Boston
Gookin, Daniel, under sheriff
Wilks, Francis, esquire, merchant, of London

Description
To recover damages caused by the lack of performance of the under sheriff

Disposition
Not stated in *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**
Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1734_03  Tidmarsh v Brandon  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Tidmarsh v Brandon
Case Name Long  Giles Dulake Tidmarsh v Joseph Brandon

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [319] p.428 – 24 October 1734 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.21: PC 2/93/21

APC Citation  v.3 [319] p.428–429 – 3 June 1736 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.379, 465, 469: PC 2/93/379, 465, 469

APC Citation  v.3 [319] p.429 – 7 June 1736 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.476 recte 475–476: PC 2/93/476 recte 475–476

APC Citation  v.3 [319] p.429 – 23 July 1736 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.505: PC 2/93/505

APC Citation  v.3 [319] p.429–430 – 19 January 1737 – entry 5
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.241–245 recte George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.42–45: PC 2/93/241–245 recte 2/94/42–45

PC Register Note  The citation in the APC to v.4, p.241–245, seems to be in error. The report is found in v.5, p.42–45.
PC Register Citation  George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.55: PC 2/94/55

Colonial Courts
Superior Court – Boston – August 1733

Participants
Appleton, Samuel (deceased partner of Giles Dulake Tidmarsh)
Barnard, Sir John (referee for Giles Dulake Tidmarsh)
Brandon, Joseph
Holden, Samuel, esquire (proposed referee for Joseph Brandon)
Hooper, Silas (referee for Joseph Brandon replacing Samuel Holden)
Sandford, Thomas, merchant (referee for Giles Dulake Tidmarsh)
Tidmarsh, Giles Dulake (partner and executor of Samuel Appleton)
Willimot, Alderman (referee for Joseph Brandon)

Description
Settlement of an account due

Disposition
Reversed, confirming the decision of the referees to whom the matter was referred

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*
Table of Cases (Tidmarsh v Brandon)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Case Name Short: Waldo v Waldoe
Case Name Long: John Waldo v Samuel Waldoe, Jonathan Waldoe, Thomas Fairweather, and Edward and Anne Tyng

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.3 [321] p.430–431 – 7 November 1734 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.35–36: PC 2/93/35–36

APC Citation: v.3 [321] p.431–432 – 18 March 1735– entry 2
PC Register Citation: George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.120–122: PC 2/93/120–122

APC Citation: v.3 [321] p.432 – 3 April 1735 – entry 3
PC Register Citation: George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.145: PC 2/93/145

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court – Boston – 3 July 1733 (action brought 9 June 1733)
Superior Court – 4 or 14 August 1733

Participants

Fairweather (Fayreweather), Thomas (son-in-law and executor of Jonathan Waldoe)
Tyng, Anne (Ann) (daughter and executrix of Jonathan Waldoe and wife of Edward Tyng)
Tyng, Edward (husband of Anne Tyng)
Waldo (Waldoe), John, distiller, of Boston
Waldoe (Waldo), Jonathan (son and executor of Jonathan Waldoe)
Waldoe (Waldo), Jonathan, gentleman (deceased father of Samuel Waldoe, Jonathan Waldoe, and Ann Waldo Tyng)
Waldoe (Waldo), Samuel (son and executor of Jonathan Waldoe)

Description

Land (action of ejectment to recover land and a ‘Still House’ in Boston)

Disposition

No appeal is granted.

Notes

Related to other Privy Council appeals:

Waldo v Fairweather (1) and (2) – Report No. 05_1733_01 (APC, v.3
For clarity in dealing with multiple Waldo (Waldoe) cases, listed here are the immediate family members, without regard to whether they appear in this report: Jonathan Waldo is the deceased father of the Waldo siblings: Samuel (eldest son), Jonathan, Hannah, and Ann (Anne, Anna). Hannah is the wife of Thomas Fairweather (Fayrweather), who dies during the proceedings. Ann is the wife of Edward Tyng (Ting). Samuel and Jonathan Waldo, Ann Tyng, and Thomas Fairweather are the executors for Jonathan Waldo. Upon Thomas Fairweather’s death, Hannah, his widow, and his uncle, John Fairweather, become his executors.

For the action of ejectment involved here, see Additional Research.

------------------------

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1734_05 Philips v Savage Massachusetts

Case Name Short Philips v Savage
Case Name Long Gillam Philips v Hannah Savage, Faith Savage, Hannah Philips, and representatives for Mary Butler

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APC Citation</th>
<th>PC Register Citation</th>
<th>View</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v.3 [322] p.432 – 7 November 1734 – entry 1</td>
<td>George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.36: PC 2/93/36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Colonial Courts

Probate of Wills – 6 April and 15 May 1733 (distribution on 10 October 1733)
Governor and Council – 2 November 1733 (Order of Governor and Council – 6 November 1733)

Participants

Boydell, John, Register of the Court of Probate of Wills
Butler, Mary (deceased sister of Gillam Philips, Henry Philips, Faith Savage, and Hannah Savage, and daughter of Hannah Philips)
Philips (Phillips), Gillam of Boston (brother of Henry Philips, Hannah Savage, Faith Savage, and Mary Butler; son of Hannah Philips; and
administrator of Henry Philips
Philips (Phillips), Hannah (mother of Gillam Philips, Henry Philips, Hannah Savage, Faith Savage, and Mary Butler)
Philips (Phillips), Henry, gentleman, of Boston (deceased brother of Gillam Philips, Hannah Savage, Faith Savage, and Mary Butler, and son of Hannah Philips)
Savage, Arthur (husband of Faith Savage)
Savage, Faith (sister of Gillam Philips, Henry Philips, Hannah Savage, and Mary Butler; daughter of Hannah Philips; and wife of Arthur Savage)
Savage, Habijah, esquire (husband of Hannah Savage)
Savage, Hannah (sister of Gillam Philips, Henry Philips, Faith Savage, and Mary Butler; daughter of Hannah Philips; and wife of Habijah Savage)
Wilks, Samuel, agent for Massachusetts Bay
Willard, Josiah, esquire, Judge of the Court of Probate of Wills

Description
Intestate distribution

Disposition
Affirmed

Notes
Agent Samuel Wilks in Participants may in fact be Francis Wilks.
This appeal is often discussed with Winthrop v Lechmere and Clark v Towsey as to their treatment of the division of an intestate’s property in the colonies and the degree to which colonial legislation was subject to review. The printed cases of both the appellant and the respondent have been transcribed and published by the Massachusetts Historical Society as “Phillips vs. Savage” with a commentary under the same title by Ames and Willard. They are also available in Gale’s Making of Modern Law: Trials, under the title Philips v Philips.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Philips v Savage) (extensive discussion)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Appellant’s case
Case of the appellant (Philips)
ESTC: N16903
Counsel
[Signed] D. Ryder; John Brown
Note
Printed case for the appellant names the case Philips v
Philips

Library American Antiquarian Society: British Broadsides

Library Harvard University. Harvard Law School Library: Special Collections. Rare Trials P Folio (Manuscript notes limited to date and ‘judgment affirmed’ on the dorse)

Library Law Library of Congress: Rare Books – Law Library Reading Room – K379.P485 P485 1737 LL Trials (Respondent’s case is at the same call number.)

Library Massachusetts Historical Society: Bdses 1737 (Includes manuscript notes)

Library New York Public Library: (?) (The ESTC lists copies of the appellant [N16903] and respondent [N17105] cases at the New York Public Library, but a vigorous search by a librarian failed to find evidence of NYPL ownership.) (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

Respondent’s case Case of the respondents (Savage) ESTC: N17105

Counsel [Signed] J. Strange; Jonath’ Belcher

Note Printed case is the case of Faith Savage, one of the respondents.

Library American Antiquarian Society: British Broadsides (Noted in ESTC? No.)

Library Harvard University. Harvard Law School Library: Special Collections. Rare Trials P Folio (Includes manuscript notes) (Noted in ESTC? No.)

Library Law Library of Congress: Rare Books – Law Library Reading Room – K379.P485 P485 1737 LL Trials (Appellant’s case is at the same call number.) (Noted in ESTC? No.)

Library Massachusetts Historical Society: Bdses 1738 Jan. 13 (Includes manuscript notes)

Library New York Public Library: (?) (The ESTC lists copies of the appellant [N16903] and respondent [N17105] cases at the New York Public Library, but a vigorous search by a librarian failed to find evidence of NYPL ownership.) (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found

Other Documents

Report

Report No. 05_1734_06  Waldo v Fairweather  Massachusetts
Waldo v Waldo
Waldo v Tyng

Case Name Short  Waldo v Fairweather
Waldo v Waldo
Waldo v Tyng

Case Name Long  Samuel Waldo v Thomas and Hannah Fairweather
Samuel Waldo v Jonathan Waldo
Samuel Waldo v Edward and Ann Tyng

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [325] p.450 – 19 December 1734 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736)

APC Citation  v.3 [325] p.450–452 – 20 March 1735 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736)

APC Citation  v.3 [325] p.452 – 3 April 1735 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736)
p.144–145: PC 2/93/144–145

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – second Tuesday in July 1733 (all three appeals)
Superior Court of Judicature – second Tuesday in August 1733 (all three appeals)

Participants
Fairweather (Fayrweather), Hannah (daughter of Jonathan Waldo, wife (later widow) and executor of Thomas Fairweather)
Fairweather (Fayrweather), John (uncle and executor of Thomas Fairweather)
Fairweather (Fayrweather), Thomas (deceased husband of Hannah Fairweather and executor of Jonathan Waldo)
Tyng, Ann (daughter and executor of Jonathan Waldo and wife of Edward Tyng)
Tyng, Edward (husband of Ann Tyng)
Waldo (Waldoe), Jonathan (deceased father of Samuel Waldo, Jonathan Waldo, Ann Waldo Tyng, and Hannah Waldo Fairweather)
Waldo (Waldoe), Jonathan (son and executor of Jonathan Waldo)
Waldo (Waldoe), Samuel (son and executor of Jonathan Waldo)

Description
Request for an accounting of the money in the hands of Samuel Waldo belonging to his deceased father, Jonathan Waldo.

Disposition
All appeals dismissed; judgments affirmed.

Notes
Related to other Privy Council appeals:
  Waldo v Fairweather (1) and (2) – Report No. 05_1733_01 (APC, v.3 [272] p.376–377)
  Waldo v Waldo – Report No. 05_1734_01 (APC, v.3 [295] p.405)
  Waldo v Waldoe – Report No. 05_1734_04 (APC, v.3 [321] p.430–431)

For clarity in dealing with multiple Waldo (Waldoe) cases, listed here are the immediate family members, without regard to whether they appear in this report: Jonathan Waldo is the deceased father of the Waldo siblings: Samuel (eldest son), Jonathan, Hannah, and Ann (Anne, Anna). Hannah is the wife of Thomas Fairweather (Fayrweather), who dies during the proceedings. Ann is the wife of Edward Tyng (Ting). Samuel and Jonathan Waldo, Ann Tyng, and Thomas Fairweather are the executors for Jonathan Waldo. Upon Thomas Fairweather’s death, Hannah, his widow, and his uncle, John Fairweather, become his executors.

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1735_01  Ellis v Sprague  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Ellis v Sprague
Case Name Long  Matthew Ellis v Richard Sprague

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

APC Citation  v.3 [344] p.461 – 16 May 1735 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.164: PC 2/93/164

APC Citation  v.3 [344] p.461 – 9 July 1735 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.191, 200–201: PC 2/93/191, 200–201

APC Citation  v.3 [344] p.461 – 30 July 1735 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.218: PC 2/93/218

APC Citation  v.3 [344] p.461 – 14 August 1735 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.223: PC 2/93/223

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – 11 December 1733
Superior Court – 29 January and 30 July 1734

Participants
Ellis, Matthew, husbandman, of Medford in Middlesex County
Sprague, Richard

Description
Assault, beating, wounding, and imprisonment and detaining in prison upon payment of a fine

Disposition
Not stated in *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Case Name Short: Leighton v Frost
Case Name Long: William Leighton v John Frost

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

**APC Citation**
- v.3 [345] p.461–462 – 16 May 1735 – entry 1
- v.3 [345] p.462–466 – 2 April 1736 – entry 2
- v.3 [345] p.466 – 29 April 1736 – entry 3
- v.3 [345] p.466 – 21 December 1738 – entry 4
- v.3 [345] p.466–469 – 23 February 1739 – entry 5
- v.3 [345] p.469 – 22 March 1739 – entry 6
- v.3 [345] p.469 – 23 March 1743 – entry 7
- v.3 [345] p.469 – 31 May 1743 – entry 8
- v.3 [345] p.469–470 – 21 June 1743 – entry 9

**PC Register Citation**
- George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.164–165: PC 2/93/164–165
- George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.438: PC 2/93/438
- George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.438: PC 2/93/438
- George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.438, 466: PC 2/93/466
- George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.438: PC 2/93/438
- George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.66, 11 recte 111–112: PC 2/95/66, 11 recte 111–112
- George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.113–118 recte 112–117: PC 2/95/113–118 recte 112–117
- George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.113–118 recte 112–117
- George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.351–352, 361, 362: PC 2/97/351–352
- George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.351–352: PC 2/97/351–352
- George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.420: PC 2/97/420
- George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.420: PC 2/97/420
- George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743) p.452–453: PC 2/97/452–453

**PC Register Note**
The citation in the APC to p.113–118 should be to p.112–117.

**Colonial Courts**

Inferior Court of Common Pleas of York County – 2 April 1734
Superior Court of Judicature for the county of York – 19 June 1734

Participants
Belcher, Mr., Governor of Massachusetts Bay
Dunbar, Col. David, Surveyor-General of His Majesty’s Woods in North America
Frost, Charles, esquire, deceased
Frost, John, yeoman, of Berwick in the county of York
Gulston, Ralph, merchant, of London
Joy, Ephraim
Leighton, William, gentleman, of Kittery in what was formerly called Maine – workman for Ralph Gulston at the direction and order of Samuel Waldo
Sharpe, W., Clerk of the Council
Waldo, Samuel, merchant, of Boston (agent for Ralph Gulston)

Description
Action of trespass relating to the cutting of trees in Maine reserved to the crown for the Royal Navy

Disposition
Reversed

Notes
Jonathan Belcher was, at this time, the Governor of both Massachusetts and New Hampshire.

For information about the relationship in this period between Maine and Massachusetts, see Wroth, “Maine Connection.”

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Leighton v Frost) (extensive discussion relating to the failure in Massachusetts to carry out the Order in Council for many years)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1737_01  Stoddard v Jones  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Stoddard v Jones
Case Name Long  Anthony Stoddard, William Stoddard and William Clarke v John and Hannah Jones, Elizabeth Willard, Hebzibah Mortimer, Mary Alden and Thomas Alden, Elizabeth Alden, Ann Alden, Mary Brightman, Nathaniel and Hepzibah Howard, Elizabeth Butterly, Peter and Lydia Briton, Zachariah Alden, Samuel and Mary Kneeland, Timothy and Elizabeth Green, and Nathaniel Alden

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [409] p.568 – 21 April 1737 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.215: PC 2/94/215

APC Citation  v.3 [409] p.568 – 12 January 1738 – entry 2

Colonial Courts

Courts of Massachusetts Bay (unspecified) – 18 September 1733, 23 April 1734, and third Tuesday of April 1736

Participants

Alden, Ann
Alden, Elizabeth
Alden, John
Alden, Mary (there may be multiple defendants named Mary Alden)
Alden, Nathaniel
Alden, Thomas
Alden, Zachariah
Brightman, Mary
Briton, Lydia (wife of Peter Briton)
Briton, Peter (husband of Lydia Briton)
Butterly, Elizabeth
Clap, Ezra (tenant on disputed land)
Clarke, William of Boston
Green, Elizabeth (wife of Timothy Green)
Green, Timothy (husband of Elizabeth Green)
Howard, Hepzibah (wife of Nathaniel Howard)
Howard, Nathaniel (husband of Hepzibah Howard)
Jones, Hannah (wife of John Jones)
Jones, John (husband of Hannah Jones)
Kneeland, Mary (wife of Samuel Kneeland)
Kneeland, Samuel (husband of Mary Kneeland)
Lyon, Samuel (tenant on disputed land)
Mortimer, Hebzibah
Stoddard, Anthony of Boston
Stoddard, William, merchant, of Boston
Willard, Elizabeth

**Description**
Land (trespass and ejectment)

**Disposition**
Dismissed

**Notes**
On the action of ‘trespass and ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

According to Smith (p.162), this is the only real action in Massachusetts in which there was no preliminary petition for leave to appeal, a situation quite customary in that colony where the charter provision for appeals mentioned only personal actions.

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**
Table of Cases (Stoddard v Jones)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**
Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1737_02  Pelham v Stone  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Pelham v Stone
Case Name Long  Herbert Pelham v Samuel Stone, Isaac Hunt and Thomas Bent

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [414] p.573 – 21 July 1737 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.271: PC 2/94/271

APC Citation  v.3 [414] p.573 – 18 August 1737 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.274, 284: PC 2/94/274, 284

APC Citation  v.3 [414] p.573–574 – 17 November 1738 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.309–310, 342–343: PC 2/94/309–310, 342–343

PC Register Citation  George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.20, 24–26, 5: PC 2/95/20, 24–26, 5

APC Citation  v.3 [414] p.574 – 30 November 1738 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.38: PC 2/95/38

Colonial Courts
Inferior and Superior Courts of Judicature – 20 May 1735, 29 July 1735, 29 July 1736

Participants
Bent, Thomas
Fisk, Jonathan (one of the original defendants)
Hunt, Isaac
Pelham, Edward (son of deceased Herbert Pelham)
Pelham, Henry (son of deceased Herbert Pelham)
Pelham, Herbert (deceased father of Edward, Henry, Penelope, and Waldegrave Pelham)
Pelham, Herbert, esquire, of Bures Hamblett in the County of Essex (relation to deceased Herbert Pelham is uncertain)
Pelham, Penelope (daughter of deceased Herbert Pelham)
Pelham, Waldegrave (son of deceased Herbert Pelham)
Stone, Samuel

Description
Land (declaration in ejectment); at issue is the will of Herbert Pelham, deceased about 1673.

Disposition
Dismissed. The disposition turns on an issue regarding Doctors Commons, to wit, “that the exemplification of a will from Doctors Commons could not be read in Evidence to affect a Real Estate in the Colony of Massachusetts Bay...” according to the APC.

Notes
Related to another Privy Council appeal:

Pelham v Bannister – Report No. 05_1738_00 (APC, v.3 [428] p.590)

Both cases ultimately turn on the will of one Herbert Pelham, who died, probably, in 1673. The appellant, who is himself a resident of Essex in England, is almost certainly claiming that the senior Pelham is his ancestor. In both cases the Privy Council refuses to admit into evidence the senior Pelham’s will, which was registered in the Prerogative Court of Canterbury.

For the ‘declaration in ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Pelham v Stone)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Pelham v Bannister

Case Name Short: Pelham v Bannister
Case Name Long: Herbert Pelham v Samuel Bannister and Thomas Soden

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.3 [428] p.590 – 12 January 1738 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.371: PC 2/94/371

APC Citation: v.3 [428] p.590 – 23 January 1738 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.378–379, 392: PC 2/94/378–379, 392

APC Citation: v.3 [428] p.590 – 22 March 1739 – entry 3
PC Register Citation: George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.410–411, 415: PC 2/94/410–411, 415

Colonial Courts

Courts of Massachusetts Bay – 20 May 1735, 29 July 1735, 27 January 1736

Participants

Bannister, Samuel, merchant
Marsh, Robert of Basinghall Street
Pelham, Herbert, esquire, of Bures Hamblett in Essex County
Soden, Thomas, yeoman

Description

Land (“Declaration in Ejectment . . . to recover Possession of several Tracts of Land with a dwelling house and Barn,” according to the Privy Council register)

Disposition

Dismissed “in the same manner and for the same reasons as Pelham’s earlier appeal against Samuel Stone and others” according to the APC

Notes

Related to another Privy Council appeal:

Pelham v Stone – Report No. 05_1737_02 (APC, v.3 [414] p.573)

Both cases ultimately turn on the will of one Herbert Pelham, who died,
probably, in 1673. The appellant, who is himself a resident of Essex in England, is almost certainly claiming that the senior Pelham is his ancestor. In both cases the Privy Council refuses to admit into evidence the senior Pelham’s will, which was registered in the Prerogative Court of Canterbury.

For the ‘declaration in ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Pelham v Bannister)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1742_00  Francis v Jeffries  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Francis v Jeffries
Case Name Long  Abraham Francis and John and Hannah Jones v John Jeffries, Jonathan Armitage, David Colson, Alexander Forsythe, Caleb Lyman, Jonas Clarke and Thomas Hutchinson

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [535] p.718 – 2 February 1742 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743)  view

APC Citation  v.3 [535] p.718 – 15 March 1742 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743)  view

APC Citation  v.3 [535] p.718 – 7 May 1742 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743)  p.127, 130–131, 137–138: PC 2/97/127, 130–131, 137–138

APC Citation  v.3 [535] p.718 – 24 June 1742 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743)  p.165–166: PC 2/97/165–166

APC Citation  v.3 [535] p.718–719 – 17 February 1743 – entry 5
PC Register Citation  George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743)  p.211, 315, 325–328, 346, 392, 400–401: PC 2/97/211, 315, 325–328, 346, 392, 400–401

APC Citation  v.3 [535] p.719 – 11 April 1745 – entry 6
PC Register Citation  George II v.9 (1 October 1743 – 27 February 1745)  p.597, 673, 676, 681–684: PC 2/98/597, 673, 676, 681–684

APC Citation  v.3 [535] p.719 – 11 April 1745 – entry 6
PC Register Citation  George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.41–44: PC 2/99/41–44

Colonial Courts

Superior Court of Judicature – Boston – 8 August 1738

Participants

Armitage, Jonathan, selectman of Boston
Baron, Timothy, cordwainer
Billings, John, gentleman
Billings, Richard, taylor
Bromfield, Edward, selectman of Boston
Bunker, Benjamin, tin plate worker
Camo, Isaac, sadler
Clarke, Jonas, selectman of Boston
Clarke, Thomas, taylor
Colson, David, selectman of Boston
Craveth, Samuel, barber
Downes, William, selectman of Boston
Edwards, Samuel, goldsmith
Forsythe, Alexander, selectman of Boston
Francis, Abraham, merchant, of Boston in Suffolk County
Garrish, Samuel, bookseller
Hubbard, Thomas, in possession of the Brickshop
Hutchinson, Thomas, selectman of Boston
Jeffries, John, selectman of Boston
Jones, Hannah (wife of John Jones)
Jones, John, merchant, of Boston in Suffolk County (husband of Hannah Jones)
Lyman, Caleb, selectman of Boston
Rainger, Joseph, currier
Welch, John, carver
Winslow, Joshua, selectman of Boston

Description
Land in dispute in Boston being part of Bendalls Dock, formerly so called

Disposition
Affirmed

Notes
As the appeal proceeded, the makeup of the selectmen changed, with Bromfield, Downes, and Winslow replacing Lyman, Clarke, and Hutchinson. The case may also be referred to as Francis v The Selectmen of Boston (Smith, p.436).

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*
Table of Cases (Francis v Jeffries; Francis v The Selectmen of Boston)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1749_00  Liebenrood v Dennie  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Liebenrood v Dennie  Not a ‘true’ appeal.
Case Name Long  ___ Liebenrood v ___ Dennie

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [101] p.76 – 16 February 1749 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.187:

Colonial Courts
Proceedings in colonial court unclear

Participants
Dennie, ___
Liebenrood, ___
Sharpe, John, esquire (appears for Dennie)

Description
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1750_01  Shirley v Waldo  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Shirley v Waldo
Case Name Long  Governor Shirley v Samuel Waldo

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [126] p.99 – 29 March 1750 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.539–540: PC 2/101/539–540

Colonial Courts
Superior Court – 5 September 1749

Participants
Shirley, Governor
Waldo, Samuel

Description
According to the APC entry, “in relation to several Bills of Exchange and Bills of Credit issued to the said Samuel Waldo for the Pay of One of the American Regiments”

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
Governor is William Shirley.

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1750_02        Knowles v Douglas        Massachusetts

Case Name Short             Knowles v Douglas
Case Name Long              Charles Knowles v Dr. William Douglas

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation                v.4 [136] p.107 – 16 August 1750 – entry 1
PC Register Citation        George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.78–79; PC 2/102/78–79

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court – 5 January 1749
Superior Court – Boston – 15 August 1749 and February 1749

Participants

Douglas, Dr. William of Boston
Knowles, Charles, Rear Admiral of the White Squadron

Description

Libel action

Disposition

Not stated in APC, but, according to Smith (p.375), not prosecuted

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Knowles v Douglass)

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1753_00 Vassall v Fletcher Massachusetts

Case Name Short Vassall v Fletcher
Case Name Long William Vassall v William Fletcher

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [220] p.226 – 14 November 1753 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753) p.428, 506–507: PC 2/103/428, 506–507

APC Citation v.4 [220] p.226 – 31 January 1754 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.14, 25–28, 32: PC 2/104/14, 25–28, 32

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – first Tuesday in January 1752
Superior Court – Boston – third Tuesday in February 1752 and 12 December 1752

Participants
Fletcher, William
Vassall, William

Description
Slander and defamation

Disposition
Reversed; Fletcher is free to bring a new action in the Inferior Court after repaying Vassall the damages and costs with interest.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Vassall v Fletcher)

 DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Appellant's case Case of the appellant (Vassall)
Counsel [Signed] W. Murray; Al. Forrester
Library British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36217 f.44–45 (Includes separate pages of manuscript notes, f.78–84)
Library Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection
**Respondent's case**

Case of the respondent (Fletcher)

**Counsel**

[Signed] A. Hume-Campbell; C. Yorke

**Library**

British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36217 f.46–47 (Includes separate pages of manuscript notes, f. 78–84)

Library Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection (Includes manuscript notes)

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found

**Other Documents**

Three additional items are in the British Library, Additional Manuscripts 36217:

1. a manuscript copy with notes of Vassall’s petition of appeal (f.70–73);

2. a manuscript copy of *Extracts from the laws of New England, relating to the authority and methods of proceedings in the courts there* (f. 74–77), and

3. a printed copy of *The State of the Action brought by William Fletcher against William Vassall for defaming him: tried in the Superior Court at Boston, August Term, A.D. 1752* and now pending by appeal to his Majesty in Council (Boston, 1753) (f.48–69).

**Document**

Fletcher, *State of the Action* can also be found in Early American Imprints, Series 1.
Report

Report No. 05_1755_00  Bannister v Cunningham  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Bannister v Cunningham
Case Name Long  John Bannister and Frances Bowen v Nathaniel Cunningham

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [261] p.285 – 6 February 1755 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.323: PC 2/104/323

APC Citation  v.4 [261] p.285–24 June 1755 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.439–440, 455: PC 2/104/439–440, 455

Colonial Courts
Superior Court – Boston – 19 February 1754

Participants
Bannister, John, merchant, of Newport in Rhode Island (brother of Frances Bowen and administrator of his deceased brother Samuel Bannister)
Bannister, Samuel, merchant, of Stonington, New London in Connecticut (deceased brother of John Bannister and Frances Bowen)
Bowen, Frances (sister of John and Samuel Bannister and widow of William Bowen of Boston)
Bowen, William, gentleman, of Boston (deceased husband of Frances Bowen)
Cunningham, Nathaniel

Description
Land (action of ejectment) in Boston

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
On the action of ejectment involved here, see Additional Research.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Bannister v Cunningham)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found

Other Documents

See the extensive report on the colonial court proceedings in the parallel case of Banister v Henderson in Quincy, *Massachusetts Reports 1761–1772*, p. 119–159, with references to this case.
Report

Report No. 05_1764_00  Dudley v Richards  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Dudley v Richards
Case Name Long  Thomas Dudley v Joseph and Elizabeth Richards, Benjamin and Rebecca Guerrish, Joseph Dudley, John and Mary Cotton, and Ann Dudley

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

AP Citation  v.4 [573] p.688 – 20 July 1764 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 September 1764)
                      p.560: PC 2/110/560

AP Citation  v.4 [573] p.688 – 3 August 1764 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 September 1764)
                      p.586, 601: PC 2/110/586, 601

PC Register Citation  George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766)

Colonial Courts

Superior Court – 18 September 1758
Superior Court – February 1763 (but entered on record as of October 1762)

Participants

Brown, Mr. (appears for respondents in Austin Friars)
Cotton, John (husband of Mary Cotton)
Cotton, Mary (wife of John Cotton)
Dudley, Ann, a minor
Dudley, Joseph (deceased grandfather of Thomas Dudley and father of William Dudley)
Dudley, Joseph
Dudley, Thomas, gentleman (son of William Dudley)
Dudley, William (deceased father of Thomas Dudley)
Guerrish, Benjamin (husband of Rebecca Guerrish)
Guerrish, Rebecca (wife of Benjamin Guerrish)
Richards, Elizabeth (wife of Joseph Richards)
Richards, Joseph (husband of Elizabeth Richards)
Sharpe, Joshua of Lincoln’s Inn
Smith, Mr. (appears for respondents in Austin Friars)

Description

Land in dispute is a farm of 1000 acres at Manchaug or Mancheag.
Disposition
Not stated in *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**
Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Committee report – 27 July 1764 – PC 1/50/52</th>
<th>view_Doc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [618] p.371</td>
<td>view_APC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Documents**

| Document | Note also the Joshua Sharpe papers in the collection of Thomas Phillipps at the Library of Congress, Division of Manuscripts, which contain legal papers related to this appeal. |
Report

Report No. 05_1767_00  Jeffries v Donnell  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Jeffries v Donnell
Case Name Long  David Jeffries v Nathaniel Donnell

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.5 [51] p.119 – 18 November 1767 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.5 (August 1766 – January 1768) p.520:
                    PC 2/112/520

APC Citation  v.5 [51] p.119 – 26 February 1768 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.76, 88, 98–99:
                    PC 2/113/76, 88, 98–99

APC Citation  v.5 [51] p.119 – 14 June 1771 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.163, 168, 484:
                    PC 2/113/163, 168, 484
                  PC Register Citation  George III v.8 (January – December 1771) p.264–265, 274:
                    PC 2/115/264–265, 274

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – 25 September 1764
Superior Court – 25 June 1765
Superior Court – 24 June 1766

Participants
Donnell, Nathaniel
Hayley, George, esquire, of Aliff Street in Goodman’s Fields
Jeffries, David of Boston
Wilsonn, Richard, laceman, of Lombard Street

Description
Land (action of ejectment); “near” 2000 acres between Merrymeeting Bay and Winnegance.

Disposition
Reversed; parties are free to proceed to a new trial in the Superior Court.

Notes
On the action of ejectment involved here, see Additional Research.
As Smith (p.164) notes, this appeal was a part of the Kennebec Proprietors litigation with local settlers.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Jeffries v Donnell)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

**Appellant's case**

Case of the appellant (Jeffries)

Counsel

[Signed] Wm. DeGrey; Al. Forrester

Note

Appendix to the case of the appellant

Library

Columbia University. Diamond Law Library: Treasure – Johnson 1770m and 2nd Fl Microfilm Cabinet – AA70 (Includes manuscript notes and appendix)

**Respondent's case**

Case of the respondent (Donnell)

Note

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Catalogued as</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Committee report – 12 June 1771 – PC 1/60/9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft order – 14 June 1771 – PC 1/60/9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Committee report on appeal Jeffries v Donnell and draft order approving</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Report

Report No. 05_1768_00   Apthorpe v Pateshall   Massachusetts

Case Name Short   Apthorpe v Pateshall
Case Name Long   Charles Ward Apthorpe v Richard Pateshall

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation   v.5 [56] p.122–123 – 15 January 1768 – entry 1
PC Register Citation   George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.7: PC 2/113/7

APC Citation   v.5 [56] p.123 – 17 February 1768 – entry 2
PC Register Citation   George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.55–56, 60: PC 2/113/55–56, 60

Colonial Courts
Superior Court – June 1766

Participants
Apthorpe, Charles Ward
Pateshall, Richard of Boston (administrator of Robert Pateshall)
Pateshall, Robert, deceased

Description
Proceedings to dismiss for non-prosecution an appeal brought by Apthorpe against a judgment of the Superior Court of Massachusetts.

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Apthorpe v Pateshall)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
TNA Document   Committee report – 9 Feb. 1768 – PC 1/54/63
Notes   Referenced in APC, v.6 [783] p.461

Other Documents
Document   See the report of the colonial court proceedings in Quincy,
ADDITIONAL RESEARCH

It is virtually certain that the case that Smith found (p.166) in the Massachusetts Superior Court of Judicature Judgment [recte Record] Book, 1766–1767, f.9[v–10v], the case reported in Quincy’s Reports (see Other Documents), and the case that is the subject of the Privy Council proceedings (see the Committee Report in TNA Documents) are the same case. The parties have the same names, the dates match, the folio reference that Smith gives matches the reference that Quincy gives on p.186, and Quincy tells us that Apthorpe’s counsel moved to take an appeal to the Privy Council, which motion was granted.

A telling correction in the Record Book suggests that Robert Pateshall died during the pendency of the case in the Inferior Court. All of the records that we have are brought in the name of Richard Pateshall, his administrator. Quincy is a bit vague on this point, but nothing that he says contradicts it.

That the entry in the Record Book is for an action of trespass on the case, whereas the case that Quincy reports is described as one of account, can be easily explained: The writ is transcribed in full in the Record Book, and it is for the common count of insimul computasset, a subform of trespass on the case. That that is the writ to which Quincy is referring is apparent from his report of the case. We should not be thinking here of the older, and by this time obsolete, personal action of account.

The discrepancy in the numbers is more troubling. Quincy’s Reports mention no amounts. According to the Committee Report, the Superior Court, hearing the case by writ of review, had reduced a judgment against Apthorpe for £767 5s. 6½d. to £542, from which judgment Apthorpe had appealed (presumably to have the amount further reduced or the judgment vacated entirely), and his appeal was allowed. Smith reports that the action was for £800, but that an appeal was allowed from a judgment of approximately £226 5s. 2d., which is not even close to amount mentioned in the Privy Council documentation.

Eight hundred pounds is the amount that Apthorpe claimed in his petition for review. As the record shows, it includes the £767 5s. 6½d., probably also the costs awarded in the first hearing, and, we must imagine, a ‘rounding up’ for what today we would call ‘incidental and consequential damages’.

We can explain the discrepancy in the amount of the judgment appealed from if we assume that after the appeal had been taken and the
documents sent to England but before the judgment was finally entered, the parties agreed to a compromise. (That Pateshall would have been willing to compromise is suggested by the fact, as pointed out by the recent editors of *Quincy's Reports*, that the judgment of the Superior Court was almost certainly wrong as a matter of law.) Because of the compromise, Apthorpe did not pursue his appeal after it had been allowed. It was left to Pateshall to get the appeal dismissed for lack of prosecution. Another possibility is that Pateshall’s solicitor, who appeared *ex parte* before the Committee, or the clerk of the Committee, just got it wrong. After all, if the issue is whether an appeal should be dismissed for lack of prosecution, the amount of the judgment appealed from is quite irrelevant.

Charles Donahue, Jr.
Report No. 05_1774_00  Wentworth v Bowdoin  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Wentworth v Bowdoin
Case Name Long  John Wentworth v James Bowdoin and William Bowdoin, James Pitt, Silvester Gardiner and Benjamin Hallowell

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.5 [304] p.400–401 – 14 September 1774 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.213–214: PC 2/118/213–214
PC Register Citation  George III v.12 (June 1775 – May 1776) p.34–35: PC 2/119/34–35

Colonial Courts
Vice Admiralty – 3 May 1773

Participants
Bowdoin, James
Bowdoin, William
Gardiner, Silvester
Hallowell, Benjamin
Pitts, James
Wentworth, John, Surveyor General of H. M. woods in North America

Description
Forfeiture of logs seized in Kennebec River

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
Smith lists no appeals from the Vice Admiralty Court in Massachusetts to the Privy Council. We have chosen to include this entry pending further investigation.

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1775_00  Pease v Pullibank  Massachusetts

Case Name Short  Pease v Pullibank
Case Name Long  Simon Pease v Abraham Pullibank

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view

APC Citation  v.5 [315] p.409 – 12 May 1775 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.510: PC 2/118/510  view

Colonial Courts
Vice Admiralty Court – Boston – 7 February 1775

Participants
Pease, Simon, merchant, of Newport in Rhode Island
Pullibank, Abraham, customs officer

Vessels
Abigail (schooner)

Description
Seizure by customs officer

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
Smith lists no appeals from the Vice Admiralty Court in Massachusetts to the Privy Council. We have chosen to include this entry pending further investigation.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 05_1776_00          Appeal of Beale          Massachusetts

Case Name Short          Beale, appeal of
Case Name Long          Lieutenant Richard Beale, appeal of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation           v.5 [331] p.438 – 26 January 1776 – entry 1
PC Register Citation    George III v.12 (June 1775 – May 1776) p.308, 344:
                        PC 2/119/308, 344

Colonial Courts

Vice Admiralty Court – Boston – 7 February 1775

Participants

Beale, Lieutenant Richard, HMS Mercury
Rose, Joseph, master of the Industry

Vessels

Industry (schooner)
HMS Mercury

Description

Condemnation

Disposition

Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes

Smith lists no appeals from the Vice Admiralty Court in Massachusetts to the Privy Council. We have chosen to include this entry pending further investigation.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Walton v Walford
Barefoot v Wadleigh

Case Name Short
Walton v Walford
Barefoot v Wadleigh

Case Name Long
Georg Walton v Jeremy Walford and John Amazeen
Walter Barefoot v Robert Wadleigh

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation
v.2 [123] p.52–53 – 25 August 1683 – entry 1

PC Register Citation
Charles II v.17 (13 June 1683 – 4 February 1685)
p.34: PC 2/70/34

CSP Citation
VI no. 1324

PC Register Citation
Charles II v.17 (13 June 1683 – 4 February 1685)
p.48: PC 2/70/48

APC Citation
v.2 [123] p.53 – 24 October 1683 – entry 2

CSP Citation
VI no. 1513 and 1518

PC Register Citation
Charles II v.17 (13 June 1683 – 4 February 1685)
p.107, 108: PC 2/70/107, 108

Colonial Courts

Unnamed

Participants
Amazeen, John, planter, of New England
Barefoot, Walter
Wadleigh, Robert (also appears as attorney for Walford and Amazeen)
Walford, Jeremy, planter, of New England
Walton, Georg

Description
Both cases relate to the Masonian land claims in New Hampshire, according to Smith (p.118).

Disposition
Both dismissed for non-prosecution

Notes
Smith notes Edward Randolph as counsel for appellants (p.107).

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
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DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
# Report

**Report No. 06_1684_00**  
**Vaughan v [Martin]**  
**Vaughan v [Mason]**  
**Vaughan v [Rex]**  
**[In re The Diligence]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Name Short</th>
<th>Case Name Long</th>
<th>Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaughan v [Mason]</td>
<td>William Vaughan v [Robert Mason]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaughan v [Rex]</td>
<td>William Vaughan v [Rex]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[In re The Diligence]</td>
<td>[In re The Diligence]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APC Citation</th>
<th>v.2 [156] p.69 – 11 July 1684 – entry 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSP Citation</td>
<td>VI no. 1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC Register Citation</td>
<td>Charles II v.17 (13 June 1683 – 4 February 1685) p.201: PC 2/70/201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC Citation</td>
<td>v.2 [156] p.69 – 8 April 1685 – entry 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP Citation</td>
<td>VII no. 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC Register Citation</td>
<td>James II v.1 (6 February 1685 – 18 March 1687) p.65: PC 2/71/65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC Citation</td>
<td>v.2 [156] p.69–70 – 29 April 1685 – entry 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC Register Citation</td>
<td>James II v.1 (6 February 1685 – 18 March 1687) p.70, 73, 74: PC 2/71/70, 73, 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC Citation</td>
<td>v.2 [156] p.70–28 June 1686 – entry 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC Register Citation</td>
<td>James II v.1 (6 February 1685 – 18 March 1687) p.292: PC 2/71/292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC Citation</td>
<td>v.2 [156] p.70 – 3 July 1686 – entry 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP Citation</td>
<td>VII no. 748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC Register Citation</td>
<td>James II v.1 (6 February 1685 – 18 March 1687) p.292–293: PC 2/71/292–293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC Citation</td>
<td>v.2 [156] p.70 – 19 November 1686 – entry 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP Citation</td>
<td>VII no. 999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC Register Citation</td>
<td>James II v.1 (6 February 1685 – 18 March 1687) p.340–341: PC 2/71/340–341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC Citation</td>
<td>v.2 [156] p.70 – 10 December 1686 – entry 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP Citation</td>
<td>VII no. 1053–1056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC Register Citation</td>
<td>James II v.1 (6 February 1685 – 18 March 1687)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Colonial Courts

[Chancery decree – 22 May 1684 – re Martin]
Unnamed – [6 November 1683 – re Mason]
[Quarter Sessions – 6 May 1684 – re Rex]
[Court of Pleas – 1 July 1684 – re In re Diligence]

Participants

Rex
Cranfield, Edward, Governor
Vaughan, William
Weare, Nathaniel (appears on behalf of Vaughan)
[Martin, Richard]
[Mason, Robert]

Vessels

[Diligence (ketch)]

Description

Vaughan v [Martin] – Dispute over Vaughan’s sharing in the payment of a judgment
Vaughan v [Mason] – Dispute over lands
Vaughan v [Rex] – Fine imposed for assault on customs officer
[In re The Diligence] – Dispute over seizure of tobacco on vessel of which Vaughan was part owner

Disposition

Vaughan v [Martin] – Chancery decree reversed
Vaughan v [Mason] – Appeal dismissed
Vaughan v [Rex] – Appeal dismissed
[In re The Diligence] – Appeal dismissed

Notes

The description and disposition provided by Smith are supplemented by the detailed description of Vaughan v Martin and In re The Diligence in Page, Judicial Beginnings in New Hampshire 1640–1700, passim, and in the CSP.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
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DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Case Name Short: Allen, appeal of
Case Name Long: Samuel Allen, appeal of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.2 [775] p.347 – 18 January 1700 – entry 1
CSP Citation: XIII no. 42
PC Register Citation: William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.410: PC 2/77/410
APC Citation: v.2 [775] p.347 – 18 April 1700 – entry 2
CSP Citation: XIII no. 336
PC Register Citation: William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.7: PC 2/78/7
APC Citation: v.2 [775] p.347 – 9 May 1700 – entry 3
CSP Citation: XIII no. 412
PC Register Citation: William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.24: PC 2/78/24
APC Citation: v.2 [775] p.347–348 – 22 October 1700 – entry 4
PC Register Citation: William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.85: PC 2/78/85

Colonial Courts
Proceedings in colonial court unclear

Participants
Allen, Samuel, proprietor of New Hampshire
Partridge, Mr. (correspondent of London merchants, owners of the Mary)

Vessels
Mary

Description
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition
The Mary is allowed to sail to Lisbon and an outstanding bond cancelled.

Notes
The petitions of Allen and the London merchants (named in the CSP as William Crouch, Joseph Tatem, Henry Phillips, and others) relate to the possible improper shipping of wood from the colonies. The petitions are
referred to the Board of Trade.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
### Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APC Citation</th>
<th>PC Register Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v.2 [818] p.367 – 11 October 1705 – entry 8</td>
<td>Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.5: PC 2/81/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v.2 [818] p.367 – 30 December 1708 – entry 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Colonial Courts
Superior Court of Judicature – 13 August 1700
[Inferior Court of Common Pleas] – 15 April 1707
[Superior Court of Judicature] – 12 August 1707

Participants
Allen, Samuel, proprietor of New Hampshire (father, later deceased, of
Thomas Allen)
Allen, Thomas (son of Samuel Allen)
Hayter, Whitfield
Usher, John
Waldron, Richard

Description
Land (“appeal which relates to certain quit rents of land,” according to
the APC; “Appeal . . . from a Verdict and Judgment . . . touching the
Petitioner’s right, as the Proprietor, to certain Quit Rents of Land,”
according to the Privy Council register)

Disposition
Allen (Samuel) v Waldron – Affirmed with liberty to bring a new action
in courts of New Hampshire – December 1702
Allen (Thomas) v Waldron – Dismissal of Allen’s petition and
affirmation of judgments of 15 April and 12 August 1707 – December
1708

Notes
Related to another Privy Council appeal:

Allen v Spencer – Report No. 05_1705_01 (APC, v.2 [972] p.489)

From a close reading of original documents, Smith (p.156) observes that
the APC misstates one element of the Allens’ litigation against Waldron.
Thomas Allen was not in fact allowed a ‘revival’ of his father’s suit but
did receive a renewal of an Order in Council issued on his father’s behalf
that led to a new trial against Waldron. The verdict against Allen in this
new trial is the one that the Privy Council affirms. The related action
against Spencer also seems ultimately to have been unsuccessful.

For discussion of the underlying action involved here, see the note
specific to this case in Additional Research.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Allen [Samuel] v Waldron; Allen [Thomas] v Waldron)
(extended discussion)
DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Document Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Committee report – 8 Dec. 1702 – PC 1/46/5</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [81] p.18</td>
<td>view_Doc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petitions (petition of June) – 26 June 1708 – PC 1/47/10</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [195] p.70</td>
<td>view_Doc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petitions (petition of August) – Aug. 1708 – PC 1/47/10</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [195] p.70</td>
<td>view_Doc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other Documents

See the pamphlet entitled: *A short narrative of the claim, title and right of the heirs of the Honourable Samuel Allen, Esq., deceased, to the province of New-Hampshire in New-England: transmitted from a gentlewoman in London, to her friend in New England [Short Narrative]*.
Report

Report No. 06_1750_00 French v Folensby New Hampshire

Case Name Short
French v Folensby

Case Name Long
Nathaniel French v Thomas Folensby, Peter Moss and Moses Calton

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation
v.4 [131] p.100–101 – 31 May 1750 – entry 1

PC Register Citation

APC Citation

PC Register Citation

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court – 6 September 1744
Superior Court – 4 February 1746
Court of Appeals – 10 May 1748

Participants

Calton, Moses of Kingstown
Folensby, Thomas of Haverhill
French, Nathaniel
Moss, Peter of Kingstown

Description

Land (upon an ejectment)

Disposition

Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes

On the ejectment action involved here, see Additional Research.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
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DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 06_1753_00  Appeal of Walker  New Hampshire

Case Name Short  Walker, appeal of
Case Name Long  Rev. Timothy Walker et al, appeal of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [202] p.197 – 7 February 1753 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753) p.313: PC 2/103/313

APC Citation  v.4 [202] p.197 – 26 March 1754 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.97: PC 2/104/97

APC Citation  v.4 [202] p.197 – 18 July 1754 – entry 3 (This entry repeats the second.)
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.204: PC 2/104/204

APC Citation  v.4 [202] p.197–198 – 22 July 1755– entry 4
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.465–466: PC 2/104/465–466

APC Citation  v.4 [202] p.198 – 12 August 1755 – entry 5

Colonial Courts

This is a petition, not an appeal from a colonial court action.

Participants

Walker, Rev. Timothy

Description

Petition asks that those aggrieved re land claims in New Hampshire be allowed to appeal though the value of individual parcels of land be below the minimum required.

Disposition

Privy Council grants Walker’s request upon recommendation of the Attorney and Solicitor General and the representation of the Board
of Trade.

Notes
This action grew out of the boundary settlement between New Hampshire and Massachusetts. The request preceded the appeal in Merrill v Proprietors of the Township of Bow, Report No. 06_1754_00 (APC, v.4 [231] p.239).

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 06_1754_00 Merrill v Proprietors of Bow New Hampshire

Case Name Short  Merrill v Proprietors of the township of Bow
Case Name Long  John Merrill v Proprietors of the township of Bow

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [231] p.239 – 28 March 1754 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.86–87, 101–102: PC 2/104/86–87, 101–102
APC Citation  v.4 [231] p.239–243 – 24 June 1755 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.102, 111, 315, 415, 419–423, 454–455: PC 2/104/102, 111, 315, 415, 419–423, 454–455

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court of Common Pleas – Portsmouth – 7 March 1750/51
Superior Court of Judicature – second Tuesday in December 1752
Superior Court of Judicature – first Tuesday in August 1753

Participants

Kilby, Christopher, esquire, of St. Martins in the Fields
Merrill, John
Proprietors of the township of Bow
Stephens, Benjamin
Walker, Rev. Timothy
Wentworth, John, Lieutenant Governor of New Hampshire (1727)

Description

Land (“upon an Ejectment,” according to the Privy Council register). The conflict arises from the settling of the boundary of Massachusetts and New Hampshire.

Disposition

Reversed

Notes

Related to another Privy Council appeal:

Rolfe v Proprietors of Bow – Report No. 06_1762_00 (APC, v.4 [427] p.527)

See also the petition of the Rev. Timothy Walker, Report No.
06_1753_00 (APC, v.4 [202] p.197), requesting that an appeal to the Privy Council be allowed though the value of the land in question is less than the required minimum since the lands of many others, collectively meeting the minimum, depend upon the outcome.

On the ejectment action involved here, see Additional Research.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Merrill v Proprietors of Bow)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

**Appellant’s case**

Case of the appellant (Merrill)

Counsel

[Signed] W. Murray; R. Henley

Library

Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection

Library

Massachusetts Historical Society: 1796 Lib XLg 7.29f

(Manuscript notes limited to “N.B. Judgment for the Appellant”)

Library

New Hampshire Historical Society: 977.8b B784me (Photocopy only)

**Respondent’s case**

Case of the respondent (Proprietors of the township of Bow)

Counsel

[Signed] A. Hume-Campbell; Al. Forrester

Library

Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection (Noted in ESTC? No.)

Library

New York Public Library, Schwarzman Building: Rare Book Collection *KF+++ 1755 (Great Britain. Trade and Plantations Commissioners. John Merrill, appellant) (Includes manuscript notes) (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found

**Other Documents**

Document

The appellant’s printed case at the Massachusetts Historical Society is found in a folder entitled ‘Briefs respecting the Controversy between Massachusetts and New Hampshire’. The folder also includes the respondents’ case in Rolfe v Proprietors of Bow, documents from the earlier royal commission to settle the boundary, and related maps. The maps at the British Library and in the Massachusetts Historical Society have not been compared.

1. British Library Additional Manuscripts 15487 f.96–103, Plans and Explanations of plans of Massachusetts and New Hampshire touching the boundary question and the bounds of certain towns as Rumford, Suncook, and Bow; and

2. Additional Manuscripts 15489 f.5, which is the deposition in manuscript of B. Rolfe of Rumford regarding extracts from the “Proprietors Book of Rumford”.
Report

Report No. 06_1758_00 Trescothick v Wentworth New Hampshire

Case Name Short Trescothick v Wentworth
Case Name Long Barlow Trescothick v Samuel Wentworth

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [362] p.393 – 4 September 1758 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.17 (1 January 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.243: view

APC Citation v.4 [362] p.393–394 – 5 April 1762 – entry 2

PC Register Citation George III v.2 (1 January 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.123–125, 169: PC 2/109/123–125, 169 view

Colonial Courts

[Inferior Court of Common Pleas] – 5 June 1755
[Superior Court of Judicature] – February 1756
Superior Court – Portsmouth – 20 September 1757

Participants

Pierson, John
Trescothick, Barlow, merchant, of London
Wentworth, Ebenezer
Wentworth, Samuel

Description

Action for £5770 16s in Massachusetts bills of credit of the old tenor, according to the APC

Disposition

Reversed with direction as to the proper amount and currency for payment

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Trescothick v Wentworth)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant’s case Case of the appellant (Trescothick)
Counsel
[Signed] C. Pratt; C. Yorke

Library
British Library: (Harcwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218 f.151–153 (Includes manuscript notes)

Respondent's case
Case of the respondent (Wentworth)

Note
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 06_1759_01 Sherburne v Sherburne New Hampshire

Case Name Short Sherburne v Sherburne
Case Name Long John Sherburne v Samuel Sherburne, Peter and Dorothy Gillman, Joseph and Anne Langdon

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [382] p.411 – 15 March 1759 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.17 (1 January 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.442: PC 2/106/442

APC Citation v.4 [382] p.411 – 2 January 1762 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George III v.2 (1 January 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.16: PC 2/109/16

APC Citation v.4 [382] p.411–412 – 5 April 1762 – entry 3
PC Register Citation George III v.2 (1 January 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.127–130, 168: PC 2/109/127–130, 168

Colonial Courts

Court of Probates – 29 April 1758
Supreme Court of Probate of Wills – 29 June 1758

Participants

Brown, Arthur
Gillman, Dorothy Sherburne (sister of John Sherburne, Samuel Sherburne, Anne Langdon, and Henry Sherburne; daughter of the elder Henry Sherburne; and wife of Peter Gillman)
Gillman, Peter (husband of Dorothy Sherburne Gillman)
Haven, Rev. Samuel
Langdon, Anne Sherburne (sister of John Sherburne, Samuel Sherburne, Dorothy Gillman and Henry Sherburne; daughter of the elder Henry Sherburne; and wife of Jospeh Langdon)
Langdon, Joseph (husband of Anne Sherburne Langdon)
Langdon, Rev. Samuel
Paris, F.J.
Parker, William
Sherburne, Henry (deceased father of John Sherburne, Samuel Sherburne, Dorothy Gillman, and Anne Langdon, and of Henry Sherburne, deceased)
Sherburne, Henry (deceased brother of John Sherburne, Samuel Sherburne, Dorothy Gillman, and Anne Langdon, and deceased son of the elder Henry Sherburne)
Sherburne, Henry (son of the younger Henry Sherburne and grandson of the elder Henry Sherburne)
Sherburne, John (son of the elder Henry Sherburne)
Sherburne, John (son of John Sherburne and grandson of the elder Henry Sherburne)
Sherburne, Samuel (brother of John Sherburne, Dorothy Gillman, Anne Langdon, and Henry Sherburne, and son of the elder Henry Sherburne)
Wibird, Richard

**Description**
Dispute over the will of the elder Henry Sherburne

**Disposition**
Reversed in part; affirmed in part, as the Court of Probates "has no jurisdiction so far as the will relates to real estates," according to the APC

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appellant's case</th>
<th>Case of the appellant (Sherburne) ESTC: T91656</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counsel</td>
<td>[Signed] G. Hay; Wm. DeGrey; Paule Feilde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218 f.147–148 (Includes manuscript notes) (Noted in ESTC? No.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent's case</th>
<th>Case of the respondent (Sherburne) ESTC: N66141</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counsel</td>
<td>[Signed] C. Yorke; Al. Forrester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218 f.149–150 (Includes manuscript notes) (Noted in ESTC? No.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>New York Public Library: (?) (The ESTC [N66141] lists a copy of the respondent's case at the New York Public Library, but a vigorous search by a librarian failed to find evidence of NYPL ownership.) (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found

**Other Documents**
The New Hampshire Historical Society holds a bound volume of Sherburne family papers that includes the will of Henry Sherburne, Sr., with affidavits, and certified copies documenting the controversy over the estate.

The New Hampshire "Act for the Settlement and Distribution of the Estate of Intestates" of 13 May 1718 that was at issue in this case is printed in *New Hampshire, Laws 1718*, p. 102–104. It is reproduced here (pdf) from the Early American Imprints, series 1, Evans no. 1985. (The reproduction is not great, and the original, which we have not seen, may not be either. But with a struggle, it can be read.)
Report

Case Name Short: Dering v Packer
Case Name Long: Thomas Dering v Thomas Packer

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.4 [407] p.433 – 9 November 1759 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.189:
                         PC 2/107/189

APC Citation: v.4 [407] p.433–436 – 11 July 1760 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.431,
                      435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 443: PC 2/107/431, 435, 436,
                      437, 438, 439, 443

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court of Common Pleas – 8 December 1757
Chancery
Superior Court – 12 December 1758 – affirming judgments of 8
December 1757 and 10 June 1758

Participants

Dering, Henry, deceased
Dering, Thomas of Boston (executor of Henry Dering)
Nelson, William
Packer, Thomas of Portsmouth
Smith, Jeremiah

Description

Payment of a debt. The case arises from the impact of depreciation of
various colonial currencies.

Disposition

Reversed “except the verdict and judgment of the Superior Court of
Judicature for the penalty of the bonds and costs,” according to the APC.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Dering v Packer)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant's case
Case of the appellant (Dering)

Counsel  
[Signed] C. Pratt; Al. Forrester

Library  
British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218 f.44–45 (Includes manuscript notes)

Respondent's case  
Case of the respondent (Packer)

Counsel  
[Signed] C. Yorke; W. DeGrey

Note  
Appellant's name is spelled Deering on respondent's printed case.

Library  
British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218 f.46–48 (Includes manuscript notes)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found

Other Documents

Document  
Dallas, *Reports 4* (4 U.S. [4 Dall.]), p.xxiii–xxv [at the back of the volume] (1807), contains a report on the hearing of Dering v Packer before the Council. The note in Dallas indicates that "this report is taken from a collection of manuscript cases, upon authority, that appeared respectable when it was copied: but the name of the reporter is forgotten." Smith (p.502) suggests that the notes were probably those of Jared Ingersoll.
Report

Report No. 06_1762_00 Rolfe v Proprietors of Bow New Hampshire

Case Name Short Rolfe v Proprietors of Bow
Case Name Long Benjamin Rolfe, Daniel Carter, Timothy Simons, John Evans, John Chandler, Abraham Colby and Abraham Kimball v Proprietors of Bow

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [472] p.527 – 15 February 1762 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George III v.2 (1 January 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.74–75: PC 2/109/74–75
APC Citation v.4 [472] p.527–530 – 29 December 1762 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George III v.2 (1 January 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.433, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 453: PC 2/109/433, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 453

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – September 1760
Superior Court – November 1760

Participants
Ayer, William
Carter, Daniel
Chandler, John
Colby, Abraham
Endicott, [John], former Governor of Massachusetts
Evans, John
Kimball, Abraham
Lovewell, Capt. John
Melvin, David
Proprietors of Bow
Rolfe, Benjamin
Simons, Timothy
Wentworth, John, former Governor of New Hampshire

Description
Land ("upon an Ejectment," according to the Privy Council register). The conflict arises from the settling of the boundary of Massachusetts and New Hampshire.

Disposition
Reversed
Notes
Related to another Privy Council appeal:
Merrill v Proprietors of the township of Bow – Report No. 06_1754_00 (APC, v.4 [231] p.239)
On the ejectment action involved here, see Additional Research.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Rolfe v Proprietors of Bow)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Appellant’s case
Case of the appellant (Rolfe)
Counsel [Signed] Wm. DeGrey (spelled here DeGray); Al. Wedderburn
Library British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218
  f.181–184 (Includes manuscript notes)
Library New Hampshire Historical Society: 977.8bB784r

Respondent’s case
Case of the respondent (Proprietors of Bow) ESTC: N62941
Counsel [Signed] C. Yorke; Al. Forrester
Note Appendix to the case of the respondent and also a map
Library American Antiquarian Society: LNhL Bow Grea N762 PF (Lacks
  appendix and map) (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)
Library British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218
  f.185–188 (Includes manuscript notes and appendix, f.189–190, and view
  map, f.191–192) (Noted in ESTC? No.)
Library Massachusetts Historical Society: 1796 Lib.-XLg.7.29 (Lacks
  appendix; manuscript notes limited to minor underlining and the
  name of Thomas Hutchinson added in a margin) (Noted in ESTC? No.)
Library New Hampshire Historical Society: 977.8bB784r (Includes
  appendix) (Noted in ESTC? No.)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found

Other Documents
Document The respondent’s printed case at the Massachusetts Historical
  Society is found in a folder entitled ‘Briefs respecting the
  Controversy between Massachusetts and New Hampshire’. The
folder also includes the appellant’s case in Merrill v Proprietors of Bow, documents from the earlier royal commission to settle the boundary, and related maps. The maps at the British Library and in the Massachusetts Historical Society have not been compared.

Document


(1) British Library Additional Manuscripts 15487 f.96–103, Plans and Explanations of plans of Massachusetts and New Hampshire touching the boundary question and the bounds of certain towns as Rumford, Suncook, and Bow; and

(2) Additional Manuscripts 15489 f.5, which is the deposition in manuscript of B. Rolfe of Rumford regarding extracts from the “Proprietors Book of Rumford”.

view

view
Report

Report No. 06_1765_00

Hilton v Fowler
Fowler v Hilton

New Hampshire

Case Name Short
Hilton v Fowler
Fowler v Hilton

Case Name Long
Josiah Hilton v Philip Fowler
Philip Fowler v Josiah Hilton

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [601] p.717 – 23 March 1765 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.164: PC 2/111/164

APC Citation v.4 [601] p.717 – 10 July 1765 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.261–262: PC 2/111/261–262

Colonial Courts

Court of Errors – 11 October 1763

Participants
Fowler, Philip
Hilton, Josiah
Holker, Mr. of St. Thomas the Apostle’s (appears for Philip Fowler)

Description
Land

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Hilton v Fowler)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 06_1773_00 James v Moffatt New Hampshire

Case Name Short James v Moffatt
Case Name Long William James v Samuel Moffatt and George Meserve

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.5 [256] p.375 – 30 June 1773 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.236, 379: PC 2/117/236, 379
PC Register Citation George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.55–56: PC 2/118/55–56

Colonial Courts

Superior Court – September 1771
Governor and Council as a Court of Appeals – 8 October 1771

Participants

James, William of Bristol (partner of the firm of Lloyd and James)
Meserve, George, merchant, of Portsmouth
Moffatt, Samuel, merchant, of Portsmouth

Description

Action to recover £1551 13s 2d

Disposition

Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (James v Meserve)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 07_1696_00  Jones v Fullerton  New Jersey (East)

Case Name Short  Jones v Fullerton
Case Name Long  Jeffrie Jones v James Fullerton on the demise of the Proprietors of East Jersey

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.2 [641] p.306 – 24 September 1696 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  William III v.4 (2 December 1694 – 23 April 1697) p.520–521: PC 2/76/520–521

APC Citation  v.2 [641] p.306–307 – 4 February 1697 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  William III v.4 (2 December 1694 – 23 April 1697) p.578: PC 2/76/578

APC Citation  v.2 [641] p.307 – 23 February 1697 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  William III v.4 (2 December 1694 – 23 April 1697) p.585, 586: PC 2/76/585, 586

Colonial Courts

Court of Common Right – Amboy Perth – East New Jersey

Participants

Fullerton, James
Jones, Jeffrie (Geoffrey) of Elizabeth Towne in Essex County

Description

[Land claims] (in ejectment). This “cause arose in endeavors of the East New Jersey proprietors to enforce claims to land in the so-called “Elizabeth purchase,”” according to Smith (p.366 n.66).

Disposition

Reversed

Notes

There is good reason to doubt the reality of the lease to Fullerton, and there can be little doubt that the Proprietors of East Jersey were the real parties at interest in this case. See the note specific to this case in Additional Research.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Jones v Fullerton) (extensive discussion)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 07_1712_00  Appeal of Crowley  New Jersey (East)

Case Name Short  Crowley, appeal of  Not a ‘true’ appeal.
Case Name Long  Mary Crowley and John Borrow, appeal of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.2 [1175] p.668 – 20 October 1712 – entry 1
PC Register Citation Anne v.6 (18 August 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.35: PC 2/84/35

Colonial Courts
Proceedings in colonial court unclear

Participants
Borrow, John (executor of John Roys)
Crowley, Mary (executor of John Roys)
Roys, John, esquire, of East New Jersey, deceased
Willcox, ___
Windar, Charles of East New Jersey (deceased brother of James Windar)
Windar, James (brother of Charles Windar)

Description
Settlement of an estate

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 07_1716_00  Sonmans v Ormstone  New Jersey (East)

Case Name Short  Sonmans v Ormstone  Not a ‘true’ appeal.
Case Name Long  Peter Sonmans v Joseph and Rachel Ormstone and Joseph and Johanna Wright

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.2 [1256] p.709–710 – 6 July 1716 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George I v.1 (1 August 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.425:
                      PC 2/85/425

APC Citation  v.2 [1256] p.710 – 25 October 1717 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.53:
                      PC 2/86/53

APC Citation  v.2 [1256] p.710 – 16 November 1717 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.69:
                      PC 2/86/69

APC Citation  v.2 [1256] p.710 – 14 January 1718 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.81–82:
                      PC 2/86/81–82

APC Citation  v.2 [1256] p.711 – 2 February 1718 – entry 5
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.90:
                      PC 2/86/90

APC Citation  v.2 [1256] p.711 – 12 July 1720 – entry 6
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.442:
                      PC 2/86/442

Colonial Courts

Proceedings in the colony are mentioned but unclear if they resulted in a judgment in a court of law

Participants

Forster, Miles (husband of Rebecca Lawry Forster)
Forster, Rebecca Lawry (wife of Miles Forster and daughter of Gawen Lawry)
Haig, Mary Lawry (daughter of Gawen Lawry)
Lawry, Gawen (deceased father of Mary Haig and Rebecca Forster)
Ormstone, Joseph, merchant, of London (husband of Rachel Ormstone)
Ormstone, Rachel Sonmans (wife of Joseph Ormstone, sister of Peter Sonmans, and daughter of Arent Sonmans)
Sonmans, Arent (deceased father of Peter Sonmans, Rachel Ormstone, and Johanna Wright)
Sonmans, Peter, esquire (brother of Rachel Ormstone and Johanna Wright, and son of Arent Sonmans)
Wright, Johanna Sonmans (wife of Joseph Wright, sister of Peter Sonmans, and daughter of Arent Sonmans)
Wright, Joseph (husband of Johanna Wright)

**Description**

Land

**Disposition**

Final allocation of land amongst the parties is specified.

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Report No. 07_1773_00  Wickoff v Ouke  New Jersey

Case Name Short  Wickoff v Ouke
Case Name Long  Peter Wickoff and Joseph Reed v William Ouke

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.5 [263] p.378 – 28 July 1773 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.258–259: PC 2/117/258–259

Colonial Courts

Supreme Court – April 1770
Governor and Council as a Court of Errors

Participants

Forman, Ezekiel
Ouke, William, manager of Bill Island lottery
Reed, Joseph (assignee of Ezekiel Forman)
Wickoff, Peter (assignee of Ezekiel Forman)

Description

Action to recover on a lottery ticket, brought by Forman against Ouke

Disposition

Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 07_1775_00  Reid v Reed  New Jersey

Case Name Short  Reid v Reed
Case Name Long  John Reid v Joseph Reed

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

APC Citation  v.5 [325] p.421 – 10 November 1775 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.12 (June 1775 – May 1776) p.197: PC 2/119/197

*Colonial Courts*

Provincial Court – 21 May 1772
Governor and Council as a Court of Errors – 14 May 177___ (full date not given in the APC)

*Participants*

Reed, Joseph
Reid, John

*Description*

Dispute about land called Tunicam

*Disposition*

Not stated in *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

*DOCUMENTATION*

*Printed Cases*

Not found

*Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew*

Not found
Report

Report No. 08_1680_00  Ward v Palmer  New York

Case Name Short  Ward v Palmer
Case Name Long  John Ward v Mrs. John Palmer

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.1 [1334] p.879 – January 28, 1680 – entry 1
CSP Citation  V no. 1283
PC Register Citation  Charles II v.15 (21 April 1679 – 29 May 1680) p.371: PC 2/68/371

APC Citation  v.1 [1334] p.884–885 – March 9, 1680 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  Charles II v.15 (21 April 1679 – 29 May 1680) p.425: PC 2/68/425

Colonial Courts

Mayor’s Court – New York City – 22 July 1679
General Court of Assizes – 1–3 October 1679

Participants

Palmer, John (husband of widow of John Winder)
Palmer, Mrs. John (widow and administratrix for John Winder and wife of John Palmer)
Ward, John
Winder, John of City of New Yorke, deceased

Description

Indebtedness

Disposition

Sentence is repealed and made void. Judgment in Mayor’s Court is affirmed.

Notes

There is an interesting discussion in the CSP (March 2, 1680) as to methods of payment, including wampum and beaver.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Ward v Palmer)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Case Name Short  Darvall v Hall
Case Name Long  Thomas Darvall v Richard Hall

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

- APC Citation  v.2 [32] p.19 – 15 April 1681 – entry 1
- CSP Citation  VI no. 79
- PC Register Citation  Charles II v.16 (1 June 1680 – 31 May 1683) p.268: PC 2/69/268

- APC Citation  v.2 [32] p.19 – 7 December 1681 – entry 2
- CSP Citation  VI no. 314
- PC Register Citation  Charles II v.16 (1 June 1680 – 31 May 1683) p.421: PC 2/69/421

**Colonial Courts**

- General Court of Assizes – City of New York – 6 and 7 October 1680

**Participants**

- Darvall, Thomas, merchant
- Hall, Richard

**Description**

- Not stated in *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

**Disposition**

- Dismissed

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**

- Table of Cases (Darvall v Hall)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

- Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

- Not found
Report

Report No. 08_1681_02  Dyer v Winder  New York

Case Name Short  Dyer v Winder
Case Name Long  Capt. William Dyer v Samuel Winder

Not a ‘true’ appeal.

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.2 [47] p.24 – 14 September 1681 – entry 1
CSP Citation  VI no. 229
PC Register Citation  Charles II v.16 (1 June 1680 – 31 May 1683) p.356:
  PC 2/69/356
APC Citation  v.2 [47] p.24 – 29 June 1682 – entry 2
CSP Citation  VI no. 591
PC Register Citation  Charles II v.16 (1 June 1680 – 31 May 1683) p.528:
  PC 2/69/528
APC Citation  v.2 [47] p.24–25 – 3 August 1682 – entry 3
CSP Citation  VI no. 642
PC Register Citation  Charles II v.16 (1 June 1680 – 31 May 1683) p.542:
  PC 2/69/542
APC Citation  v.2 [47] p.25 – 25 October 1682 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  Charles II v.16 (1 June 1680 – 31 May 1683) p.552:
  PC 2/69/552

Colonial Courts

Court of Assises (see Notes)

Participants

Dyer, Capt. William
Winder, Samuel

Description

Accusation of high treason in levying customs within the province of New York

Disposition

According to the APC, Dyer’s bond is ordered delivered to him; “he may take his remedy at Law against the said Winder in New York or else where he shall think fit.” Winder fails to appear.

Notes

Goebel and Naughton, Law Enforcement in Colonial New York, p.224, notes that since there was no final judgment in the Court of Assizes in New York, Dyer v Winder is not, strictly speaking, an appeal. He
explains that “at a special Court of Assizes Dyer objected to the jurisdiction of the court, and after some debate it was decided to send the defendant to England, take the security of Winder to prosecute and refer the matter to the Privy Council. Dyer petitioned the Council and the matter was referred to the Lords Committee. In due course the case was dismissed as Winder did not appear to prosecute.”

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Case Name Short: Wright v Cornwall
Case Name Long: Robert Wright and Francis Pew v Robert Cornwall

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series
APC Citation: v.2 [110] p.46 – 14 February 1683 – entry 1
CSP Citation: VI no. 941
PC Register Citation: Charles II v.16 (1 June 1680 – 31 May 1683) p.634:

Colonial Courts

Court of Sessions – Gravesend for the West Riding of Yorks on Long Island – 21 December 1681
General Court of Assize – City of New Yorke – 4, 5, and 6 October 1682

Participants

Cornwall, Robert
Pew, Francis, gentleman
Wright, Robert, gentleman

Description

Land title, according to Smith (p.130)

Disposition

Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Wright v Cornwall)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 08_1685_00       Billop v [West]       New York

Case Name Short         Billop v [West]
Case Name Long       Capt. [Christopher] Billop v ___ [West]

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation          v.2 [200] p.89 – 4 December 1685 – entry 1
CSP Citation          VII no. 489
PC Register Citation  James II Part I (6 February 1685 – 18 March 1687) p.167: PC 2/71/167

APC Citation          v.2 [200] p.89 – 23 December 1685 – entry 2
CSP Citation          VII no. 515
PC Register Citation  James II Part I (6 February 1685 – 18 March 1687) p.181: PC 2/71/181

Colonial Courts

[New York City Mayor’s Court – 6 June 1683]

Participants

Billop, Capt. [Christopher] [West]. ___

Description

Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition

Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes

This action involves alleged illegal seizure of slaves and merchandise from a seized vessel. The attorney for John Bawdon and John and Thomas Temple of London, owners of the vessel, is referred to as John Wott in the heading of the case in Morris, New York City Mayor’s Court 1674–1784, p.648, and the case is indexed in that volume as Wott v Billop on p.758. However, the text of Billop’s petition and the Order in Council, transcribed in id., p.650–652, refer to the attorney as John West. APC, v.2 [89] p.38–39 (appended to the linked view of APC above) concerns a related action against Capt. Billop from the West Indies.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Billop v West)
DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 08_1692_00 Mauritz v Philips New York

Case Name Short Mauritz v Philips Not a ‘true’ appeal.
Case Name Long Jacob Mauritz v Frederick Philips

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.2 [444] p.208 – 17 March 1692 – entry 1
CSP Citation VIII no. 2128
PC Register Citation William III v.2 (3 September 1690 – 29 September 1692) p.350: PC 2/74/350
APC Citation v.2 [444] p.208 – 12 May 1692 – entry 2
CSP Citation VIII no. 2226
PC Register Citation William III v.2 (3 September 1690 – 29 September 1692) p.398: PC 2/74/398
APC Citation v.2 [444] p.208 – 18 May 1693 – entry 3
PC Register Citation William III v.3 (1 October 1692 – 29 November 1694) p.156: PC 2/75/156

Colonial Courts
Proceedings in colonial court unclear

Participants
Mauritz, Jacob
Philips (Flypse), Frederick, esquire

Vessels
Francis and Thomas, aka St. Peter (ship)

Description
Disposition of the Francis and Thomas

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
Not strictly an appeal but a petition of Jacob Mauritz, referred to the Lords of Trade and Plantations, claiming ownership of the vessel. See the various entries in the CSP for details. Mauritz claims to have been commissioned as a privateer by the rebel Jacob Leisler, for whom see Bayard v Rex (08_1702_02), Notes.
DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Case Name Short  Cruger v Depeyster
Case Name Long  Valentine Cruger v Abraham Depeyster

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

**APC Citation**  v.2 [767] p.345 – 23 October 1699 – entry 1
**PC Register Citation**  William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.384: PC 2/77/384

**APC Citation**  v.2 [767] p.345–346 – 19 December 1699 – entry 2
**PC Register Citation**  William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.401: PC 2/77/401

**APC Citation**  v.2 [767] p.346 – 21 December 1699 – entry 3
**PC Register Citation**  William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.404: PC 2/77/404

**APC Citation**  v.2 [767] p.346 – 5 December 1700 – entry 4
**PC Register Citation**  William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.108: PC 2/78/108

**APC Citation**  v.2 [767] p.346 – 12 June 1701 – entry 5
**PC Register Citation**  William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.219: PC 2/78/219

**Colonial Courts**

Supream (Supreme) Court
Governor and Council of New York – 4 April 1698 (first appeal taken to the Privy Council from this proceeding)
Governor and Council of New York – 22 June 1700 (second appeal taken)

**Participants**

Cruger, Valentine
Depeyster, Abraham

**Description**
Case “touching matters of account” between the parties, according to the APC

**Disposition**
Judgment of Governor and Council of 4 April 1698 declared null and void. Appellant to proceed in “due form before the Governor and Council of New Yorke,” according to the APC. Decree of Governor and
Council of 22 June 1700 reversed.

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*
Table of Cases (Tierens and Cruger v Depeyster)

**DOCUMENTATION**

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 08_1700_00 Alsop v Vaudall New York

Case Name Short Alsop v Vaudall
Case Name Long Richard Alsop v Audry Vaudall

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.2 [800] p.357 – 5 September 1700 – entry 1
PC Register Citation William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.81: PC 2/78/81

APC Citation v.2 [800] p.357 – 2 November 1700 – entry 2
PC Register Citation William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.95: PC 2/78/95

APC Citation v.2 [800] p.357 – 5 November 1700 – entry 3
PC Register Citation William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.102: PC 2/78/102

APC Citation v.2 [800] p.357 – 16 September 1701 – entry 4
PC Register Citation William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.258–259: PC 2/78/258–259

Colonial Courts

Lower court unnamed
Governor and Council of New Yorke (two actions: an initial confirmation of the lower court decision in favor of Alsop, followed by reversal by a new Governor and Council)

Participants
Alsop, Richard
Vaudall, Audry, widow

Description
Re “estate of houses and lands” in New York, according to the APC

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
Various spellings of respondent’s name – Vandall, Wandal, Wandall – can be found in Washburne, Imperial Control, p.60, and in item [872] of v.18 (1700) of the CSP.
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 08_1702_01 In re The Elizabeth and Catherine New York

Case Name Short In re The Elizabeth and Catherine
Case Name Long In re The Elizabeth and Catherine

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.2 [872] p.410 – 11 June 1702 – entry 1
PC Register Citation Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.148: PC 2/79/148

PC Citation v.2 [872] p.410 – 10 April 1703 – entry 2
PC Register Citation Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.352: PC 2/79/352

Colonial Courts

Courts of Admiralty – New York – [June 1701 – discharging seizure]
[Supreme Court – August 1701 – issuance of a prohibition]
[Governor and Council – October 16, 1701 – confirming action of
  Supreme Court]
[Vice Admiralty Court – November 24, 1701 – condemnation upon a
  new information]

Participants

Wake, Elizabeth (widow of John Wake)
Wake, John, commander of the Elizabeth and Catherine, later deceased
  (husband of Elizabeth Wake)

Vessels

Elizabeth and Catherine

Description

Condemnation

Disposition

Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series. Smith (p.198)
states that the appeal was not prosecuted.

Notes

Action at the lower level referred to as Weaver q.t. v Wake.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Elizabeth and Catherine, In re The) (extensive
discussion)
DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 08_1702_02 Bayard v Rex Hutchins v Rex New York

Case Name Short Bayard v Rex Hutchins v Rex

Case Name Long Col. Nicholas Bayard v Rex John Hutchins v Rex

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.2 [875] p.412–413 – 18 June 1702 – entry 1
PC Register Citation Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.156; PC 2/79/156

APC Citation v.2 [875] p.413 – 2 July 1702 – entry 2
PC Register Citation Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.169, 170; PC 2/79/169, 170

APC Citation v.2 [875] p.413 – 9 July 1702 – entry 3
PC Register Citation Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.175; PC 2/79/175

APC Citation v.2 [875] p.413 – 9 July 1702 – entry 4
PC Register Citation Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.177–178; PC 2/79/177–178

APC Citation v.2 [875] p.414 – 17 December 1702 – entry 5
PC Register Citation Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.268; PC 2/79/268

APC Citation v.2 [875] p.414 – 21 January 1703 – entry 6
PC Register Citation Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.295; PC 2/79/295

APC Citation v.2 [875] p.414 – 18 December 1704 – entry 7
PC Register Citation Anne v.2 (20 January 1704 – 29 September 1705) p.229; PC 2/80/229

APC Citation v.2 [875] p.414 – 8 January 1708 – entry 8
PC Register Citation Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.493–494; PC 2/81/493–494

Colonial Courts

[Special commission of oyer and terminer – March 16, 1701/2 (Bayard)]
[Special commission of oyer and terminer – April 6, 1702 (Hutchins)]

Participants

Rex
Adderley, Henry, merchant
Atwood, William, chief judge in New York
Bayard, Col. Nicholas
Broughton, Sampson Shelton, Attorney General of New York
Combury, Lord, [Governor]
Hutchins, John, alderman
Lodwick, Charles, merchant
Weaver, Thomas, Solicitor General in New York

**Description**

High treason

**Disposition**

Judgment against Bayard and Hutchins nullified. Bayard and Hutchins ordered to be reinstated as if the prosecution never occurred. Two New York acts annulling the proceedings against Bayard and Hutchins confirmed and an Act of 1705 about Bayard repealed.

**Notes**

*APC*, v.2, Appendix III, p.850 – Colonial Acts confirmed or disallowed by the Privy Council, 1680–1720 – 8 January 1708. “2 Acts, both entitled, An Act Declaring the Illegality of the proceedings against Col. Nicholas Bayard and Alderman John Hutchins for pretended High Treason and for Revising and making Null and Void the said Judgement and all proceedings thereon, confirmed. An Act of 1705 relating to them but not quoted by its title is repealed.”

Smith (p.241) says these two cases are not strictly appeals but are more in the nature of the pardoning power of the Privy Council. They arose in the aftermath of the revolt led by Jacob Leisler in the previous decade.

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**

Table of Cases (Bayard v *Rex*; Hutchins v *Rex*) (extensive discussion)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Petition of Bayard – 17 Dec. 1702 – PC 1/46/5</th>
<th>view_Doc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Notes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [84] p.18–19</td>
<td>view_APC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Petition of Bayard (copy) – 17 Dec. 1702 – PC 1/46/5</th>
<th>view_Doc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Notes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [84] p.18–19</td>
<td>view_APC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REPORT NO. 08_1702_02

TNA Document  
Order of reference to the Committee (Bayard) – 17 Dec. 1702 – PC 1/46/5

Notes  
Referenced in APC, v.6 [84] p.18–19

TNA Document  
Act reversing attainders. Board of Trade representation. – 15 Dec. 1704 – PC 1/46/6

Notes  
Referenced in APC, v.6 [128] p.31

Other Documents

The following printed items are related to this case: A Proclamation [by] John Nanfan, Esq; His Majesties lieut. governour and commander in [chief] in and over the province of New-York [Nanfan, Proclamation]; A narative [sic] of the treatment Coll. Bayard received from the time that sentence was passed against him to the time of his giving that petition which the lieut. governour & Council caused to be printed and published in justification of their proceedings against him [Narrative of Col. Bayard]; An Account of the illegal prosecution and tryal of Coll. Nicholas Bayard, in the province of New-York, for supposed high-treason, in the year 1702/3. Collected from several memorials taken by divers persons privately, the commissioners having strictly prohibited the taking the tryal in open court [Account of Col. Bayard]; An Act declaring the Illegality of the Proceedings against Coll. Nicholas Bayard and Alder-man John Hutchins for pretended High-Treason, and for Reversing and making Null and Void the said Judgments, and all Proceedings thereon [New York, Laws 1703].

Note also that the New-York Historical Society holds a collection of the papers of Nicholas Bayard that includes material pertaining to his trial for high treason.
Report

Report No. 08_1708_00  Appeal of Budge  New York

Case Name Short  Budge, appeal of  Not a ‘true’ appeal.
Case Name Long  Mr. Budge, appeal of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

v.2 [1041] p.528 – 8 January 1708 – entry 1
Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.486: PC 2/81/486

Colonial Courts
Unnamed

Participants
Budge, Mr.
Combury, Lord

Description
Condemnation

Disposition
Order on the report of the Board of Trade that the judgment of the High Court of Admiralty be given effect

Notes
This appeal was from a judgment of the High Court of Admiralty.

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
# Gouverneur v DeBiemer

**Report No. 08_1716_00**

**Case Name Short**
Gouverneur v De Biemer

**Case Name Long**
Abraham Gouverneur et al v Isaac De Biemer, sen.

**Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series**

v.2 [1251] p.708 – 10 March 1716 – entry 1

**PC Register Citation**
George I v.1 (1 August 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.350: PC 2/85/350

**Colonial Courts**
No final judgment below

**Participants**
De Biemer, Isaac, sen.
Gouverneur, Abraham

**Description**
Complaining of “a delay of justice in a cause depending before the Governor between them and Isaac De Biemer, senior,” according to the APC

**Disposition**
Not stated in the APC. Citing the N.Y. Chancery Mins., 1711/2–19, 55, Smith (p.239) says that “an appeal was granted on May 20, 1717 but the appeal body was not designated.”

**Notes**
The Privy Council’s register indicates that the petition asks that the Governor be required to give a speedy judgment in the matter.

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**
Table of Cases (Gouvernour et al v De Reimer). Note that Smith gives name as De Reimer.

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**
Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**
Not found
Report

Report No. 08_1717_01  Appeal of Summers  New York

Case Name Short  Summers, appeal of
Case Name Long  Thomas Summers et al, appeal of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view_APC

APC Citation  v.2 [1269] p.720–721 – 15 July 1717 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.19: PC 2/86/19  view

Colonial Courts
Unnamed

Participants
Summers, Thomas, merchant, of Liverpoole (owner of the Good Intent)

Vessels
Good Intent (ship)

Description
Seizure and condemnation for alleged illegal trade

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
Summers is indexed in the APC as an appeal. No particular court is specified. Smith lists no appeals to the Privy Council from the Vice Admiralty Court in New York. Hough, New York Vice-Admiralty 1715–1788, p.4–5, does reference an action involving the Good Intent in this time period. Whatever the court, Vice Admiralty or otherwise, whether Summers’s petition was in fact an ‘appeal’ in the technical sense of the term is unclear.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 08_1717_02  Appeal of Smith  New York

Case Name Short  Smith, appeal of
Case Name Long  John Smith, appeal of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.2 [1277] p.727 – 5 September 1717 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.40–41: PC 2/86/40–41

APC Citation  v.2 [1277] p.727 – 21 July 1718 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.160–161: PC 2/86/160–161

Colonial Courts
No indication of proceedings in a court in New York

Participants
Prior, Mr., British minister to France under Queen Anne
Renault, Chateau, French squadron leader
Smith, John of New York (owner of the Three Brothers)

Vessels
Three Brothers (ship)

Description
Seizure

Disposition
The matter is placed in the hands of the King “to renew to His Minister in France the like orders with those the petitioner has obtained . . . from the late Queen,” according to the APC.

Notes
This matter was determined in Admiralty.

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 08_1735_00  Mossesson v Norris  New York

Case Name Short  Mossesson v Norris
Case Name Long  Solomon Medina Mossesson, Moses Medina and Abraham Medina, and Roderigo Pacheto v Mathew Norris

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [341] p.459 – 3 April 1735 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.150: PC 2/93/150

Colonial Courts
Vice Admiralty Court – 4 September 1727

Participants
Medina, Abraham
Medina, Moses
Mossesson, Solomon Medina
Norris, Mathew, esquire
Pacheto, Roderigo

Description
Condemnation of snuff and tobacco as lawful prize

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
The petition of Mathew Norris requests that the appeal of Solomon Medina Mossesson, Moses Medina and Abraham Medina, and Roderigo Pacheto be dismissed for non-prosecution. Smith (p.188, referencing Norris v Four Casks, etc. of Snuff) states that this appeal was in fact to the Lords Commissioners for Hearing Prize Appeals though the wording in the APC and the entry in Hough, New York Vice-Admiralty 1715–1788, p.8–10, might imply otherwise.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Norris v Four Casks, etc., of Snuff)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Case Name Short: Brown v Philips

Case Name Long: Thomas Brown v Frederick Philips

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.3 [454] p.619 – 30 November 1738 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.46: view

APC Citation: v.3 [454] p.619 – 27 December 1739 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.335, 441, 454–455, 492–493: PC 2/95/335, 441, 454–455, 492–493: view

Colonial Courts

Court of Probates – 5 August 1738 (sentence of Lieutenant Governor)

Participants

Brown, Thomas, merchant, of London
Caswell, John, merchant, of London, deceased
Lane, Henry (attorney for Thomas Brown)
Philips (Flypse), Frederick, esquire (administrator of estate of John Caswell)

Description

Contested granting of the administration of an estate

Disposition

Decree affirmed and appeal dismissed

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 08_1741_01  Pearse v Cummyns  New York

Case Name Short  Pearse v Cummyns
Case Name Long  Capt. Vincent Pearse v George Cummyns

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view APC

APC Citation  v.3 [527] p.703 – 19 November 1741 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743)  p.13–14: PC 2/97/13–14

APC Citation  v.3 [527] p.703–704 – 23 March 1743 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743)  p.210, 259, 308–310, 345: PC 2/97/210, 259, 308–310, 345  view

Colonial Courts
Court of Admiralty
Court of Kings Bench
Court of Errors – 17 April 1741

Participants
Cummyns, George (owner of the Restoration)
Forbes, Alexander, master of the Restoration
Pearse, Vincent, late Captain of HMS Flamborough

Vessels
HMS Flamborough
Restoration (snow)

Description
Seizure and condemnation of the Restoration (prize)

Disposition
Judgment reversed, writs of consultation issued, and Admiralty Court ordered to proceed in original cause

Notes
Related to another Privy Council appeal:
   Pearse v Key – Report No. 08_1741_02 (APC, v.3 [528] p.704)
Both cases concern the same issue, to wit, whether the vessel seized was at the time “on the high seas or within the body of the city of New York.”

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Pearse v Cummins)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 08_1741_02  Pearse v Key  New York

Case Name Short  Pearse v Key
Case Name Long  Capt. Vincent Pearse v John Key and Michael Hubbard

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [528] p.704 – 19 November 1741 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743)
view  p.14: PC 2/97/14

APC Citation  v.3 [528] p.704 – 23 March 1743 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743)
view  p.210, 259, 261, 305-308, 344-345: PC 2/97/210,
259, 261, 305-308, 344-345

Colonial Courts
Court of King’s Bench

Participants
Hubbard, Michael
Key, John
Pearse, Capt. Vincent

Vessels
Canary Merchant (ship)

Description
Seizure and condemnation of the Canary Merchant (prize)

Disposition
Judgment reversed, writs of consultation issued, and Admiralty Court ordered to proceed in original cause

Notes
Related to another Privy Council appeal:

Pearse v Cummyns – Report No. 08_1741_01 (APC, v.3 [527] p.703)
Both cases concern the same issue, to wit, whether the vessel seized was at the time “on the high seas or within the body of the city of New York.”

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Pearse v Key and Hubbard)
DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 08_1742_00  Kennedy v Fowles  New York

Case Name Short  Kennedy v Fowles
Case Name Long  Archibald Kennedy v Thomas Fowles

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [538] p.720–721 – 10 March 1742– entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.8 (22 October 1741– 29 September 1743) p.107: PC 2/97/107

APC Citation  v.3 [538] p.721 – 23 March 1743 – entry 2

Colonial Courts
Court of Admiralty
Court of Kings Bench
Court of Errors – 17 April 1741

Participants
Fowles, Thomas
Kennedy, Archibald, esquire, Collector and Principal Officer of His Majestys Customs for the Port of New York

Vessels
Mary and Margaret (sloop)

Description
Seizure for illegal importation of goods

Disposition
Dismissed

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Kennedy v Fowles)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Case Name Short: Etherington, appeal of
Case Name Long: Robert Etherington, Waddle Cunningham, Elias Debrosses and Richard Thomas, appeal of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.4 [591] p.701 – 14 February 1765 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.93–94: PC 2/111/93–94

APC Citation: v.4 [591] p.701 – 19 March 1765 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.145: PC 2/111/145

Colonial Courts

Unnamed

Participants

Cunningham, Waddle (administrator of James Wardrop)
Debrosses, Elias (owner of the St. Stephen)
Etherington, Robert (owner of the Maxwell)
Guillarie, Mr., master of the French snow Bon Rencontre
Thomas, Richard, master of the St. Stephen
Wardrop, James (deceased owner of the Maxwell)

Vessels

Bon Rencontre (snow)
Maxwell (ship)
St. Stephen (schooner)

Description

Condemnation

Disposition

Referred by the Committee to the Treasury

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 08_1765_02  Cunningham v Forsey  New York

Case Name Short  Cunningham v Forsey
Case Name Long  Waddell Cunningham v Thomas Forsey

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [615] p.727–728 – 10 July 1765 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.262–263: PC 2/111/262–263

APC Citation  v.4 [615] p.728 – 28 July 1765 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.289–291, 311: PC 2/111/289–291, 311

APC Citation  v.4 [615] p.728 – 2 October 1765 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.366: PC 2/111/366

APC Citation  v.4 [629] p.740 – 3 October 1765 – entry 1 (The APC lists these entries separately.)
PC Register Citation  George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.370: PC 2/111/370

APC Citation  v.4 [629] p.740 – 15 November 1765 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.406–407: PC 2/111/406–407

Colonial Courts
Supreme Court – October term 1764
Lieutenant-Governor and Council (an order denying an appeal to the Governor and Council)

Participants
Charles, Robert (agent for New York)
Cunningham, Waddell
Forsey, Thomas

Description
Action of trespass, assault and battery

Disposition
Final resolution not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
APC, v.4 [629] p.740, discusses the timing of an alteration in the article of instructions to the Governor pertaining to the admission of appeals
pending the resolution of Cunningham v Forsey. Conflicting interpretations of the article and actions thereon by the acting Governor had roused fears of an abrogation of jury trial. The article was clarified, confirming that only appeals ‘in error’ could be heard by the Governor and Council. See Smith’s treatment for detail.

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*

Table of Cases (Cunningham v Forsey) (extensive discussion)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Other Documents**

See the printed pamphlet: *Forsey v Cunningham*. 
Report

Report No. 08_1773_00  Van Rensselaer v Jackson  New York

Case Name Short  Van Rensselaer v Jackson
Case Name Long  John Van Rensselaer v James Jackson, Thomas Williams, Harme van Hoesen, William W., Cornelius, and Volckert G. Vandenbergh, Anthony van Tevere, and Dirk Bradt van Schoenhoven

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.5 [240] p.358 – 15 January 1773 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.18–19: PC 2/117/18–19

APC Citation  v.5 [240] p.358 – 31 March 1773 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.118, 127: PC 2/117/118, 127

Colonial Courts
Superior Court – 23 June 1763
Governor and Council – 19 June 1770

Participants
Jackson, James
van Hoesen, Harme (lessor of James Jackson)
van Rensselaer, John
van Schoenhoven, Dirk Bradt (lessor of James Jackson)
van Tevere, Anthony (lessor of James Jackson)
Vandenbergh, Cornelius (lessor of James Jackson)
Vandenbergh, Volckert G. (lessor of James Jackson)
Vandenbergh, William W. (lessor of James Jackson)
Williams, Thomas (lessor of James Jackson)

Description
Land dispute in the county of Albany

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catalogued as</td>
<td>Catalogued by TNA: Order referring to committee the petition of James Jackson and others for dismissing the appeal of John Van Rensselaer; 27 Mar. read at committee and dismissed for non-prosecution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNA Document</td>
<td>Committee report – 27 Mar. 1773 – PC 1/55/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [907] p.539</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew*
Report

Report No. 09_1729_00  Gale v Everard  North Carolina

Case Name Short  Gale v Everard
Case Name Long  Christopher Gale v Sir Richard Everard, Baronet

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [182] p.247 – 26 June 1729 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.15: PC 2/91/15

APC Citation  v.3 [182] p.247 – 17 July 1729 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.27: PC 2/91/27

APC Citation  v.3 [182] p.247 – 17 July 1729 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.27: PC 2/91/27

APC Citation  v.3 [182] p.248 – 31 July 1729 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.39: PC 2/91/39

APC Citation  v.3 [182] p.248 – 23 October 1729 – entry 5
PC Register Citation  George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.66–67: PC 2/91/66–67

APC Citation  v.3 [182] p.248 – 19 November 1729 – entry 6
PC Register Citation  George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.85: PC 2/91/85

Colonial Courts
Admiralty Court
Court of Chancery – 31 March 1729 (not clear if this is related to the Gale matter in Admiralty)

Participants
Duke of Newcastle
Everard, Sir Richard, Baronet, Governor
Gale, Christopher, Chief Justice of North Carolina

Description
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
According to the footnote on p.lvii of Parker, *North Carolina Higher-Court 1724–1730*, despite his petition for leave to appeal, "Gale does not proceed further with the matter."

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Case Name Short  Nash v Dobbs
Case Name Long  Abner Nash v Conway Richard Dobbs and Edward Brice Dobbs

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.5 [243] p.359 – 26 March 1773 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.103: PC 2/117/103

APC Citation  v.5 [243] p.359 – 28 July 1773 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.258: PC 2/117/258

APC Citation  v.5 [243] p.359 – 20 May 1774 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.48–55, 77: PC 2/118/48–55, 77

Colonial Courts
Chancery – 16 January 1771

Participants
Dobbs, Arthur, deceased former Governor and father of the Dobbs brothers
Dobbs, Conway Richard, esquire, of Ireland (son of Arthur Dobbs)
Dobbs, Edward Brice, esquire, of Ireland (son of Arthur Dobbs)
Gregg, Frederick (attorney for Dobbs brothers in Carolina)
Nash, Abner, attorney (husband of Justina Dobbs Nash)
Nash, Justina Dobbs (widow of Arthur Dobbs and wife of Abner Nash)
Smith, Samuel (agent of testator in London)
Tryon, William, Governor

Description
Not stated in the APC. Inheritance dispute according to Committee report.

Disposition
Reversed

Notes
Arthur Dobbs served as Governor of the colony, 1754 – 1765 (commissioned 1753).
Abner Nash served as Governor of the state, 1780–1781.
Justina Dobbs is the stepmother of Conway and Edward Dobbs.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

| TNA Document | Committee report – 12 May 1774 – PC 1/56/74A | view_Doc |
| Notes        | Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [928] p.555         | view_APC |
Report

Report No. 09_1774_00  Appeal of Casey  North Carolina

Case Name Short  Casey, appeal of
Case Name Long  George Casey, appeal of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.5 [301] p.400 – 6 July 1774 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.184–185: PC 2/118/184–185

Colonial Courts
Vice Admiralty Court of North Carolina – 16 July 1773

Participants
Casey, George, master of the Hope
Dry, William, collector of customs for the port of Brunswick

Vessels
Hope (ship)

Description
Condemnation of vessel and cargo at instance of customs officer

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 10_1712_00

Case Name Short: Macarell, appeal of
Case Name Long: John Macarell, appeal of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.2 [1165] p.664 – 17 July 1712 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: Anne v.5 (2 June 1710 – 28 July 1712) p.447: PC 2/83/447

APC Citation: v.2 [1165] p.664 – 9 November 1713 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: Anne v.6 (18 August 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.268–269: PC 2/84/268–269

APC Citation: v.2 [1165] p.664 – 9 November 1713 – entry 3
PC Register Citation: Anne v.6 (18 August 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.269: PC 2/84/269

APC Citation: v.2 [1165] p.664 – 17 December 1713 – entry 4
PC Register Citation: Anne v.6 (18 August 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.293: PC 2/84/293

APC Citation: v.2 [1165] p.664–665 – 14 January 1714 – entry 5
PC Register Citation: Anne v.6 (18 August 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.303–304: PC 2/84/303–304

APC Citation: v.2 [1165] p.665 – 17 January 1714 – entry 6
PC Register Citation: Anne v.6 (18 August 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.319: PC 2/84/319

Colonial Courts

Court of Common Pleas or County Court

Participants

Finlay, Robert, merchant, of London
Fitzgerald, Andrew, master of the St. John Baptist
Macarell, John (owner of the St. John Baptist)
Parks, Thomas, later master of the St. John Baptist (according to APC, v.6 [244] p.101)
Penn, Mr.
Shaw, Robert, merchant, of London

Vessels

St. John Baptist (ship) aka the Society of Dublin
St. Joseph (ship)

Description
Seizure and condemnation

**Disposition**

Restitution of the two vessels

**References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council***

Table of Cases (Macarell, John, Appeal of, also Macarell v Penn and Macarell v Parkes)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Catalogued as</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Petition – no date – PC 1/47/14</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [244] p.101</td>
<td>Catalogued by TNA: Affidavit for serving orders and summons on William Penn in appeal in Macarell v Parkes and others, for illegal condemnation of the St. John the Baptist and the sloop St. Joseph in Pennsylvania. 3 papers. (This is one of the three papers.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order of reference – 17 July 1712 – PC 1/47/14</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [244] p.101</td>
<td>Catalogued by TNA: Affidavit for serving orders and summons on William Penn in appeal in Macarell v Parkes and others, for illegal condemnation of the St. John the Baptist and the sloop St. Joseph in Pennsylvania. 3 papers. (This is one of the three papers.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summons – Nov. 1713 – PC 1/58/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Catalogued by TNA: Affidavit for serving orders and summons on William Penn in appeal in Macarell v Parkes and others, for illegal condemnation of the St. John the Baptist and the sloop St. Joseph in Pennsylvania. 3 papers. (This is one of the three papers.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice – 17 Dec. 1713 – PC 1/58/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Catalogued by TNA: Affidavit for serving orders and summons on William Penn in appeal in Macarell v Parkes and others, for illegal condemnation of the St. John the Baptist and the sloop St. Joseph in Pennsylvania. 3 papers. (This is one of the three papers.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affidavit – Jan.1713/14 – PC 1/58/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Catalogued by TNA: Affidavit for serving orders and summons on William Penn in appeal in Macarell v Parkes and others, for illegal condemnation of the St. John the Baptist and the sloop St. Joseph in Pennsylvania. 3 papers. (This is one of the three papers.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Petition – read 14 Jan. 1713/14 – PC 1/58/1

Correspondence – 14 Jan. 1713/14 – PC 1/58/1
Report

Report No. 10_1720_00  Appeal of Clement  Pennsylvania

Case Name Short  Clement, appeal of  Not a 'true' appeal.
Case Name Long  Simon Clement's appeal on behalf of William Penn's widow

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view APC

APC Citation  v.2 [1330] p.771 – 8 January 1720 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.372: view PC 2/86/372

Colonial Courts
None

Participants
Clement, Simon
Penn, Mrs. William (widow of William Penn)
Penn, William (deceased husband of Mrs. Penn)

Description
Objection to a proposed act in Pennsylvania

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
Not an appeal from a decision of a colonial court but a request for disallowance of an act sent to England for approval

-------------------------------

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 10_1736_00 Appeal of Evans Pennsylvania

Case Name Short Evans, appeal of
Case Name Long Peter Evans, appeal of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.3 [381] p.507 – 16 June 1736 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.482–483: PC 2/93/482–483

APC Citation v.3 [381] p.507 – 19 May 1737 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.47, 91, 223–224, 227–228: PC 2/94/47, 91, 223–224, 227–228

Colonial Courts
Court of Common Pleas – Philadelphia – decision date not stated – information filed in December term 1735

Participants
Bedford, Grosvenor, gentleman, Collector of His Majesty’s Customs at the Port of Philadelphia
Evans, Peter, gentleman, Deputy Collector of the Customs
Reed, Daniel, master of the Hope

Vessels
Hope (ship)

Description
Customs dispute

Disposition
Dismissed

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Case Name Short: Fothergill v Stover

Case Name Long: John Fothergill, Daniel Zachary, Thomas How, Devereux Bowley, Luke Hind, Jacob Hagen, Silvanus Grove and William Heron v Christian Stover

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.4 [587] p.698–699 – 1 February 1765 – entry 1

PC Register Citation: George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.83, 199–200: PC 2/111/83, 199–200

APC Citation: v.4 [587] p.699 – 13 February 1767 – entry 2

PC Register Citation: George III v.5 (August 1766 – January 1768) p.126, 167: PC 2/112/126, 167

Colonial Courts

Supreme Court – 15 April 1763

Participants

Bowley, Devereux (trustee of the Pennsylvania Land Company)
Fothergill, John (trustee of the Pennsylvania Land Company)
Grove, Silvanus (trustee of the Pennsylvania Land Company)
Hagen, Jacob (trustee of the Pennsylvania Land Company)
Heron, William (trustee of the Pennsylvania Land Company)
Hind, Luke (trustee of the Pennsylvania Land Company)
How, Thomas (trustee of the Pennsylvania Land Company)
Stover, Christian
Zachary, Daniel (trustee of the Pennsylvania Land Company)

Description

Land (action of ejectment) in dispute in township of Lampiter in Lancaster County

Disposition

Dismissed

Notes

On the ejectment action involved here, see Additional Research.

original grant of land by the Proprietors. There are 3 engraved survey maps in the body of this document and a large detached map of such portions of Pennsylvania, Maryland and Virginia and the bays of Delaware and Chesapeake as are next adjacent.” Several of the holding libraries here note a separate map. These maps have not been compared with each other. For a fascinating history of the separate map (variously described by the holding libraries), see Wainwright, “Tale of a Runaway Cape.”

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*

Table of Cases (Fothergill v Stover)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appellant’s case</th>
<th>Case of the appellant (Fothergill)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counsel</td>
<td>[Signed] C. Yorke; Wm. Wynne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note</td>
<td>Appendix to case of the appellant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36220 f.73–74 (Includes manuscript notes and appendix, f.75–76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>Law Library of Congress: Rare Books – Law Library Reading Room – KD379.F68 J64 1766 (Includes appendix)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>Wisconsin Historical Society: Historical Society Library Pamphlets in Rare Book – 77–293b Super Oversize (Includes appendix)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent’s case</th>
<th>Case of the respondent (Stover) ESTC: N63621</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counsel</td>
<td>[Signed] W. DeGrey; Fl. Norton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note</td>
<td>The respondent’s case is titled as follows: Pennsylvania. Timothy Peaceable (upon the demise of John Fothergill . . .) appellant and Christian Stover, respondent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36220 f.77–79 (Includes manuscript notes) (Noted in ESTC? No.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>Huntington Library: Rare Books – 181096 (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>John Carter Brown Library: MD 86 (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found

Other Documents

Document See Dallas, Reports 1 (1 U.S. [1 Dall.]), p.6 (Pa. 1763): Lessee of Fothergill and others versus Christian Stover.
Report

Report No. 10_1765_02  McMurterie v Browne  Pennsylvania

Case Name Short  McMurterie v Browne
Case Name Long  David McMurterie and William McMurterie v John Browne and Obadiah Browne

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [609] p.724 – 29 May 1765 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.95, 212; PC 2/111/95, 212

APC Citation  v.4 [609] p.724 – 26 July 1765 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.277, 307; PC 2/111/277, 307

Colonial Courts
Supreme Court – 21 April 1763

Participants
Browne, John
Browne, Obadiah
McMurterie, David, merchant, of Philadelphia county
McMurterie, William, merchant, of Philadelphia county

Vessels
Providence (brigantine)

Description
Insurance dispute

Disposition
Dismissed

Notes
Andrews, Guide to Manuscript Materials, p.166, states that the case contains much information about the commerce of Philadelphia at this time.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Browne v McMurterie)

______________________________________________________________

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Appellant’s case
Case of the appellant (McMurterie)

Counsel
[Signed] Wm. DeGrey; Rd. Jackson

Note
Appendix to the case of the appellant

Library
British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36219
f.227–228 (Includes appendix, f.229–233)

Respondent’s case
Case of the respondent (Browne)

Counsel
[Signed] C. Yorke; R. Perryn

Library
British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36219
f.234–235 (Includes manuscript notes)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

TNA Document
Committee report – 16 July 1765 – PC 1/51/56

Notes
Referenced in APC, v.6 [667] p.403
Report

Report No. 10_1766_01  Long v Harper  Pennsylvania

Case Name Short  Long v Harper

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [639] p.745 – 10 February 1766 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766)
                       p.476-477, 546: PC 2/111/476-477, 546
APC Citation  v.4 [639] p.745 – 26 June 1767 – entry 2

Colonial Courts
Supreme Court – 25 April 1765

Participants
Harper, Thomas (owner of the Molly)
Long, John, merchant, of Philadelphia
Nixon, John (owner of the Molly)
Plumstead, William, merchant, of Philadelphia
Sparkes, James, master of the Molly

Vessels
Molly (ship)

Description
Charter party dispute

Disposition
Affirmed

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Nixon and Harper v Long and Plumstead)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Appellant’s case  Case of the appellant (Long)
Counsel  [Signed] C. Yorke; R. Perryn
Note: Appendix to the case of the appellant

Library: British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36220
f.105–106 (Includes manuscript notes and appendix, f.107–108)

Respondent's case: Case of the respondent (Harper)

Counsel: [Signed] Wm. DeGrey; Rd. Jackson

Note: Appendix to the case of the respondent

Library: British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36220
f.109–110 (Includes appendix, f.111–114)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

TNA Document: Committee report – 10 June 1767 – PC 1/53/60
Notes: Referenced in APC, v.6 [761] p.453–454

Report

Report No. 10_1766_02  Crawford v Hawker  Pennsylvania

Case Name Short  Crawford v Hawker
Case Name Long  Patrick Crawford (representing James Crawford) and Charles Smith v James Hawker

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view_APC

APC Citation  v.5 [8] p.18 – 15 October 1766 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.5 (August 1766 – January 1768) p.64–65: PC 2/112/64–65  view

APC Citation  v.5 [8] p.18 – 3 February 1772 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.9 (January – December 1772) p.62: PC 2/116/62  view

APC Citation  v.5 [8] p.18 – 22 April 1772 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George III v.9 (January – December 1772) p.148, 173: PC 2/116/148, 173  view

Colonial Courts
Vice Admiralty Court – Philadelphia – 8 October 1765

Participants
Crawford, James (brother, presumed deceased, of Patrick Crawford and owner of the Chance and part of its cargo)
Crawford, Patrick (brother and executor of James Crawford)
Hawker, James, commander of HMS Sardoine
Ruecastle, Robert (replacement for Patrick Crawford as representative for James Crawford)
Smith, Charles (owner of part of cargo of the Chance)

Vessels
Chance (ship)
HMS Sardoine

Description
Condemnation for illegal trade

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

Notes
Cited in the APC, v.6 [874] p.507, as Ruecastle and Smith v Hawker.
DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Report

Report No. 10_1770_01  Swift v Jones  Pennsylvania
Swift v Hawkins
Swift v Mitchell

Case Name Short  Swift v Jones
Swift v Hawkins
Swift v Mitchell

Case Name Long  John Swift v Henry Jones and Robert Smith
John Swift v George Hawkins and John Moore
John Swift v Abraham Mitchell and Thomas Lightfoot

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.5 [158] p.276 – 6 July 1770 – entry 1

PC Register Citation  George III v.7 (May 1769 – December 1770) p.450–451, 692–693: PC 2/114/450–451, 692–693

APC Citation  v.5 [158] p.276 – 31 December 1773 – entry 2


PC Register Note  The reference in the APC to p.323–324 is incorrect; p.383–385 contain 3 committee reports, one on p.383–384, one on p.384, and one on p.385.

Colonial Courts
Supreme Court – April 1768

Participants
Hawkins, George
Jones, Henry
Lightfoot, Thomas
Mitchell, Abraham
Moore, John
Smith, Robert
Swift, John, deputy collector of customs at Philadelphia

Vessels
Abbey (snow)

Description
Foreign duties on imports
Disposition
All reversed

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*
Table of Cases (Smith v Jones; Smith v Hawkins; Smith v Mitchell)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 10_1770_02
Pike v Hoare
Pennsylvania

Case Name Short
Pike v Hoare

Case Name Long
Samuel Pike v Samuel Hoare and Nathaniel Newberry

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.5 [161] p.280 – 19 September 1770 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George III v.7 (May 1769 – December 1770) p.488–489: PC 2/114/488–489

APC Citation v.5 [161] p.280 – 30 November 1770 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George III v.7 (May 1769 – December 1770) p.605–606: PC 2/114/605–606

APC Citation v.5 [161] p.281 – 20 December 1770 – entry 3
PC Register Citation George III v.7 (May 1769 – December 1770) p.694–695: PC 2/114/694–695

APC Citation v.5 [161] p.281 – 14 June 1771 – entry 4

Colonial Courts
Chancery – London – 1757 (dismissed 1763)
Supreme Court – 30 March 1769

Participants
Hoare, Samuel, merchant, of London (executor of Richard Pike)
Newberry, Nathaniel, merchant, of London (executor of Richard Pike)
Pike (Pyke), Richard of Stoke Newington (deceased brother of Samuel Pike)
Pike (Pyke), Samuel, merchant, of London and late of Cork (brother and executor of Richard Pike)

Description
Land dispute/inheritance

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Pike v Hoare)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Catalogued as</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Committee report – 14 June 1771 – PC 1/60/9</td>
<td>Catalogued by TNA: Committee report for dismissing appeal Pyke v Hoare for non-prosecution: read and approved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Report

Report No. 10_1773_00 Styles v Kirkbride Pennsylvania

Case Name Short Styles v Kirkbride
Case Name Long James Styles v Joseph Kirkbride and Thomas Riche

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

APC Citation v.5 [278] p.389 – 10 December 1773 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.343, 351–352: PC 2/117/343, 351–352

APC Citation v.5 [278] p.389 – 21 June 1775 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George III v.12 (June 1775 – May 1776) p.32–33: PC 2/119/32–33

APC Citation v.5 [278] p.389 – 30 April 1777 – entry 3
PC Register Citation George III v.13 (June 1776 – May 1777) p.422, 476: PC 2/120/422, 476

Colonial Courts
Superior Court – 10 April 1773

Participants
Hurst, Timothy, presumed deceased
Kirkbride, Joseph
Riche, Thomas
Styles, James

Description
Land dispute in Buckingham county, Pennsylvania

Disposition
Leave given to withdraw the appeal on paying costs to the respondents

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*
Table of Cases (Styles v Kirkbride)

-----------------------------

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

TNA Document Committee order for hearing the appeal – 21 June 1775 – PC 1/60/10/1
Catalogued as Catalogued by TNA: Committee order for hearing the appeal Styles v Kirkbride in Jan. 1776
Report

Report No. 10_1774_00

Sheipers v Logan
(Thrustout v Logan)

Pennsylvania

Case Name Short
Thrustout v Logan
Sheipers v Logan

Case Name Long
Timothy Thrustout on the demise of Peter Henry
Sheipers v James Logan

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation
v.5 [309] p.404 – 19 December 1774 – entry 1

PC Register Citation
George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.318: PC 2/118/318

APC Citation
v.5 [309] p.404 – 23 January 1775 – entry 2

PC Register Citation

Colonial Courts
Supreme Court – 20 April 1773

Participants
Logan, James, gentleman, of Philadelphia county
Sheipers, Peter Henry
Thrustout, Timothy

Description
Land (action of ejectment, according to the Privy Council register) concerning 50 acres of woodland

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

Notes
There can be little doubt that Timothy Thrustout and the lease to him are fictional. For more on the ejectment action involved here, see Additional Research.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee report – 12 Jan. 1775 – PC 1/60/10/1

Catalogued by TNA: Committee report for dismissing the appeal Thrustout v Logan for non-prosecution; 23 Jan. read and approved
Report

Report No. 11_1698_00 Peirce v Willie Rhode Island

Case Name Short Peirce v Willie
Case Name Long Daniel Peirce et al v Edmund and Margaret Willie and Richard and Judith Hancock

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.2 [712] p.324 – 16 July 1698 – entry 1
PC Register Citation William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.205: view
APC Citation v.2 [712] p.324 – 2 September 1698 – entry 2
PC Register Citation William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.223: view

Colonial Courts
Unnamed – Newport

Participants
Brown, Benjamin, esquire, in New England
Brown, William, esquire, in New England
Hancock, Judith (wife of Richard Hancock)
Hancock, Richard (husband of Judith Hancock)
Peirce, Daniel (tenant of William Brown and Benjamin Brown)
Willie, Edmund (husband of Margaret Willie)
Willie, Margaret (wife of Edmund Willie)

Description
Land dispute related to Prudence Island in New England

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Peirce v Willie)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Brinley v [Dyer] Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Brinley v [Dyer]
Case Name Long  Francis Brinley v ___ [Dyer]

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.2 [732] p.328 – 23 February 1699 – entry 1
CSP Citation  XII no. 122
PC Register Citation  William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.302: view
APC Citation  v.2 [732] p.328 – 27 April 1699 – entry 2
CSP Citation  XII no. 315
PC Register Citation  William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.331: view

Colonial Courts

Unnamed

Participants

Brinley, Francis, merchant
[Dyer], ___

Description

Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition

Referred to the Board of Trade; no decision on the merits, but the Order in Council adds “that in all such cases the Governor and Company of the Colony of Rhode Island do take notice that it is the Inherent Right of His Majesty to Receive and Determine Appeals from all His Majestys Colonies in America; and that they do Govern themselves accordingly.”

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Brinley v Dyer)

-------------------------------------------------------------

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1705_01 Appeal of Harris Rhode Island

Case Name Short Harris, appeal of
Case Name Long Andrew Harris, Thomas Field and Nathaniel Waterman, appeal of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.2 [980] p.495 – 29 November 1705 – entry 1
PC Register Citation Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.21:
PC 2/81/21

APC Citation v.2 [980] p.495 – 15 December 1705 – entry 2
PC Register Citation Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.44:
PC 2/81/44

APC Citation v.2 [980] p.495 – 18 December 1705 – entry 3
PC Register Citation Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.47:
PC 2/81/47

APC Citation v.2 [980] p.495 – 13 March 1707 – entry 4
PC Register Citation Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708)
 p.315–316: PC 2/81/315–316

APC Citation v.2 [980] p.495 – 11 June 1707 – entry 5
PC Register Citation Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708)
p.380: PC 2/81/380

APC Citation v.2 [980] p.495 – 12 June 1707 – entry 6
PC Register Citation Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708)
p.383: PC 2/81/383

Colonial Courts
Unnamed

Participants
Chamberlain, John
Field, Thomas of Patuxent
Harris, Andrew of Patuxent
Waterman, Nathaniel of Patuxent

Description
Land

Disposition
Dismissed because of improper parties
DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Committee report – 11 June 1707 – PC 1/46/9</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [175] p.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

view_Doc

view_APC
Report

Report No. 11_1705_02  Appeal of Newton  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Newton, appeal of
Case Name Long  Thomas Newton, appeal of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.2 [987] p.497 – 20 December 1705 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  Anne v.3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708) p.59:

Colonial Courts
Proceedings in colonial court unclear

Participants
Newton, Thomas, mariner

Description
Land

Disposition
Referred to the Board of Trade; no further disposition stated in the APC

Notes
This is a title dispute with reference to Hog Island. The petition of Thomas Newton requests a trial in an adjacent province with impartial judges rather than strictly appealing from a judgment. It is not clear from the entry if there had been any trial below. Bilder, Transatlantic Constitution, p.77, notes this request.

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1709_00 Remington v Brenton Rhode Island

Case Name Short Remington v Brenton
Case Name Long Stephen Remington v Jahleel Brenton

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.2 [1095] p.605 – 9 June 1709 – entry 1
PC Register Citation Anne v.4 (5 March 1708 – 31 May 1710) p.334–335: PC 2/82/334–335

APC Citation v.2 [1095] p.605 – 14 July 1710 – entry 2
PC Register Citation Anne v.5 (2 June 1710 – 28 July 1712) p.23: PC 2/83/23

APC Citation v.2 [1095] p.605 – 31 July 1710 – entry 3
PC Register Citation Anne v.5 (2 June 1710 – 28 July 1712) p.46: PC 2/83/46

Colonial Courts
General Assembly – Providence – October 1708

Participants
Brenton, Jahleel
Remington, Stephen of James Town in Rhode Island (lessee of William Sandford)
Sandford, William (lessor of Stephen Remington)

Description
Not stated in the APC, but see Bilder, Salamanders and Sons of God, p.253, for a description of this land case. The underlying dispute was continued a generation later in Brenton v Remington (11_1747_03, APC, v.4 [57] p.42).

Disposition
Reversed

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Remington v Brenton)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found
Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1718_01  Ford v Hodgson  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Ford v Hodgson
Case Name Long  Peter Ford v Daniel Hodgson

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APC Citation</th>
<th>PC Register Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Colonial Courts

General Court – March 1717 and September 1717
General Assembly – October 1717

Participants

Ford, Peter of Rotherhithe in Surrey
Hodgson, Daniel, master of the *John*
Vernon, Mr.

Vessels

*John* (galley)

Description

Dispute over shares of goods in the *John*

Disposition

Order for a new trial

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*

Table of Cases (Ford v Hodgson)
DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
**Report**

Report No. 11_1718_02  
**Carr v Holmes**  
Rhode Island

**Case Name Short**  Carr v Holmes  
**Case Name Long**  Nicholas Carr v John Holmes and Thomas Martin

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*  
APC Citation  v.2 [1301] p.747 – 27 August 1718 – entry 1  
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.174–175: PC 2/86/174–175  
APC Citation  v.2 [1301] p.747–748 – 15 December 1718 – entry 2  
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.194–195: PC 2/86/194–195  
APC Citation  v.2 [1301] p.748 – 18 November 1719 – entry 3  
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.351: PC 2/86/351  
APC Citation  v.2 [1301] p.748 – 8 January 1720 – entry 4  
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.362: PC 2/86/362

**Colonial Courts**

Unnamed – March 1718 (two special verdicts without judgments entered; perfection of judgments requested by Privy Council)

**Participants**

Carr, Nicholas (brother-in-law of and administrator for William Holmes)  
Holmes, John (tenant of Nicholas Carr)  
Holmes, William (deceased brother-in-law of Nicholas Carr)  
Martin, Thomas (tenant of Nicholas Carr)

**Description**

Land dispute in Newport

**Disposition**

Dismissed

**Notes**

Bilder, *Salamanders and Sons of God*, p.281 n.64, names party as ‘John’ Martin.

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**

Table of Cases (Carr v Holmes)
DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Committee report – 18 Nov. 1719 – PC 1/47/16</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [283] p.119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

view_Doc
view_APC
Report

Report No. 11_1721_01  Appeal of Owen  Rhode Island

Case Name Short: Owen, appeal of
Case Name Long: Capt. John Owen, appeal of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.3 [20] p.30 – 11 November 1721 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George I v.3 (25 August 1720 – 31 May 1722) p.360: PC 2/87/360

APC Citation: v.3 [20] p.30 – 12 July 1723 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 August 1724) p.279: PC 2/88/279

APC Citation: v.3 [20] p.30 – 6 August 1723 – entry 3
PC Register Citation: George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 August 1724) p.303–304: PC 2/88/303–304

Colonial Courts
Admiralty Court of Rhode Island – 18 July 1720 and 1 December 1720

Participants
Owen, Capt. John
Smart, Capt.

Description
Alleged payment due

Disposition
Dismissed without prejudice to prosecuting the appeal in the Court of Admiralty in England

Notes
At issue, payment for freight of 134 slaves

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Owen, John, Appeal of)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1721_02  In re The Pearl  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  In re The Pearl
Case Name Long  In re The Pearl

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [21] p.30 – 11 November 1721 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George I v.3 (25 August 1720 – 31 May 1722) p.360:
                     PC 2/87/360

APC Citation  v.3 [21] p.30 – 12 July 1723 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 August 1724) p.280:
                     PC 2/88/280

Colonial Courts
Rhode Island Admiralty Court – 6 May 1720

Participants
Allen, Daniel (owner of the Pearl)
Clarke, Samuel (owner of the Pearl)
Fox, Daniel (owner of the Pearl)
Littleton, James (owner of the Pearl)
Lowe, Joseph (owner of the Pearl)
Smart, Capt.
Tizard, Edward, sen. (owner of the Pearl)

Vessels
Pearl (ship)

Description
Condemnation, according to Smith (p.187), as ‘lawful capture’

Disposition
Dismissed without prejudice to the prosecution of an appeal at common
law or otherwise

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (In re The Pearl)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found
Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found


**Report**

**Report No. 11_1724_00  Cranston v Jekyll  Jekyll v Cranston  Rhode Island**

**Case Name Short**  Cranston v Jekyll  Jekyll v Cranston

**Case Name Long**  Samuel Cranston v John Jekyll  John Jekyll v Samuel Cranston

**Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series**

| APC Citation | v.3 [73] p.88 – 22 August 1724 – entry 1 | view_APC |
| PC Register Citation | George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 August 1724) p.617: PC 2/88/617 | view |

| APC Citation | v.3 [73] p.88 – 2 December 1724 – entry 2 | view |
| PC Register Citation | George I v.5 (September 1724 – May 1727) p.19: PC 2/89/19 | view |

| APC Citation | v.3 [73] p.88 – 20 July 1725 – entry 3 | view |
| PC Register Citation | George I v.5 (September 1724 – May 1727) p.92, 103–104: PC 2/89/92, 103–104 | view |

| APC Citation | v.3 [73] p.88 – 4 November 1725 – entry 4 | view |
| PC Register Citation | George I v.5 (September 1724 – May 1727) p.122: PC 2/89/122 | view |

| APC Citation | v.3 [73] p.88 – 16 January 1727 – entry 5 | view |
| PC Register Citation | George I v.5 (September 1724 – May 1727) p.297: PC 2/89/297 | view |

**Colonial Courts**

Vice Admiralty Court at Boston

**Participants**

Cranston, Samuel, Governor

Hyam, Thomas, merchant, of London (attorney or agent for the owners, freighters, and insurers of the ship *Porto Prince*)

Jekyll, John, Collector of the Customs

**Vessels**

*Porto Prince* aka *Elpierto del Principe* (ship)

**Description**

Condemnation

**Disposition**

The appeal and cross-appeal are admitted, but the outcome of the hearing
is not stated.

Notes

Smith (p.186) notes that the register indicates in error that an appeal had already been granted to the Lords Commissioners of the Admiralty; in fact it was to the High Court of Admiralty. However, given uncertainties about the jurisdiction of the Vice Admiralty Court, the appellant felt it “more proper to appeal to the King in Council.”

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Cranston v Jekyll)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1725_00  MacSparran v Mumford  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  MacSparran v Mumford
Case Name Long  James MacSparran v George Mumford

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [85] p.118 – 15 May 1725 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George I v.5 (September 1724 – May 1727) p.58; PC 2/89/58

APC Citation  v.3 [85] p.118 – 6 July 1725 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George I v.5 (September 1724 – May 1727) p.81, 86; PC 2/89/81, 86

Colonial Courts
General Court of Trials – Newport – last Tuesday in March 1724

Participants
MacSparran, James
Mumford, George of South Kingstown

Description
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series, but see Notes below.

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

Notes
Related to other Privy Council appeals:
Torrey v Mumford – Report No. 11_1733_00 (APC, v.3 [293] p.402)
MacSparran v Hassard – Report No. 11_1736_00 (APC, v.3 [390] p.518)

All of these Privy Council appeals concern a dispute about glebe land and have overlapping parties. There is an extensive discussion of this dispute in Bilder, Salamanders and Sons of God, ch. 6.

MacSparran v Hassard mentions that the March 1724 action in the General Court of Trials for MacSparran v Mumford is a rehearing from the General Court of Trials in Newport on the first Tuesday of September 1723.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (McSparran v Mumford)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Catalogued as</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Petition of G. Mumford to dismiss appeal – May 1725 – PC 1/58/3</td>
<td>Catalogued by TNA: Petition of George Mumford to dismiss the appeal of James MacSparran</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

view_Doc
Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Name Short</th>
<th>Wharton v Northrup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case Name Long</td>
<td>Eunice Wharton v Stephen, Thomas, Benjamin, Henry jr., and Nicholas Northrup and Lawrence Whaley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series**

| APC Citation | v.3 [166] p.214 – 1 February 1729 – entry 1 |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.436: PC 2/90/436 |
| PC Register Citation | view |

| APC Citation | v.3 [166] p.214 – 16 March 1730 – entry 2 |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.192, 257: PC 2/91/192, 257 |
| PC Register Citation | view |

| APC Citation | v.3 [166] p.214–215 – 14 December 1730 – entry 3 |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.302–303: PC 2/91/302–303 |
| PC Register Citation | view |

| APC Citation | v.3 [166] p.215 – 23 December 1730 – entry 4 |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.305: PC 2/91/305 |
| PC Register Citation | view |

**Colonial Courts**

- General Court of Trials – Newport – [first Tuesday of September 1727]
- General Court of Trials – Newport – first Tuesday of March 1728

**Participants**

- Northrup, Benjamin
- Northrup, Henry, Jr.
- Northrup, Nicholas
- Northrup, Stephen
- Northrup, Thomas
- Whaley, Lawrence
- Wharton, Eunice (widow of William Wharton)
- Wharton, William of the parish of St. Bartholomew, Exchange, in London (deceased husband of Eunice Wharton)

**Description**

Land ("concerning the right to a Tract of Land," according to the Privy Council register; "an ejectment action" according to Smith [p.355 n.17])

**Disposition**

Reversed with a new trial in the General Court, evidence and objections to be taken in writing, with further option to appeal. Bilder, *Salamanders*
*and Sons of God*, p.288, notes that Wharton lost when the case was retried and, though granted an appeal, Wharton later withdrew it.

**Notes**

On the original action involved here, see Additional Research.

For the background of the case, which seems to have had its origins in a disputed acquisition of land from the Narragansetts in the late 17th century, but also involved a deed given to Wharton’s late husband during the Andros administration and the wills of two members of the Wharton family, see Bilder, *Salamanders and Sons of God*, p.283–288.

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**

Table of Cases (Wharton v Northrup)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1729_02  Wood v Sanford  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Wood v Sanford
Case Name Long  William Wood and John Allen v Samuel Sanford

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [172] p.227 – 26 March 1729 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.467: PC 2/90/467

APC Citation  v.3 [172] p.227 – 31 October 1729 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 July 1732) p.69: PC 2/91/69

APC Citation  v.3 [172] p.227 – 19 November 1729 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 July 1732) p.81, 160, 191: PC 2/91/81, 160, 191

APC Citation  v.3 [172] p.227–228 – 3 May 1731 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 July 1732) p.379–380: PC 2/91/379–380

APC Citation  v.3 [172] p.228 – 11 May 1731 – entry 5
PC Register Citation  George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 July 1732) p.387–388: PC 2/91/387–388

Colonial Courts
General Court of Trials – Portsmouth – first Tuesday in September 1726
General Court of Trials – Portsmouth – last Tuesday of March 1727 – rehearing
General Court of Trials – first Tuesday in September 1727 – rehearing

Participants
Allen, John, husbandman, of Newport
Sanford, Samuel of Newport
Wood, William, husbandman, of Newport

Description
Land (declaration in ejectment) in Portsmouth, with detailed explanation of this inheritance dispute in chapter 5 of Bilder, Salamanders and Sons of God

Disposition
Wood and Allen to be restored to their possession

Notes
For the ‘declaration in ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1730_00  Sabere v Sabere  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Sabere v Sabere
Case Name Long  Daniel Sabere v Ann Sabere and Deborah Sabere and Mary Sabere

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APC Citation</th>
<th>PC Register Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v.3 [210] p.283 – 20 April 1730 – entry 1</td>
<td>George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.229, 351, 373: PC 2/91/229, 351, 373</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Colonial Courts**

General Court of Trials – first Tuesday in September 1729

**Participants**

Sabere, Ann (widow of John Sabere and mother of Deborah and Mary Sabere)
Sabere, Daniel of Newport
Sabere, Deborah (daughter of John and Ann Sabere)
Sabere, John (deceased husband of Ann Sabere and father of Deborah and Mary Sabere)
Sabere, Mary (daughter of John and Ann Sabere)

**Description**


**Disposition**

Reversed

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**

Table of Cases (Sabeere et al v Sabeere)

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
### Report

**Report No. 11_1732_00**  
Brenton v Boreland (1)  
Boreland v Brenton  
Brenton v Boreland (2)

| Case Name Short | Brenton v Boreland (1)  
| Boreland v Brenton  
| Brenton v Boreland (2) |
| Case Name Long | Jahleel Brenton (later Jahleel Brenton, his son and executor) v Francis and Jane Boreland  
| Francis and Jane Boreland v Jahleel Brenton, son and executor of Jahleel Brenton  
| Jahleel Brenton, son and executor of Jahleel Brenton, v Francis and Jane Boreland |

**Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series**

**APC Citation**  
v.3 [266] p.365 – 7 September 1732 – entry 1

**PC Register Citation**  
George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.49: PC 2/92/49

**APC Citation**  
v.3 [266] p.365 – 19 July 1733 – entry 2

**PC Register Citation**  
George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.184, 196: PC 2/92/184, 196

**APC Citation**  
v.3 [266] p.365 – 31 January 1734 – entry 3

**PC Register Citation**  

**APC Citation**  
v.3 [266] p.365–366 – 11 July 1734 – entry 4

**PC Register Citation**  

**APC Citation**  
v.3 [266] p.366 – 3 April 1735 – entry 5

**PC Register Citation**  
George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.84, 119, 125–126, 145: PC 2/93/84, 119, 125–126, 145

**APC Citation**  
v.3 [266] p.366 – 13 October 1736 – entry 6

**PC Register Citation**  
George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.2–3: PC 2/94/2–3

**APC Citation**  
v.3 [266] p.366 – 12 January 1738 – entry 7

**PC Register Citation**  

**Colonial Courts**

Inferior Court – Newport – 6 April 1731
Superior Court – Newport – first Tuesday in September 1731  
Inferior Court – June 1732  
Superior Court – Newport – first Tuesday of September 1732  
Governor and General Assembly – 15 June 1733  
Superior Court – 2 September 1735

Participants
Boreland, Francis of Boston in Massachusetts (husband of Jane Boreland)  
Boreland, Jane of Boston in Massachusetts (wife of Francis Boreland)  
Brenton, Jahleel (deceased father of Jahleel Brenton)  
Brenton, Jahleel (son and executor of Jahleel Brenton)

Description
Appeals from two actions of account, arising, according to Bilder, *Salamanders and Sons of God*, p.369–370, out of an inheritance of land by tenants-in-common.

Disposition
The appeal by Brenton in 1732 was dismissed for non-prosecution. In 1734, his executor was permitted to appeal again, but no further proceedings on this appeal have been found. In the spring of 1735, the judgments of September of 1732 and June of 1733 in the second case were reversed in favor of the Borelands, with Brenton left to proceed on the merits in Superior Court. A subsequent appeal by Brenton from the Superior Court’s judgment in September of 1735 reinstating the judgment of June 1732 in favor of the Borelands was dismissed in January of 1738.

Notes
The account in the *APC* of these appeals is cryptic to the point of obscurity. On the basis of the Privy Council registers, Bilder’s treatment in *Salamanders and Sons of God*, p.369–370, and the respondent’s brief in Brenton’s appeal from the Superior Court judgment of September 1735, we have constructed the account that appears above. We think we have accounted for all the colonial court proceedings and all the appeals. What remains unaccounted for is the relationship between the two original actions of account in Rhode Island. The second was a claim for one-seventh of the revenues from 2465 acres of land in South Kingston from November of 1711 to September of 1725, during the period when Jane Boreland was unmarried. No specifics are given about first claim, except that it, too, deals with the period when Jane was unmarried. It probably dealt with another piece of land, but of this we cannot be sure. The end result would seem to have been that Brenton’s estate owed the Borelands £800 plus a substantial amount of costs.

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*
Table of Cases (Brenton v Boreland; Boreland v Brenton)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant's case
Case of the appellant (Brenton)

Note
Not found

Respondent's case
Case of the respondent (Boreland)  ESTC: N67012

Counsel
[Signed] D. Ryder; W. Murray

Library
John Carter Brown Library: bD737.B731r (Includes manuscript notes. John Carter Brown gives the date of the printed case as view 1737.) (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

**Report No. 11_1733_00**  Torrey v Mumford  Rhode Island

**Case Name Short**  Torrey v Mumford  
**Case Name Long**  Joseph Torrey v George Mumford  

_Aets of the Privy Council, Colonial Series_  view APC

| APC Citation | v.3 [293] p.402 – 20 December 1733 – entry 1 | view APC |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.281, 489, 504: PC 2/92/281, 489, 504 | view |
| APC Citation | v.3 [293] p.402–404 – 16 July 1734 – entry 2 | view |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.527–529: PC 2/92/527–529 | view |
| APC Citation | v.3 [293] p.404 – 18 July 1733 recte 1734 – entry 3 | view |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.537–538: PC 2/92/537–538 | view |

**Colonial Courts**

Inferior Court of Common Pleas – last Tuesday in June 1732  
Superior Court – first Tuesday of September 1732  
Superior Court – Newport – last Tuesday in March 1733

**Participants**

Bundy, James  
Hull, John  
Miles, Rev. Mr. Samuel, Presbyterian minister  
Mumford, George  
Smith, John, surveyor  
Torrey, Joseph, Presbyterian minister, of South Kingstown in King’s County

**Description**

Land (trespass and ejectment) called the Petaquamsent (Petaquamset) purchase

**Disposition**

Reversed

**Notes**

Related to other Privy Council appeals:  
MacSparran v Mumford – Report No. 11_1725_00 (_APC_, v.3 [85] p.118)
MacSparran v Hassard – Report No. 11_1736_00 (APC, v.3 [390] p.518)

All of these Privy Council appeals concern a dispute about glebe land and have overlapping parties. There is an extensive discussion of this dispute in Bilder, *Salamanders and Sons of God*, ch. 6.

Multiple spellings are given for the surname of the Presbyterian minister – Miles in Torrey v Mumford; Nyles in MacSparran v Hassard; Myles in Chalmers, *Opinions of Eminent Lawyers*, 1:9.

On the action of ‘trespass and ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

**References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council***

Table of Cases (Torrey v Mumford)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1735_01   Coggeshall v Pelham   Rhode Island

Case Name Short    Coggeshall v Pelham
Case Name Long    Peter Coggeshall v Edward Pelham

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view_APC

APC Citation    v.3 [340] p.459 – 3 April 1735 – entry 1
PC Register Citation    George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.149: PC 2/93/149

APC Citation    v.3 [340] p.459 – 16 May 1735 – entry 2
PC Register Citation    George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.154, 161: PC 2/93/154, 161

Colonial Courts
Superior Court – Newport – March 1733

Participants
Coggeshall, Peter
Pelham, Edward of Newport

Description
Land. The matter arose as an inheritance dispute involving Peter Coggeshall’s wife, according to Bilder, Salamanders and Sons of God, p.343.

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Case Name Short  Hassard v Potter
Case Name Long  Stephen Hassard and Robert Hassard v John Potter

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [362] p.485 – 6 November 1735 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.251: PC 2/93/251

APC Citation  v.3 [362] p.485 – 12 June 1739 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.253–254, 263: PC 2/93/253–254, 263

PC Register Citation  George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.24, 34–35: PC 2/94/24, 34–35

PC Register Citation  George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.128–130, 209: PC 2/95/128–130, 209

Colonial Courts
Court of Common Pleas – Kingstown – January 1735
Superior Court – March 1735

Participants
Hassard, Robert of South Kingstown in King’s County
Hassard, Stephen of South Kingstown, in King’s County
Potter, John

Description
Land (trespass and ejectment) for recovery of a sedge bed

Disposition
Dismissed

Notes
On the action of ‘trespass and ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Hassard v Potter)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1735_03  Johnson v Arnold  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Johnson v Arnold
Case Name Long  Ezekiel Johnson v Josiah Arnold

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

APC Citation  v.3 [365] p.486–487 – 26 November 1735 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.264–265; PC 2/93/264–265

APC Citation  v.3 [365] p.487 – 18 December 1735 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.269, 283–284; PC 2/93/269, 283–284

Colonial Courts

Superior Court – 26 March 1734

Participants

Arnold, Josiah of James Town in Newport County
Johnson, Ezekiel

Description

Not stated in the *APC* but an inheritance dispute over land, according to Bilder, *Salamanders and Sons of God*, p.390

Disposition

Dismissed for non-prosecution

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1736_00  MacSparran v Hassard  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  MacSparran v Hassard
Case Name Long  James MacSparran v Robert Hassard

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [390] p.518 – 24 November 1736 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.24–25: PC 2/94/24–25

APC Citation  v.3 [390] p.518 – 26 January 1737 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.91, 95–96: PC 2/94/91, 95–96

APC Citation  v.3 [390] p.518 – 21 February 1737 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.128: PC 2/94/128

APC Citation  v.3 [390] p.519–529 – 28 February 1738 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.433–445: PC 2/94/433–445

APC Citation  v.3 [390] p.529 – 8 March 1736 recte 1738 – entry 5
PC Register Citation  George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.476: PC 2/94/476

APC Citation  v.3 [390] p.529 – 10 July 1740 – entry 6
PC Register Citation  George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 August 1741) p.160: PC 2/96/160

APC Citation  v.3 [390] p.530 – 28 April 1741 – entry 7
PC Register Citation  George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 August 1741) p.463: PC 2/96/463

APC Citation  v.3 [390] p.530 – 7 May 1752 – entry 8
PC Register Citation  George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.525, 536: PC 2/102/525, 536

APC Citation  George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753) p.46, 51–54, 70: PC 2/103/46, 51–54, 70

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court of Common Pleas – 6 January 1735
Superior Court of Judicature – 30 March 1736
Superior Court – 2 April 1739

Participants

Bishop of London
Brenton, Jahleel, esquire
Bull, Ivich
Bundy, James
Cheesbrough, David, gentleman, of Newport
Church, Benjamin, gentleman, of Newport
Coggeshall, Peter, gentleman, of Newport
Congdon, William
Gardner, Ephriam, esquire
Gardner, Henry the Elder
Hassard (Hazard), Robert, gentleman (tenant of Joseph Torrey)
Holme, James of South Kingstown
MacSparran, James, incumbent minister of St. Paul’s in the
Pettiquamscot (Pettiquamscut, Petequamscut) Purchase and in King’s
County
Mumford, George
Mumford, William, gentleman, of South Kingstown
Nyles, Rev. Samuel, minister
Potter, Thomas (tenant of James MacSparran)
Sandford, Thomas, merchant, of London
Smith, John, surveyor
Society for the Propagation of the Gospel in Foreign Parts
Torrey, Joseph, minister
Townshend, Nathaniel, jun., gentleman, of Newport
Wilber (Wilbor), Samuel (partner in the Pettiquamscot Purchase, also
spelled Pettiquamscut, Petequamscut)

**Description**

Land (“writ of ejectment,” according to the APC; “Action of Trespass
and Ejectment,” according to the Privy Council register)

**Disposition**

Affirmed

**Notes**

Related to other Privy Council appeals:

MacSparran v Mumford – Report No. 11_1725_00 (APC, v.3 [85]
p.118)

Torrey v Mumford – Report No. 11_1733_00 (APC, v.3 [293] p.402)

All of these Privy Council appeals concern a dispute about glebe land
and have overlapping parties. There is an extensive discussion of this

Multiple spellings are given for the surname of the Presbyterian minister
– Miles in Torrey v Mumford; Nyles in MacSparran v Hassard; Myles in
A related colonial case, Torrey v Gardner, was heard in the Inferior Court on 24 June 1735 and in the Superior Court on 2 September 1735, but does not seem to have been appealed.

On the original action involved here, see Additional Research.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (MacSparran v Hazzard)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant's case
Case of the appellant (MacSparran)

Counsel
[Signed] W. Murray

Library
British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36217 f. 1–2 view

Library
Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection (Minor underlining on first page) view

Respondent's case
Case of the respondent (Hassard)

Counsel
[Signed] D. Ryder; A. Hume-Campbell

Library
British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36217 f.3–6 (Includes manuscript notes) view

Library
Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection (Includes manuscript notes) view

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1737_00  Brenton v Brenton  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Brenton v Brenton
Case Name Long  Benjamin Brenton v Jahleel Brenton

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [403] p.563 – 17 March 1737 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.140: PC 2/94/140

APC Citation  v.3 [403] p.563 – 22 March 1739 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.95–98, 143–144: PC 2/95/95–98, 143–144

Colonial Courts

Court of Common Pleas – 6 January 1736
Superior Court – 30 March 1736

Participants

Brenton, Abigail (daughter of William Brenton)
Brenton, Benjamin of Newport (great-grandson of William Brenton)
Brenton, Ebenezer (son of William Brenton)
Brenton, Jahleel (executor of William Brenton)
Brenton, Jahleel (deceased son and formerly executor of William Brenton)
Brenton, Mehetabel (daughter of William Brenton)
Brenton, Sarah (daughter of William Brenton)
Brenton, William (deceased great-grandfather of Benjamin Brenton and father of Jahleel, William, Ebenezer, Sarah, Mehetabel and Abigail)
Brenton, William (son of William Brenton)
Poole, John (son-in-law of William Brenton)
Sandford, Peleg (son-in-law of William Brenton)

Description

Land (action of account arising from the will of William Brenton) in South Kings Town

Disposition

Dismissed without prejudice to Benjamin Brenton to bring an action in the colonial courts

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report No. 11_1738_01

Brown v Allen
Rhode Island

Case Name Short: Brown v Allen
Case Name Long: Peleg Brown v James Allen and Ezekiel Chever

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.3 [435] p.603 – 8 March 1738 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.481–482; PC 2/94/481–482

APC Citation: v.3 [435] p.603–605 – 12 June 1738 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.536, 556–558: PC 2/94/536, 556–558

APC Citation: v.3 [435] p.605 – 20 July 1738 – entry 3
PC Register Citation: George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.591: PC 2/94/591

Colonial Courts

Court of Vice Admiralty – 30 March 1736
Inferior Court of Common Pleas – 4 January 1736/7
Superior Court of Judicature – Newport – 29 March 1737
General Assembly – 4 May 1737

Participants

Allen, James
Brown (Browne), Peleg, Collector of his Majesty’s Customs in Rhode Island, of Newport in the County of Bristol
Chever, Ezekiel
Green, ___
Silk, Samuel, master of the Providence

Vessels

Providence (sloop)

Description

Duty due on molasses

Disposition

Appeal sustained with details about repayment

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Brown v Allen and Chever)
DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant’s case
Case of the appellant (Brown) ESTC: N48495

Counsel [Signed] D. Ryder; J. Strange

Note Printed case for the appellant names the case Brown v Allen

Library John Carter Brown Library: bDB G7882 1738 1 (Manuscript notes limited to ‘judgment for the appellant’ on the dorse) (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

Respondent’s case
Case of the respondent (Allen) ESTC: N48493

Counsel [Signed] W. Murray

Note Printed case for the respondent names the case Brown v Cheevers and Allen

Library John Carter Brown Library: bD738 C515r (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1738_02  Coggeshall v Coggeshall  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Coggeshall v Coggeshall
Case Name Long  Daniel Coggeshall v Mary Coggeshall

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

APC Citation  v.3 [436] p.605 – 17 March 1738 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.499: PC 2/94/499
APC Citation  v.3 [436] p.605 – 12 June 1739 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.5, 164, 166, 167–177, 209–210: PC 2/95/5, 164, 166, 167–177, 209–210

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – 18 November 1735
Superior Court – 30 March 1736 and 6 September 1737

Participants
Coggeshall, Daniel of North King’s Town in King’s County (grandson of Joshua Coggeshall)
Coggeshall, Joshua (deceased grandfather of Daniel Coggeshall)
Coggeshall, Mary

Description
Land in Portsmouth (trespass and ejectment) related to inheritance dispute

Disposition
Appeal sustained without prejudice to claim for dower

Notes
On the action of ‘trespass and ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*
Table of Cases (Coggeshall v Coggeshall)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Appellant’s case  Case of the appellant (Coggeshall)  ESTC: T140450
Counsel: [Signed] J. Browne; W. Murray

Library: British Library: General Reference Collection – L.3.a.1 [vol. 9] (1) (Bound with appeals to the House of Lords. The ESTC record states in error that the appeal was to that body.) (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

Library: John Carter Brown Library: bD 739 C676r1 (Includes manuscript notes, slightly clipped) (Noted in ESTC? No.)

Respondent’s case: Case of the respondent (Coggeshall) ESTC: T140451

Counsel: [Signed] D. Ryder; J. Strange

Library: British Library: General Reference Collection – L.3.a.1 [vol. 9] (2) (Bound with appeals to the House of Lords. The ESTC record states in error that the appeal was to that body.) (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

Library: John Carter Brown Library: bD 739 C676r (Noted in ESTC? No.)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1738_03  Martin v Gardiner  Rhode Island

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Name Short</th>
<th>Martin v Gardiner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case Name Long</td>
<td>James Martin v William Gardiner by Abigail Gardiner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series**

- APC Citation: v.3 [442] p.612 – 25 May 1738 – entry 1
- PC Register Citation: George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.551: PC 2/94/551
- APC Citation: v.3 [442] p.612 – 20 July 1738 – entry 2
- PC Register Citation: George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.571, 593: PC 2/94/571, 593

**Colonial Courts**

Superior Court – Newport – 29 March 1737

**Participants**

- Gardiner, Abigail (grandmother and guardian of William Gardiner)
- Gardiner, William, infant, of South Kingstown in King’s County (grandson of Abigail Gardiner)
- Martin, James

**Description**

Not stated in the APC, but according to Bilder, *Salamanders and Sons of God*, p.344–345, a land dispute related to inheritance. Like Martin v Gibbs (11_1738_04, APC, v.3 [451] p.618), it arises upon the death of Elizabeth Gibbs Gardiner Martin, the wife of James Martin.

**Disposition**

Dismissed for non-prosecution

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**

Table of Cases (Martin v Gardiner)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1738_04  Martin v Gibbs  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Martin v Gibbs  
Case Name Long  James Martin v Elizabeth Gibbs  

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  

APC Citation  v.3 [451] p.618 – 30 November 1738 – entry 1  
PC Register Citation  George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.5, 48: PC 2/95/5, 48  
APC Citation  v.3 [451] p.618 – 21 December 1738 – entry 2  
PC Register Citation  George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.62, 64: PC 2/95/62, 64  

Colonial Courts  
General Court – 26 October 1737  

Participants  
Gibbs, Elizabeth of Newport  
Gibbs, William, deceased painter  
Martin, James  

Description  
Estate dispute. According to Bilder, Salamanders and Sons of God, p.344–345, like Martin v Gardiner (11_1738_03, APC, v.3 [436] p.605), this case arises upon the death of Elizabeth Gibbs Gardiner Martin, the wife of James Martin.  

Disposition  
Dismissed for non-prosecution  

DOCUMENTATION  
Printed Cases  
Not found  
Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew  
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1745_01  Fogg v Harvey  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Fogg v Harvey
Case Name Long  Edward Fogg v William Harvey

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view

APC Citation  v.4 [12] p.10 – 7 November 1745 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.225, 242–243: PC 2/99/225, 242–243  view

APC Citation  v.4 [12] p.10 – 28 November 1745 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.260–261, 268: PC 2/99/260–261, 268  view

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court
Superior Court of Judicature – 27 March 1744
Court of Equity – Court of Equity abolished and appeals directed to Privy Council

Participants
Fogg, Edward
Harvey, William, merchant, of Charleston in South Carolina

Description
Indebtedness

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Fogg v Harvey)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
**Report**

**Report No. 11_1745_02**  
Potter v Freeborn  
Rhode Island

---

**Case Name Short**  
Potter v Freeborn

**Case Name Long**  
John Potter v Joseph and Dorcas Freeborn

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

**APC Citation**  
v.4 [16] p.12 – 7 November 1745 – entry 1

**PC Register Citation**  
George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.242:  
PC 2/99/242

**APC Citation**  
v.4 [16] p.12–13 – 30 June 1752 – entry 2

**PC Register Citation**  
George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.190:  
PC 2/100/190

**PC Register Citation**  
George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753) p.155:  
PC 2/103/155

**APC Citation**  
v.4 [16] p.13–6 August 1752 – entry 3

**PC Register Citation**  
George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753) p.158–160, 177–178:  
PC 2/103/158–160, 177–178

---

**Colonial Courts**

Inferior Court – 21 February 1744  
Superior Court – 27 March 1744

**Participants**

Baker, Fotherley (solicitor for Dorcas Freeborn)  
Freeborn, Dorcas (wife, later widow, of Joseph Freeborn)  
Freeborn, Joseph (husband, later deceased, of Dorcas Freeborn)  
Paris, Mr. (solicitor for John Potter)  
Potter, John, yeoman, of South Kingstown in King’s County (executor of  
Samuel Potter)  
Potter, Samuel

**Description**

Action of debt arising, according to Bilder, *Salamanders and Sons of God*, p.304, from an inheritance dispute

**Disposition**

Affirmed

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**

Table of Cases (Potter v Freeborn)
DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant's case  Case of the appellant (Potter)

Note  Not found

Respondent's case  Case of the respondent (Freeborn)

Counsel  [Signed] Al. Forrester

Library  Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection (2 copies of the respondent's case)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1746_01 Rous v Hassard Hassard v Rous

Case Name Short
Rous v Hassard
Hassard v Rous

Case Name Long
John Rous v Benjamin Hassard, Robert Carr, John Easton and Jonathan Hassard
Benjamin Hassard, Robert Carr, John Easton and Jonathan Hassard v John Rous

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation
v.4 [20] p.14 – 27 February 1745/6 – entry 1

PC Register Citation
George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.369: PC 2/99/369

APC Citation

PC Register Citation

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – 31 May 1743
Superior Court – 6 September 1743
Court of Equity – Providence – 11 October 1743

Participants
Carr, Robert
Easton, John
Hassard, Benjamin
Hassard, Jonathan
Rous, John, commander of the bilander privateer, the Young Eagle, of Boston

Vessels
Young Eagle (bilander)
Kingston aka the South Kingstown (sloop)

Description
Seizure of the Kingston by the privateer Young Eagle. Smith (p.520) explains the argument as to whether this was in fact a prize cause.

Disposition
Judgments of the colonial courts are all reversed.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Rous v Hazzard)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant's case
Case of the appellant/respondent (Hassard)

Counsel
[Signed] Wm. Noel; A. Hume-Campbell

Library
New York Public Library. Schwarzman Building: Rare Book Collection *KC+++ p.v.20–21 (Great Britain. High Court of Appeal for Prizes) (This case is in v.21.)

Respondent's case
Case of the appellant/respondent (Rous)

Counsel
[Signed] D. Ryder; W. Murray

Library
Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection (Includes manuscript notes)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1746_02  Vanbrugh v Powers  Rhode Island
Powers v Vanbrugh

Case Name Short  Vanbrugh v Powers
Powers v Vanbrugh

Case Name Long  David Vanbrugh and Samuel Carpenter v Joseph Powers
Joseph Powers v David Vanbrugh and Samuel Carpenter

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [31] p.23 – 23 July 1746 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.534:
PC 2/99/534

APC Citation  v.4 [31] p.24 – 23 July 1746 – entry 2 (A second entry
on the same day.)
PC Register Citation  George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.534:
PC 2/99/534

APC Citation  v.4 [31] p.24 – 12 March 1747 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.143,
183–184: PC 2/100/143, 183–184

APC Citation  v.4 [31] p.24 – 23 May 1751 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.240–
241: PC 2/102/240–241

APC Citation  v.4 [31] p.24 – 9 July 1751 – entry 5
PC Register Citation  George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.262:
PC 2/102/262

APC Citation  v.4 [31] p.24 – 31 October 1751 – entry 6
PC Register Citation  George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.330–
332, 352: PC 2/102/330–332, 352

Colonial Courts

Court of Equity – 11 October 1743 – re Powers
Unnamed – 15 November 1743 – re Vanbrugh
Superior Court – 27 March 1744 – re Vanbrugh
Superior Court of Rhode Island – 26 March 1745 – re Vanbrugh

Participants

Ayrault, Daniel, jun. (appointed auditor who declined to serve)
Carpenter, Samuel, merchant, of Kingston in Jamaica
Clark, Jeremiah (auditor)
Coddington, William (auditor)
Gibbs, Robert (auditor)
Powers, Joseph, mariner, of Newport
Rodman, Capt. Samuel (appointed auditor who declined to serve)
Thurston, Capt. Jonathan (appointed auditor who declined to serve)
Vanbrugh, Daniel, merchant, of Kingston in Jamaica

**Description**

Bill of exchange (Powers v Vanbrugh)
Action of account that, according to Bilder, *Salamanders and Sons of God*, p.297, involves Indian slaves (Vanbrugh v Powers)

**Disposition**

Dismissed for non-prosecution (Powers v Vanbrugh)
Reversed (Vanbrugh v Powers)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1746_03  Polock v Verplank  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Polock v Verplank
Case Name Long  Isaac Polock v Gulian Verplank

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [40] p.34–35 – 17 November 1746 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.41:
                     PC 2/100/41

APC Citation  v.4 [40] p.35 – 12 March 1747 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748)
                     p.143–144, 184: PC 2/100/143–144, 184

Colonial Courts
Superior Court – Newport – 3 September 1745

Participants
Polock, Isaac
Verplank, Gulian, merchant, of New York City

Description
Action of debt

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1746_04 Tripp v Tripp Rhode Island

Case Name Short Tripp v Tripp
Case Name Long Wait Tripp v Benjamin Tripp

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [43] p.36–37 – 17 November 1746 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.42: PC 2/100/42

APC Citation v.4 [43] p.37 – 14 January 1752 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.170: PC 2/100/170

PC Register Citation George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.403–405, 427–428: PC 2/102/405–404, 427–428

Colonial Courts

Superior Court – 2 October 1744
Superior Court – Newport – 26 March 1745

Participants

Tripp, Benjamin
Tripp, Mary
Tripp, Othniel, deceased yeoman, of Newport
Tripp, Wait (widow)

Description

Land in dispute, part of the estate of Othniel Tripp

Disposition

Dismissed

__________________________________________________________________

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1747_01  Staniford v Newell  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Staniford v Newell
Case Name Long  John Staniford v Timothy and Margaret Newell

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

APC Citation  v.4 [51] p.40–41 – 12 February 1747 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.141: PC 2/100/141
APC Citation  v.4 [51] p.41 – 12 March 1747 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.144, 184: PC 2/100/144, 184

**Colonial Courts**

Superior Court
Superior Court – 27 March 1744

**Participants**

Newell, Margaret of Newport (wife of Timothy Newell)
Newell, Timothy of Newport (husband of Margaret Newell)
Partridge, Richard (attorney for Timothy and Margaret Newell)
Staniford, John

**Description**

Land in Newport (action of ejectment). Bilder, *Salamanders and Sons of God*, p.307, indicates the dispute arose over the validity of a will.

**Disposition**

Dismissed for non-prosecution

**Notes**

On the action of ejectment involved here, see Additional Research.

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1747_02  Freebody v Wanton  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Freebody v Wanton
Case Name Long  John Freebody v Joseph Wanton

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [56] p.42 – 3 June 1747 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.274–275; PC 2/100/274–275 view

APC Citation  v.4 [56] p.42 – 30 April 1751 – entry 2

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – 27 May 1746
Superior Court – 2 September 1746

Participants
Freebody, John, merchant, of Newport
Wanton, Joseph

Description
Trespass relating to seizure of goods from warehouse

Disposition
Reversed with limit on damages

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1747_03  Brenton v Remington  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Brenton v Remington
Case Name Long  Benjamin Brenton v Gersham Remington

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

| APC Citation  | v.4 [57] p.42 – 3 June 1747 – entry 1 |
| PC Register Citation  | George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.219, 275: PC 2/100/219, 275 |

| APC Citation  | v.4 [57] p.42 – 30 April 1751 – entry 2 |
| PC Register Citation  | George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.142–143, 209–210: PC 2/102/142–143, 209–210 |

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court
Superior Court – 25 March 1746

Participants
Brenton, Benjamin, mariner, of Newport
Remington, Gersham

Description
Land (“writ of ejectment,” according to the APC; “Writ of Trespass and Ejectment,” according to the Committee report) in Jamestown, Newport County

Disposition
Dismissed

Notes
On the original action involved here, see Additional Research.

The dispute, according to Bilder, Salamanders and Sons of God, p.305, continues attempts by the Brenton family to reclaim land long held by the Remingtons (Remington v Brenton, 11_1709_00, APC, v.2 [1095] p.605).

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Brenton v Remington)

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
# Report

Report No. 11_1748_00  Gibbs v Sheldon  Rhode Island

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Name Short</th>
<th>Gibbs v Sheldon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case Name Long</td>
<td>Robert Gibbs v Jonathan Sheldon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

| APC Citation | v.4 [94] p.72 – 15 November 1748 – entry 1 |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.113; PC 2/101/113 |

| APC Citation | v.4 [94] p.72 – 28 November 1748 – entry 2 |
| PC Register Citation | George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.116–117, 119–120; PC 2/101/116–117, 119–120 |

**Colonial Courts**

- Inferior Court – 20 December 1743
- Superior Court – 27 March 1744
- Superior Court – 2 October 1744

**Participants**

- Gibbs, Robert
- Partridge, Richard (agent of Jonathan Sheldon)
- Sheldon, Jonathan, master of the sloop *Hopewell*, of Providence

**Vessels**

- *Hopewell* (sloop)

**Description**

Not stated in the *APC*, but Bilder, *Salamanders and Sons of God*, p.302, indicates allegations of fraud over the loss of a ship.

**Disposition**

Dismissed for non-prosecution

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

- Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

- Not found
Report

Case Name Short: Wheelwright v Tyler
Case Name Long: Nathaniel Wheelwright v Ebenezer Tyler

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.4 [112] p.84 – 85 – 12 June 1749 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.248, 263: PC 2/101/248, 263

APC Citation: v.4 [112] p.85 – 14 January 1752 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.391–395, 427: PC 2/102/391–395, 427

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – 17 September 1747
Superior Court – 1 March 1748

Participants
Dumoulin, Lewis of Boston in Massachusetts
Pearce, William
Tyler, Ebenezer
Wheelwright, Nathaniel, merchant, of Boston in Massachusetts

Description
Action of trover. Bilder, Salamanders and Sons of God, p.300, describes as commercial transaction.

Disposition
Reversed, except for the award of costs to the appellant given below, and with directions for further proceedings in the Superior Court

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1749_02  Taylor v Clark  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Taylor v Clark
Case Name Long  George and Mary Taylor v James and Mary Clark

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [117] p.92–93 – 23 November 1749 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.364: PC 2/101/364

APC Citation  v.4 [117] p.93 – 17 January 1750 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.396, 429–430: PC 2/101/396, 429–430

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court of Common Pleas for Providence – 21 June 1748
Superior Court of Judicature, Court of Assize and general gaol delivery – Providence – 21 March 1749

Participants

Clark, James (husband of Mary Clark)
Clark, Mary (wife of James Clark)
Harris, Job (deceased former husband of Mary Taylor)
Taylor, George, schoolmaster, of Providence (husband of Mary Taylor)
Taylor, Mary (wife of George Taylor and former wife of Job Harris)

Description

Action for dower in a suit, according to Bilder, *Salamanders and Sons of God*, p.308, between mother and daughter

Disposition

Appeal admitted; further disposition not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*

Table of Cases (Taylor v Clarke)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1749_03  Channing v Fenner  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Channing v Fenner
Case Name Long  John Channing v Arthur Fenner

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [118] p.93 – 23 November 1749 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.364–365: PC 2/101/364–365

APC Citation  v.4 [118] p.93 – 23 January 1752 – entry 2

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court
Superior Court – Newport – 7 March 1749

Participants
Bowler, Charles
Channing, John, merchant, of Newport
Cooke, Robert of Newport
Fenner, Arthur (father of James Fenner)
Fenner, James (son of Arthur Fenner)
Sheldon, Jonathan, master of the Providence

Vessels
Providence (brigantine)

Description
Insurance dispute

Disposition
Affirmed with directions for proceeding in the Superior Court

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1750_00  Clemence v Angel  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Clemence v Angel
Case Name Long  Thomas Clemence v John Angel

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view

APC Citation  v.4 [128] p.100 – 11 April 1750 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.549: PC 2/101/549  view

APC Citation  v.4 [128] p.100 – 2 August 1750 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.60–61, 68: PC 2/102/60–61, 68  view

Colonial Courts
Superior Court – third Tuesday in March 1749

Participants
Angel, John of Providence
Clemence, Thomas
Partridge, Richard (agent for John Angel)

Description
Land (trespass and ejectment) involving fraud, according to Bilder, Salamanders and Sons of God, p.307

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

Notes
On the action of ‘trespass and ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1751_00  Rodman v Bannister  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Rodman v Bannister
Case Name Long  Robert Rodman v John Bannister

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [158] p.142 – 31 October 1751 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.357: PC 2/102/357

APC Citation  v.4 [158] p.142–143 – 7 February 1753 – entry 2

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – 29 May 1750
Superior Court – April 1751

Participants
Bannister, John, merchant
Isaacks, Jacob
Park, Noble, ship master of the Noble Jane (part owner of the Noble Jane)
Rodman, Robert, master of the Noble Jane
Wynkoop, Cornelius

Vessels
Jacob aka the Noble Jane (ship)

Description
Action in trover for the boat

Disposition
Reversed

________________________________________

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant’s case  Case of the appellant (Rodman)
Counsel  [Signed] W. Murray; Al. Forrester
Note  Respondent’s name is spelled Banister on appellant’s printed case.
Library  Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection

Respondent's case  Case of the respondent (Bannister)

Note  Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1752_01 Peirce v Rice Rhode Island

Case Name Short Peirce v Rice
Case Name Long John Peirce and Thomas Peirce v John Rice

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [166] p.153 – 14 January 1752 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.438: PC 2/102/438

APC Citation v.4 [166] p.153 – 13 February 1752 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.491–492, 497: PC 2/102/491–492, 497

Colonial Courts
Superior Court – Providence County (several judgments, not all necessarily from this court)

Participants
Peirce, John, yeoman
Peirce, Thomas, yeoman
Rice, John

Description
Inheritance dispute. Bilder, Salamanders and Sons of God, p.403, adds that the dispute involves land.

Disposition
Appeal granted; further disposition not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1752_02  Walker v Paget  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Walker v Paget
Case Name Long  William Walker v Henry Paget

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [176] p.164 – 7 May 1752 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753)
                    p.74: PC 2/103/74
               view

APC Citation  v.4 [176] p.164 – 28 May 1752 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753)
                    p.78–79, 110: PC 2/103/78–79, 110
               view

APC Citation  v.4 [176] p.164 – 28 March 1754 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753)
                    p.169, 188: PC 2/103/169, 188
               view
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755)
               view

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court – 15 December 1747
Superior Court – September 1749

Participants

Farmer, Robert, master hackney man, of the parish of St. Dunstan’s in
the West in Middlesex
Paget, Henry, merchant, of Providence
Richards, John, innholder, of the parish of St. Dunstan’s in the West in
Middlesex
Walker, William of the county of Providence (father of William Walker)
Walker, William, gentleman, of Aldridge in Staffordshire (son of
William Walker)

Description

Land that, according to Bilder, Salamanders and Sons of God, p.394,
related to inheritance

Disposition

Reversed

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Walker v Paget)
DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1752_03  Whitman v Whitman  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Whitman v Whitman
Case Name Long  Noah Whitman v John Whitman

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [191] p.181 – 20 December 1752 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753) p.272–273: PC 2/103/272–273
APC Citation  v.4 [191] p.181 – 28 November 1753 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753) p.513–514, 520: PC 2/103/513–514, 520

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – June 1751
Superior Court – September 1751

Participants
Jenkes, Daniel
Mowry, Jeremiah
Partridge, Richard (agent for John Whitman)
Whitman, John, yeoman, of Smithfield in Providence county
Whitman, Noah

Description
Land (trespass and ejectment) in Smithfield

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

Notes
On the action of ‘trespass and ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1753_00  Borden v Cook  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Borden v Cook
Case Name Long  Samuel Borden and Stephen Cook v William Cook

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court – November 1749
Superior Court – Newport – March 1750

Participants

Borden, Samuel
Cook, Stephen, yeoman, of Tiverton
Cook, William of Tiverton in Newport county
Sharpe, John (appears for William Cook)

Description

Land ("declaration of ejectment," according to the APC and the Privy Council register) in Tiverton

Disposition

Dismissed for non-prosecution

Notes

For the ‘declaration of ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found
Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Case Name Short Freebody v Cook
Case Name Long John Freebody v John Cook

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [228] p.235 – 31 January 1754 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.36; PC 2/104/36

APC Citation v.4 [228] p.235 – 28 February 1754 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.55–56, 62; PC 2/104/55–56, 62

APC Citation v.4 [228] p.235 – 9 January 1755 – entry 3
PC Register Citation George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.100, 111–112, 244, 271–272, 283: PC 2/104/100, 111–112, 244, 271–272, 283

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – November 1751
Superior Court – March 1752

Participants
Barratt, John, merchant, of the parish of St. Botolph, Bishopsgate in London
Cook, John
Freebody, John, merchant, of Newport
Spooner, Hungerford, merchant, of Abchurch Lane in London

Description
Land, specifically regarding a right of way

Disposition
Dismissed

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Freebody v Cook)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Appellant's case Case of the appellant (Freebody)
Counsel [Signed] Al. Forrester

Library Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection

Respondent's case Case of the respondent (Cook)

Counsel [Signed] W. Murray

Library Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found

Other Documents

Document See the manuscript legal papers relating to Freebody v Cook, 1754, in the Papers and Collection of Peter Force in the Library of Congress, Manuscript Division.
Report

Report No. 11_1755_01  Grant v Dyre  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Grant v Dyre
Case Name Long  Patrick Grant and Andrew Heatley v Samuel Dyre

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [255] p.275 – 9 January 1755 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.245, 286; PC 2/104/245, 286

APC Citation  v.4 [255] p.276 – 12 August 1755 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.490–491, 499: PC 2/104/490–491, 499

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – 29 May 1753
Superior Court – September 1753

Participants
Dyre, Samuel, jun., mariner, of Newport
Grant, Patrick, merchant, of Newport
Heatley, Andrew, merchant, of Newport
Partridge, Richard (agent for Samuel Dyre, jun.)

Description
Action of trover re molasses

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Grant v Dyre)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1755_02  Stanton v Thompson  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Stanton v Thompson
Case Name Long  Daniel Stanton v Elias Thompson

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [266] p.287 – 27 March 1755 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755)

APC Citation  v.4 [266] p.287 – 17 February 1756 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.16 (1 January 1756 – 31 December 1757)
                     p.34, 42: PC 2/105/34, 42

APC Citation  v.4 [266] p.287 – 3 March 1759 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.17 (1 January 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.118,
                     396–397

Colonial Courts
Superior Court – March 1748
Superior Court – October 1749

Participants
Partridge, Richard
Stanton, Daniel of Philadelphia in Pennsylvania (son of Daniel Stanton)
Stanton, Daniel, mariner, of Philadelphia in Pennsylvania (deceased
    father of Daniel Stanton)
Thompson, Elias

Description
Land in dispute in King’s county, known as Cedar Swamp

Disposition
Dismissed

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Appellant’s case  Case of the appellant (Stanton)
Counsel  [Signed] Al. Forrester; Fredk. Campbell
Library  British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218
f.1–2 (Includes manuscript notes)

**Respondent's case**

Case of the respondent (Thompson)

**Counsel**

[Signed] C. Pratt; C. Yorke

**Library**

British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218

f.3–10 (Includes manuscript notes)

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1755_03  Chaloner v Bland  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Chaloner v Bland
Case Name Long  John Chaloner v Elias Bland

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view_APC

APC Citation  v.4 [290] p.319 – 11 November 1755 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.360, 529: PC 2/104/360, 529 view

APC Citation  v.4 [290] p.319 – 13 August 1756 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.16 (1 January 1756 – 31 December 1757) p.256–257, 267: PC 2/105/256–257, 267 view

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – February 1754
Superior Court – South Kingstown, King’s county – April 1754

Participants
Bland, Elias, merchant, of London
Chaloner, John, merchant, of Newport

Description
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1755_04  Whipple v Bowen  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Whipple v Bowen
Case Name Long  John Whipple v Ephraim Bowen, John Carlisle, and Joseph Sweeting

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

APC Citation  v.4 [293] p.321 – 28 November 1755 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.543: PC 2/104/543
APC Citation  v.4 [293] p.321 – 8 July 1757 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.576: PC 2/104/576
PC Register Citation  George II v.16 (1 January 1756 – 31 December 1757) p.543–546, 551: PC 2/105/543–546, 551

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – 17 December 1754
Supreme Court – 18 March 1755

Participants
Bowen, Ephraim (terretenant under John Mawney)
Carlisle, John (terretenant under John Mawney)
Mawney, John, deceased
Sweeting, Joseph (terretenant under John Mawney)
Whipple, John, gentleman, of Providence (son of Joseph Whipple)
Whipple, Joseph (deceased father of John Whipple)

Description

Disposition
Reversed in part with a further trial ordered on specific issues

Notes
On the action of ‘trespass and ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

________________________________________

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases

Appellant's case  Case of the appellant (Whipple)

Note  Not found

Respondent's case  Case of the respondent (Bowen)

Counsel  [Signed] Alex. Forrester

Library  Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1755_05  Hazard v Hazard  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Hazard v Hazard
Case Name Long  Benjamin Hazard v Mary Hazard

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [294] p.321 – 28 November 1755 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.543–544: PC 2/104/543–544

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – 20 February 1753
Superior Court – October 1753

Participants
Brinley, Francis
Hazard, Benjamin
Hazard, George, deceased
Hazard, Mary (now wife of John Potter and administratrix of George Hazard)
Potter, John (husband of Mary Hazard)

Description
Dispute over currency allegedly owed by administratrix

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1755_06 Whipple v Ninigret Rhode Island

Case Name Short Whipple v Ninigret
Case Name Long Joseph Whipple, Daniel Coggeshall, John Spencer, Samuel Perry, and David Anthony v Thomas Ninigret by Jonathan Nichols, guardian

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [295] p.321 – 28 November 1755 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.238, 544: PC 2/104/238, 544
APC Citation v.4 [295] p.322 – 18 December 1755 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.550, 561: PC 2/104/550, 561

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – 5 August 1746
Superior Court – Newport – 2 September 1746

Participants
Anthony, David, esquire
Coggeshall, Daniel, esquire
Nichols, Jonathan of Newport (guardian of Thomas Ninigret)
Ninigret, Thomas, gentleman, infant and sachem of Narragansett Indian tribe
Perry, Samuel, esquire
Spencer, John, esquire
Whipple, Joseph, esquire

Description
Land (trespass and ejectment) in Charles Town, King’s county; extensive explanation in Bilder, Salamanders and Sons of God, p.310–316

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

Notes
On the action of ‘trespass and ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report No. 11_1756_00</th>
<th>Brenton v Sylvester</th>
<th>Rhode Island</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Case Name Short: Brenton v Sylvester  
Case Name Long: Jahleel Brenton, Benjamin Wickham and George Gardner v Joseph Sylvester

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.4 [297] p.323 – 7 January 1756 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George II v.16 (1 January 1756 – 31 December 1757)

Colonial Courts
Proceedings in colonial court unclear

Participants
Brenton, Jahleel, esquire
Browne, Mr. (appears for Joseph Sylvester)
Gardner, George
Smith, Mr. (appears for Joseph Sylvester)
Sylvester, Joseph
Wickham, Benjamin, merchant

Description
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
Related to other Privy Council Appeals:
- Freebody v Brenton – Report No. 11_1758_00 (APC, v.4 [366] p.395–396)

The only record in the APC in Brenton v Sylvester notes an appearance of counsel for Sylvester, respondent in an appeal brought by Brenton, Wickham, and Gardiner (the more common spelling in the related appeals). Brenton, Wickham, and Gardiner, as we learn in Freebody v Brenton, were commissioners for the distribution of the estate of the insolvent Joseph Whipple. The two Freebody v Brenton cases are closely related. They involve mortgages, in which the elder Freebody seems to have been the mortgagee, and a dispute about whether the conditions of
the mortgages had been fulfilled. The first of the two cases is an appeal by the elder Freebody. The second of the two cases is a revival of the first appeal brought by the elder Freebody’s sons as executors of his estate. The relationship of these cases to Brenton v Sylvester may simply be that the commissioners for the distribution of the estate of the insolvent Joseph Whipple were involved in both, or it may be closer. The records that we have examined do not allow us to tell which it is.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Isaacs v Merrett

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [331] p.348–349 – 26 March 1757 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.16 (1 January 1756 – 31 December 1757) p.471: PC 2/105/471

Colombia Courts
Inferior Court – 17 December 1755
Superior Court – 23 March 1756

Participants
Isaacs, Abraham (deceased husband of Hannah Isaacs)
Isaacs, Hannah (widow and former administratrix of Abraham Isaacs but now also deceased)
Isaacs, Jacob of Newport (administrator of estate of Abraham Isaacs)
Merrett, John

Description
Dispute involving promissory note

Disposition
Reversed without prejudice to respondent’s right to recover against appellant as administrator of estate

Notes
Original judgment obtained in New York.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Isaacs v Merritt)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases

Appellant’s case
Case of the appellant (Isaacs)

Counsel [Signed] Al. Forrester
Note: Appellant’s name is spelled Isaacks on the appellant’s printed case.

Library: Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection

Respondent’s case: Case of the respondent (Merrett)

Counsel: [Signed] C. Pratt

Note: Appellant’s name is spelled Isaacks on the respondent’s printed case.

Library: Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1758_00  Freebody v Brenton  Rhode island

Case Name Short  Freebody v Brenton
Case Name Long  John Freebody (later Samuel, John and Thomas Freebody) v Jahleel Brenton, Benjamin Wickham and George Gardiner

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [366] p.395–396 – 21 November 1758 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.17 (1 January 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.284–285: PC 2/106/284–285
view
APC Citation  v.4 [366] p.396 – 1 May 1764 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 September 1764) p.419–420: PC 2/110/419–420
view
APC Citation  v.4 [366] p.396 – 3 August 1764 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 September 1764) p.562–568, 593–594: PC 2/110/562–568, 593–594
view

Colonial Courts

Lower court unnamed
Superior Court – March 1757 (multiple judgments)

Participants

Brenton, Jahleel (commissioner for the distribution of the estate of Joseph Whipple)
Freebody, John (son of John Freebody)
Freebody, John, merchant, of Newport (now deceased father of John, Thomas, and Samuel Freebody)
Freebody, Samuel (son of John Freebody)
Freebody, Thomas (son of John Freebody)
Gardiner, George (commissioner for the distribution of the estate of Joseph Whipple)
Whipple, Joseph, an insolvent
Wickham, Benjamin (commissioner for the distribution of the estate of Joseph Whipple)

Description

Land (trespass and ejectment) re two properties, one of 520 acres and another of 287 acres in Middletown. The dispute is about the “depreciation of the old tenor bills of credit which were named in the condition of the mortgage,” according to the APC.
Disposition
Reversed but “on payment of certain sums defendants may have the mortgaged premises reconveyed to them”

Notes
Related to other Privy Council appeals:
  Brenton v Sylvester – Report No. 11_1756_00 (APC, v.4 [297] p.323)

The only record in the APC in Brenton v Sylvester notes an appearance of counsel for Sylvester, respondent in an appeal brought by Brenton, Wickham, and Gardiner. Brenton, Wickham, and Gardiner, as we learn in Freebody v Brenton, were commissioners for the distribution of the estate of the insolvent Joseph Whipple. The two Freebody v Brenton cases are closely related. They involve mortgages, in which the elder Freebody seems to have been the mortgagee, and a dispute about whether the conditions of the mortgages had been fulfilled. The first of the two cases is an appeal by the elder Freebody. The second of the two cases is a revival of the first appeal brought by the elder Freebody’s sons as executors of his estate. The relationship of these cases to Brenton v Sylvester may simply be that the commissioners for the distribution of the estate of the insolvent Joseph Whipple were involved in both, or it may be closer. The records that we have examined do not allow us to tell which it is.

On the action of ‘trespass and ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Freebody v Brenton) (extensive discussion)

---

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Appellant’s case  Case of the appellant in the first and second appeals (Freebody)
Counsel [Signed] Tho. Sewell; Al. Forrester
Library British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36219 f.75–76 (first appeal) and f.77–78 (second appeal)
Respondent’s case  Case of the respondent in the first and second appeals (Brenton)
Counsel [Signed] C. Ambler; C. Yorke
Library British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36219
f.79–81 (first appeal) and f.82–84 (second appeal) (First appeal includes manuscript notes. In second appeal, manuscript notes are limited to counsel names on the dorse.)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All PC 1 documents for this action are entered under Report No. 11_1766_04 (Freebody v Brenton).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Report

Report No. 11_1759_00 Moss v Hopkins Rhode Island

Case Name Short Moss v Hopkins
Case Name Long Boyle Moss v Esek Hopkins

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [393] p.420 – 27 April 1759 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.17 (1 January 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.497: PC 2/106/497

APC Citation v.4 [393] p.420 – 28 September 1759 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.159: PC 2/107/159

Colonial Courts
Superior Court – Providence – October 1757

Participants
Duroure, Francis of Throgmorton Street (appears for Esek Hopkins)
Hopkins, Esek, commander of the Providence
Moss, Boyle, mariner and master of the Sally, of Dublin

Vessels
Sally (brigantine)
Providence

Description
Court-ordered forfeiture of the brigantine Sally to the commander of the privateer Providence

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

-----------------------------------------------

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1760_01 Creaugh v Whitfield Rhode Island

Case Name Short Creaugh v Whitfield
Case Name Long Paul Creaugh v Charles Whitfield

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [426] p.460 – 1 July 1760 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.18 (24 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.417:
PC 2/107/417

APC Citation v.4 [426] p.460 – 11 July 1760 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.425,

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court – 15 November 1757
Superior Court – August 1758

Participants

Creaugh, Paul
Whitfield, Charles, mariner, of Newport

Description

Action of sur trover

Disposition

Dismissed for non-prosecution

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Creaugh v Whitefield)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1760_02  Larkin v York  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Larkin v York
Case Name Long  John Larkin v Edward York

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [431] p.463 – 27 October 1760 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.1 (25 October 1760 – 31 December 1761) p.18: PC 2/108/18

APC Citation  v.4 [431] p.463 – 2 July 1761 – entry 2

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court of Common Pleas – February 1759
Superior Court – October 1759

Participants

Larkin, John, yeoman, of Westerly in King’s county
York, Edward

Description

Land (upon an ejectment) in Quannicoutague Neck which, according to Bilder, *Salamanders and Sons of God*, p.505 n.2, involves future interests.

Disposition

Both judgments reversed.

Notes

On the action of ejectment involved here, see Additional Research.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant's case  Case of appellant (Larkin)
Counsel  [Signed] C. Pratt; Al. Forrester
Library  British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218 f.101–102 (Includes manuscript notes)
Respondent's case  Case of respondent (York)
Counsel  [Signed] C. Yorke; Tho. Sewell

Library  British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218 view
f.103–104

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1761_00  Simons v Wanton  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Simons v Wanton
Case Name Long  Peter Simons v Joseph Wanton

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [463] p.502 – 23 November 1761 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.1 (25 October 1760 – 31 December 1761) p.590: PC 2/108/590

APC Citation  v.4 [463] p.502 – 12 April 1762 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.2 (1 January 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.175, 201–202: PC 2/109/175, 201–202

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – November 1757
Superior Court – March 1758

Participants
Simons, Peter
Wanton, Joseph of Newport

Description
Action to recover £65,421 13s 4d (old tenor of Rhode Island)

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Simon v Wanton)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1762_01  Read v Nicholls  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Read v Nicholls
Case Name Long  William Read v Benjamin Nicholls

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [484] p.544 – 25 June 1762 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.2 (1 January 1762 – 30 April 1763)

APC Citation  v.4 [484] p.544 – 3 August 1762 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.2 (1 January 1762 – 30 April 1763)

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – May 1758
Superior Court – March 1759 (affirming lower court judgment)
Superior Court – August 1760 (another judgment barring a writ of scire facias)

Participants
Nicholls, Benjamin of Newport (executor of Jonathan Nicholls)
Nicholls, Jonathan, deceased
Read, William, merchant, of Newport

Description
Debt due

Disposition
Appeals dismissed for non-prosecution

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

TNA Document  Committee report – 15 July 1762 – PC 1/50/47
Notes  Referenced in APC, v.6 [539] p.339
Report

Report No. 11_1762_02  
Potter v Hazard  
Rhode Island

Case Name Short  
Potter v Hazard

Case Name Long  
John Potter v George Hazard

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*  
view APC

APC Citation  
v.4 [489] p.548–549 – 1 September 1762 – entry 1

PC Register Citation  
George III v.2 (1 January 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.353:  
PC 2/109/353

APC Citation  
v.4 [489] p.549 – 21 December 1763 – entry 2

PC Register Citation  
George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 September 1764)  
view

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court – 11 August 1761
Superior Court – 5 October 1761

Participants

Hazard, George
Peckham, Benjamin, jun. (tenant of George Hazard and original defendant)
Potter, John, yeoman, of South Kingston

Description

Land (trespass and ejectment) in dispute is Little Comfort Island in Point Judith Pond, South Kingston near Mumford’s Island. Bilder, *Transatlantic Constitution*, p.170, adds that the matter is part of an inheritance dispute.

Disposition

Dismissed

Notes

On the action of ‘trespass and ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

__________________________________________________________

**DOCUMENTATION**

Printed Cases

Appellant’s case: Case of the appellant (Potter)

Counsel: [Signed] Fl. Norton; J. Gardiner
Note  Appendix to the case of the appellant

Library  British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218
        f.240–241 (Includes appendix, f.242–243, and manuscript notes)

Respondent's case  Case of the respondent (Hazard)

Counsel  [Signed] C. Yorke; Al. Forrester

Library  British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218
        f.244–247 (Includes manuscript notes)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

               Referenced in APC, v.6 [579] p.359–360

Notes  view_Doc
       view_APC
# Report

**Case Name Short**
- Stead v Hart
- Stead v Elizer

**Case Name Long**
- William Stead v Napthali Hart and Isaac Hart
- William Stead v Isaac Elizer

### Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APC Citation</th>
<th>p.716–717 – 19 March 1765 – entry 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC Register Citation</td>
<td>George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.163, 328–329: PC 2/111/163, 328–329</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APC Citation</th>
<th>p.717 – 3 December 1766 – entry 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC Register Citation</td>
<td>George III v.5 (August 1766 – January 1768) p.104–105, 114: PC 2/112/104–105, 114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Colonial Courts

- Inferior Court – May 1764
- Superior Court – Newport – September 1764

### Participants
- Elizer, Isaac
- Hart, Isaac
- Hart, Napthali
- Isaacks, Jacob
- Isaacks, Moses
- Stead, William, merchant, of London

### Description

Sums due on note

### Disposition

Both reversed

### Notes

Related to other Privy Council appeals:
- Stead v Isaacs – Report No. 11_1766_01 (APC, v.4 [649] p.759)
- Isaacks v Stead – Report No. 11_1768_00 (APC, v.5 [95] p.175)

Stead v Isaacs and Isaacks v Stead are almost certainly two aspects of the same dispute. The lower court judgments referred to in both cases have the same date, and the Privy Council’s register confirms that the
names of the courts are the same. In Stead v Isaacs, the Council apparently agrees that Stead did not properly insure the ship in question, and Isaacs is allowed a new trial on the amount of his damages. In Isaacks v Stead, a judgment for Stead in the amount of £1,200 on a bill of exchange is affirmed. Stead’s actions against Hart and Elizer are against them as endorsers of a note from Isaacks resulting in a judgment for £1,200. This is probably the same piece of commercial paper as is at stake in the subsequent case. Be that as it may be, Isaacks and Stead are clearly the underlying parties in all four actions.

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

| TNA Document | Committee report (Hart) – 2 Dec. 1766 – PC 1/52/58 | view_Doc |
| Notes | Referenced in APC, v.6 [731] p.440 | view_APC |
| TNA Document | Committee report (Elizer) – 2 Dec. 1766 – PC 1/52/58 | view_Doc |
| Notes | Referenced in APC, v.6 [731] p.440 | view_APC |
Report

Report No. 11_1765_02 Shearman v Cornell Rhode Island

Case Name Short Shearman v Cornell
Case Name Long Thomas Shearman v Gideon Cornell

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [603] p.718 – 22 April 1765 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766)
p.179: PC 2/111/179 view

APC Citation v.4 [603] p.718 – 26 June 1767 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George III v.5 (August 1766 – January 1768) p.315–317, 356: PC 2/112/315–317, 356 view

Colonial Courts
Unnamed – 21 May 1763
Superior Court – March 1764

Participants
Cornell, Gideon
Nicholls, Benjamin
Shearman, Ann (wife of Thomas Shearman)
Shearman, Thomas of Portsmouth (husband of Ann Shearman)
Wanton, Joseph

Description
Land in Newport, to which Bilder, Salamanders and Sons of God, p.506 n.3, adds the issue of paper currency and mortgage

Disposition
Reversed

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Shearman v Cornell)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Appellant’s case Case of appellant (Shearman)
Counsel [Signed] C. Yorke; Al. Forrester
Library British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36220 f.115–116 (Includes manuscript notes)
Respondent's case  Case of respondent (Cornell)

Note  Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

TNA Document  Committee report – 10 June 1767 – PC 1/53/60  view_Doc

Notes  Referenced in APC, v.6 [761] p.453–454  view_APC
Report

Report No. 11_1766_01    Stead v Isaacs    Rhode Island

Case Name Short    Stead v Isaacs
Case Name Long    William Stead v Jacob Isaacs

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation    v.4 [649] p.759 – 28 May 1766 – entry 1
PC Register Citation    George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.632, 638; PC 2/111/632, 638

APC Citation    v.4 [649] p.759 – 14 April 1769 – entry 2
PC Register Citation    George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.477–481, 537: PC 2/113/477–481, 537

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court – Newport – May 1764
Superior Court – Newport – March 1765

Participants

Isaacs, Jacob
Pennegar, William, master of the Rising Sun
Stead, William, merchant, of London

Vessels

Rising Sun (ship)

Description

Damages arising from failure to insure properly

Disposition

Reversed and a new trial ordered

Notes

Related to other Privy Council appeals:

   Isaacks v Stead – Report No. 11_1768_00 (APC, v.5 [95] p.175)

Stead v Isaacs and Isaacks v Stead are almost certainly two aspects of the same dispute. The lower court judgments referred to in both cases have the same date, and the Privy Council’s register confirms that the names of the courts are the same. In Stead v Isaacs, the Council apparently agrees that Stead did not properly insure the ship in question, and Isaacs is allowed a new trial on the amount of his damages. In
Isaacks v Stead, a judgment for Stead in the amount of £1,200 on a bill of exchange is affirmed. Stead’s actions against Hart and Elizer are against them as endorsers of a note from Isaacks resulting in a judgment for £1,200. This is probably the same piece of commercial paper as is at stake in the subsequent case. Be that as it may be, Isaacks and Stead are clearly the underlying parties in all four actions.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant’s case            Case of the appellant (Stead)
Counsel                    [Signed] J Dunning; Fl. Norton
Note                        Appellant’s name is spelled Isaacks on appellant’s printed case.
Library                    Columbia University. Diamond Law Library: Treasure – Johnson 1770m and 2nd Fl Microfilm Cabinet – AA70 (Includes manuscript notes)

Respondent’s case           Case of the respondent (Isaacs)
Counsel                    [Signed] Wm. DeGrey; G. Nares
Note                        Appellant’s name is spelled Isaacks on respondent’s printed case.
Library                    Columbia University. Diamond Law Library: Treasure – Johnson 1770m and 2nd Fl Microfilm Cabinet – AA 70 (Manuscript notes limited to date corrections)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report
Report No. 11_1766_02 Lewis v Wilkinson Rhode Island

Case Name Short Lewis v Wilkinson
Case Name Long Robert Lewis and Ellis Lewis v Benjamin Wilkinson

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [650] p.759 – 28 May 1766 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.638–639: PC 2/111/638–639

APC Citation v.4 [650] p.759 – 26 June 1767 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George III v.5 (August 1766 – January 1768) p.322–323, 357–358: PC 2/112/322–323, 357–358

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – 18 June 1764
Unnamed – September 1764
Superior Court – Providence – March 1765

Participants
Lewis, Ellis, merchant, of Philadelphia in Pennsylvania
Lewis, Robert, merchant, of Philadelphia in Pennsylvania
Wilkinson, Benjamin

Description
Land (trespass and ejectment)

Disposition
Affirmed

Notes
On the action of ‘trespass and ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Lewis v Wilkinson)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases

Appellant's case Case of the appellant (Lewis)
Counsel [Signed] Al. Forrester; Rd. Jackson
Robert and Ellis Lewis, Appellants. Benjamin Wilkinson, view
Respondent. Brief state of the Dispute and Observations of the
Cases of both sides.

Case of the respondent (Wilkinson)

[Signed] C. Yorke; J. Dunning

Committee report – 10 June 1767 – PC 1/53/60

Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [761] p.453–454
Report

Report No. 11_1766_03 Arnold v Green Rhode Island

Case Name Short Arnold v Green
Case Name Long Benjamin Arnold et al v Nathaniel Green

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.5 [7] p.17–18 – October 15 1766 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George III v.5 (August 1766 – January 1768) p.64: PC 2/112/64

APC Citation v.5 [7] p.18 – 26 June 1767 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George III v.5 (August 1766 – January 1768) p.330–331, 360: PC 2/112/330–331, 360

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court
Superior Court – 3 September 1765

Participants
Arnold, Benjamin (grandson of Benjamin Green)
Green, Benjamin (grandfather of Arnold Green)
Green, Nathaniel, merchant, of Warwick in Kent county

Description
Land (trespass and ejectment in Cranston, Providence county, land with a dwelling house in a place called ‘Seconasset’)

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

Notes
On the action of ‘trespass and ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
TNA Document Committee report – 10 June 1767 – PC 1/53/60
Notes Referenced in APC, v.6 [761] p.453–454
Report

Case Name Short: Freebody v Brenton  
Brenton v Freebody

Case Name Long: John, Thomas, and Samuel Freebody v Jahleel Brenton, Benjamin Wickham and George Gardiner  
Jahleel Brenton, Benjamin Wickham and George Gardiner v John, Thomas and Samuel Freebody

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.5 [14] p.24 – 7 November 1766 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George III v.5 (August 1766 – January 1768) p.79: PC 2/112/79

APC Citation: v.5 [14] p.24 – 15 January 1768 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.8–9, 244: PC 2/113/8–9, 244

APC Citation: v.5 [14] p.24 – 11 January 1769 – entry 3
PC Register Citation: George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.423, 424: PC 2/113/423, 424

APC Citation: v.5 [14] p.24 – 14 April 1769 – entry 4
PC Register Citation: George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.502–513, 538–539: PC 2/113/502–513, 538–539

APC Citation: v.5 [14] p.24–25 – 3 February 1772 – entry 5
PC Register Citation: George III v.9 (January – December 1772) p.62: PC 2/116/62

APC Citation: v.5 [14] p.25 – 28 June 1772 – entry 6
PC Register Citation: George III v.9 (January – December 1772) p.336–337: PC 2/116/336–337

APC Citation: v.5 [14] p.25 – 18 December 1773 – entry 7
PC Register Citation: George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.387: PC 2/117/387

APC Citation: v.5 [14] p.25 – 6 July 1774 – entry 8
PC Register Citation: George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.141–148, 170: PC 2/118/141–148, 170

Colonial Courts

Supreme Court – September 1765 (order)  
Superior Court – March 1771 (two decrees)

Participants
Brenton, Jahleel (executor of Joseph Whipple)
Freebody, John (executor and son of John Freebody)
Freebody, John of Newport (deceased father of John, Thomas, and Samuel Freebody)
Freebody, Samuel (executor and son of John Freebody)
Freebody, Thomas (executor and son of John Freebody)
Gardiner, George (executor of Joseph Whipple)
Whipple, Joseph, deceased
Wickham, Benjamin (executor of Joseph Whipple)

Description
Dispute about the date from which interest is to be calculated and the manner in which depreciated currency will be computed in the controversy set out in Freebody v Brenton (11_1758_00, APC, v.4 [366] p.395)

Disposition
Order to Judges of Superior Court to comply with Orders in Council which, according to Bilder, Transatlantic Constitution, p.182, gave the Freebodys their interest with depreciation taken into account.

Notes
Related to other Privy Council appeals:

Brenton v Sylvester – Report No. 11_1756_00 (APC, v.4 [297] p.323)
Freebody v Brenton – Report No. 11_1758_00 (APC, v.4 [366] p.395-396)

The only record in the APC in Brenton v Sylvester notes an appearance of counsel for Sylvester, respondent in an appeal brought by Brenton, Wickham, and Gardiner. Brenton, Wickham, and Gardiner, as we learn in Freebody v Brenton, were commissioners for the distribution of the estate of the insolvent Joseph Whipple. The two Freebody v Brenton cases are closely related. They involve mortgages, in which the elder Freebody seems to have been the mortgagee, and a dispute about whether the conditions of the mortgages had been fulfilled. The first of the two cases is an appeal by the elder Freebody. The second of the two cases is a revival of the first appeal brought by the elder Freebody’s sons as executors of his estate. The relationship of these cases to Brenton v Sylvester may simply be that the commissioners for the distribution of the estate of the insolvent Joseph Whipple were involved in both, or it may be closer. The records that we have examined do not allow us to tell which it is.

A note at the end of the respondent’s printed case states: “There is another appeal and cross appeal depending between the same parties,
upon another mortgage . . . and as the proceedings . . . and the questions the same . . . it was thought unnecessary to repeat the same matter in two different printed cases, as the determination of one cause will of course decide the other."

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Freebody v Brenton) (extensive discussion)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant's case Case of the appellant in two appeals and as respondent in two cross-appeals (Freebody)
Counsel [Signed] Wm. DeGrey; Rd. Jackson
Library British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36220 f.218–220 (Includes manuscript notes)
Library Columbia University. Diamond Law Library: Treasure – Johnson 1770m and 2nd Fl Microfilm Cabinet – AA70

Respondent's case Case of the respondent in two appeals and as appellant in two cross-appeals (Brenton)
Counsel [Signed] C. Yorke; C. Ambler
Library British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36220 f.221–223 (Includes manuscript notes)
Library Columbia University. Diamond Law Library: Treasure – Johnson 1770m and 2nd Fl Microfilm Cabinet – AA70 (Includes manuscript notes)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

TNA Document Committee report (on first appeal) – July 1764 – PC 1/59/5/1 view_Doc
Catalogued as Catalogued by TNA as: Rhode Island: report of Board of Trade upon the revived appeal of Freebody v Brinton [sic], and others from Rhode Island
TNA Document Committee report (on second appeal) – 27 July 1764 – PC 1/50/52 view_Doc
Notes Referenced in APC, v.6 [618] p.371 view_APC
TNA Document Committee reports of two appeals of Freebody v Brenton with cross-appeals (First appeal) – 15 Mar. 1769 – PC 1/54/64C view_Doc
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>TNA Document</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Many related documents are included in the bundle for PC 1/60/10, but only two are specifically catalogued, the two that have catalogue entries listed below: view_Doc</td>
<td>Copy of Freebody’s petition rec’d 3 February 1772 annexed to the 26 June order – no date – PC 1/60/10 view_Doc</td>
<td>Answer of James Helme – 2 Dec. 1772 – PC 1/60/10 view_Doc</td>
<td>Answer of James Helme (copy) – 2 Dec. 1772 – PC 1/60/10 view_Doc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Answer of Metcalf Bowler – 9 Dec. 1772 – PC 1/60/10 view_Doc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Answer of Metcalf Bowler (copy) – 9 Dec. 1772 –
PC 1/60/10

Answer of three of the judges of the Superior Court of Judicature to the petition of the Freebodys –
rec’d 12 March 1773 – PC 1/60/10

Rhode Island: answer of three of the judges of the Superior Court of Judicature of Rhode Island to the petition of complaint of John, Thomas and Samuel Freebody. Date: 1772 June; 1773 Feb.

For an explanation of the answers of various judges see APC, v.6 [871] p.505–507.

Affidavits – 12 Dec. 1772 – PC 1/60/10

Sketch of report on Freebody’s petition re the enforcement of the Order in Council – 20 June 1774 – PC 1/56/74A

Referenced in APC, v.6 [928] p.555

Report on petition of the Freebodys for enforcing Order of 14 April 1769 – 20 June 1774 – PC 1/60/10

Rhode Island: committee report on petition of John, Thomas and Samuel Freebody for enforcing the Orders in Council of 14 Apr 1769 made on their appeals from Rhode Island; 6 July read and approved and peremptory Order in Council issued. Date: 1774 June 20

Peremptory Order in Council – 6 July 1774 – PC 1/60/10

Instructions to the Committee of the Privy Council to hear the appeals of Freebody and Brenton can be found at the British Library in Additional Manuscripts 15489 f.33 (March 13, 1766). Orders in Council regarding the appeals follow at f.34–43 (April and July 1769).
Report

Report No. 11_1766_05 Freebody v Holmes
Holmes v Freebody

Case Name Short Freebody v Holmes
Holmes v Freebody
Case Name Long Thomas Freebody v John Holmes
John Holmes v Thomas Freebody

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.5 [23] p.51 – 10 December 1766 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George III v.5 (August 1766 – January 1768) p.129:
PC 2/112/129

APC Citation v.5 [23] p.51 – 15 July 1768 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.239,
249–250; PC 2/113/239, 249–250

APC Citation v.5 [23] p.51 – 14 April 1769 – entry 3
PC Register Citation George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.549: PC
2/113/549

APC Citation v.5 [23] p.51 – 27 April 1770 – entry 4
PC Register Citation George III v.7 (May 1769 – December 1770) p.190,
332–340, 359; PC 2/114/190, 332–340, 359

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court – 21 November 1763 – re Freebody
Superior Court – March 1765 – re Freebody
Superior Court – March 1766 – re Holmes
Superior Court – March 1768 – re Holmes

Participants

Freebody, John (deceased father of Thomas, John, and Samuel Freebody)
Freebody, John (brother of Samuel and Thomas Freebody and son of
John Freebody)
Freebody, Samuel (brother of John and Thomas Freebody and son of
John Freebody)
Freebody, Thomas, merchant, of Newport (brother of John and Samuel
Freebody and son of John Freebody)
Green, James
Holmes, John, yeoman, of Middleton in Newport county
Holmes, Margaret

Description
Land (trespass and ejectment) in dispute in Middletown

Disposition
Freebody v Holmes – dismissed for non-prosecution
Holmes v Freebody – judgments of 1766 and 1768 reversed; judgment of 1765 affirmed in favor of Holmes

Notes
On the action of ‘trespass and ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*
Table of Cases (Holmes v Freebody) (complex procedural history)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

**Appellant’s case**
Case of the appellant (Holmes)

Counsel [Signed] William DeGrey; J. Dunning

Library Columbia University. Diamond Law Library: Treasure – Johnson 1770m and 2nd Fl Microfilm Cabinet – AA70 (Includes manuscript notes)

**Respondent’s case**
Case of the respondent (Freebody)

Counsel [Signed] C. Yorke; Rd. Jackson

Library Columbia University. Diamond Law Library: Treasure – Johnson 1770m and 2nd Fl Microfilm Cabinet – AA70

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

**TNA Document**
Order of reference (Freebody v Holmes) – 10 Dec. 1766 – PC 1/52/58

Notes Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [731] p.440

**TNA Document**
Committee report (Freebody v Holmes) – 7 July 1768 – PC 1/54/63

Notes Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [793] p.464

**TNA Document**
Committee report (Holmes v Freebody) – 7 Apr. 1770 – PC 1/54/66C

Notes Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [840] p.484
REPORT NO. 11_1766_06

Report

Report No. 11_1766_06  Bannister v Brown  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Bannister v Brown
Case Name Long  John Bannister v Jane Brown, Matthew Robinson, and Robert Brown

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.5 [24] p.51–52 – 10 December 1766 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.5 (August 1766 – January 1768) p.52, 130: PC 2/112/52, 130

APC Citation  v.5 [24] p.52 – 26 June 1767 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.5 (August 1766 – January 1768) p.369–370: PC 2/112/369–370

APC Citation  v.5 [24] p.52 – 15 July 1768 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.239–240, 249: PC 2/113/239–240, 249

Colonial Courts

Unnamed – 21 November 1763
Unnamed – March 1765

Participants

Bannister, John of Middletown
Brown, Isaac (replaces Jane Brown as appeal proceeds)
Brown, Jane of Newport (widow and executor of John Brown)
Brown, John, merchant, of Newport (deceased husband of Jane Brown)
Brown, Robert, yeoman, of South Kingstown (executor of John Brown)
Robinson, Matthew, gentleman (executor of John Brown)

Description

Sums due on book account

Disposition

Dismissed with costs at the desire and request of the parties

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Bannister v Brown)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>View Link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Order of reference</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [731] p.440</td>
<td>view_Doc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order of reference</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [761] p.453–454</td>
<td>view_APC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Report

Report No. 11_1767_00  Laycock v Clarke  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Laycock v Clarke
Case Name Long  Godfrey Laycock (later Hannah Laycock) v James Clarke and Solomon Southwick

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.5 [46] p.115 – 26 August 1767 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.5 (August 1766 – January 1768) p.460: PC 2/112/460

APC Citation  v.5 [46] p.115 – 7 July 1768 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.240–241: PC 2/113/240–241

APC Citation  v.5 [46] p.115 – 14 April 1769 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.493, 539–540: PC 2/113/493, 539–540

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court – about 10 February 1766 (date suit was brought)
Superior Court – Newport – March 1767

Participants

Clarke, James of Newport
Laycock, Godfrey, merchant (later deceased), of Halifax in Yorkshire
Laycock, Hannah (widow of Godfrey Laycock)
Southwick, Solomon of Dartmouth in Bristol county in Massachusetts

Description

Book debt

Disposition

Reversed

Notes

The case was revived in the name of Hannah Laycock as widow of Godfrey Laycock.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found
Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Committee report – 13 Mar. 1769 – PC 1/54/64C</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [812] p.470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

view_Doc view_APC
Report

Isaacks v Stead

Case Name Short: Isaacks v Stead
Case Name Long: Jacob Isaacks v William Stead

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.5 [95] p.175 – 16 December 1768 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.387: PC 2/113/387

APC Citation: v.5 [95] p.175 – 27 April 1770 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: George III v.7 (May 1769 – December 1770) p.297–298, 356: PC 2/114/297–298, 356

Colonial Courts

Unnamed – March 1765 and 28 May 1764

Participants

Isaacks, Jacob, merchant, of Newport
Stead, William

Description

Not stated in APC, but see Notes, below

Disposition

Affirmed

Notes

Related to other Privy Council appeals:


Stead v Isaacs – Report No. 11_1766_01 (APC, v.4 [649] p.759)

Stead v Isaacks and Isaacks v Stead are almost certainly two aspects of the same dispute. The lower court judgments referred to in both cases have the same date, and the Privy Council’s register confirms that the names of the courts are the same. In Stead v Isaacs, the Council apparently agrees that Stead did not properly insure the ship in question, and Isaacs is allowed a new trial on the amount of his damages. In Isaacks v Stead, a judgment for Stead in the amount of £1,200 on a bill of exchange is affirmed. Stead’s actions against Hart and Elizer are against them as endorsers of a note from Isaacks resulting in a judgment for £1,200. This is probably the same piece of commercial paper as is at
stake in the subsequent case. Be that as it may be, Isaacks and Stead are clearly the underlying parties in all four actions.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>view_Doc</th>
<th>view_APC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Committee report – 2 Apr. 1770 – PC 1/54/66C</td>
<td>Referenced in APC, v.6 [840] p.484</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Report

Report No. 11_1769_01  Hart v Solomons  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Hart v Solomons
Case Name Long  Napthali Hart and Isaac Hart v Abraham Solomons

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

**APC Citation**  v.5 [110] p.191–192 – 14 April 1769 – entry 1

**PC Register Citation**  George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.548: PC 2/113/548

**Colonial Courts**

Unnamed – 16 November 1767
Superior Court – March 1768

**Participants**

Hart, Isaac, merchant, of Newport
Hart, Napthali, merchant, of Newport
Solomons, Abraham

**Vessels**

*Confirmation* (ship)

**Description**

Action of account concerning a ship

**Disposition**

Not provided in *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1769_02  Grant v Hardy  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Grant v Hardy
Case Name Long  Alexander Grant v Charles Hardy

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.5 [125] p.210–211 – 15 September 1769 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.7 (May 1769 – December 1770) p.107, 268: PC 2/114/107, 268

APC Citation  v.5 [125] p.211 – 19 July 1771 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.8 (January – December 1771) p.325–326, 343–344: PC 2/115/325–326, 343–344

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court – 16 November 1767
Superior Court – September 1768

Participants

Grant, Alexander, merchant, of Newport
Hardy, Charles

Description

Bills of exchange

Disposition

Dismissed. Appellant’s solicitor did not have instruction to go further.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

TNA Document  Committee report – 9 July 1771 – PC 1/55/67
Notes  Referenced in APC, v.6 [868] p.504–505
Report

Report No. 11_1773_01  Sandford v Smith  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Sandford v Smith
Case Name Long  Giles and Elizabeth Sandford v Elizabeth and Isaac Smith, William Taggart, Israel and Sarah Church

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.5 [244] p.359–360 – 31 March 1773 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.9 (January – December 1772) p.480: PC 2/116/480
PC Register Citation  George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.127–128: PC 2/117/127–128
APC Citation  v.5 [244] p.360 – 4 August 1773 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.261, 266: PC 2/117/261, 266

Colonial Courts

Inferior Court – May 1771
Superior Court – September 1771

Participants

Church, Israel of Middletown in Newport county (husband of Sarah Church)
Church, Sarah of Middletown in Newport county (wife of Israel Church)
Sandford, Elizabeth (wife of Giles Sandford)
Sandford, Giles (husband of Elizabeth Sandford)
Smith, Edward
Smith, Elizabeth of Middletown in Newport county
Smith, Isaac of Middletown in Newport county
Taggart, William of Middletown in Newport county

Description

Land in Middletown in dispute. Bilder, Transatlantic Constitution, p.276 n.33, adds that this is an inheritance dispute.

Disposition

Dismissed for non-prosecution

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Committee report – 29 July 1773 – PC 1/55/72</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [907] p.539</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

view_Doc

view_APC
Report

Report No. 11_1773_02  Elam v Dockray  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Elam v Dockray
Case Name Long  Gervase Elam v John Dockray

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.5 [245] p.360 – 31 March 1773 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.9 (January – December 1772) p.480: PC 2/116/480
APC Citation  v.5 [245] p.360 – 22 April 1773 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.128: PC 2/117/128
APC Citation  v.5 [245] p.360 – 20 May 1774 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.33–39, 74–75: PC 2/118/33–39, 74–75

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – May 1771
Superior Court – September 1771

Participants
Chaloner, W.
Dockray, John of South Kingstown in King’s county
Elam, Gervase
Hosier, Giles
Robinson, James

Description
Action to recover on a promissory note

Disposition
Reversed

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

TNA Document  Committee report – 12 May 1774 – PC 1/56/74A  view_Doc
Notes

Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [928] p.555

view APC
Report

Report No. 11_1773_03  Dudley v Clarke  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Dudley v Clarke
Case Name Long  Charles Dudley v John Innis Clarke and Joseph Nightingale

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.5 [270] p.383 – 29 October 1773 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.328–329, 446: PC 2/117/328–329, 446
APC Citation  v.5 [270] p.383 – 30 June 1775 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.12 (June 1775 – May 1776) p.26–31, 54: PC 2/119/26–31, 54

Colonial Courts
Vice Admiralty Court – 1772 – condemnation
Superior Court – March 1773

Participants
Clarke, John Innis
Dudley, Charles, collector of customs in Rhode Island
Linzee, John, commander of HMS Beaver
Munro, James, master of the Industry
Nightingale, Joseph (owner of the Industry)

Vessels
HMS Beaver
Industry (schooner)

Description
Duties on cargo

Disposition
Reversed

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1773_04  Dudley v Shaw  Rhode Island

Case Name Short  Dudley v Shaw
Case Name Long  Charles Dudley v Nathaniel Shaw

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

APC Citation  v.5 [271] p.383 – 29 October 1773 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.329: PC 2/117/329

APC Citation  v.5 [271] p.383–384 – 31 December 1773 – entry 2

PC Register Citation  George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.213: PC 2/118/213

PC Register Citation  George III v.12 (June 1775 – May 1776) p.33–34: PC 2/119/33–34

Colonial Courts
Superior Court – 1772/3 (orders)

Participants
Dudley, Charles, collector of customs in Rhode Island
Francis, Thomas, gentleman, of Chancery Lane
Leake, John Martin, esquire, of the Treasury at Whitehall
Shaw, Nathaniel

Vessels
Mermaid (brigantine)

Description
Consequences of seizure and condemnation of cargo of molasses and coffee

Disposition
Appeal admitted and proceedings stayed; further disposition not stated in the APC

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found
Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1773_05 Keeler v Rhodes Rhode Island

Case Name Short Keeler v Rhodes
Case Name Long Robert Keeler v William Rhodes

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.5 [272] p.384 – 29 October 1773 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.329–330: PC 2/117/329–330

APC Citation v.5 [272] p.384 – 17 December 1773 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.377: PC 2/117/377

Colonial Courts
Superior Court – March 1773

Participants
Keeler, Robert, late captain of HMS Mercury
Rhodes, William

Vessels
HMS Mercury

Description
Assault

Disposition
Appeal not admitted

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
**Report**

**Report No. 11_1774_00**  
Bean v Wanton  
Rhode Island

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Name Short</th>
<th>Bean v Wanton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case Name Long</td>
<td>Samuel Bean and Isaac Walker, Francis Newton and John Colville v Gideon Wanton and John Wanton</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APC Citation</th>
<th>v.5 [291] p.394 – 13 April 1774 – entry 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC Register Citation</td>
<td>George III v.10 (January 1773 – April 1774) p.510–511: PC 2/117/510–511</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APC Citation</th>
<th>v.5 [291] p.394 – 6 July 1774 – entry 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Colonial Courts**

Inferior Court – 18 November 1771  
Superior Court

**Participants**

Bean, David (party in action in lower court)  
Bean, Samuel, bankrupt merchant, of London  
Bell, Thomas, merchant, of London  
Colville, John, merchant, of London (assignee of Samuel Bean)  
Newton, Francis (assignee of Samuel Bean)  
Rodman, William, deceased  
Walker, Isaac (assignee of Samuel Bean)  
Wanton, Gideon (executor of William Rodman)  
Wanton, John (executor of William Rodman)

**Description**

Action to recover sum allegedly due bankrupt merchant

**Disposition**

Appeal admitted; no further disposition stated in the *APC*

**DOCUMENTATION**

Printed Cases
Not found

_Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew_

Not found
Report

Report No. 11_1775_00 Andrews v Farrint Rhode Island

Case Name Short Andrews v Farrint
Case Name Long John Andrews v John Farrint

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.5 [320] p.416 – 30 June 1775 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George III v.12 (June 1775 – May 1776) p.59: PC 2/119/59

Colonial Courts
Inferior Court – May 1772
Inferior Court – November 1772
Superior Court – March 1773

Participants
Andrews, John (owner of the Hope Still)
Farrint, John, mariner, of Newport

Vessels
Hope Still (ship)

Description
Desertion of ship

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Case Name Short: Peers v Amory
Case Name Long: George Peers v Jonathan Amory (In re The Turtle)

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.2 [747] p.333–334 – 31 May 1699 – entry 1
PC Register Citation William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.345: PC 2/77/345

APC Citation v.2 [747] p.334 – 20 June 1700 – entry 2
PC Register Citation William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.57: PC 2/78/57

APC Citation v.2 [747] p.334 – 31 December 1700 – entry 3
PC Register Citation William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.123, 124: PC 2/78/123, 124

APC Citation v.2 [747] p.334 – 17 July 1701 – entry 5
PC Register Citation William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.233: PC 2/78/233

APC Citation v.2 [747] p.334 – 11 September 1701 – entry 6
PC Register Citation William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.256: PC 2/78/256

Colonial Courts
Court of Admiralty of Carolina – 27 August 1698

Participants
Amory, Jonathan, Naval Officer of Charles Town
Cunningham, Robert, master of the Turtle
Mountague, Mr. (agent for defendant)
Peers, George, merchant, of Barbados (an owner of the Turtle)

Vessels
Turtle of Barbados (sloop)

Description
Condemnation under the Navigation Acts
Disposition
Committee agrees to hear appeal. No further disposition noted.

Notes
Cargo includes slaves.

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*
Table of Cases (Peers v Amory; *In re The Turtle*)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

**Report No. 12_1700_00  Niccoll v [Blake]  South Carolina**

*(In re The Cole and Bean)*

Case Name Short  Niccoll v [Blake]

In re The Cole and Bean

Case Name Long  Benjamin Niccoll, John Crosse, Patrick Walsh, and Giles

Been v [Blake] *(In re The Cole and Bean)*

**Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series**

| APC Citation | v.2 [798] p.356 – 18 July 1700 – entry 1 |
| PC Register Citation | William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.72: PC 2/78/72 |
| APC Citation | v.2 [798] p.356 – 19 September 1700 – entry 2 |
| PC Register Citation | William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.82, 85: PC 2/78/82, 85 |
| APC Citation | v.2 [798] p.356 – 22 October 1700 – entry 3 |
| PC Register Citation | William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.85: PC 2/78/85 |

PC Register Note  The APC lists this page twice, apparently in order to show that the promise in the entry on p.82 that the committee report will be entered was not, in fact, fulfilled except by the reference to it here.

| APC Citation | v.2 [798] p.356 – 22 May 1701 – entry 4 |
| PC Register Citation | William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.210: PC 2/78/210 |
| APC Citation | v.2 [798] p.356 – 31 July 1701 – entry 5 |
| PC Register Citation | William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.241-242: PC 2/78/241-242 |

**Colonial Courts**

Court of Admiralty in Carolina – 3 January 1700

**Participants**

Been, Giles, merchant, of London (owner of the *Cole and Bean*)

Crosse, John, merchant, of London (owner of the *Cole and Bean*)

Niccoll, Benjamin, merchant, of London (owner of the *Cole and Bean*)

Walsh, Patrick, merchant, of London (owner of the *Cole and Bean*) [Blake], __

**Vessels**

*Cole and Bean* (galley)
Description
Condemnation

Disposition
Reversed

Notes
Smith (p.308) notes John Comyns as counsel for appellants.

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*
Table of Cases (Nicoll v Blake see *sub In re The Cole and Bean*)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1682_00  Bland v Codd  Virginia

Case Name Short  Bland v Codd
Case Name Long  Sarah Bland v Col. St. Leger Codd and wife

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.2 [88] p.38 – 3 August 1682 – entry 1
CSP Citation  VI no. 641
PC Register Citation  Charles II v.16 (1 June 1680 – 31 May 1683) p.541–542: PC 2/69/541–542
APC Citation  v.2 [88] p.38 – 26 April 1683 – entry 2
CSP Citation  VI no. 1054
PC Register Citation  Charles II v.16 (1 June 1680 – 31 May 1683) p.684: PC 2/69/684
APC Citation  v.2 [88] p.38 – 29 September 1684 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  Charles II v.17 (13 June 1683 – 4 February 1685) p.235: PC 2/70/235
APC Citation  v.2 [88] p.38 – 31 October 1684 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  Charles II v.17 (13 June 1683 – 4 February 1685) p.242: PC 2/70/242
APC Citation  v.2 [88] p.38 – 7 November 1684 – entry 5
CSP Citation  VI no. 1922
PC Register Citation  Charles II v.17 (13 June 1683 – 4 February 1685) p.247: PC 2/70/247
APC Citation  v.2 [88] p.38 – 19 November 1684 – entry 6
CSP Citation  VI no. 1951
PC Register Citation  Charles II v.17 (13 June 1683 – 4 February 1685) p.255: PC 2/70/255

Colonial Courts

Unnamed

Participants

Bland, Sarah
Codd, Col. St. Leger
Codd, Mrs. St. Leger

Description

Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition
Submitted to arbitration that apparently failed, according to Smith (p.100)

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**

Table of Cases (Bland v Codd)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1685_00  Plampin v Scarborrow  Virginia

Case Name Short  Plampin v Scarborrow  Not a ‘true’ appeal.
Case Name Long  Edward Plampin v Edmund Scarborrow

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view_APC  view_CSP

APC Citation  v.2 [203] p.90 – 18 December 1685 – entry 1
CSP Citation  VII no. 508 and 2126
PC Register Citation  James II Part 1 (6 February 1685 – 18 March 1687)  p.175–176: PC 2/71/175–176  view

Colonial Courts

No colonial court decision

Participants

Bagnall, John, deceased
Howard, Lord, Governor General
Plampin, Edward (administrator to John Bagnall)
Scarborrow (Scarborough), Edmund, deceased

Description

Administrator’s action on a bond

Disposition

Governor is ordered to bring the cause to a speedy trial in the colony.

Notes

This is not an appeal from a colonial court judgment but a request that the colonial court be forced to hold a speedy trial.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1690_00  Ludwell v Toton  Virginia

Case Name Short  Ludwell v Toton
Case Name Long  Philip Ludwell v John Toton

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

APC Citation  v.2 [393] p.184–185 – 19 December 1690 – entry 1 (The date of this entry in the *APC* would seem to be in error. The figure (p.84) is curiously formed, but it is much more likely to be an ‘8’ than a ‘9’. The *CSP* has 18 December.)

CSP Citation  VIII no. 1259

PC Register Citation  William III v.2 (3 September 1690 – 29 September 1692) p.88: PC 2/74/88

Colonial Courts

General Court of Virginia

Participants

Berkeley, Sir William, former Governor, now deceased
Ludwell, Philip (husband of executrix of Sir William Berkeley)
Toton, John

Description

Opposition to jurisdiction of the court in a suit brought for actions of the Governor while in office

Disposition

Not stated in *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

Notes

Sir William Berkeley – Governor, 1642–1652, 1660–1677

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
## Report

Report No. 13_1696_00  
**Appeal of Bowtell**  
**Virginia**

### Case Name Short
Bowtell, appeal of

### Case Name Long
William Bowtell and Thomas Wenborne, appeal of

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

### APC Citation
v.2 [632] p.299 – 18 June 1696 – entry 1

### CSP Citation
X no. 46

### PC Register Citation

### Colonial Courts
No colonial court decision

### Participants
Bowtell, William  
Wenborne, Thomas

### Description
Not stated in *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

### Disposition
Not stated in *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

### Notes
The petition regards a presumed debt of John Lear, a member of the Virginia Council, who by a rule of the General Court was immune from suit. The petition is of interest, though not an actual appeal from a court judgment. According to Kammen, “Virginia at the Close of the Seventeenth Century,” p.143–144, the “very first piece of business referred to the Board [of Trade] in 1696, before it had held its first meeting, concerned Virginia. . . . The lords commissioners were ordered to report on the case of William Bowtell and Thomas Wenborne, merchants, who raised the issue of privileges enjoyed by councilors in Virginia. . . . In consequence, at their seventh meeting, on July 13, 1696, the Board heard the petition of the two aggrieved parties.”

### DOCUMENTATION

#### Printed Cases
Not found
Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1699_00 Starke v Parker Virginia

Case Name Short Starke v Parker
Case Name Long Thomas Starke v Thomas and Francillia Parker

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.2 [770] p.346–347 – 7 December 1699 – entry 1
PC Register Citation William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.398: PC 2/77/398

APC Citation v.2 [770] p.347 – 22 May 1700 – entry 2
PC Register Citation William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.31: PC 2/78/31

APC Citation v.2 [770] p.347 – 30 May 1700 – entry 3
PC Register Citation William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.34–35: PC 2/78/34–35

Colonial Courts
General Court – 17 April 1699

Participants
Goodricke, Joseph, deceased
Parker, Francillia (wife of Thomas Parker and executrix of Joseph Goodricke)
Parker, Thomas (husband of Francillia Parker)
Starke, Thomas, merchant, of London

Description
Not stated in the APC, but appears to be related to the administration of an estate

Disposition
Appeal dismissed and judgment affirmed

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Starke v Parker)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1703_00  Selden v Beverley  Virginia
Ballard v Beverley

Case Name Short  Selden v Beverley
Ballard v Beverley

Case Name Long  Samuel Selden v Robert Beverley
Francis Ballard on the demise of Samuel and Rebecca Selden v Robert Beverley

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.2 [909] p.446 – 18 November 1703 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.459–460: PC 2/79/459–460

APC Citation  v.2 [909] p.446 – 23 December 1703 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.481: PC 2/79/481

APC Citation  v.2 [909] p.446 – 18 January 1704 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  Anne v.1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704) p.512–513: PC 2/79/512–513

APC Citation  v.2 [909] p.446 – 20 January 1704 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  Anne v.2 (20 January 1704 – 29 September 1705) p.3–4: PC 2/80/3–4

APC Citation  v.2 [909] p.446–447 – 3 February 1704 – entry 5
PC Register Citation  Anne v.2 (20 January 1704 – 29 September 1705) p.48: PC 2/80/48

APC Citation  v.2 [909] p.447 – 10 February 1704 – entry 6
PC Register Citation  Anne v.2 (20 January 1704 – 29 September 1705) p.55: PC 2/80/55

Colonial Courts
Court of Virginia

Participants
Ballard, Francis (lessee of Samuel Selden)
Beverley, Robert
Selden, Rebecca (wife of Samuel Selden)
Selden, Samuel (husband of Rebecca Selden and lessor of Francis Ballard)

Description
Land (“proceedings . . . touching two plantations of lands” [Selden],
“petition . . . of the lessee of Samuel Selden, and Rebecca his wife on behalf of himself and the said lessors, complaining of proceedings . . . touching two plantations of lands.” [Ballard]

Disposition
Council advises reversal but leaves parties at liberty to try cause again in Virginia and to appeal upon a special verdict.

Notes
On the original actions involved here, see Additional Research.

APC, v.2 [1240] p.702, notes an appeal of Robert Beverley (spelled Beverly) to which an appearance for Samuel Selden (spelled Seldon) was made. (Beverly v Seldon, Report No. 13_1715_00.) This may relate to the litigation here between these parties, but further investigation has not been made to verify that.

Beverley’s petition for writ of attain (somewhat puzzling in this context, granted that Ballard is also complaining about the result below) is dismissed on the advice of the Attorney General. See TNA Documents.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Selden v Beverly)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Order of reference (Beverley v Ballard) – 3 Feb. 1704 – PC 1/46/6</td>
<td>Referenced in APC, v.6 [115] p.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Petition (Beverley v Ballard) – no date – PC 1/46/6</td>
<td>Referenced in APC, v.6 [115] p.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General opinion (Beverley v Ballard) – read 10 Feb. 1704 – PC 1/46/6</td>
<td>Referenced in APC, v.6 [115] p.28. Date is from dorse which references the Attorney General opinion only.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Report

Report No. 13_1709_00  D’Oyly v Harrison  Virginia

Case Name Short  D’Oyly v Harrison  Not a ‘true’ appeal.
Case Name Long  Robert D’Oyly v Benjamin Harrison

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view APC

APC Citation  v.2 [1100] p.607 – 18 July 1709 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  Anne v.4 (5 March 1708 – 31 May 1710) p.367–368: view

Colonial Courts

Court of York County

Participants

D’Oyly, Charles (son of Cope D’Oyly)
D’Oyly, Cope (deceased brother of Robert D’Oyly and father of Charles and Cope D’Oyly)
D’Oyly, Cope (son of Cope D’Oyly)
D’Oyly, Robert (brother and administrator to Cope D’Oyly and guardian of Charles and Cope D’Oyly in England)
Harrison, Benjamin (attorney and guardian of Charles and Cope D’Oyly in Virginia)

Description

Dispute over guardianship and support of nephews of Robert D’Oyly

Disposition

Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes

The petition appears to allege a dispute relating to the guardianship of minors and an intestate estate. The initial guardianship decision involved the probate jurisdiction of the Governor and the county court. Whether or not the petition of D’Oyly is a ‘true’ appeal from a judgment cannot be determined from the APC.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1715_00  Beverly v Seldon  Virginia

Case Name Short  Beverly v Seldon  Not a ‘true’ appeal.
Case Name Long  Robert Beverly v Samuel Seldon

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.2 [1240] p.702 – 4 October 1715 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George I v.1 (1 August 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.292: view

Colonial Courts
Proceedings in colonial court unclear

Participants
Beverly, Robert
Incledon, Robert (appears for Samuel Seldon)
Seldon, Samuel

Description
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
Whether there is a relation to the previous appeal of Selden v Beverley, Report No. 13_1703_00 (APC, v.2 [909] p.446), other than the fact that parties seem to be the same, is not apparent from the skimpy entry in the register.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1716_00  Stannard v Wormeley  Virginia

Case Name Short  Stannard v Wormeley
Case Name Long  Anne Stannard by William Stannard v John Wormeley

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.2 [1263] p.718 – 13 September 1716 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George I v.1 (1 August 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.442: PC 2/85/442

APC Citation  v.2 [1263] p.718 – 6 March 1717 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.4B: PC 2/86/4B

APC Citation  v.2 [1263] p.718 – 30 March 1717 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.6: PC 2/86/6

Colonial Courts
General Court – 26 April 1716

Participants
Stannard, Anne, infant (daughter of William Stannard)
Stannard, William (father of Anne Stannard)
Wormeley, John

Description
Land

Disposition
Dismissed

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1719_00  Jones v Toleson  Virginia
Winder v Jones

Case Name Short  Jones v Toleson
Winder v Jones

Case Name Long  Robert and Elizabeth Jones v Thomas Toleson and
William Williams
Elizabeth Winder and Richard and Elizabeth Kenner v
Robert and Elizabeth Jones

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.2 [1311] p.755–756 – 6 February 1719 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.220–
221: PC 2/86/220–221

APC Citation  v.2 [1311] p.756 – 8 January 1720 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.370:
PC 2/86/370

APC Citation  v.2 [1311] p.756 – 24 February 1720 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.381:
PC 2/86/381

APC Citation  v.2 [1311] p.756 – 22 March 1720 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.396–
397: PC 2/86/396–397

APC Citation  v.2 [1311] p.756 – 13 September 1722 – entry 5
PC Register Citation  George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 August 1724) p.106:
PC 2/88/106

APC Citation  v.2 [1311] p.756 – 6 October 1722 – entry 6
PC Register Citation  George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 August 1724) p.111:
PC 2/88/111

APC Citation  v.2 [1311] p.756 – 20 June 1723 – entry 7
PC Register Citation  George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 August 1724) p.264–
265: PC 2/88/264–265

APC Citation  v.2 [1311] p.757 – 24 July 1723 – entry 8
PC Register Citation  George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 August 1724) p.283–
284: PC 2/88/283–284

APC Citation  v.2 [1311] p.757 – 6 August 1723 – entry 9
PC Register Citation  George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 August 1724) p.304:
PC 2/88/304

Colonial Courts
Unnamed – 2 May 1718
General Court – 27 October 1721 (the result of a new trial ordered by the Privy Council 22 March 1720)

Participants
Brereton, Capt. Thomas (deceased son of Col. Thomas Brereton and father of Elizabeth Jones)
Brereton, Col. Thomas (deceased father of Capt. Thomas Brereton)
Brereton, Mary (widow of Capt. Thomas Brereton)
Brereton, Thomas (deceased grandson of Col. Thomas Brereton)
Cooper, George
Hobson, Thomas
Howson, Leonard
Jones, Elizabeth (wife of Robert Jones and daughter of Capt. Thomas Brereton)
Jones, Robert (husband of Elizabeth Jones)
Kenner, Elizabeth (wife of Richard Kenner and daughter of Elizabeth Winder)
Kenner, John (unclear if this is an incorrect reference to ‘Richard’ Kenner)
Kenner, Richard (husband of Elizabeth Kenner and son-in-law of Elizabeth Winder)
Toleson, Thomas (tenant of Elizabeth Winder and Richard and Elizabeth Kenner)
Williams, William (tenant of Elizabeth Winder and Richard and Elizabeth Kenner)
Winder, Elizabeth (widowed aunt of Thomas Brereton, the deceased grandson; and mother of Elizabeth Kenner)

Description
Land dispute regarding property in St. Stephen’s parish, Northumberland Co., Virginia

Disposition
New trial to produce written evidence for the Council ordered and held, after which the judgment was affirmed in favor of Jones for dower. On the cross-appeal by Winder and the Kenners, regarding the remaining two-thirds of the land, that part of the judgment is reversed.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Jones v Toleson)
### Printed Cases

Not found

### Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

| TNA Document | Petition of Jones – no date – PC 1/47/18 | view_Doc |
| Notes | Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [317] p.136. Appeal of Jones is referenced as Jones v Winder. |

| TNA Document | Order of reference (Jones v Winder) – 6 Feb. 1719 – PC 1/47/16 | view_Doc |

| TNA Document | Copy of petition of Robert and Elizabeth Jones – 6 Feb. 1719 – PC 1/47/16 | view_Doc |
| Notes | Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [278] p.119. Appeal of Jones is referenced as Jones v Winder. |

| Notes | Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [287] p.120 |

| Notes | Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [287] p.120 |

| TNA Document | Order of reference (Jones v Winder) – 6 Oct. 1722 – PC 1/47/18 | view_Doc |
| Notes | Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [317] p.136. Appeal of Jones is referenced as Jones v Winder. |
Report

Report No. 13_1720_00  Corbin v Roscow  Virginia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Name Short</th>
<th>Corbin v Roscow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case Name Long</td>
<td>Gawen Corbin v James and Jane Roscow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APC Citation</th>
<th>v.2 [1327] p.766 – 8 January 1720 – entry 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC Register Citation</td>
<td>George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.371: PC 2/86/371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC Citation</td>
<td>v.2 [1327] p.766 – 24 February 1720 – entry 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC Register Citation</td>
<td>George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.379–380: PC 2/86/379–380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC Citation</td>
<td>v.2 [1327] p.766 – 28 February 1720 – entry 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC Register Citation</td>
<td>George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720) p.386: PC 2/86/386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Colonial Courts
Virginia Chancery – 30 April 1719

Participants
Corbin, Gawen (husband of Jane Wilson Corbin)
Corbin, Jane Wilson (wife, presumed deceased, of Gawen Corbin and
tmother of Jane Roscow)
Roscow, James (husband of Jane Roscow)
Roscow, Jane (wife of James Roscow and daughter of Jane Wilson
Corbin)

Description
Land

Disposition
Altered in part, reversed in part, confirmed in part

Notes
The appellant in this case would seem to be the same as the appellant in
Corbin v Corbin (13_1732_00, APC, v.3 [265] p.365), but the cases do
not seem to be otherwise related.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNA Document</th>
<th>Copy of petition of G. Corbin – no date – PC 1/47/16</th>
<th>view_Doc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [288] p.120</td>
<td>view_APC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [288] p.120</td>
<td>view_APC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNA Document</td>
<td>Committee report – 24 Feb. 1720 – PC 1/47/16</td>
<td>view_Doc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Referenced in <em>APC</em>, v.6 [288] p.120</td>
<td>view_APC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Report

Case Name Short  Perry v Randolph
Case Name Long  Sarah Perry, Micaiah Perry and Philip Perry v Mary, William and Thomas Randolph

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [65] p.69 – 12 May 1724 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 August 1724) p.525: PC 2/88/525

APC Citation  v.3 [65] p.69 – 30 June 1724 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 August 1724) p.541: PC 2/88/541

APC Citation  v.3 [65] p.69 – 18 November 1724 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George I v.5 (September 1724 – May 1727) p.15: PC 2/89/15

APC Citation  v.3 [65] p.69 – 25 November 1724 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  George I v.5 (September 1724 – May 1727) p.16: PC 2/89/16

APC Citation  v.3 [65] p.69–70 – 20 March 1725 – entry 5
PC Register Citation  George I v.5 (September 1724 – May 1727) p.43: PC 2/89/43

APC Citation  v.3 [65] p.70 – 8 July 1725 – entry 6
PC Register Citation  George I v.5 (September 1724 – May 1727) p.90–91: PC 2/89/90–91

APC Citation  v.3 [65] p.70 – 20 July 1725 – entry 7
PC Register Citation  George I v.5 (September 1724 – May 1727) p.101–102: PC 2/89/101–102

Colonial Courts

General Court – 24 October 1723

Participants

Ellison, Mr. of Symond’s Inn (appears for Randolphs)
Faulkner, John (commissioner for respondent)
Morrice, Humphrey (commissioner for appellant)
Newport, George (commissioner for appellant)
Perry, Micaiah of London
Perry, Philip of London
Perry, Sarah, widow, of London
Randolph, Col. William, deceased
Randolph, Mary (executor of Col. William Randolph)
Randolph, Thomas (executor of Col. William Randolph)
Randolph, William (executor of Col. William Randolph)
Wilmot, Robert (commissioner for respondent)

**Description**
Contested accounts

**Disposition**
Reversed

**Notes**
Commissioners were appointed to study and advise on the dispute.

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**
Table of Cases (Perry v Randolph)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**
Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

TNA Document  Representation of the commissioners – Mar. 1725  view_Doc
(as dated in TNA cataloging) – PC 1/58/2A

Catalogued as  Catalogued by TNA: Representation of the commissioners appointed to examine the accounts in question in the appeal Perry v Randolph

**Other Documents**

Report

Report No. 13_1727_00 Heal v Ball Ball v Heal Virginia

Case Name Short Heal v Ball Ball v Heal

Case Name Long George Heal v William Ball William Ball v George Heal

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.3 [133] p.177 – 13 November 1727 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.182, 213: PC 2/90/182, 213

APC Citation v.3 [133] p.177 – 15 August 1728 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.354–355, 361: PC 2/90/354–355, 361

APC Citation v.3 [133] p.177 – 1 February 1729 – entry 3
PC Register Citation George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.436: PC 2/90/436

APC Citation v.3 [133] p.177 – 26 March 1729 – entry 4
PC Register Citation George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.442–443, 460: PC 2/90/442–443, 460

Colonial Courts

General Court – 26 October 1725 – re Heal v Ball
General Court before Governor and Council – 15 April 1727 – re Ball v Heal

Participants

Ball, William, gentleman
Heal, George, gentleman

Description

Land dispute in the parish of Fareham, Richmond county

Disposition

Both appeals dismissed.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Ball v Heal)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

TNA Document
Committee report on Heal v Ball – 2 Aug. 1728 – view_Doc
PC 1/48/24

Notes
Referenced in APC, v.6 [396] p.193 view_APC
Report

Case Name Short: Bayler v Carter

Case Name Long: John Bayler by Robert Bayler and Augustine Moor v John and Elizabeth Carter

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.3 [167] p.215 – 1 February 1729 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.437:
PC 2/90/437

APC Citation: v.3 [167] p.215 – 3 March 1729 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.443:
PC 2/90/443

APC Citation: v.3 [167] p.215 – 26 March 1729 – entry 3
PC Register Citation: George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.460:
PC 2/90/460

Colonial Courts

General Court – 25 April 1728

Participants

Bayler, John, a minor
Bayler, Robert (guardian of John Bayler)
Carter, Elizabeth (wife of John Carter)
Carter, John, esquire (husband of Elizabeth Carter)
Moor, Augustine (guardian of John Bayler)

Description

Land in the dispute in the parish of St. Stephen’s

Disposition

Dismissed

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1732_00 Corbin v Corbin (Corbin v Faldo) Virginia

Case Name Short Corbin v Faldo Corbin v Corbin

Case Name Long Gawen Corbin v Robert Faldo on the demise of Thomas Corbin

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series view APC

APC Citation v.3 [265] p.365 – 7 September 1732 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.48: PC 2/92/48 view

APC Citation v.3 [265] p.365 – 12 February 1735 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.119, 122, 142, 153–154, 539: PC 2/92/119, 122, 142, 153–154, 539 view

PC Register Citation George II v.4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736) p.84, 89–90, 100: PC 2/93/84, 89–90, 100 view

Colonial Courts
General Court – 24 April 1730

Participants
Corbin, Gawen
Corbin, Thomas
Faldo, Robert (lessee of Thomas Corbin)

Description
Action of trespass and ejectment

Disposition
Restitution of estate to Gawen Corbin

Notes
Robert Faldo may be a real person, but we may doubt the reality of the lease to him. On this and on the action of ‘trespass and ejectment’ involved here, see Additional Research.

The appellant in this case would seem to be the same as the appellant in Corbin v Roscow (13_1720_00, APC, v.2 [1327] p.766), but the cases do not seem to be otherwise related.
DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1736_00 Burges v Hack (Gulliver v Hack) Virginia

Case Name Short
Burges v Hack
Gulliver v Hack

Case Name Long
Lemuel Gulliver on the demise of Frances Burges v John Hack

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.3 [391] p.530 – 27 December 1736 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738)
p.48: PC 2/94/48

APC Citation v.3 [391] p.530 – 21 February 1737 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738)
p.130–131: PC 2/94/130–131

APC Citation v.3 [391] p.530–531 – 2 November 1737 – entry 3
PC Register Citation George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738)
p.293–294: PC 2/94/293–294

APC Citation v.3 [391] p.531 – 23 November 1739 – entry 4
PC Register Citation George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740)
p.427: PC 2/95/427

APC Citation v.3 [391] p.531 – 27 November 1739 – entry 5
PC Register Citation George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.432:
PC 2/95/432

Colonial Courts
General Court of Pleas – 15 April 1736 (known in lower court as Gulliver v Hack)

Participants
Burges (Burgess), Frances, widow
Gulliver, Lemuel (lessee of Frances Burges)
Hack, John
Paris, Ferdinando John, solicitor (appears for John Hack)
Tox, David

Description
Land (“upon an Ejectment,” according the the Privy Council register)

Disposition
Sent back to General Court of Pleas for further factual determination and jury trial if necessary
Notes
Participant Tox is named in the printed case as David Fox.
The printed case reveals that slaves as well as land are part of the
property in dispute.
The summary of the Committee report in the APC has Frances Burges as
lessee, but the Privy Council register has her properly as the lessor.
Lemuel Gulliver may be a real person, but we may doubt the reality of
the lease to him. On this and on the action of ejectment involved here,
see Additional Research.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Burgess v Hack)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Appellant's case Case of the appellant (Lemuel Gulliver on
the demise of Frances Burgess)

Counsel [Signed] D. Ryder; J. Browne

Note The docket title is Frances Burgess, widow vs. John Hack, Gent.
Title on the printed case is Lemuel Gulliver, on the demise of
Frances Burgess - plaintiff and appellant; John Hack - respondent.

Library British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36216
f.103–104 (Includes manuscript notes and an additional record in
the appeal, f.105, which is printed and is endorsed: “A copy of the
additional record in the appeal which was lately between Frances view
Burges, widow, plaintiff and appellant and John Hack, gent.,
defendant and respondent which cause is now between Jesse Ball,
and Frances his wife – appellant and John Hack – respondent.”)

Respondent's case Case of the respondent (Hack)

Note Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found

Other Documents
Document A photocopy of “a copy of the record, transmitted on the
appeal of Frances Burges, widow, plaintiff below, and
appellant John Hack, gent., defendant below and respondent
[Williamsburgh: s.n., 1737]” is in the Virginia Historical
Society. The copy supposedly in the New York Public Library
(formerly the Lenox Library), as noted in Clayton-Torrence, *Trial Bibliography of Colonial Virginia*, 1:121, sec. 139, could not be verified. At least one copy is known to be in private hands. See also the entry in Barton, *Virginia Colonial Decisions*, 2:B195–201.
Report

Report No. 13_1738_00 Hunt v Hill Virginia

Case Name Short Hunt v Hill
Case Name Long William Hunt v James and Joyce Hill

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.3 [427] p.588 – 12 January 1738 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738) p.370: PC 2/94/370

APC Citation v.3 [427] p.588–590 – 27 November 1740 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 August 1741) p.175, 234, 235–240, 263: PC 2/96/175, 234, 235–240, 263

Colonial Courts
Royal Court – 15 April 1736 or 1737 following several trials

Participants
Clopton, William (deceased partner of William Hunt)
Franks, William
Hill, James (husband of Joyce Hill)
Hill, Joyce (wife of James Hill and executrix of William Clopton)
Hunt, William, merchant, of London (partner of William Clopton)
Lacy, Joseph
Mason, William
Merriwether, William

Description
Settlement of partnership account

Disposition
Affirmed “except the method of payment of the currency debt by an assignment of a moiety of the outstanding debts of the partnership, which is reversed,” according to the APC

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Hunt v Hill)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found
Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Case Name Short: Rogers v Spalding
Case Name Long: Sarah Rogers v Alexander Spalding

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation: v.3 [487] p.668 – 31 January 1740 – entry 1
PC Register Citation: George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.546: PC 2/95/546

APC Citation: v.3 [487] p.668–669 – 10 July 1740 – entry 2
PC Register Citation: George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 August 1741) p.110, 112–116, 155–156: PC 2/96/110, 112–116, 155–156

Colonial Courts
General Court – 16 April 1739

Participants
Hume, George, Captain of the Guard at Whydah
Rogers, Sarah, spinster, of London (administratrix of her brother, William Whetstone Rogers)
Rogers, William Whetstone, esquire, a chief merchant of the Royal African Company (deceased brother of Sarah Rogers)
Spalding, Alexander
Stephens, Edward, a chief merchant of the Royal African Company

Description
Recovery of the value of effects of deceased brother

Disposition
Verdict and judgment set aside and appellant at liberty to proceed to another trial

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Rogers v Spalding)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Appellant's case: Case of the appellant (Rogers)

Counsel: [Signed] D. Ryder; C. Clarke

Library: Harvard University. Harvard Law School Library: Special
Collections. Rare Trials (Includes manuscript notes)

Respondent's case  Case of the respondent (Spalding)  ESTC: N68544

Counsel  [Signed] J. Strange; W. Murray

Library  Harvard University, Harvard Law School Library: Special Collections. Rare Trials (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found

Other Documents

Document  The printed cases for appellant and respondent are also available in Gale’s Making of Modern Law: Trials under the name Rogers v Spalding. See also the entry in Barton, Virginia Colonial Decisions, 2:B81.
Report

Report No. 13_1740_02  Perry v Churchill  Virginia

Case Name Short  Perry v Churchill
Case Name Long  Micaiah Perry, John Maynard and Edward Athawes v Armistead Churchill

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [489] p.670 – 10 March 1740 - entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.663–664: PC 2/95/663–664

APC Citation  v.3 [489] p.670 – 21 April 1743 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743) p.384: PC 2/97/384

APC Citation  v.3 [489] p.670 – 28 November 1745 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.256–257, 268: PC 2/99/256–257, 268

Colonial Courts
General Court – 16 April 1739

Participants
Athawes, Edward (executor of William Dawkins)
Churchill, Armistead
Dawkins, William, deceased merchant, of London
Maynard, John (executor of William Dawkins)
Perry, Micaiah, esquire (executor of William Dawkins)

Description
Action of account

Disposition
Dismissed

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Perry v Churchill)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1740_03   Walker v Tucker   Virginia

Case Name Short   Walker v Tucker
Case Name Long   Courtney, George, Francis and Margaret Walker by Jacob Walker and Samuel Boush v Robert Tucker and John Tucker and John Cook and Robert Cook

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation   v.3 [508] p.687 – 27 November 1740 – entry 1
PC Register Citation   George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 August 1741) p.267, 312, 463, 467–468: PC 2/96/267, 312, 463, 467–468
APC Citation   v.3 [508] p.687 – 29 July 1742 – entry 2
PC Register Citation   George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743) p.187–189, 197–198: PC 2/97/187–189, 197–198

Colonial Courts
Virginia Chancery – 2 November 1739

Participants
Boush, Samuel (executor of John Tucker)
Campbell, Alexander, merchant
Cook (Cooke), John
Cook (Cooke), Robert
Hutchins, John, merchant
Taylor, John, merchant
Tucker, John, deceased merchant, of Norfolk
Tucker, Robert
Walker, Courtney, infant (child of Jacob Walker)
Walker, Francis, infant (child of Jacob Walker)
Walker, George, infant (child of Jacob Walker)
Walker, Jacob (guardian of Walker children and executor of John Tucker)
Walker, Jacob, esquire (father of Walker children)
Walker, Margaret, infant (child of Jacob Walker)

Description
An accounting for testator’s (John Tucker) ready money and outstanding debts

Disposition
Reversed
Notes

The APC entry appears to distinguish two Jacob Walkers; however, that cannot be verified from the APC alone.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Walker v Tucker)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found

Other Documents

See the entry (as Tucker &c vs. Tucker’s Exors) in Barton, Virginia Colonial Decisions, 2:B100–B105.
Report

Report No. 13_1742_01  Randolph v Woodford  Virginia

Case Name Short  Randolph v Woodford
Case Name Long  Edward Randolph v William Woodford

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [545] p.726 – 17 May 1742 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743) p.143, 152, 163, 428, 451: PC 2/97/143, 152, 163, 428, 451

Colonial Courts
General Court – 23 April 1741

Participants
Randolph, Edward, merchant, formerly of London, now of Virginia
Woodford, William

Description
Dispute over an account between the parties

Disposition
Not stated in the APC specifically, but the related case cited below was dismissed.

Notes
Related to another Privy Council appeal:
   Randolph v Beverley – Report No. 13_1742_02 (APC, v.3 [546] p.726)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1742_02  Randolph v Beverley  Virginia

Case Name Short  Randolph v Beverley
Case Name Long  Edward Randolph v William Beverley

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view_APC

APC Citation  v.3 [546] p.726–727 – 17 May 1742 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743) p.144, 152–153, 163–164, 428, 451–452; PC 2/97/144, 152–153, 163–164, 428, 451–452

APC Citation  v.3 [546] p.727 – 29 March 1744 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.9 (1 October 1743 – 27 February 1745) p.278–279; PC 2/98/278–279

APC Citation  v.3 [546] p.727 – 18 July 1745 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.148–149, 165–166; PC 2/99/148–149, 165–166

Colonial Courts

Unnamed – 23 April 1741

Participants

Beverley, William
Randolph, Edward

Description

Dispute over an account between the parties

Disposition

Dismissed

Notes

Related to another Privy Council appeal:

Randolph v Woodford – Report No. 13_1742_01 (APC, v.3 [545] p.726)

Court unnamed but likely the General Court as referenced in Randolph v Woodford

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Randolph v Beverley)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1742_03  Starke v Hawkins  Virginia
(Starke v Throut)

Case Name Short  Starke v Throut
                Starke v Hawkins
Case Name Long  Thomas Starke and Augustine Baughan v William
                Throut on the demise of John Hawkins

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.3 [561] p.742 – 16 December 1742 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743)
                p.280: PC 2/97/280
APC Citation  v.3 [561] p.742–743 – 3 November 1743 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.123,
                124 recte 123 only, 268 recte 267–268: PC 2/99/123,
                124 recte 123 only, 268 recte 267–268
PC Register Note  The reference in the APC to p.124 is incorrect, and it
                does not seem to be a mistake for another reference.

Colonial Courts
General Court – 15 April 1741

Participants
Baughan, Augustine
Hawkins, John
Starke, Thomas
Thrustout, William

Description
Land (ejectment) in the parish of St. Ann in Essex County

Disposition
Reversed

Notes
There can be little doubt that William Throut and the lease to him are
fictional. On this and on the action of ejectment involved here, see
Additional Research.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Starke v Throut)
DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Case Name Short
Meade v Thrustout
Meade v Godwin

Case Name Long
David Meade v William Thrustout on the demise of Martha Godwin, Samuel and Elizabeth Whitfield, William and Leah Godwin, Julian Norsworthy, Martha Norsworthy, Mary Norsworthy, and Ann Norsworthy

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation
v.3 [568] p.748–749 – 23 March 1743 – entry 1

PC Register Citation
George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743) p.353: PC 2/97/353

APC Citation
v.3 [568] p.749 – 3 November 1743 – entry 2

PC Register Citation
George II v.8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743) p.386: PC 2/97/386

PC Register Citation
George II v.9 (1 October 1743 – 27 February 1745) p.27–30, 34: PC 2/98/27–30, 34

Colonial Courts
General Court – 15 April 1742

Participants
Godwin, Leah (wife of William Godwin)
Godwin, Martha
Godwin, William (husband of Leah Godwin)
Lear, Col. John (deceased father of Thomas Lear)
Lear, Elizabeth (daughter of Thomas Lear)
Lear, John (deceased son of Thomas Lear)
Lear, Martha (daughter of Thomas Lear)
Lear, Thomas (deceased son of Col. John Lear and father of John, Elizabeth, and Martha Lear)
Meade, David, merchant
Norsworthy, Ann
Norsworthy, Julian
Norsworthy, Martha
Norsworthy, Mary
Thrustout, William (lessee under Martha Godwin; Samuel and Elizabeth Whitfield; William and Leah Godwin; Julian Norsworthy, Martha Norsworthy, Mary Norsworthy, and Ann Norsworthy)
Whitfield, Elizabeth (wife of Samuel Whitfield)
Whitfield, Samuel (husband of Elizabeth Whitfield)

**Description**
Land (ejectment) in the parish of Newport and county of Isle of Wight

**Disposition**
Judgment for appellant

**Notes**
There can be little doubt that William Thrustout and the lease to him are fictional. On this and on the action of ejectment involved here, see Additional Research.

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**
Table of Cases (Meade v Thrustout)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**
Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**
Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1747_01 Lillie v Tab Virginia

Case Name Short Lillie v Tab Not a ‘true’ appeal.

Case Name Long John Lillie v William Tab

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [60] p.43 – 19 June 1747 – entry 1

PC Register Citation George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.318: PC 2/100/318

Colonial Courts
Proceedings in colonial court unclear

Participants
Lillie, John
Paris, Mr. (appears for Tab)
Tab, William

Description
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
This is not indexed as an appeal in the APC; yet there is an entry in v.4 [60], as noted above, for an appeal of John Lillie with William Tab as respondent.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1747_02  Kenner v Ball  Virginia

Case Name Short  Kenner v Ball
Case Name Long  Richard Kenner v Jesse Ball

**Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series**

APC Citation  v.4 [70] p.51 – 4 November 1747 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.443: view

APC Citation  v.4 [70] p.51–52 – 8 December 1749 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.397: view

APC Citation  v.4 [70] p.52 – 29 March 1750 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.457–458, 528: PC 2/101/457–458, 528 view

**Colonial Courts**

General Court – 15 October 1745

**Participants**

Ball, Jesse
Kenner, Richard, later deceased

**Description**

Land (upon an ejectment) in Lancaster County

**Disposition**

Dismissed

**Notes**

On the action of ejectment involved here, see Additional Research.

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**

Table of Cases (Kenner v Ball)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

Not found

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found
Report No. 13_1749_01  Appeal of Ludwell  Virginia

Case Name Short  Ludwell, appeal of
Case Name Long  Philip Ludwell, appeal of

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [119] p.93–94 – 23 November 1749 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.365: view

Colonial Courts
General Court – 10 October 1746 (order of the court)
County Court for the County of Surrey – 16 January 1746 (order of the court)

Participants
Ludwell, Philip

Description
Road maintenance

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Notes
Petition of Philip Ludwell is from an order, not a judgment, of the County Court concerning road maintenance, affirmed by an order of the General Court.

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1749_02  Randolph v Wormley  Virginia

Case Name Short  Randolph v Wormley
Case Name Long  Thomas Man Randolph by Peter Randolph v Ralph Wormley

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view

APC Citation  v.4 [120] p.94 – 23 November 1749 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.365: PC 2/101/365  view

APC Citation  v.4 [120] p.94 – 1 April 1758 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.17 (1 January 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.53, 55–56, 93: PC 2/106/53, 55–56, 93  view

Colonial Courts
General Court – 10 April 1749

Participants
Randolph, Peter (guardian of Thomas Man Randolph)
Randolph, Thomas Man, esquire, infant
Wormley, Ralph

Description
Land (trespass and ejectment) in the parish of Hanover in the county of King George

Disposition
Reversed

Notes
On the action of trespass and ejectment involved here, see Additional Research.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant’s case  Case of the appellant (Randolph)
Counsel  [Signed] C. Pratt; Al. Forrester
Note  Respondent’s name is spelled Wormeley on appellant’s printed case.
Library  Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection  view
Respondent's case: Case of the respondent (Wormley)

Counsel: [Signed] Geo. Perrott

Library: Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1751_00 Degge v Kay Virginia

Case Name Short Degge v Kay

Case Name Long William Degge, George Russell and Thomas Russell v Rev. William Kay

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [145] p.113 – 30 April 1751 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.220; PC 2/102/220

APC Citation v.4 [145] p.113 – 31 October 1751 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.241, 334, 353–354; PC 2/102/241, 334, 353–354

APC Citation v.4 [145] p.113 – 6 June 1753 – entry 3
PC Register Citation George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.380, 405; PC 2/102/380, 405

PC Register Citation George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753) p.242, 386, 415–417, 422–423; PC 2/103/242, 386, 415–417, 422–423

Colonial Courts

General Court
General Court – 21 April 1749

Participants

Dawson, Mr. (commissary)
Degge, William of Richmond county, clerk
Hodgson, Thomas, merchant, of London
Kay, Rev. William, minister of the parish of Lunenburgh
Morthland, David, deceased minister
Russell, George of Richmond county
Russell, Thomas of Richmond county
Sydenham, Jonathan, merchant, of London

Description

Glebe dispute (trespass) in the parish of Lunenburgh

Disposition

Dismissed

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Degge v Kay)
DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant's case  Case of the appellant (Degge)
Counsel  [Signed] D. Ryder; A. Hume-Campbell
Library  Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection (Manuscript notes limited to minor underlining)

Respondent's case  Case of the respondent (Kay)
Counsel  [Signed] W. Murray; R. Henley
Library  Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection (Includes manuscript notes and 2 copies of the respondent's case)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found

Other Documents

Document  See the detailed index in Manross, Fulham Papers. He summarizes enlightening correspondence about this case, which is reproduced on Reel 7 of Lambeth Palace Library, Fulham Papers.
Report

Report No. 13_1752_01 Mason v Withers Virginia

Case Name Short Mason v Withers
Case Name Long Anne Mason and George Mason v Edmund Withers

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

APC Citation v.4 [162] p.150–151 – 14 January 1752 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.433, 526: PC 2/102/433, 526

Colonial Courts
Chancery – 14 April 1748

Participants
Mason, Anne (widow of George Mason, gentleman)
Mason, George (son of George Mason)
Mason, George, gentleman (deceased husband of Anne Mason and father of George Mason)
Withers, Edmund
Withers, John, deceased

Description
“Recovery of an estate belonging to John Withers,” according to the APC

Disposition
Not stated in *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

____________________________________________________________________________________

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1752_02  Robinson v Anderson  Virginia

Case Name Short  Robinson v Anderson
Case Name Long  John Robinson and Humphry Hill v John Anderson

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [184] p.172 – 18 October 1752 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753) p.199: PC 2/103/199

APC Citation  v.4 [184] p.172 – 29 May 1754 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.135–136: PC 2/104/135–136

APC Citation  v.4 [184] p.173 – 21 June 1754 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.154, 163: PC 2/104/154, 163

Colonial Courts
Justices of the Peace for York County – March 1751
General Court – 10 April 1751

Participants
Anderson, John (executor of Mathew Anderson)
Anderson, Mathew
Branton, George
Francis, Thomas, attorney (appears for John Anderson)
Hill, Humphry (executor of George Branton)
Robinson, John (executor of George Branton)

Description
Action to recover £500 sterling for insurance on 80 hogsheads of tobacco

Disposition
Dismissed for non-prosecution

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1752_03  McCarty v McCarty (McCarty v Turf)  Virginia

Case Name Short  McCarty v Turf  McCarty v McCarty
Case Name Long  Daniel McCarty by Joseph Morton v Thomas Turf on the demise of Daniel McCarty

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [190] p.180–181 – 20 December 1752 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753) p.272: PC 2/103/272

APC Citation  v.4 [190] p.181 – 10 May 1753 – entry 2

Colonial Courts

General Court – 10 October 1751

Participants

McCarty (M’Carty), Daniel (son of Daniel McCarty and brother of Dennis and Thaddeus McCarty)
McCarty (M’Carty), Daniel of Fairfax county (deceased father of Dennis, Daniel, and Thaddeus McCarty)
McCarty (M’Carty), Daniel, infant (son of Dennis McCarty and plaintiff in this action)
McCarty (M’Carty), Dennis (son of Daniel McCarty and brother of Daniel and Thaddeus McCarty)
McCarty (M’Carty), Thaddeus (son of Daniel McCarty and brother of Dennis and Daniel McCarty)
Minor, John
Morton, Joseph, gentleman (guardian of Daniel McCarty, infant)
Payne, William
Shirman, John
Turf, Thomas (lessee)

Description

Land (action of ejectment, according to the APC; action of trespass and ejectment, according to the earlier entries in the Privy Council register; “a Declaration in an Action of Trespass and Ejectment,” according to the
Committee report) in Copeley parish, Westmoreland County

**Disposition**
Reversed – respondent allowed to proceed to a new trial with a special verdict

**Notes**
There can be little doubt that Thomas Turf and the lease to him are fictional. On this and on the action of trespass and ejectment involved here, see Additional Research.

From the record we have before us and the printed cases, it would seem that this is a suit between nephew and uncle, both named Daniel, and at issue is the interpretation of a clause in the grandfather’s will that deprived his son Daniel of the premises if he ceased to reside there and a deed by Dennis, the deceased father of the infant Daniel, in which Dennis waived his rights under that clause. The Committee, however, found the case confusing (hence, the result), and the record that we have may not tell the whole story.

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

**Appellant’s case**
Case of the appellant (McCarty)

*Counsel* [Signed] W. Murray; A. Hume-Campbell

*Note* The appellant’s case is titled: Daniel M’Carty, an Infant, by Jospeh Morton, Gent. his Guardian – appellant; Daniel M’Carty, of Fairfax County, in Virginia, and Thomas Turf, his feigned Lessee . . . respondent.

*Library* Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection

**Respondent’s case**
Case of the respondent (Turf . . . “upon the fictitious demise of Daniel M’Carty”)

*Counsel* [Signed] D. Ryder; K. Evans

*Note* The respondent’s case gives the respondent as: Thomas Turf, the Plaintiff at Law, in an Ejectment upon a fictitious demise of Daniel M’Carty, of Fairfax County, in Virginia aforesaid, Gentleman.

*Library* Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**
Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1753_01  Jones v Shields  Virginia

Case Name Short  Jones v Shields
Case Name Long  Robert jun. and Sarah Jones and Dudley and Martha Richardson v James Shields

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view APC

APC Citation  v.4 [198] p.194 – 25 January 1753 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753) p.205, 298; PC 2/103/205, 298

APC Citation  v.4 [198] p.194 – 30 January 1753 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753) p.301; PC 2/103/301

APC Citation  v.4 [198] p.194 – 7 March 1753 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753) p.351; PC 2/103/351

APC Citation  v.4 [198] p.194 – 20 March 1753 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753) p.358–359; PC 2/103/358–359

APC Citation  v.4 [198] p.194 – 10 May 1753 – entry 5
PC Register Citation  George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753) p.383–385, 409–410; PC 2/103/383–385, 409–410

Colonial Courts

County Court of Chancery for York county – 20 November 1749
General Court – 10 October 1751

Participants

Allen, Daniel (deceased executor of Robert Cobbs)
Cobbs, Elizabeth (deceased second wife of Robert Cobbs, executor of Robert Cobbs, and mother of Sarah Cobbs Jones and Martha Cobbs Richardson)
Cobbs, Rebecca Pinkethman (deceased daughter of William Pinkethman, wife of Robert Cobbs, and mother of Elizabeth Cobbs Shields)
Cobbs, Robert (deceased husband of both Rebecca Pinkethman Cobbs and Elizabeth Cobbs)
Jones, Robert, jun. (husband of Sarah Cobbs Jones)
Jones, Sarah Cobbs (daughter of Robert and Elizabeth Cobbs; wife of Robert Jones, jun.; and sister of Martha Cobbs Richardson)
Pinkethman, William (deceased father of Rebecca Pinkethman Cobbs)
Richardson, Dudley (husband of Martha Cobbs Richardson)
Richardson, Martha Cobbs (daughter of Robert and Elizabeth Cobbs, wife of Dudley Richardson, and sister of Sarah Cobbs Jones)
Shields, Elizabeth Cobbs (deceased daughter of Robert and Rebecca Cobbs, and wife of James Shields)
Shields, James, gentleman (widower of Elizabeth Cobbs Shields)

**Description**
Dispute involves slaves in the estate of William Pinkethman

**Disposition**
Dismissed

**Notes**
Dispute includes slaves.

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**
Table of Cases (Jones v Shields)

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

**Appellant’s case**
Case of the appellant (Jones)

Counsel
(Signed) W. Murray; K. Evans

Library
Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection  
[view](#)

**Respondent’s case**
Case of the respondent (Shields)

Counsel
(Signed) A. Hume-Campbell; R. Henley

Library
Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection  
[view](#)

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**
Not found

**Other Documents**

Document
The appellants’ and the respondent’s printed cases are reproduced in Barton, *Virginia Colonial Decisions*, 2:B367–B382.
Report

Report No. 13_1753_02

King v Lewis
King v Braxton
Braxton v King

Case Name Short
King v Lewis
King v Braxton
Braxton v King

Case Name Long
Walter King v Charles Lewis and Lewis Burwell
Walter King v Carter Braxton and Lewis Burwell
Carter Braxton by John Robinson v Walter King

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [212] p.210–211 – 6 June 1753 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753)

APC Citation v.4 [212] p.211 – 10 January 1754 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755)
p.4–5: PC 2/104/4–5

APC Citation v.4 [212] p.211 – 22 January 1754 – entry 3
PC Register Citation George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755)
p.5–13, 20: PC 2/104/5–13, 20

Colonial Courts
Chancery – 10 October 1751

Participants
Braxton, Carter, infant (eldest son of George Braxton, jun.)
Braxton, George, jun. (deceased father of Carter Braxton)
Braxton, George, sen. (deceased father of George Braxton, jun.)
Burwell, Lewis (agent of the Perrys in Virginia)
Corbin, Richard
Digges, Dudley
Edwards, Benjamin (agent of the Perrys in Virginia)
King, Walter, merchant
Lewis, Charles
Perry, Micajah (Micaiah), merchant, late of London
Perry, Philip, merchant, late of London
Robinson, John (‘next friend’ of Carter Braxton)

Description
Land in the county called formerly Goochland, now Albemarle and
known as the Fork. According to the APC, King appeals from two equity orders that barred him from proceeding at law in ejectment to recover the land and ordered him to convey the land to the respondents upon their paying certain sums.

**Disposition**

King’s appeals are sustained.

**Notes**

For the action of ejectment mentioned here, see Additional Research.

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

**Appellant’s case**  
Case of the appellant (King in King v Lewis)  
ESTC: N469682

**Counsel**  
[Signed] Wm. Murray; Ste. Comyn

**Note**  
Although the case mentions only Lewis and Burwell in the heading, Braxton is mentioned in the body of the case. There is, however, no reference to Braxton’s cross-appeal.

**Library**  
Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection (Noted in ESTC? No.)

**Library**  

**Library**  
Yale University. Beinecke Rare Book and Manuscript Library: ZZ40 25 (Includes manuscript notes, notably on the dorso, where there is an index referring to events in the 1730s and 1740s. The references correspond, for the most part, to events mentioned in the brief, but the page numbers suggest that they refer to a much fuller record of the case.) (Noted in ESTC? No.)

**Respondent’s case**  
Case of the respondent (Braxton in King v Braxton)

**Counsel**  
[Signed] A. Hume-Campbell; Al. Forrester

**Note**  
The heading of the case lists the names of Braxton, Burwell, and Lewis, suggesting that this covers all three cases.

**Library**  
Yale University. Beinecke Rare Book and Manuscript Library: ZZ40 25 (Manuscript notes limited to few numerals on dorso)

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

Not found

**Other Documents**
In addition, see papers relating to the King controversy in the Joshua Sharpe papers in the Thomas Phillipps collection at the Library of Congress, Manuscripts Division. At the University of Virginia, the Tracy W. McGregor Autograph Collection in Series 1, Letters and Manuscripts, holds in manuscript what is described as: a draft of the appellant’s brief in the case of Walter King v Carter Braxton. This manuscript appears to be a working summary of the fuller record in the appeal containing chancery documents, letters, and depositions.
# Report

**Report No. 13_1753_03**  
**Jones v Porter**  
**Virginia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Name Short</th>
<th>Case Name Long</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jones v Porter</td>
<td>Churchill Jones v Jane Porter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APC Citation</th>
<th>PC Register Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v.4 [223] p.227 – 28 November 1753 – entry 1</td>
<td>view_APC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v.4 [223] p.227 – 25 February 1755 – entry 2</td>
<td>view_APC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Colonial Courts**

General Court – 10 April 1753

**Participants**

Jones, Churchill, gentleman (son of Roger Jones)

Jones, Roger (father of Churchill Jones)

Porter, Francis (deceased son of Jane Porter and father of Jane Porter)

Porter, Jane (daughter of Francis Porter)

Porter, Jane (deceased mother of Francis Porter)

**Description**

Land in dispute in Christchurch parish south of the river Rappahannock

**Disposition**

Affirmed

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**

Table of Cases (Jones v Porter)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appellant's case</th>
<th>Case of the appellant (Jones)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counsel</td>
<td>[Signed] W. Murray; A. Hume-Campbell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent's case</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Porter)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
Counsel  [Signed] R. Henley; Al. Forrester

Library  Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection (2 copies of the respondent's case)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found

Other Documents
Report

Report No. 13_1755_01  Lidderdale v Chiswell  Virginia

Case Name Short  Lidderdale v Chiswell
Case Name Long  John Lidderdale and John Harmer v John Chiswell

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [263] p.286 – 25 February 1755 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.349–350; PC 2/104/349–350

APC Citation  v.4 [263] p.286 – 24 June 1755 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755) p.439, 455–456: PC 2/104/439, 455–456

APC Citation  v.4 [263] p.286 – 1 April 1758 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George II v.16 (1 January 1756 – 31 December 1757) p.131, 168; PC 2/105/131, 168

PC Register Citation  George II v.17 (1 January 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.43–46, 92–93; PC 2/106/43–46, 92–93

Colonial Courts

General Court – 10 April 1754

Participants

Chamberlayne, Thomas (deceased partner of John Lidderdale and John Harmer)
Chiswell, John, merchant and planter
Hamersley, Hugh of Lincoln’s Inn
Harmer, John, merchant, of Bristol (partner of Thomas Chamberlayne)
Lidderdale, John, merchant, of Bristol (partner of Thomas Chamberlayne)

Description

Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

Disposition

Reversed: plaintiffs are allowed to proceed to a new trial

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Lidderdale v Chiswell)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Appellant’s case

Case of the appellant (Lidderdale)

Counsel

[Signed] C. Yorke

Library

British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36217
f.199–200 (Includes manuscript notes on appellant’s case and a view separate page of manuscript notes, f.205)

Respondent’s case

Case of the respondent (Chiswell)

Counsel

[Signed] C. Pratt

Library

British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36217
f.201–204 (Includes manuscript notes on respondent’s case and a view separate page of manuscript notes, f.205)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
报告

报告编号 13_1755_02  丁巴 v 康斯蒂  维吉尼亚

案件名称简称 丁巴 v 康斯蒂

案件名称详细    查尔斯·丁巴 v 丹尼尔·帕克·康斯蒂

*Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*

APC 引用 v.4 [270] p.288–289 – 3 April 1755 – entry 1

PC 注册引用 乔治二世 v.15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755)

APC 引用 v.4 [270] p.289–290 – 30 June 1757 – entry 2

PC 注册引用 乔治二世 v.16 (1 January 1756 – 31 December 1757)

殖民地法院

维吉尼亚衡平法院 – 10 April 1754

参与者

拜姆，塞缪尔（执行官，康斯蒂的祖父）

拜德，露西（康斯蒂的已故母亲）

查明林，托马斯（康斯蒂的丈夫）

查明林，威利明娜（露西的已故女儿）

切斯特，凯瑟琳（露西的孙女）

康斯蒂，丹尼尔·帕克（康斯蒂的哥哥）

康斯蒂，弗朗西丝·帕克（康斯蒂的姐姐）

康斯蒂，约翰（康斯蒂的丈夫）

丁巴，查尔斯，男爵（安提瓜的执行官）

龙，托马斯（受托人）

麦克金尼，威廉（安提瓜的受托人）

帕克，丹尼尔（康斯蒂的孙子）

帕克，伊丽莎白（安提瓜的女孙）

帕克，戈登伯（康斯蒂的曾祖父）

帕克，朱利斯·凯撒（康斯蒂的曾孙）

帕克，露西（康斯蒂的曾孙）
Parke, Lucy Chester Dunbar (widow and executor of Thomas Dunbar Parke and daughter of Catherine Chester)
Parke, Thomas Dunbar (deceased husband of Lucy Chester Dunbar Parke)
Perry, Micaiah (executor of Governor Parke)
Perry, Richard (executor of Governor Parke)
Redwood, Abraham (executor of Governor Parke)
Rodney, Caesar (trustee)
Sydeceif, Dr. Walter (executor of Thomas Dunbar Parke)
Warner, Ashton (executor of Thomas Dunbar Parke)

Description
Estate dispute

Disposition
Reversed; the plaintiffs are allowed to amend the bill by adding the proper parties

Notes
Daniel Parke Custis became the husband of Martha Dandridge, who after his death married George Washington. As reported in Fleming, *Intimate Lives of the Founding Fathers*, p.20, Custis was the grandson of Governor Parke. This suit was brought on behalf of Gov. Parke’s illegitimate daughter Lucy in Antigua.

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*
Table of Cases (Dunbar v Custis)

________________________________________________________________________

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant’s case
Case of the appellant (Dunbar) ESTC: N474424

Counsel
[Signed] Robert Henley; Al. Forrester

Library
British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36217 f.161–164 (Includes manuscript notes on the appellant’s case and separate pages of manuscript notes, f.169–171)

Library
Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection (Includes manuscript notes. 2 copies) (Noted in ESTC? No.)

Library
New York Public Library, Schwarzman Building: Rare Book Collection *KF+ 1757* (Northington, R.H. Virginia) (Includes manuscript notes) (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

Respondent’s case
Case of the respondent (Custis)
[Signed] C. Yorke; Cha. Pratt

British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36217 f.165–168 (Includes manuscript notes on the respondent’s case and separate pages of manuscript notes, f.169–171)

Law Library of Congress: Sir George Lee collection

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1759_01  Nelson v Ambler  Virginia

Case Name Short  Nelson v Ambler
Case Name Long  William Nelson and Francis Whiting v Richard Ambler

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view_APCC

APC Citation  v.4 [377] p.403 – 2 February 1759 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.17 (1 January 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.355, 366 recte 355–356; PC 2/106/355, 366 recte 355–356  view

Colonial Courts
Court at Williamsburgh – 10 October 1751

Participants
Ambler, Richard, collector of customs in the port of York River
Black, William, merchant
Gale, William, merchant
Hutchinson, John, bankrupt
Janson, Joseph (assignee of estate and effects of John Philpot and John Hutchinson)
Nelson, William
Philpot, John, bankrupt
Pomeroy, Bartholomew (assignee of estate and effects of John Philpot and John Hutchinson)
Symon, Henry, solicitor of H.M. Customs (appears for Richard Ambler)
Whiting, Francis

Vessels
Elizabeth of Nantes
Banstead
Neptune
Richmond

Description
Dispute over duties payable on a French prize, the Elizabeth, and her cargo, brought into the York River by the privateers Banstead, Neptune, and Richmond

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series
DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1759_02 Burwell v Johnson Virginia

Case Name Short Burwell v Johnson
Case Name Long Lewis and Frances Burwell v Philip and Elizabeth Johnson

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [388] p.417–418 – 14 April 1759 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George II v.17 (1 January 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.487: PC 2/106/487

APC Citation v.4 [388] p.418 – 5 April 1762 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George III v.2 (1 January 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.130–135, 168: PC 2/109/130–135, 168

Colonial Courts

General Court – 10 April 1758

Participants

Allen, Elizabeth (daughter of the elder James Bray)
Bray, James (deceased former husband of Frances Burwell and grandson of the elder James Bray)
Bray, James (deceased grandfather of the younger James Bray and father of Elizabeth Allen and Thomas Bray)
Bray, Thomas (deceased son of the elder James Bray and father of Elizabeth Johnson)
Burwell, Carter (executor of the younger James Bray)
Burwell, Frances (wife of Lewis Burwell and widow and executor of the younger James Bray)
Burwell, Lewis, gentleman, of county of James City (husband of Frances Burwell and executor of the younger James Bray)
Johnson, Elizabeth (wife of Philip Johnson and daughter of Thomas Bray)
Johnson, Philip (husband of Elizabeth Johnson)
Thacker, Edwin (father of Frances Burwell and executor of the younger James Bray)

Description

Accounting and delivery of slaves belonging to James Bray upon his death

Disposition

Affirmed
Notes
Slaves at issue.

References in Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council*
Table of Cases (Burwell et ux v Johnson et ux)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

**Appellant's case**

- **Case of the appellant (Burwell)**

  **Counsel**
  [Signed] C. Pratt; C. Yorke

  **Library**
  British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218 f.138–141 (Includes manuscript notes)

**Respondent's case**

- **Case of the respondent (Johnson)**

  **Counsel**
  [Signed] Wm. DeGrey; Al. Forrester

  **Library**
  British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218 f.142–143 (Manuscript notes limited to ‘Mr. Att. Gen.’ on dorse)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Not found
Report

Report No. 13_1760_00  Tabb v Edmundson  Virginia
Edmundson v Tabb

Case Name Short  Tabb v Edmundson
Edmundson v Tabb

Case Name Long  William, Martha and Humphrey Toy Tabb v Thomas Edmundson and John Edmundson
Thomas Edmundson and John Edmundson v William, Martha and Humphrey Toy Tabb

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [416] p.443 – 16 February 1760 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.256–257: PC 2/107/256–257

APC Citation  v.4 [416] p.443 – 5 April 1760 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.310, 330, 347, 399: PC 2/107/310, 330, 347, 399

APC Citation  v.4 [416] p.443 [416] – 12 April 1762 – entry 3
PC Register Citation  George III v.2 (1 January 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.203: PC 2/109/203

APC Citation  v.4 [416] p.443 – 21 December 1763 – entry 4
PC Register Citation  George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 September 1764) p.172–176, 192: PC 110/172–176, 192

Colonial Courts

Chancery – 10 April 1758
Chancery – 2 October 1758

Participants

Allaman, John (deceased son of Thomas Allaman and brother of Thomas Allaman and William Allaman)
Allaman, Sarah (deceased daughter of William Allaman and Ann Allaman Tabb)
Allaman, Thomas (deceased father of Judith Edmundson and John, Thomas, and William Allaman)
Allaman, Thomas (deceased son of Thomas Allaman, also deceased, and brother of John Allaman and William Allaman)
Allaman, Thomas (deceased son of William Allaman and Ann Allaman Tabb and grandson of Thomas Allaman)
Allaman, William (deceased husband of Ann Allaman Tabb, brother of John Allaman and Thomas Allaman, son of Thomas Allaman, and
father of Thomas and Sarah Allaman)
Blacknall, Charles
Booth, Thomas
Edmundson, John, merchant, of Essex county (son of Judith Allaman
   Edmundson and brother of Thomas Edmundson)
Edmundson, Judith Allaman (daughter of Thomas Allaman and mother
   of Thomas Edmundson and John Edmundson)
Edmundson, Thomas, merchant, of Essex county (son of Judith Allaman
   Edmundson and brother of John Edmundson)
Hyndman, John, merchant, of London
Page, John
Palmer, Charlton, gentleman, merchant, of London
Tabb, Ann Allaman (widow of William Allaman; wife of John Tabb;
   mother of Thomas Allaman, Sarah Allaman, Humphrey Tabb, and
   Martha Tabb)
Tabb, Humphrey Toy (son of John and Ann Allaman Tabb and brother
   of Martha Tabb)
Tabb, John (husband of Ann Allaman Tabb)
Tabb, Martha (daughter of John and Ann Allaman Tabb and sister of
   Humphrey Tabb)
Tabb, William (relationship unclear)
Whiting, Francis

Description
Estate dispute

Disposition
Chancery decrees reversed; Edmundsons’ appeal dismissed; restitution
ordered

Notes
Property includes slaves.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Tabb v Edmundson)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Appellant’s case Case of the appellant (Tabb)
Counsel [Signed] F. Norton; C. Ambler
Library British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218
   f.248–249 (Includes manuscript notes)
Respondent's case
Case of the appellant (Edmundson as appellant in a cross-appeal)

Counsel
[Signed] C. Yorke; Al. Forrester

Library
British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218 f.250–251 (Includes manuscript notes)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee report – 15 Dec. 1763 – PC 1/50/49

Notes
Referenced in APC, v.6 [579] p.359–360. The report is described as being on the appeal of Tabb and also upon the cross-appeal of Edmundson.

Other Documents
See related entry (as Edmondson v Tabb) and opinion by Barradall in Barton, *Virginia Colonial Decisions*, 2:B359–B363, B31–B32.
Report

Report No. 13 1762 01 Camm v William and Mary College
               Graham v William and Mary College

Case Name Short  Camm v Rector, Visitors and Governors of William and Mary College
               Graham v Rector, Visitors and Governors of William and Mary College

Case Name Long  Rev. John Camm v Rector, Visitors and Governors of William and Mary College
               Rev. Richard Graham v Rector, Visitors and Governors of William and Mary College

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [473] p.530 – 15 February 1762 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.2 (1 January 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.75, 76: PC 2/109/75, 76

APC Citation  v.4 [473] p.530 – 16 March 1763 – entry 2

Colonial Courts
General Court – 10 October 1759

Participants
Camm, Rev. John, Professor of Divinity at William and Mary College
Fauquier, Francis, Lieutenant Governor and judge of General Court
Graham, Rev. Richard, Professor of Natural Philosophy at William and Mary College
Rectors, Visitors and Governors of William and Mary College

Description
Issue is the removal of Revs. Camm and Graham from their professorships

Disposition
Both appeals sustained.

Notes
Camm spelled in error in the APC as Camin.
DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant's case

Case of the appellants (Camm) and ESTC: T222030, (Graham) T222034

Counsel [Signed] Fl. Norton; E. Willes

Library British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218 f.223–224 (Camm) (Noted in ESTC? No.)

Library British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218 f.227–228 (Graham) (Noted in ESTC? No.)

Library Lambeth Palace Library: Seeker Papers, American 1721–1765 v.1 f.56–57 (Camm); f.60–61 (Graham) (Manuscript notes on Camm’s printed case are limited to day and time and “His Grace Lord Archbishop of Canterbury.”) (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

Respondent's case

Case of the respondent (Rector . . . in both ESTC: T222032, Camm and Graham) T222035

Counsel [Signed] C. Yorke; Al. Forrester

Library British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218 f.225–226 (Camm); f.229–230 (Graham)

Library British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218 f.229–230 (Graham) (Noted in ESTC? No.)

Library Lambeth Palace Library: Seeker Papers, American 1721–1765 v.1 f.58–59 (Camm); f.62–63 (Graham) (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

TNA Document Committee report (Camm) – 12 Mar. 1763 – PC 1/50/48 view_Doc

Notes Referenced in APC, v.6 [552] p.353 view_APC

TNA Document Committee report (Graham) – 12 Mar. 1763 – PC 1/50/48 view_Doc

Notes Referenced in APC, v.6 [552] p.353 view_APC

Other Documents

At Lambeth Palace Library, supplementary manuscript material concerning the controversy can be found at f.26–55 and f.64–65 of the Seeker Papers, American 1721–1765, v.1. Manross, Fulham Papers, includes references to the Camm issue. Camm and Graham materials at Lambeth can be found in Lambeth Palace Library, Miscellaneous American Material, Reel 5 Part C.
Report

Report No. 13_1762_02  Rickards v Hudson  Virginia

Case Name Short  Rickards v Hudson
Case Name Long  Samuel Rickards, Archibald Maclane, Israel Mauduit, Edmund Lardner, William Cooke, Francis Jerdone, Robert Duncanson and Ann Buchanan v John and Ann Hudson, William and Martha Johnson, Roger Gregory, Orlando Jones, Rolling Jones and Francis Barber Jones

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view_APCC

APC Citation  v.4 [475] p.531 – 9 April 1762 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.2 (1 January 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.196–197: PC 2/109/196–197

APC Citation  v.4 [475] p.531–532 – 3 August 1762 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.2 (1 January 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.288–293, 326: PC 2/109/288–293, 326

Colonial Courts

General Court of Chancery – 10 April 1761

Participants

Barber, Mary (niece of Elizabeth Milner)
Barber, Mr. (a deceased husband of Anna Maria Timson Barber Scarburgh Thornton)
Buchanan, Ann, widow, of Glasgow in North Britain (executor of Neil Buchanan)
Buchanan, George, bankrupt merchant, late of London (executor of Neil Buchanan)
Buchanan, James, merchant, of London
Buchanan, Neil, esquire, of London, deceased
Cooke, William, merchant, of London (assignee of the estate and effects of George Buchanan and William Hamilton)
Duncanson, Robert, merchant, of Virginia
Goslin, William (executor of Elizabeth Milner)
Gregory, Roger (executor of Lane Jones)
Hamilton, William, bankrupt merchant, late of London (executor of Neil Buchanan)
Hanbury, Mr.
Hudson, Ann (daughter of Lane Jones and wife of John Hudson)
Hudson, John (husband of Ann Hudson)
Jerdone, Francis, merchant, of Virginia
Johnson, Martha (daughter of Lane Jones and wife of William Johnson)
Johnson, William (husband of Martha Johnson)
Jones, Francis Barber (daughter of Lane Jones)
Jones, Lane (deceased father of Ann Hudson, Martha Johnson, and
Orlando, Rolling, and Francis Barber Jones)
Jones, Lane (possibly the deceased son of Lane Jones)
Jones, Orlando (son of Lane Jones)
Jones, Rolling (son of Lane Jones)
Keys, Maurice (executor of Elizabeth Milner)
Lardner, Edmund, merchant, of London (assignee of the estate and
effects of George Buchanan and William Hamilton)
Maclane, Archibald, merchant, of London (assignee of the estate and
effects of George Buchanan and William Hamilton)
Manly, Mr.
Mauduit, Israel, merchant, of London (assignee of estate and effects of
George Buchanan and William Hamilton)
Milner, Elizabeth of the Strand in London (deceased aunt of William
Timson and Mary Barber)
Palmer, Charlton
Rickards, Samuel, merchant, of London (assignee of the estate and
effects of George Buchanan and William Hamilton)
Robothan, Charles (son of Walter Robothan)
Robothan, Sarah (widow of Walter Robothan)
Robothan, Walter (deceased executor of Elizabeth Milner, father of
Charles and Walter Robothan, and husband of Sarah Robothan)
Robothan, Walter (son of Walter Robothan)
Scarburgh, Col. Edmund (husband of Anna Maria Timson Barber
Scarburgh Thornton)
Thornton, Anna Maria Timson Barber Scarburgh (wife of William
Timson, then William Barber, then Edmund Scarburgh, and then John
Thornton)
Thornton, John (another husband of Anna Maria Timson Barber
Scarburgh Thornton)
Timson, Samuel (alias John) (son of William Timson)
Timson, William (The number of William Timsons mentioned is
unclear.)

Description
Debt that, according to Smith (p.490), raises the question of the
extension of the bankruptcy acts to Virginia

Disposition
Reversed
Notes

Spelling of Rolling Jones is more often Rowland, Roland, or Rolando. Francis Barber Jones is more properly Frances. The Jones, Timson, and Barber families are related by blood and marriage. See Cary, “Descendants of Rev. Rowland Jones.”

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Rickards et al v Hudson et al)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant’s case  
Counsel  
Signed] C. Yorke; Al. Forrester

Library  
British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218 f.199–201 and f.202–204 (Two copies, both with manuscript notes)

Respondent’s case  
Counsel  
Signed] Tho. Sewell; Wm. DeGrey

Library  
British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36218 f.205–206

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

TNA Document  
Committee report – 15 July 1762 – PC 1/50/47

Notes  
Referenced in APC, v.6 [539] p.339

Other Documents

Document  
The cases of Timson v Robertson and Scarbury & ux. v Barber’s Ex’or in Barton, Virginia Colonial Decisions, 2: B85–B87, B294–304, involve members of some of the families involved in this case, and probably some of the same people. Whether the issues are otherwise related requires further exploration.
Report

Report No. 13_1763_01 Cleeve v Mills Virginia

Case Name Short Cleeve v Mills

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series view APC

APC Citation v.4 [502] p.557 – 23 February 1763 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George III v.2 (1 January 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.506: view

APC Citation v.4 [502] p.557 – 3 August 1764 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 September 1764) p.570–574, 598: PC 2/110/570–574, 598 view

Colonial Courts
Virginia Chancery – 10 October 1761

Participants
Beverley, William
Bird, William
Bland, Richard
Cleeve, Richard (assignee of the estate and effects of Pearson Pettit)
Dolby, Charles
Garland, James
Hanbury, Capel
Hanbury, John
Hinde, John (assignee of the estate and effects of Pearson Pettit)
Hitt, Thomas
Jordan, William
Mills, James
Nelson, Thomas
Nelson, William
Pettit, John
Pettit, Pearson, bankrupt merchant, late of London
Power, James
Robinson, John
Rybott, Francis
Thornton, Presly

Description
Debt that, according to Smith (p.492), raises the question of the extension of the bankruptcy acts to Virginia

**Disposition**

Dismissed

**References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council**

Table of Cases (Cleeve v Mills)

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed Cases**

**Appellant’s case**

Case of the appellant (Cleeve)  
ESTC: T128996

Counsel  
[Signed] C. Yorke; Al. Forrester

Library  
British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36219 f.85–88 (Includes manuscript notes) (Noted in ESTC? No.)

Library  
British Library: General Reference Collection – L.3.a.1[vol.13] (134) (Bound with appeals to the House of Lords. The ESTC record states in error that the appeal was to that body.) (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

**Respondent’s case**

Case of the respondent (Mills)  
ESTC: T129014

Counsel  
[Signed] Flr. Norton; C. Ambler

Library  
British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36219 f.89–93 (Includes manuscript notes) (Noted in ESTC? No.)

Library  
British Library: General Reference Collection – L.3.a.1[vol.13] (135) (Includes manuscript notes. Bound with appeals to the House of Lords. The ESTC record states in error that the appeal was to that body.) (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

**TNA Document**  
Report of the Board of Trade – July 1764 – PC 1/59/5/1

Catalogued as  
Catalogued by TNA: Report of Board of Trade for dismissing appeal of Cleeve v Mills

**TNA Document**  
Draft order dismissing the appeal of Cleeve v Mills and others – July 1764 – PC 1/59/5/1

Catalogued as  
Catalogued by TNA: Draft order dismissing appeal of Cleeve v Mills and others from Virginia
Report

Report No. 13_1763_02  Steuart v Buchanan  Virginia

Case Name Short  Steuart v Buchanan
Case Name Long  Charles Steuart v Thomas Buchanan et al

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [510] p.562–563 – 15 June 1763 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 September 1764) p.36–37: PC 2/110/36–37

APC Citation  v.4 [510] p.563 – 21 December 1763 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 September 1764) p.180–181, 194: PC 2/110/180–181, 194

Colonial Courts

Court for Hanover County – 2 August 1759
General Court – 10 April 1762

Participants

Buchanan, Thomas, merchant of Hanover county
Dickenson, William, merchant, late of Newcastle in Hanover county
Green, William, merchant, late of Newcastle in Hanover county
Smith, John, merchant, late of Newcastle in Hanover county
Steuart (Steward), Charles, merchant of Portsmouth county

Description

Voided mortgage

Disposition

Dismissed

Notes

The Committee report reveals that the appeal was dismissed for non-prosecution. In addition to land, slaves were also at issue in this appeal.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Notes  Referenced in APC, v.6 [579] p.359–360  view_APC
Report

Report No. 13_1763_03 Howlett v Osbume (Howlett v Doe) Virginia

Case Name Short Howlett v Osbume
Howlett v Doe

Case Name Long Thomas Howlett v John Doe on the demise of Thomas Osbume

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

**APC Citation**
v.4 [519] p.568–569 – 31 August 1763 – entry 1

**PC Register Citation**
George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 September 1764)
p.89: PC 2/110/89

**APC Citation**
v.4 [519] p.569 – 26 July 1765 – entry 2

**PC Register Citation**
George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766)
p.300, 307: PC 2/111/300, 307

Colonial Courts

Unnamed – 10 October 1760
General Court – 10 April 1762

Participants

Doe, John
Howlett, Thomas
Osbume, Thomas

Description

Land dispute (action of ejectment) in the parish of Dale in the county of Henrico; according to Smith (p.512), the question relates to the validity of the docking of the entail and a subsequent conveyance.

Disposition

Reversed

Notes

There can be little doubt that John Doe and the lease to him are fictional. On this and on the action of ejectment involved here, see Additional Research.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Howlett v Osburn)

DOCUMENTATION
### Printed Cases

**Appellant's case**  
Case of the appellant (Howlett)

**Counsel**  
[Signed] C. Yorke; C. Ambler

**Note**  
The respondent’s name is spelled Osburn on both the appellant’s and the respondent’s printed cases.

**Library**  
British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36219 f.273–276 (Includes manuscript notes)

**Respondent's case**  
Case of the respondent (Osborne)

**Counsel**  
[Signed] Fl. Norton; Al. Forrester

**Note**  
The respondent’s name is spelled Osburn on both the appellant’s and the respondent’s printed cases.

**Library**  
British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36219 f.277–278 (Includes manuscript notes)

### Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

**TNA Document**  
Committee report – 18 July 1765 – PC 1/51/56

**Notes**  
Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [667] p.403
Report

Report No. 13_1763_04  Parsons v Parsons  Virginia
(Doe v Parsons)

Case Name Short  Parsons v Parsons
Doe v Parsons

Case Name Long  John Doe on the demise of John Parsons v William Parsons

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series  view_APC

APC Citation  v.4 [531] p.613 – 19 December 1763 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 September 1764) p.190: PC 2/110/190

APC Citation  v.4 [531] p.613 – 19 March 1765 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.162–163: PC 2/111/162–163

APC Citation  v.4 [531] p.613 – 26 July 1765 – entry 3

Colonial Courts
Unnamed – 10 October 1759
General Court – 10 April 1763

Participants
Doe, John (lessee)
Parsons, John
Parsons, William
Sharpe, Joshua of Lincoln’s Inn (appears for William Parsons)
Thomas, William ap, deceased

Description
Land dispute (bill of ejectment) over an orchard

Disposition
Reversed

Notes
There can be little doubt that John Doe and the lease to him are fictional. On this and on the bill of ejectment involved here, see Additional Research.

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Parsons v Parsons)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant's case  Case of the appellant (Parsons)

Counsel  [Signed] C. Yorke; Al. Forrester

Library  British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36219f.269–270 (Manuscript notes limited to counsel names on dorse)

Respondent's case  Case of the respondent (Parsons)

Counsel  [Signed] Fl. Norton; Wm. DeGrey

Library  British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36219 f.271–272

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

TNA Document  Committee report – 18 July 1765 – PC 1/51/56

Notes  Referenced in APC, v.6 [667] p.403

Other Documents

Document  The Joshua Sharpe papers in the collection of Thomas Phillipps at the Library of Congress, Division of Manuscripts, contain legal papers related to this appeal.
Report

Report No. 13_1765_01  Camm v Hansford  Virginia

Case Name Short  Camm v Hansford
Case Name Long  Rev. John Camm v Charles Hansford, jun., and William Moss

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [588] p.699 – 6 February 1765 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.89, 95: PC 2/111/89, 95

APC Citation  v.4 [588] p.699 – 3 December 1765 recte 1766 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.5 (August 1766 – January 1768) p.96–97, 113: PC 2/112/96–97, 113

Colonial Courts
General Court of Williamsburgh – 10 April 1764

Participants
Camm, Rev. John, minister of the parish of Yorkhampton
Hansford, Charles, jun.
Moss, William

Description
Recovery of value of tobacco, as salary

Disposition
Dismissed

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council
Table of Cases (Camm v Hansford and Moss, also as Parsons’ Cause) (extensive discussion)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Appellant’s case  Case of the appellant (Camm) ESTC: T222031

Counsel  [Signed] Fl. Norton; E. Willes

Note  Appellant’s name is spelled Cam in appellant’s printed case.

Library  British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36220 f.52–54 (Includes manuscript notes and separate pages of view manuscript notes, f.61–64) Noted in ESTC? (No.)
Library   Lambeth Palace Library: Seeker Papers, American 1721–1765 v.1 f.95–97 (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

Respondent's case   Case of the respondent (Hansford) ESTC: T222033


Library   British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36220 f.55–58 (Includes manuscript notes and separate pages of view manuscript notes, f.61–64) (Noted in ESTC? No.)


Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

TNA Document   Committee report – 27 Nov. 1766 – PC 1/52/58 view_Doc
Notes   Referenced in APC, v.6 [731] p.440 view/APC

Other Documents

Document   At British Library, Additional Manuscripts 36220 f.59–60 is a manuscript extract of an Order in Council of 7 March 1753 approving a report made by the lords of the Committee of Council dated 1 March 1753. view

Document   Note also additional manuscript material at Lambeth Palace in the Seeker Papers, American 1721–1765, v.1, f.66–94, described in Manross, Fulham Papers. The Camm materials at Lambeth can be found in Lambeth Palace Library, Miscellaneous American Material, Reel 5 Part C.
Report

Report No. 13_1765_02  Wilson v Darrell  Virginia

Case Name Short  Wilson v Darrell
Case Name Long  Thomas Wilson, William and Anne Huggins, John Wilson, William Wilson, Isaac and Elizabeth Hobday v Sampson Darrell, George and Elizabeth Turner, William and Ann Sansom, John and Elizabeth Noble, and William Slaughter

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.4 [613] p.727 – 10 July 1765 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.261, 280–281: PC 2/111/261, 280–281
APC Citation  v.4 [613] p.727 – 8 March 1769 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.468: PC 2/113/468
APC Citation  v.5 [280] p.390 – 31 December 1773 – entry 3 (The APC does not list this entry with the rest of 13_1765_02.)

Colonial Courts

Chancery – 11 April 1763

Participants

Almond, Jane (wife of Lewis Almond)
Almond, Lewis (husband of Jane Almond)
Darrell, Sampson (the elder, presumed deceased)
Darrell, Sampson (the younger)
Hobday, Elizabeth (wife of Isaac Hobday)
Hobday, Isaac (husband of Elizabeth Hobday)
Huggins, Anne (wife of William Huggins)
Huggins, William (husband of Anne Huggins)
Noble, Elizabeth (wife of John Noble)
Noble, John (husband of Elizabeth Noble)
Sansom, Ann (wife of William Sansom)
Sansom, William (husband of Ann Sansom)
Slaughter, William
Turner, Elizabeth (wife of George Turner)
Turner, George (husband of Elizabeth Turner)
Walton, Mr. of Girdlers Hall in Basinghall Street (appears for
respondents)
Wilson, John
Wilson, Thomas
Wilson, William

Description
“to account . . . for all the personal estate of Sampson Darrell, the elder . . . and deliver the real estate to the respondents,” according to the APC

Disposition
Dismissed

Notes
The petition reveals that slaves are part of the property at issue.

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

| TNA Document | Petition of Wilson – 10 July 1765 – PC 1/51/54 | view_Doc |
| Notes | Referenced in APC, v.6 [665] p.403 | view_APC |
| TNA Document | Order of reference – 10 July 1765 – PC 1/51/54 | view_Doc |
| Notes | Referenced in APC, v.6 [665] p.403 | view_APC |
Report

Report No. 13_1766_00 Corbin v Lomax Virginia

Case Name Short Corbin v Lomax
Case Name Long Gawen Corbin by Lettice Corbin v Lunsford Lomax and Aminadab Seekright

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.4 [656] p.767–768 – 2 July 1766 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George III v.4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766) p.686: PC 2/111/686

APC Citation v.4 [656] p.768 – 26 June 1767 – entry 2

Colonial Courts

General Court – 26 April 1764 (multiple judgments)

Participants

Corbin, Gawen, a minor
Corbin, Lettice (guardian of Gawen Corbin)
Lomax, Lunsford
Lunsford, Sir Thomas, Kt. and Bart.
Seekright, Aminadab (lessee of Lunsford Lomax)

Description

Land dispute on the lower side of Portobago creek. Island and lands on Rappanhannock River also mentioned.

Disposition

Affirmed

References in Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council

Table of Cases (Corbin v Lomax)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant’s case Case of the appellant (Corbin)
Counsel [Signed] C. Yorke; Al. Wedderburn
Library British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36220
f.117–119 (Includes manuscript notes)

**Library**
Columbia University. Diamond Law Library: Treasure – Johnson 1770m and 2nd Fl Microfilm Cabinet – AA70 (Includes manuscript notes)

**Respondent's case**
Case of the respondent (Lomax)

**Counsel**
[ Signed] Wm. DeGrey; Al. Forrester

**Library**
British Library: (Hardwicke Papers) Additional Manuscripts 36220 f.120–121 (Includes manuscript notes)

**Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew**

**TNA Document**
Committee reports (Report 1) – 10 June 1767 – PC 1/53/60

**Notes**
Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [761] p.453–454

**TNA Document**
Committee reports (Report 2) – 10 June 1767 – PC 1/53/60

**Notes**
Referenced in *APC*, v.6 [761] p.453–454
Report

Report No. 13_1768_01 Dixon v Turner Virginia

Case Name Short Dixon v Turner

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation v.5 [64] p.128–129 – 23 March 1768 – entry 1
PC Register Citation George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.110, 164, 208: PC 2/113/110, 164, 208

APC Citation v.5 [64] p.129 – 6 July 1770 – entry 2
PC Register Citation George III v.7 (May 1769 – December 1770) p.299–308, 449–450: PC 2/114/299–308, 449–450

Colonial Courts

General Court – 10 April 1764
General Court – 10 October 1766

Participants

Betty, a slave
Dixon, Edward, gentleman (widower of Sarah Turner Dixon and father of Harry Dixon and Turner Dixon)
Dixon, Harry (grandson of Col. Thomas Turner, son of Edward and Sarah Turner Dixon, and brother of Turner Dixon)
Dixon, Sarah Turner (deceased wife of Edward Dixon, daughter of Col. Thomas Turner, and mother of Harry Dixon and Turner Dixon)
Dixon, Turner (grandson of Col. Thomas Turner, son of Edward and Sarah Turner Dixon, and brother of Harry Dixon)
Jett, Thomas (guardian of Thomas Turner, the respondent, and executor of Thomas Turner)
Mariah, a slave
Murdock, Joseph (executor of Thomas Turner)
Skinker, John (executor of Thomas Turner)
Smith, Nicholas (father of Elizabeth Smith Turner)
Smith, Nicholas (brother of Elizabeth Smith Turner)
Taliaferro, Sally Turner (wife of Walker Taliaferro, daughter of Thomas Turner and granddaughter of Col. Thomas Turner)
Taliaferro, Walker (husband of Sally Turner Taliaferro)
Thornton, Anthony (guardian of Harry Turner)
Turner, Elizabeth Smith (widow of Harry Turner, the son of Col. Thomas Turner, and mother of Thomas Turner, the respondent)
Turner, Harry (deceased son of Col. Thomas Turner and husband of Elizabeth Smith Turner)
Turner, Harry (son of Thomas Turner and grandson of Col. Thomas Turner)
Turner, Thomas (deceased son of Col. Thomas Turner and father of Harry Turner and Sally Turner Taliaferro)
Turner, Thomas (son of Harry Turner and Elizabeth Smith Turner and grandson of Col. Thomas Turner)

Description
At issue the estate of Col. Thomas Turner

Disposition
Reversed in part with directions given for taking accounts

Notes
Property includes slaves.

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
TNA Document
Report of committee re Dixon v Turner and others – Apr. 1770 – PC 1/60/7
Catalogued as
Catalogued by TNA: Report of committee on appeal of Dixon v Turner and others
Report

Report No. 13_1768_02  Hiscox v Hill  Virginia

Case Name Short  Hiscox v Hill
Case Name Long  John Hiscox v Humphrey Hill

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series
APC Citation  v.5 [88] p.172 – 7 October 1768 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.6 (January 1768 – April 1769) p.348: PC 2/113/348

APC Citation  v.5 [88] p.172 – 9 January 1771 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.7 (May 1769 – December 1770) p.13, 685–686: PC 2/114/13, 685–686

PC Register Citation  George III v.8 (January – December 1771) p.19–20: PC 2/115/19–20

Colonial Courts
Chancery – 10 October 1767

Participants
Anderson, Matthew
Braxton, George, presumed deceased
Guest, Samuel
Hill, Humphrey (executor of George Braxton)
Hiscox, John, merchant, of London
Reynolds, Thomas
Robertson or Robinson, John

Description
Sums due to executor

Disposition
Dismissed

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
TNA Document  Committee report – 20 Dec. 1770 – PC 1/54/66C
Notes  Referenced in APC, v.6 [840] p.484

view_APC
Report

Lee v Hunter

Case Name Short  Lee v Hunter
Case Name Long  Philip Ludwell Lee v John Hunter

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

APC Citation  v.5 [184] p.296 - 15 May 1771 – entry 1
PC Register Citation  George III v.8 (January – December 1771) p.188; PC 2/115/188

APC Citation  v.5 [184] p.296 – 7 June 1771 – entry 2
PC Register Citation  George III v.8 (January – December 1771) p.260: PC 2/115/260

APC Citation  v.5 [184] p.296 – 8 July 1772 – entry 3
PC Register Note  The header in APC, v.5 for George III v.9 (January – December 1772) is erroneously labeled Volume X.

Colonial Courts

General Court – 3 May 1770

Participants

Hunter, John, merchant
Lee, Philip Ludwell

Description

Action of debt

Disposition

Dismissed

Notes

Cataloguing note in the ESTC says “Concerning a debt owed by Lee to a John Hanbury, London merchant, represented by Hunter, Virginia attorney.”

DOCUMENTATION

Printed Cases

Appellant's case  Case of the appellant (Lee)  ESTC: N478563
Counsel  [Signed] J. Dunning
Library Yale University. Beinecke Rare Book and Manuscript Library: BrSides Cb4a 1772 (Noted in ESTC? Yes.)

Respondent's case Case of the respondent (Hunter)

Note Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found

Other Documents

Document The Joshua Sharpe papers in the collection of Thomas Phillipps at the Library of Congress, Division of Manuscripts, contain a notice of hearing in this appeal.
Report

Report No. 13_1775_00  Taliaferro v Taliaferro  Virginia

Case Name Short  Taliaferro v Taliaferro
Case Name Long  Mary Taliaferro, Harry Taliaferro, William and Mildred Strother v Lawrence Taliaferro et al

Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series
v.5 [311] p.405 – 23 January 1775 – entry 1

PC Register Citation
George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.377: PC 2/118/377

Colonial Courts
General Court – 10 April 1773

Participants
Strother, Mildred (wife of William Strother)
Strother, William (husband of Mildred Strother)
Taliaferro, Harry (son of Kemp Taliaferro)
Taliaferro, Kemp (deceased husband of Mary Taliaferro)
Taliaferro, Lawrence
Taliaferro, Mary (widow and administratrix of Kemp Taliaferro)
Taliaferro, Zachary, deceased

Description
Action concerns the estate of Zachary Taliaferro

Disposition
Not stated in Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series

DOCUMENTATION
Printed Cases
Not found

Privy Council Documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Not found
Further Research

Opportunities for Further Research, Discovery, and Investigation on Appeals to the Privy Council

Ships, slaves, debts, widows, inheritance, bastards, privateers, treason, customs, land companies, minors, orphans, governors... the appeals to the Privy Council from the American colonies contain these issues. In each appeal, someone cared enough to pay to have a petition filed and a printed case prepared in London. In many appeals, the underlying matter was sufficiently important to the parties that they were willing to expend significant sums hiring English lawyers to argue the case before the Privy Council. The appeals were an important linchpin of the Atlantic world.

The Appeals Catalogue is an excellent source for undergraduate research papers, graduate papers, law school seminar papers, and other writing projects. For Americans, the Catalogue provides a way to “travel to England” to read the official papers of the British government in these matters. For those readers in the United Kingdom, the Catalogue provides an entry point for American matters that were decided in England by British officials. For readers in Canada, India, Australia, or elsewhere in the world, the Catalogue offers an opportunity for comparative research. This brief guide offers possible investigations and arguments.

The compilers of the Catalogue hope to be able to supplement it with further discoveries and source material. The website has the capacity to include an “Additional Research” note for each report, which could contain information worthy of inclusion brought to the attention of the compilers. Two reports (Oulton v. Savage, 05_1717_00, and Apthorpe v. Pateshall, 05_1768_00) contain samples of such additional research, matter that was discovered in a quick trip to the Massachusetts State Archives. A more ambitious example of additional research is a memorandum that Charles Donahue prepared about the forty-seven cases that originated in actions of ejectment or like ejectment in the colonies.

Scope

As the Home Page indicates, this Catalogue includes basic information on appeals from the 13 colonies that became the United States, and some information about those from the Caribbean and Canada prior to 1783. The focus of the Catalogue is on appeals from the 13 colonies, and it includes digital images of considerable primary source materials available in repositories in England and America for those appeals. We hope that digital images can be added for appeals from the Caribbean and Canada at a later date. We welcome information about any new
documentary discoveries that researchers might find.

As a reminder for those new to this discipline, the definition of the three major Privy Council primary sources digitized for this project are noted in the paragraphs that follow.

Printed Cases. A ‘printed case’ is basically the eighteenth-century British version of what American lawyers call a ‘brief’. Each side in an appeal prepared a printed case reflecting the facts and reasons (legal arguments) concerning the dispute. Some printed cases contain handwritten notations by the judge or lawyer. The appellant’s case will be the side requesting the appeal; the respondent’s case will be the side defending. The term, ‘counsel’ is used in this Catalogue for the name of the English attorney who signed the printed case. The printed case usually also provides the dates of the underlying court actions in the colonies and any additional useful names. If this Catalogue does not contain images of a printed case, do not draw the conclusion that one did not exist. Unfortunately, only some printed cases survived and have been located. It may also be possible that cases were also submitted to the Privy Council in manuscript form early in the century.

Registers. At the Privy Council, the appeal was recorded in registers, indicating actions taken on any particular day on matters coming before the Council. Matters relating to an appeal and its disposition appear in the registers. The Privy Council registers are large volumes kept chronologically and catalogued at The National Archives (Kew) with the reference number ‘PC2’. In this Catalogue, the PC 2 numbers link to the pages of the register relating to that appeal. These page numbers are drawn from summaries made of the registers in the Acts of the Privy Council: Colonial Series (APC). The APC is largely reliable in terms of having located references to the appeal but may have overlooked on occasion a reference.

Miscellaneous records. Miscellaneous material from Privy Council proceedings held by The National Archives is catalogued as ‘PC 1’. Documents such as petitions, orders of reference, and committee reports for the appeal may be found there.

Secondary sources. The most exhaustive secondary source on colonial appeals is Joseph Smith’s Appeals to the Privy Council from the American Plantations, available digitally on this site. “Personnel and Practices,” in Bilder, The Transatlantic Constitution (chapter 6) provides an overview of the appeals process. The Bibliography offers further guidance.

Investigations and Arguments

The Catalogue can be used to research a variety of topics in which the appeal serves as the architectural foundation for the paper. Possibilities include the following:

1. A case study of an appeal. Each appeal contains an underlying dispute about
OPPORTUNITIES

relationships, wealth, or power. A case study provides a narrative account of the appeal by investigating additional sources. Historical case studies usually require a thesis or argument beyond an investigative recounting of the events. A useful approach to developing a thesis is to ask the question: What does my case study reveal about the people or time or place or politics or law or . . . ? The answer usually can be expanded into a more nuanced thesis.

2. A comparison of two (or more) appeals on a single issue. The appeals often raised similar issues in different colonies. A comparative study seeks to explain the reason for the similarity or difference in the outcome. The Catalogue may be used for various comparisons:

- Appeals from different mainland colonies
- Appeals from a mainland and Caribbean colony
- Appeals from different Caribbean colonies
- Appeals from one of the 13 colonies and a Canadian colony
- Appeals from a colony in this Catalogue and one from India or Australia

3. Development of the law. The significance of the appeals for development of Anglo-American law has not been studied in depth. Although the decisions in the appeals were not printed contemporaneously, many important legal arguments were raised and decided.

- Relationship to English law. Were the decisions in appeals perceived to be an exception to dominant English law? Did the arguments made in these appeals influence or alter English case law or legislation? How did the appeals expand the scope of legal possibilities for the English judges? How did the appeals relate to English law reform efforts?

- Relationship to American law. What influence did the appeals have on contemporary colonial law? What influence did the appeals have on American law in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth century? Did the appeals help to create “American” doctrinal positions on common law and statutory interpretive issues?

For undergraduates or others just entering this field, a good contemporary source of basic English law is Thomas Wood’s An Institute of the Laws of England (1724 or later editions) (available by subscription online and in a modern reprint). A later contemporary source is William Blackstone’s Commentaries on the Laws of England (1765–1769) (available online and in many reprints). Be aware that Blackstone did not always state what the law actually was but rather what he thought it should be. There are many secondary sources to help decipher English
FURTHER RESEARCH

law. J. H. Baker, *Introduction to English Legal History* may be of assistance; for greater detail, consult monographs and articles on a chosen topic. (Baker has good selective bibliographies for each chapter).

4. Pleading and Practice. The appeals contain important clues as to significant differences from and similarities to English pleading and procedural rules. In eighteenth-century English court proceedings, legal problems were litigated using specific forms of action and accepted pleading and procedural rules. The appeals indicate some instances where colonial law or the appeals themselves diverged from English precedents and procedures.

A particularly interesting example of divergence relates to title to land. As is well known, English practice in the eighteenth-century was, as a general matter, to try title to land by means of a variety of the action on the case known as ejectment. For historical reasons, the English action of ejectment was framed in the form of a claim by a lessee of the land that he or she had been ejected from the land. By the eighteenth-century, the lease, in many, but not all cases, was fictional as was the lessee. The case was described as John Doe (or Richard Roe) on the demise (i.e., lease) of X (the real party at interest) v Y (the defendant). In some cases colonial practice followed this form, and colonial lawyers seem to have been quite imaginative in devising names for the fictitious lessee. We find, for example, ‘Timothy Thrustout’ or ‘Thomas Turf’. In some cases – and this seems to have been particularly common in New England, though it is found elsewhere – the colonial courts seem to have reached the same result by other means. In particular, we find an action that is described in the Privy Council registers as ‘trespass and ejectment’. This action may have begun as an ordinary action of trespass to land, but when the parties came into court, the plaintiff made a declaration (the initial pleading in eighteenth-century practice) in ejectment. By the time that the case reached the Privy Council the fictitious lessee, if one was ever alleged, was forgotten, and the case was styled simply as X v Y, the person claiming ownership against the possessor. How this action worked can only be determined by careful study of the surviving colonial records, which we have not undertaken. The practice may well have varied from colony to colony, perhaps even within colonies, and it may well have varied over time. What we have tried to do in the Notes for these appeals is to identify those lessees who are likely to be fictitious, and those who are not. Professor Donahue’s Ejectment Research discusses the terms used to describe actions for land, but much more could, and should, be done with the underlying colonial court records.

5. An investigation of the Privy Council appeals process. Many questions about the Privy Council appeals process remain uninvestigated. How did the Privy Council sort out what type of appeals it should handle? How did litigants in the colonies learn of the process? What did the British empire look like from the perspective of those involved with appeals? What was the relationship of arguments made in one appeal with another? Was the appeals process different with respect to colonial appeals than admiralty appeals or appeals to the House of
Lords? For students interested in the history of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, the appeals can be used as sources for the early development. Later Privy Council materials can be accessed on the website Privy Council Papers Online.

6. An investigation of the English lawyers and related officials. Some of the lawyers who argued the appeals were among the greatest of English crown law officers and some (e.g., William Murray, later Lord Mansfield) went on to become important judicial figures. Charles Yorke, who appears more often than anyone else in our reports, was active in politics all his life, briefly became Lord Chancellor (as his father had been), and then committed suicide. The appeals have largely been ignored in studies of the careers of these men.

Cautionary Advice about the Catalogue

For all researchers, we suggest reading the ‘Advice’ section of the Introduction to the Catalogue when approaching a project. In addition, the ‘Useful Lists’ may be of considerable assistance.

Colonial court records. Note especially, that the Catalogue contains information about the appeal once it was appealed to the Privy Council. It does not contain much information about the underlying colonial case, nor any about the subsequent proceedings in the colony after the appeal was decided. Care should be taken in interpreting the appeal in the absence of consulting these colonial court records which provide useful clarification and explanation. Court records can resolve discrepancies. In one case, Oulton v Savage (05_1717_00), the APC describes Cornelius Waldo as an appellant while Smith’s treatise places Waldo as a respondent. A trip to consult the original Massachusetts records resolved the matter in the APC’s favor. Court records can be used to ascertain whether a reported colonial case is really the case appealed to the Privy Council. In Apthrobe v Patessall (05_1768_00) the court records and newly edited colonial reports resolved the question and, in turn, allowed us to cast light on what really was at issue in the case.

The lower court records may often be found through the local public or historical records offices. For colonial American courts, an excellent guide to such archives is Michael Chiorazzi and Marguerite Most’s Prestatehood Legal Materials. Careful consultation should be made of court record books (recording the procedural stages and disposition of the case) and the case files. An entire case file may include depositions, evidence, jury votes, maps, and other documents. In some colonies, consultation of the legislative assembly records or petition records may also produce related materials.

Sometimes, much can learned without a trip to the archives. We found reports of three of our Massachusetts cases in Quincy’s Reports, a book which has been in print since the mid-nineteenth century, and which has recently been given a new edition. We include images of the relevant pages of Quincy’s Reports on our site. Other colonies also have early printed reports. Additional research could explore,
for example, the reports of cases that are included here and also in Barton’s *Virginia Colonial Decisions* or in Harris’s *Maryland Reports*. A comparison of the arguments made in a printed colonial case and those made in one of the printed cases on appeal might be a particularly appropriate topic for a law student’s paper.

*Participants.* Only a starting point for the participants in an appeal is provided by the Catalogue. For each appeal, the Catalogue provides a case name derived from the abstract in the *APC* and a list of persons named in the *APC* abstract. The case name and the list of participants, however, may not include every person involved in the appeal. Others may be found in additional printed documents. Be aware also that names are often spelled many different ways. Investigating the relationship among the people in the appeal is imperative. In some cases, particularly where ‘repeat players’ are involved (for example, the cases involving the Waldo family in Massachusetts or the Corbin family in Virginia), it may be possible to reconstruct the social situation that gave rise to the litigation. Many people can be located using genealogical materials. One simple starting point is to search for the name through a search engine such as Google Books. Names may also be searched through a local historical or genealogical society. Paid sites such as Ancestry.com or Fold3 contain many useful records and may sometimes be used for free under a short-term trial membership. If you are a member of a university or public library, you may be able to obtain access to subscription sites.

Mary Bilder
Further Research

Additional Research on Ejectment Cases

As is well known, English practice in the eighteenth-century, as a general matter, was to try title to land by means of a variety of the action on the case known as ejectment. For historical reasons, the English action of ejectment was framed in the form of a claim by a lessee of the land that he or she had been ejected from the land. By the eighteenth-century, the lease, in many, but not all, cases, was fictional as was the lessee. The case was described as John Doe on the demise (i.e., lease) of X (the real party at interest) v Y (the defendant). In some cases colonial practice followed this form, and colonial lawyers seem to have been quite imaginative in devising names for the fictitious lessee. We find, for example, ‘Timothy Thrustout’ and ‘Crispin Heeltap’. There are seven ejectment cases in our reports that involve a fictitious lessee (4 from Virginia, 2 from Pennsylvania, and 1 from Maryland) and three (1 from East Jersey and 2 from Virginia) where the lessee may be a real person, but the lease is probably fictitious or entered into solely for the purpose of setting up the action. See the list below.

There are, however, an additional thirty-seven cases (38 if one counts actions rather than cases) where the Privy Council registers mention ejectment or its equivalent but where, for the most part, the fiction does not seem to be being used. Twenty-one of these cases describe the action as ‘trespass and ejectment’ (1 from Maryland, 2 from Massachusetts, 15 from Rhode Island, 3 from Virginia). That a form of trespass should be being used to try title is not surprising. Such actions are found in England even before ejectment in its eighteenth-century form came into common use. Only three of these mention a lease, one of which is probably genuine (Maryland), one of which is dubious (Virginia), and one of which is clearly fictional (Virginia).

How the colonial action of trespass and ejectment worked can only be determined by careful study of the surviving colonial records. The practice may have varied from colony to colony, perhaps even within colonies, and it may well have varied over time. A hint, however, about how it might have worked is contained in four cases that are not described as ‘trespass and ejectment’ but as ‘declaration in/of ejectment’ (2 from Massachusetts and 2 from Rhode Island). This action may have begun as an ordinary action of trespass to land, but when the parties came into court, the plaintiff made a declaration (the initial pleading in eighteenth-century practice) in ejectment. By the time that the case reached the Privy Council the fictitious lessee, if one was ever alleged, was forgotten, and the case was styled simply as X v Y, the person claiming ownership against the possessor.
That leaves sixteen actions that are not called ‘trespass and ejectment’, but where ejectment or its equivalent is mentioned, and no lease is mentioned. It is possible, perhaps even likely, that many of these actions, too, were either ones that the Privy Council registers elsewhere call ‘trespass and ejectment’ or some other form of action that allowed the plaintiff to set up an action to try title without using the fictitious lessee.

Listed below are the forty-seven cases (48 actions) in which ejectment or its equivalent is mentioned, giving the report number, case name and colony, the term used to describe the action in the Privy Council register, and a statement about the presence or absence of a lease. In some cases, this is followed by a note, supplementary to those found in the report, that is specific to the case. The classification is quite speculative, as is the characterization of some of the actions. It could well be upset by further study of the colonial court records. That said, the 48 actions break down as follows: 7 ejectment actions with fictitious lessees; 3 ejectment actions with what are probably real persons named as lessees but where we may doubt the reality of the lease; 21 trespass and ejectment actions, 3 of which involve a lease (1 probably genuine, 1 dubious, and 1 fictitious) and 18 of which mention no lease; 16 actions described as ejectment (or its equivalent, including 4 described as ‘declaration in/of ejectment’) in which no lease is mentioned and which probably are the same as, or the equivalent of, what the Privy Council register elsewhere calls ‘trespass and ejectment’; and 1 equity action to enjoin ejectment actions.

Also included is one case (06_1701_00 Allen v Waldron), where ejectment is not mentioned, but which poses some puzzles about an original action concerning rights in land.

- 04_1739_00 Tasker v Simpson (Maryland) – trespass and ejectment – lease mentioned. The lease here is probably genuine. The fact that the case is described as trespass and ejectment supports that conclusion, in addition to the facts that John Simpson’s name is not obviously fictitious and that William’s side of the Brent family was not resident in Maryland.
- 04_1776_00 Heeltap v Weems (Maryland) – ejectment – fictitious lessee. In addition to the implausibility of his surname, the fact that the action is described as ‘ejectment’ and the way in which Harris styles the case (see Additional Sources) provide further support for the proposition that Heeltap is fictitious.
- 05_1705_01 Allen v Spencer (Massachusetts) – “Writ of Ejectment” (APC), “an Ejectment at Law” (Committee Report, as given in the Privy Council register) – no lease mentioned. We should prefer the description in the Committee Report to that in the APC.
- 05_1731_00 Bennet v Gray (Massachusetts) – trespass and ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 05_1734_01 Waldo v Waldo (Massachusetts) – action of ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 05_1734_04 Waldo v Waldoe (Massachusetts) – action of ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 05_1737_01 Stoddard v Jones (Massachusetts) – trespass and ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 05_1737_02 Pelham v Stone (Massachusetts) – declaration in ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 05_1738_00 Pelham v Bannister (Massachusetts) – declaration in ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 05_1755_00 Bannister v Cunningham (Massachusetts) – action of ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 05_1767_00 Jeffries v Donnell (Massachusetts) – action of ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 06_1701_00 Allen v Waldron (New Hampshire) – (“appeal which relates to certain quit rents of land,” according to the APC; “Appeal . . . from a Verdict and Judgment . . . touching the Petitioner’s right, as the Proprietor, to certain Quit Rents of Land,” according to the Privy Council register) – no lease mentioned. The wording of the Privy Council register suggests that the English lawyers thought of this as an action in the right, perhaps even as some form of praecipe quod reddat. What the New Hampshire courts thought of it can only be determined if someone can find the original records. New Hampshire seems to have judicial records going back this far. The online Index of Petitions (1680–1819) to the General Court, i.e., the legislature, published by the state archives seems not to have one concerning this action, though it has a number of other petitions by the Allens.
- 06_1750_00 French v Folensby (New Hampshire) – upon an ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 06_1754_00 Merrill v Proprietors of Bow (New Hampshire) – “upon an Ejectment,” according the the Privy Council register – no lease mentioned.
- 06_1762_00 Rolfe v Proprietors of Bow (New Hampshire) – “upon an Ejectment,” according the the Privy Council register – no lease mentioned.
- 07_1696_00 Jones v Fullerton (New Jersey [East]) – in ejectment – lease mentioned. The Privy Council register describes the judgment appealed from as one ‘in ejectment’. That the original action was one in ejectment is confirmed by Smith (p.366 n.66). Smith, in turn, relies on Edsall, Journal. Edsall not only transcribes the original record, but also notes that real persons are named as lessees of fictitious leases in ejectment actions in East Jersey in this period (p.84–85).
- 10_1765_01 Fothergill v Stover (Pennsylvania) – action of ejectment – no
lease mentioned. While the fact that no lease of the plaintiff in the original action is mentioned suggests that the action may have been one that the Privy Council elsewhere calls ‘trespass and ejectment’ or its equivalent, the trustees, as the APC notes, were constituted by Act of Parliament in 1760, and they may have been entitled to use special procedures. Evidence that it was a traditional action of ejectment is found in the title of the respondent’s printed case — “Timothy Peaceable (upon the demise of John Fothergill . . . ) appellant and Christian Stover, respondent” — and in the style of the case in Dallas, _Reports 1_ (see Other Documents) — “Lessee of Fothergill and others versus Christian Stover.” ‘Timothy Peaceable’ would be a particularly appropriate name for a fictitious lessee in Quaker Pennsylvania.

- 10_1774_00 Thrustout v Logan (Pennsylvania) — ejectment — fictitious lessee.
- 11_1729_01 Wharton v Northrup (Rhode Island) — “concerning the right to a Tract of Land,” according to the Privy Council register; “an ejectment action,” according to Smith (p.355 n.17) — no lease mentioned. The fact that no lease of the plaintiff in the original action is mentioned suggests that the action may have been one that the Privy Council elsewhere calls ‘trespass and ejectment’ or its equivalent. The way in which the action is described in the Privy Council register may suggest that the English lawyers thought of this action as different from an ordinary action of ejectment. See Allen v Waldron (06_1701_00), above. Smith gives no indication of having seen the colonial court records.
- 11_1729_02 Wood v Sanford (Rhode Island) — declaration in ejectment — no lease mentioned.
- 11_1733_00 Torrey v Mumford (Rhode Island) — trespass and ejectment — no lease mentioned.
- 11_1735_02 Hassard v Potter (Rhode Island) — trespass and ejectment — no lease mentioned.
- 11_1736_00 MacSparran v Hassard (Rhode Island) — “writ of ejectment,” according to the APC; “Action of Trespass and Ejectment,” according to the Privy Council register — no lease mentioned. We should prefer the description in the Privy Council register to that in the APC.
- 11_1738_02 Coggeshall v Coggeshall (Rhode Island) — trespass and ejectment — no lease mentioned.
- 11_1747_01 Staniford v Newell (Rhode Island) — action of ejectment — no lease mentioned.
- 11_1747_03 Brenton v Remington (Rhode Island) — “writ of ejectment,” according to the APC; “Writ of Trespass and Ejectment,” according to the Committee report — no lease mentioned. We should prefer the description
in the Committee report to that in the *APC* (which reflects an earlier entry in the Privy Council register). The case probably should be classified as trespass and ejectment (and is so classified above), although the reference to a writ is puzzling. It seems highly unlikely that an original writ ever issued, and the use of the word is probably just a substitute for ‘action’.

- 11_1750_00 Clemence v Angel (Rhode Island) – trespass and ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 11_1752_03 Whitman v Whitman (Rhode Island) – trespass and ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 11_1753_00 Borden v Cook (Rhode Island) – declaration of ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 11_1755_04 Whipple v Bowen (Rhode Island) – trespass and ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 11_1755_06 Whipple v Ninigret (Rhode Island) – trespass and ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 11_1758_00 Freebody v Brenton (Rhode Island) – trespass and ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 11_1760_02 Larkin v York (Rhode Island) – upon an ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 11_1762_02 Potter v Hazard (Rhode Island) – trespass and ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 11_1766_02 Lewis v Wilkinson (Rhode Island) – trespass and ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 11_1766_03 Arnold v Green (Rhode Island) – trespass and ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 11_1766_05 Freebody v Holmes (Rhode Island) – trespass and ejectment – no lease mentioned.
- 13_1703_00 Selden v Beverley (Virginia) (1) – “proceedings . . . touching two plantations of lands” (Selden v Beverley) – no lease mentioned. The fact that no lease of the plaintiff in the original action in Selden v Beverley is mentioned suggests that the action may have been one that the Privy Council elsewhere calls ‘trespass and ejectment’ or its equivalent.
- 13_1703_00 Selden v Beverley (Virginia) (2) – “petition . . . of the lessee of Samuel Selden, and Rebecca his wife, on behalf of himself and the said lessors, complaining of proceedings . . . touching two plantations of lands” (Ballard v Beverley) – lease mentioned. The original action in Ballard v Beverley may have been the traditional one of ejectment (it is called ‘ejectment’ in Beverley’s petition for a writ of attaint). Ballard may be a real person, but the lease to him may not be real.
- 13_1732_00 Corbin v Faldo (Virginia) – trespass and ejectment – lease
mentioned. Because the original action is expressly said to be in ‘trespass and ejectment’ and because we have no reason to doubt the genuineness of his surname, Robert Faldo and the lease to him may be real. The fact, however, that the Privy Council register consistently describes the original action as one brought by “Thomas Corbin in the Name of Robert Faldo as Lessee” might cause us to have doubts. This may be a case in which the lessee is a real person, but, like Ballard v Beverley, immediately above, and Burges v Hack, immediately below, the lease may be fictitious or may have been entered into solely for the purpose of setting up the action.

- 13_1736_00 Burges v Hack (Virginia) –“upon an Ejectment,” according the the Privy Council register – lease mentioned. The summary of the Committee report in the APC has Frances Burges as lessee, but the Privy Council register has her properly as the lessor. Lemuel Gulliver may be a real person, but we may doubt the reality of the lease to him.

- 13_1742_03 Starke v Thrustout (Virginia) – ejectment – fictitious lessee.

- 13_1743_00 Meade v Thrustout (Virginia) – ejectment – fictitious lessee.

- 13_1747_02 Kenner v Ball (Virginia) – upon an ejectment – no lease mentioned.

- 13_1749_02 Randolph v Wormley (Virginia) – trespass and ejectment – no lease mentioned.

- 13_1752_03 McCarty v Turf (Virginia) – action of ejectment, according to the APC; action of trespass and ejectment, according to the earlier entries in the Privy Council register; “a Declaration in an Action of Trespass and Ejectment,” according to the Committee report – fictitious lessee. There can be no doubt that the lease to Thomas Turf is fictitious; it is called “feigned” in the Committee report and in the titles of both of the printed cases. There can also be little doubt that Turf himself is fictitious. This seems to be a case, like Corbin v Faldo (13_1732_00), where a fictitious lease was used in an action of trespass and ejectment.

- 13_1753_02 King v Lewis (Virginia) – equity orders barring proceedings at law in ejectment – no lease mentioned. The portions of these equity orders that bar proceedings at law bear a distinct resemblance to the equity action sometimes called an ‘action to quiet title’. How close the Virginia action came to English practice in this regard (whether, for example, that the plaintiff had to show that he had been the defendant in one or more unsuccessful ejectment actions) can only be determined by closer study of the Virginia records.

- 13_1763_03 Howlett v Osbume (Virginia) – ejectment – fictitious lessee.

- 13_1763_04 Parsons v Parsons (Virginia) – bill of ejectment – fictitious lessee. The fact that the Privy Council register calls this a ‘bill of ejectment’ rather than an ‘action of ejectment’ or simply ‘ejectment’ may
tell us something about Virginia procedure in this period (for example, that they were using something like the English bill of Middlesex), but it does not affect the conclusion that this was framed as a traditional ejectment action with a fictitious lessee.

While Sharon O’Connor takes no responsibility for the legal historical conclusions suggested here, I would like to acknowledge her assistance in the preparation of this memo. She saved me from three potentially embarrassing errors and a number of infelicities. Those that remain are my own.

Charles Donahue, Jr.
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The following abbreviations are used throughout the catalogue. In most cases fuller references are given in the bibliography that follows.

AALT = Anglo-American Legal Tradition (website).


Bilder, Salamanders and Sons of God = Mary Sarah Bilder, Salamanders and Sons of God: Transatlantic Legal Culture and Colonial Rhode Island.
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ESTC = English Short Title Catalogue (website).

n.d. (in bibliographic citations) = no date of publication given.
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n.p. (in bibliographic citations) = no publisher or printer given.
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Smith = Joseph Henry Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council from the American Plantations. [Included on this site.]

TNA = The National Archives (U.K.).
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A.B., Brief Representation = A.B., A brief representation of the case depending between the Rev. Dr. Mc’Sparran, plaintiff, and the Rev. Mr. Torrey, defendant, relating to the ministerial land in Narraganset in a letter from a gentleman at Newport to his friend in Boston (Newport: n.p., Aug. 29, 1739) (Early American Imprints, Series 1: Evans no. 40156, by subscription) (11_1725_00, Additional Sources; 11_1733_00, Additional Sources; 11_173(6_00, Additional Sources).


Account of Col. Bayard = An Account of the illegal prosecution and tryal of Coll. Nicholas Bayard, in the province of New-York, for supposed high-treason, in the year 1702/3. Collected from several memorials taken by divers persons privately, the commissioners having strictly prohibited the taking the tryal in open court (n.l. [New York]: William Bradford, 1702) (Early American Imprints, Series 1: Evans no. 1038, by subscription) (08_1702_02, Other Documents).

Libraries of Oxford and Cambridge (Washington, DC: Carnegie Institution of Washington, 1908) (Internet Archive) (Introduction; 10_1765_01, Notes; 10_1765_02, Notes; 08_1702_01, Additional Sources; 06_1759_01, Appellant’s Case; 06_1754_00, Other Documents; 06_1762_00, Other Documents).


Barton, Virginia Colonial Decisions = [Virginia. General Court], Virginia Colonial Decisions: The Reports by Sir John Randolph and by Edward Barradall, of Decisions of the General Court of Virginia, 1728–1741, ed. R. T. Barton, 2 vols. (Boston, MA: The Boston book company, 1909) (Open Library; LLMC Digital, by subscription) (13_1740_03, Additional Sources; 13_1724_00, Other Documents; 13_1736_00, Other Documents; 13_1740_01, Other Documents; 13_1753_01, Other Documents; 13_1753_03, Other Documents; 13_1760_00, Other Documents; 13_1762_02, Other Documents; Research Opportunities).

Bilder, Transatlantic Constitution = Mary Sarah Bilder, The Transatlantic Constitution: Colonial Legal Culture and the Empire (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2004) (ACLS Humanities E-Book, by subscription) (Introduction; 11_1705_02, Notes; 11_1718_02, Notes; 11_1732_00, Notes). [One or the other of Bilder’s works is cited in the Description and/or Disposition section in many of the Rhode Island reports.]

Bilder, Salamanders and Sons of God = Mary Sarah Bilder, Salamanders and Sons of God: Transatlantic Legal Culture and Colonial Rhode Island (Ph.D. diss., Harvard University, 2000) (ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Full Text, by subscription) (Introduction; 11_1725_00, Notes; 11_1733_00, Notes; 11_1736_00, Notes). [One or the other of Bilder’s works is cited in the Description and/or Disposition section in many of the Rhode Island reports.]

Blackstone’s Commentaries = William Blackstone, Commentaries on the Laws of England, 4 vols., first ed. (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1765–1769) (Research Opportunities). [Many subsequent editions, online editions, and reprints. The editions most commonly used for 18th-century English law are the 4th, 5th, and 6th (they are the same and the last to appear in Blackstone’s lifetime) published between 1771 and 1780.]
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Harris, *Maryland Reports* = *Maryland Reports* being a Series of the Most Important Law Cases, argued and determined in the Provincial Court and Court of Appeals of the then Province of Maryland, from the year 1700 down to the American Revolution selected from the records of the state and from the notes of some of the most eminent counsel who practiced law within that period, ed. Thomas Harris, Junior and John McHenry (New York: I. Riley, 1809) (Early American Imprints, Series 2, no. 17989, by subscription) (04_1730_01, Additional Sources; 04_1730_02, Additional Sources; 04_1776_00, Additional Sources; 04_1739_00, Other Documents; Research Opportunities).


Hough, *New York Vice-Admiralty 1715–1788* = *Reports of Cases in the Vice Admiralty of the Province of New York and in the Court of Admiralty of the State of New York, 1715–1788*, ed. Charles Merrill Hough (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1925) (08_1717_01, Notes; 08_1735_00, Notes; 08_1765_01, Additional Sources).


Lambeth Palace Library, Fulham Papers = [London, Lambeth Palace Library], The Fulham Papers at Lambeth Palace Library, 20 microfilm reels (distributed by World Microfilms, c1970–1978) (11_1725_00, Other Documents; 11_1733_00, Other Documents; 11_1736_00, Other Documents; 13_1751_00, Other Documents).


Manross, Fulham Papers = William Wilson Manross, The Fulham Papers in the Lambeth Palace Library; American Colonial Section Calendar and Indexes (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1965) (11_1733_00, Additional Sources; 11_1725_00, Additional Sources; 11_1736_00, Additional Sources; 13_1751_00, Other Documents; 13_1762_01, Other Documents; 13_1765_01, Other Documents).


Narrative of Col. Bayard = A narative [sic] of the treatment Coll. Bayard received from the time that sentence was passed against him to the time of his giving that petition which the lieut. governour & Council caused to be printed and published in justification of their proceedings against him [New York: William Bradford, 1702] (Early American Imprints, Series 1: Evans no. 1079, by subscription) (08_1702_02, Other Documents).

New Hampshire, Laws 1718 = [New Hampshire. Laws, etc.], Acts and laws,
passed by the General Court or Assembly of the Province of New-Hampshire in New-England begun and held at Portsmouth, the 13th. day of May, 1718 (Boston: B. Green, 1718) (Early American Imprints, series 1, Evans no. 1985, by subscription) (06_1759_01, Other Documents).


Prince, Brief Representation = [Thomas Prince], An answer to a printed letter said to be wrote from a gentleman in Newport to his friend in Boston Aug. 27; pretending to find fault with a brief representation of the case between Dr. McSparran plaintiff and Mr. Torrey defendant, relating to the ministry land in
South Kingstown, Narragansett, which was dated July 1739 (n.l.: n.p., n.d. [1739]) (Early American Imprints, Series 1: Evans no. 4379, by subscription) (11_1725_00, Additional Sources; 11_1733_00, Additional Sources; 11_1736_00, Additional Sources).


Quincy, Massachusetts Reports 1761–1772 = Josiah Quincy, Junior, Reports of Cases argued and adjudged in the Superior Court of Judicature of the Province of Massachusetts Bay, between 1761 and 1772, ed. Samuel M. Quincy (Boston: Little, Brown and Company, 1865) (Quincy’s Reports) (Google Books; LLMC Digital, by subscription) (05_1755_00, Other Documents; 05_1764_00, Other Documents; 05_1768_00, Other Documents). [We have used the reprint, accompanied by extensive notes and commentary, that constitutes Portrait of a Patriot: The Major Political and Legal Papers of Josiah Quincy Junior: Volume 4: The Law Reports, Part One (1761–1765) and Volume 5: The Law Reports, Part Two (1765–1772), ed. Daniel R. Coquillette and Neil Longley York (Boston: The Colonial Society of Massachusetts, 2009).]


Reed v Reid = Joseph Reed defendant, ad. John Reid. Argument for the Defendant in Error [Philadelphia: ?1774] (Early American Imprints. Series 1: Evans no. 11053) (07_1775_00, Additional Sources). [The ESTC suggests the date of publication by internal evidence as 1774, indicating that Evans erroneously dates the item as 1768.]


Short Narrative = A short narrative of the claim, title and right of the heirs of the Honourable Samuel Allen, Esq., deceased, to the province of New-Hampshire in New-England: transmitted from a gentlewoman in London, to her friend in New England ([?Boston], n.p.: [?1728]) (Early American Imprints, Series 1: Evans no. 3106, by subscription) (06_1701_00, Other Documents).

Skinner, Maryland Testamentary Abstracts 1736–1739 = Vernon L. Skinner, Jr.,
Abstracts of the Testamentary Proceedings of the Prerogative Court of Maryland

Smith = Joseph Henry Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council from the American
Plantations (New York: Columbia University Press, 1950; repr. New York:
Octagon Books, 1965). [Included on this site.]

Torrey, Brief Representation = Joseph Torrey, A brief representation of the case
depending between the Rev. Dr. Mc‘Sparran, plaintiff, and the Rev. Mr. Torrey,
defendant, relating to the ministry land at Narraganset (n.l.: n.p., July 1739)
(Early American Imprints, Series 1: Evans no. 40177, by subscription)
(11_1725_00, Additional Sources; 11_1733_00, Additional Sources; 11_1736_00,
Additional Sources).

Wainwright, “Tale of a Runaway Cape” = Nicholas B. Wainwright, “Tale of a
Runaway Cape: The Penn-Baltimore Agreement of 1732,” The Pennsylvania
Magazine of History and Biography, 87 (1963) 251–293 (JSTOR) (10_1765_01,
Notes).

Washburne, Imperial Control = George Adrian Washburne, Imperial Control of
the Administration of Justice in the Thirteen American Colonies, 1684–1776
(New York: Columbia University, 1923; repr. Clark, NJ: Lawbook Exchange,
2006). (Introduction; 04_1723_00, Disposition; 01_1727_00, Notes; 04_1739_00,
Notes; 08_1700_00, Notes).

Winthrop, “Brief” = “Brief in the Appeal of John Winthrop,” in Collections
of the Massachusetts Historical Society, 6th ser., vol. 5 (Boston: The Society, 1892),
440–511 (Google Books) (01_1727_00, Other Documents).

Wood, Institute = Thomas Wood, An Institute of the Laws of England; or, The
Laws of England in their Natural Order, According to Common Use, first ed.
([London]: E. and R. Nutt and R. Gosling, 1720) (Research Opportunities).
[Between 1720 and 1772, the work saw ten editions, all of which are available
online by subscription in Eighteenth Century Collections Online. A reprint of the
1772 edition was published in 2006 by The Lawbook Exchange.]

Wroth, “Maine Connection” = Kinvin Wroth, “The Maine Connection:
Massachusetts Justice Downeast, 1620-1820,” in The History of the Law in
(Boston: Supreme Judicial Court Historical Society, 1992), p.171–206
(05_1735_02, Notes).

Yirush, “Claiming the New World” = Craig B. Yirush, “Claiming the New
World: Empire, Law, and Indigenous Rights in the Mohegan Case, 1704–1743,”
Useful Lists

The following collection of lists substitutes for an index. Parties to the cases can be found on the lists of case names. Counsel retained in England can be found on the list of counsel. There is a separate list for vessels. Other persons and placenames are best found by using one of the search engines on the website: http://amesfoundation.law.harvard.edu/ColonialAppeals/search1.php. The three final lists deal with Caribbean and Canadian appeals, which are not otherwise covered in the catalogue.

- Case Names Long – lists all of the appeals in the database by colony and date using the long form of the case name.
- Case Names Short – lists all of the appeals in the database alphabetically by the short form of the case name.
- Vessels – lists alphabetically all of the vessels that are named in the cases with the name of the case.
- Proceedings That Have Printed Cases – lists the colony, report number, and case name of all those proceedings that have printed cases, sometimes of one party, sometimes of both, and sometimes more than one for a party.
- All Printed Cases – lists the report number and the surname of the party for whom the printed case was prepared. Parties for whom no printed case survives are omitted.
- Printed Cases in ESTC – lists the report number, the surname of the party for whom the printed case was prepared, and the English Short Title Catalogue number of those printed cases that are in the ESTC.
- Cases That Are Not ‘True’ Appeals – a list of those cases that we have classified as not ‘true’ appeals.
- Counsel – lists all of the signatories of the printed cases alphabetically by their surnames followed by the names of the cases that they signed.
- Repositories of Printed Cases – lists alphabetically the name, address, telephone number, and, where available, website of all the libraries and archives in which printed cases have been found.
- Conversion Chart – compares the names and numbers of the registers that are cited in APC with those that are currently in use at The National Archives.
- Canadian Appeals – a preliminary list of appeals taken from the Canadian provinces prior to 1784.
- Caribbean Appeals – a preliminary list of appeals taken from the Caribbean prior to 1784.
- Caribbean Appeals, Printed Cases – a brief list of eighteenth-century Caribbean printed cases known to be extant in the British Library’s Hardwicke Papers, the Law Library of Congress, and the Law Library at Columbia University.
Useful Lists

List of Appeals by Colony, Date, and Long Case Name

The date is the date of the first mention in the *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*. Information in brackets is not found in the APC but in Joseph Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council from the American Plantations*.

**Connecticut**
- Edward Palmes and John Hallam v [FitzJohn Winthrop, Richard Christopher and Samuel Fosdick] – 1699
- John Hallam and Nicholas Hallam v John Fitz Winthrop and Edward Palmes – 1699
- Edward Palmes v Fitzjohn Winthrop, Richard Christopher and Samuel Fosdick – 1703
- John Winthrop v Thomas and Ann Lechmere – 1727
- Robert Wheeler v Thomas Levenworth – 1737
- Samuel Clark v Thomas and Hannah Towsey (and against Thomas Towsey as guardian to John Clark), Thomas Clark (and as guardian to Gamaliel Clerk) and Richard Bryon (as guardian to children of late wife Mehitabell) – 1737
- Samuel Danielson and Nathan West v Dan Throop and Caleb and Irena Hide – 1756
- Samuel Danielson and Nathan West v Aaron Fish – 1756
- Elisha Whittlesey, appeal of – 1765

**Delaware**
- David, Robert, Elizabeth and Ann Dorothy Finney v Henry Bickerton et al – 1774
- David, Robert, Elizabeth and Ann Dorothy Finney v James Byrne et al – 1774

**Georgia**
- Caleb Davis v James Edward Powell – 1751
- Raymond Demere v Caleb Davis – 1755

**Maryland**
- Richard Rawstone, appeal of – 1694
- John Taylor v Ann Jones and Thomas Gassaway – 1707
- Thomas Macnamara v Rex – 1711
- Jonathan Forward v Gilbert Poulson (later William Hunt) – 1720
Joseph Brown v Thomas Boardley – 1723
Michael Macnamara v Thomas Brooke – 1730
Thomas Nelson v John Beale – 1730
John Collins, appeal of – 1735
Edmund Jennings and John Gallaway v William Cuming Executor of Thomas Facer and Achsah Woodward Administrator de bonis non of Amos Garret – 1738
Charles Carroll v Mary Parran and John Parran – 1738
Benjamin Tasker v John Simpson on the demise of William Brent – 1739
Elizabeth Frisby v Mary Frisby Baldwin – 1748
John Beale Bordley and Margaret Bordley and William and Mary Paca v Ann Thomas – 1773
Crispin Heeltap on the demise of William Chew v James Weems and David Weems – 1776

Massachusetts
Edward Randolph, appeals of – 1681
Richard Thayre v [Capt. Savage] and [Capt. Clapp] – 1682
[In re The Salisbury] – 1691
Jahleel Brenton v George Lawson (In re The Two Brothers) – 1692
Jahleel Brenton v Thomas Wilkinson (In re The Three Brothers) – 1692
Jahleel Brenton, appeal of (In re The Mary) – 1692
Jahleel Brenton v Elizabeth Shrimpton – 1698
Jonathan Ting v Wait Wynthrop – 1700
Samuel Allen (later Thomas Allen) v Humphrey Spencer – 1705
Samuel Gookin v Sarah Smith – 1705
George Lason v Peter Serjeant – 1706
Samuel Lillie v Edward Bromfield and Francis Burroughs – 1711
Samuel Lillie v Elias Adams – 1711
Thomas Bannister v Margaret Bowe – 1715
John Oulton and Cornelius Waldo v Arthur Savage – 1717
William and Christian Tunley v William Maccarty – 1718
Henry Flint v Ann Willett – 1718
Christopher Taylor v Rebecca Taylor and Sarah Taylor – 1718
John Gilbert v John Branfield and William Ward – 1722
In re The Mary Ann – 1726
Joseph Bennet and Samuel Freeth and William Walker v Benjamin Gray – 1731
Samuel Waldo v Thomas Fairweather (later Hannah Fairweather and John
Fairweather (1) – 1733
Samuel Waldo v Thomas Fairweather (later Hannah Fairweather and John Fairweather) (2) – 1733
William Stoddard v John Barrell, sen. (later revived against Barrell’s executors) – 1733
Nathaniel Byfield v Samuel Swasey – 1733
Cornelius Waldo v Samuel Waldo, Jonathan Waldo, Thomas Fairweather, Edward and Anna Tyng – 1734
James Gooch, Abraham Blish, James Allen, James Gooch, jun., and Francis Wilks v Samuel Dummer – 1734
Giles Dulake Tidmarsh v Joseph Brandon – 1734
John Waldo v Samuel Waldoe, Jonathan Waldoe, Thomas Fairweather, and Edward and Anne Tyng – 1734
Gillam Philips v Hannah Savage, Faith Savage, Hannah Philips, and representatives for Mary Butler – 1734
Samuel Waldo v Thomas and Hannah Fairweather – 1734
Samuel Waldo v Jonathan Waldo – 1734
Samuel Waldo v Edward and Ann Tyng – 1734
Matthew Ellis v Richard Sprague – 1735
William Leighton v John Frost – 1735
Anthony Stoddard, William Stoddard and William Clarke v John and Hannah Jones, Elizabeth Willard, Hebzibah Mortimer, Mary Alden and Thomas Alden, Elizabeth Alden, Ann Alden, Mary Brightman, Nathaniel and Hepzibah Howard, Elizabeth Butterly, Peter and Lydia Briton, Zachariah Alden, Samuel and Mary Kneeland, Timothy and Elizabeth Green, and Nathaniel Alden – 1737
Herbert Pelham v Samuel Stone, Isaac Hunt and Thomas Bent – 1737
Herbert Pelham v Samuel Bannister and Thomas Soden – 1738
Abraham Francis and John and Hannah Jones v John Jeffries, Jonathan Armitage, David Colson, Alexander Forsythe, Caleb Lyman, Jonas Clarke and Thomas Hutchinson – 1742
___ Liebenrood v ___ Dennie – 1749
Governor Shirley v Samuel Waldo – 1750
Charles Knowles v Dr. William Douglas – 1750
William Vassall v William Fletcher – 1753
John Bannister and Frances Bowen v Nathaniel Cunningham – 1755
Thomas Dudley v Joseph and Elizabeth Richards, Benjamin and Rebecca Guerrish, Joseph Dudley, John and Mary Cotton, and Ann Dudley – 1764
David Jeffries v Nathaniel Donnell – 1767
Charles Ward Apthorpe v Richard Pateshall – 1768
John Wentworth v James Bowdoin and William Bowdoin, James Pitt, Silvester Gardiner and Benjamin Hallowell – 1774
Simon Pease v Abraham Pullibank – 1775
Lieutenant Richard Beale, appeal of – 1776

**New Hampshire**

Georg Walton v Jeremy Walford and John Amazeen – 1683
Walter Barefoot v Robert Wadleigh – 1683
William Vaughan v [Richard Martin] – 1684
William Vaughan v [Robert Mason] – 1684
William Vaughan v [Rex] – 1684
[In re The Diligence] – 1684
Samuel Allen, appeal of – 1700
Samuel Allen (later Thomas Allen) v Richard Waldron – 1701
Nathaniel French v Thomas Folensby, Peter Moss and Moses Calton – 1750
Rev. Timothy Walker et al, appeal of – 1753
John Merrill v Proprietors of the township of Bow – 1754
Barlow Trecotthick v Samuel Wentworth – 1758
John Sherburne v Samuel Sherburne, Peter and Dorothy Gillman, Joseph and Anne Langdon – 1759
Thomas Dering v Thomas Packer – 1759
Benjamin Rolfe, Daniel Carter, Timothy Simons, John Evans, John Chandler, Abraham Colby and Abraham Kimball v Proprietors of Bow – 1762
Josiah Hilton v Philip Fowler – 1765
Philip Fowler v Josiah Hilton – 1765
William James v Samuel Moffatt and George Meserve – 1773

**New Jersey**

Jeffrie Jones v James Fullerton on the demise of the Proprietors of East Jersey – 1696
Mary Crowley and John Borrow, appeal of – 1712
Peter Sonmans v Joseph and Rachel Ormstone and Joseph and Johanna Wright – 1716
Peter Wickoff and Joseph Reed v William Ouke – 1773
John Reid v Joseph Reed – 1775

**New York**

John Ward v Mrs. John Palmer – 1680
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Thomas Darvall v Richard Hall – 1681
Capt. William Dyer v Samuel Winder – 1681
Robert Wright and Francis Pew v Robert Cornwall – 1683
Capt. [Christopher] Billop v ___ [West] – 1685
Jacob Mauritz v Frederick Philips – 1692
Valentine Cruger v Abraham Depeyster – 1699
Richard Alsop v Audry Vaudall – 1700
In re The Elizabeth and Catherine – 1702
Col. Nicholas Bayard v Rex – 1702
John Hutchins v Rex – 1702
Mr. Budge, appeal of – 1708
Abraham Gouverneur et al v Isaac De Biemer, sen. – 1716
Thomas Summers et al, appeal of – 1717
John Smith, appeal of – 1717
Solomon Medina Mossesson, Moses Medina and Abraham Medina, and Roderigo Pacheto v Mathew Norris – 1735
Thomas Brown v Frederick Philips – 1738
Capt. Vincent Pearse v George Cummyns – 1741
Capt. Vincent Pearse v John Key and Michael Hubbard – 1741
Archibald Kennedy v Thomas Fowles – 1742
Robert Etherington, Waddle Cunningham, Elias Debrosses and Richard Thomas, appeal of – 1765
Waddell Cunningham v Thomas Forsey – 1765
John Van Rensselaer v James Jackson, Thomas Williams, Harme van Hoesen, William W., Cornelius, and Volckert G. Vandenbergh, Anthony van Tevere, and Dirk Bradt van Schoenhoven – 1773

North Carolina
Christopher Gale v Sir Richard Everard, Baronet – 1729
Abner Nash v Conway Richard Dobbs and Edward Brice Dobbs – 1773
George Casey, appeal of – 1774

Pennsylvania
John Macarell, appeal of – 1712
Simon Clement’s appeal on behalf of William Penn’s widow – 1720
Peter Evans, appeal of – 1736
John Fothergill, Daniel Zachary, Thomas How, Devereux Bowley, Luke Hind, Jacob Hagen, Silvanus Grove and William Heron v Christian Stover – 1765
David McMurterie and William McMurterie v John Browne and Obadiah Browne – 1765
John Long and William Plumstead v Thomas Harper and John Nixon and Co. – 1766
Patrick Crawford (representing James Crawford) and Charles Smith v James Hawker – 1766
John Swift v Henry Jones and Robert Smith – 1770
John Swift v George Hawkins and John Moore – 1770
John Swift v Abraham Mitchell and Thomas Lightfoot – 1770
Samuel Pike v Samuel Hoare and Nathaniel Newberry – 1770
James Styles v Joseph Kirkbridge and Thomas Riche – 1773
Timothy Thrustout on the demise of Peter Henry Sheipers v James Logan – 1774

**Rhode Island**
Daniel Peirce et al v Edmund and Margaret Willie and Richard and Judith Hancock – 1698
Francis Brinley v ___ [Dyer] – 1699
Andrew Harris, Thomas Field and Nathaniel Waterman, appeal of – 1705
Thomas Newton, appeal of – 1705
Stephen Remington v Jahleel Brenton – 1709
Peter Ford v Daniel Hodgson – 1718
Nicholas Carr v John Holmes and Thomas Martin – 1718
Capt. John Owen, appeal of – 1721
*In re* The Pearl – 1721
Samuel Cranston v John Jekyll – 1724
John Jekyll v Samuel Cranston – 1724
James MacSparran v George Mumford – 1725
Eunice Wharton v Stephen, Thomas, Benjamin, Henry jr., and Nicholas Northrup and Lawrence Whaley – 1729
William Wood and John Allen v Samuel Sanford – 1729
Daniel Sabere v Ann Sabere and Deborah Sabere and Mary Sabere – 1730
Jahleel Brenton (later Jahleel Brenton, his son and executor) v Francis and Jane Boreland – 1732
Francis and Jane Boreland v Jahleel Brenton, son and executor of Jahleel Brenton – 1732
Jahleel Brenton, son and executor of Jahleel Brenton, v Francis and Jane Boreland – 1732
Joseph Torrey v George Mumford – 1733
Peter Coggeshall v Edward Pelham – 1735
Stephen Hassard and Robert Hassard v John Potter – 1735
Ezekiel Johnson v Josiah Arnold – 1735
James MacSparran v Robert Hassard – 1736
Benjamin Brenton v Jahleel Brenton – 1737
Peleg Brown v James Allen and Ezekiel Chever – 1738
Daniel Coggeshall v Mary Coggeshall – 1738
James Martin v William Gardiner by Abigail Gardiner – 1738
James Martin v Elizabeth Gibbs – 1738
Edward Fogg v William Harvey – 1745
John Potter v Joseph and Dorcas Freeborn – 1745
John Rous v Benjamin Hassard, Robert Carr, John Easton and Jonathan Hassard – 1746
Benjamin Hassard, Robert Carr, John Easton and Jonathan Hassard v John Rous – 1746
David Vanbrugh and Samuel Carpenter v Joseph Powers – 1746
Joseph Powers v David Vanbrugh and Samuel Carpenter – 1746
Isaac Polock v Gulian Verplank – 1746
Wait Tripp v Benjamin Tripp – 1746
John Staniford v Timothy and Margaret Newell – 1747
John Freebody v Joseph Wanton – 1747
Benjamin Brenton v Gersham Remington – 1747
Robert Gibbs v Jonathan Sheldon – 1748
Nathaniel Wheelwright v Ebenezer Tyler – 1749
George and Mary Taylor v James and Mary Clark – 1749
John Channing v Arthur Fenner – 1749
Thomas Clemence v John Angel – 1750
Robert Rodman v John Bannister – 1751
John Peirce and Thomas Peirce v John Rice – 1752
William Walker v Henry Paget – 1752
Noah Whitman v John Whitman – 1752
Samuel Borden and Stephen Cook v William Cook – 1753
John Freebody v John Cook – 1754
Patrick Grant and Andrew Heatley v Samuel Dyre – 1755
Daniel Stanton v Elias Thompson – 1755
John Chaloner v Elias Bland – 1755
John Whipple v Ephraim Bowen, John Carlisle, and Joseph Sweeting – 1755
Benjamin Hazard v Mary Hazard – 1755
Joseph Whipple, Daniel Coggeshall, John Spencer, Samuel Perry, and David Anthony v Thomas Ninigret by Jonathan Nichols, guardian – 1755
Jahleel Brenton, Benjamin Wickham and George Gardiner v Joseph Sylvester – 1756
Jacob Isaacs v John Merrett – 1757
John Freebody (later Samuel, John and Thomas Freebody) v Jahleel Brenton, Benjamin Wickham and George Gardiner – 1758
Boyle Moss v Esek Hopkins – 1759
Paul Creaugh v Charles Whitfield – 1760
John Larkin v Edward York – 1760
Peter Simons v Joseph Wanton – 1761
William Read v Benjamin Nicholls – 1762
John Potter v George Hazard – 1762
William Stead v Naphthali Hart and Isaac Hart – 1765
William Stead v Isaac Elizer – 1765
Thomas Shearman v Gideon Cornell – 1765
William Stead v Jacob Isaacs – 1766
Robert Lewis and Ellis Lewis v Benjamin Wilkinson – 1766
Benjamin Arnold et al v Nathaniel Green – 1766
John, Thomas, and Samuel Freebody v Jahleel Brenton, Benjamin Wickham and George Gardiner – 1766
Jahleel Brenton, Benjamin Wickham and George Gardiner v John, Thomas and Samuel Freebody – 1766
Thomas Freebody v John Holmes – 1766
John Holmes v Thomas Freebody – 1766
Godfrey Laycock (later Hannah Laycock) v James Clarke and Solomon Southwick – 1767
Jacob Isaacks v William Stead – 1768
Naphthali Hart and Isaac Hart v Abraham Solomons – 1769
Alexander Grant v Charles Hardy – 1769
Giles and Elizabeth Sandford v Elizabeth and Isaac Smith, William Taggart, Israel and Sarah Church – 1773
Gervase Elam v John Dockray – 1773
Charles Dudley v John Innis Clarke and Joseph Nightingale – 1773
Charles Dudley v Nathaniel Shaw – 1773
Robert Keeler v William Rhodes – 1773
Samuel Bean and Isaac Walker, Francis Newton and John Colville v Gideon Wanton and John Wanton – 1774
John Andrews v John Farrint – 1775

**South Carolina**
George Peers v Jonathan Amory (*In re The Turtle*) – 1699
Benjamin Niccoll, John Crosse, Patrick Walsh, and Giles Been v [Blake] (*In re The Cole and Bean*) – 1700

**Virginia**
Sarah Bland v Col. St. Leger Codd and wife – 1682
Edward Plampin v Edmund Scarborrow – 1685
Philip Ludwell v John Toton – 1690
William Bowtell and Thomas Wenborne, appeal of – 1696
Thomas Starke v Thomas and Francillia Parker – 1699
Samuel Selden v Robert Beverley – 1703
Francis Ballard on the demise of Samuel and Rebecca Selden v Robert Beverley – 1703
Robert D’Oyly v Benjamin Harrison – 1709
Robert Beverly v Samuel Seldon – 1715
Anne Stannard by William Stannard v John Wormeley – 1716
Robert and Elizabeth Jones v Thomas Toleson and William Williams – 1719
Elizabeth Winder and Richard and Elizabeth Kenner v Robert and Elizabeth Jones – 1719
Gawen Corbin v James and Jane Roscow – 1720
Sarah Perry, Micaiah Perry and Philip Perry v Mary, William and Thomas Randolph – 1724
George Heal v William Ball – 1727
William Ball v George Heal – 1727
John Bayler by Robert Bayler and Augustine Moor v John and Elizabeth Carter – 1729
Gawen Corbin v Robert Faldo on the demise of Thomas Corbin – 1732
Lemuel Gulliver on the demise of Frances Burges v John Hack – 1736
William Hunt v James and Joyce Hill – 1738
Sarah Rogers v Alexander Spalding – 1740
Micaiah Perry, John Maynard and Edward Athawes v Armistead Churchill – 1740
Courtney, George, Francis and Margaret Walker by Jacob Walker and Samuel Boush v Robert Tucker and John Tucker and John Cook and Robert Cook – 1740
Edward Randolph v William Woodford – 1742
Edward Randolph v William Beverley – 1742
Thomas Starke and Augustine Baughan v William Thrustout on the demise of John Hawkins – 1742
David Meade v William Thrustout on the demise of Martha Godwin, Samuel and Elizabeth Whitfield, William and Leah Godwin, Julian Norworthy, Martha Norworthy, Mary Norworthy, and Ann Norworthy – 1743
John Lillie v William Tab – 1747
Richard Kenner v Jesse Ball – 1747
Philip Ludwell, appeal of – 1749
Thomas Man Randolph by Peter Randolph v Ralph Wormley – 1749
William Degge, George Russell and Thomas Russell v Rev. William Kay – 1751
Anne Mason and George Mason v Edmund Withers – 1752
John Robinson and Humphry Hill v John Anderson – 1752
Daniel McCarty by Joseph Morton v Thomas Turf on the demise of Daniel McCarty – 1752
Robert jun. and Sarah Jones and Dudley and Martha Richardson v James Shields – 1753
Walter King v Charles Lewis and Lewis Burwell – 1753
Walter King v Carter Braxton and Lewis Burwell – 1753
Carter Braxton by John Robinson v Walter King – 1753
Churchill Jones v Jane Porter – 1753
John Lidderdale and John Harmer v John Chiswell – 1755
Charles Dunbar v Daniel Parke Custis – 1755
William Nelson and Francis Whiting v Richard Ambler – 1759
Lewis and Frances Burwell v Philip and Elizabeth Johnson – 1759
William, Martha and Humphrey Toy Tabb v Thomas Edmundson and John Edmundson – 1760
Thomas Edmundson and John Edmundson v William, Martha and Humphrey Toy Tabb – 1760
Rev. John Camm v Rector, Visitors and Governors of William and Mary College – 1762
Rev. Richard Graham v Rector, Visitors and Governors of William and Mary
College – 1762
Samuel Rickards, Archibald Maclane, Israel Mauduit, Edmund Lardner, William Cooke, Francis Jerdone, Robert Duncanson and Ann Buchanan v John and Ann Hudson, William and Martha Johnson, Roger Gregory, Orlando Jones, Rolling Jones and Francis Barber Jones – 1762
Charles Steuart v Thomas Buchanan et al – 1763
Thomas Howlett v John Doe on the demise of Thomas Osburne – 1763
John Doe on the demise of John Parsons v William Parsons – 1763
Gawen Corbin by Lettice Corbin v Lunsford Lomax and Aminadab Seekright – 1766
John Hiscox v Humphrey Hill – 1768
Philip Ludwell Lee v John Hunter – 1771
Mary Taliaferro, Harry Taliaferro, William and Mildred Strother v Lawrence Taliaferro et al – 1775
Useful Lists

List of Appeals by
Short Case Name, Colony, and Date

The date is the date of the first mention in the Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series. Information in brackets is not found in the APC but in Joseph Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council from the American Plantations. Alphabetization ignores the prefix. Hence, a case name beginning 'In re The' will be found under the name of the vessel. Appeals with alternate names are listed under both names.

Allen v Spencer – Massachusetts – 1705
Allen v Waldron – New Hampshire – 1701
Allen, appeal of – New Hampshire – 1700
Alsop v Vaudall – New York – 1700
Andrews v Farrint – Rhode Island – 1775
Apthorpe v Pateshall – Massachusetts – 1768
Arnold v Green – Rhode Island – 1766
Ball v Heal – Virginia – 1727
Ballard v Beverley – Virginia – 1703
Bannister v Bowe – Massachusetts – 1715
Bannister v Brown – Rhode Island – 1766
Bannister v Cunningham – Massachusetts – 1755
Barefoot v Wadleigh – New Hampshire – 1683
Bayard v Rex – New York – 1702
Bayler v Carter – Virginia – 1729
Beale, appeal of – Massachusetts – 1776
Bean v Wanton – Rhode Island – 1774
Bennet v Gray – Massachusetts – 1731
Beverly v Seldon – Virginia – 1715
Bilop v [West] – New York – 1685
Bland v Codd – Virginia – 1682
Borden v Cook – Rhode Island – 1753
Bordley v Thomas – Maryland – 1773
Boreland v Brenton – Rhode Island – 1732
Bowtell, appeal of – Virginia – 1696
Braxton v King – Virginia – 1753
Brenton v Boreland (1) – Rhode Island – 1732
Brenton v Boreland (2) – Rhode Island – 1732
Brenton v Brenton – Rhode Island – 1737
Brenton v Freebody – Rhode Island – 1766
Brenton v Lawson – Massachusetts – 1692
Brenton v Remington – Rhode Island – 1747
Brenton v Shrimpton – Massachusetts – 1698
Brenton v Sylvester – Rhode Island – 1756
Brenton v Wilkinson – Massachusetts – 1692
Brenton, appeal of – Massachusetts – 1692
Brinley v [Dyer] – Rhode Island – 1699
Brown v Allen – Rhode Island – 1738
Brown v Boardley – Maryland – 1723
Brown v Philips – New York – 1738
Budge, appeal of – New York – 1708
Burges v Hack – Virginia – 1736
Burwell v Johnson – Virginia – 1759
Byfield v Swasey – Massachusetts – 1733
Cam v Hansford – Virginia – 1765
Cam v Rector, Visitors and Governors of William and Mary College – Virginia – 1762
Carr v Holmes – Rhode Island – 1718
Carroll v Parran – Maryland – 1738
Casey, appeal of – North Carolina – 1774
Chaloner v Bland – Rhode Island – 1755
Channing v Fenner – Rhode Island – 1749
Chew v Weems – Maryland – 1776
Clark v Towsen – Connecticutt – 1737
Cleeve v Mills – Virginia – 1763
Clemence v Angel – Rhode Island – 1750
Clement, appeal of – Pennsylvania – 1720
Coggeshall v Coggeshall – Rhode Island – 1738
Coggeshall v Pelham – Rhode Island – 1735
In re The Cole and Bean – South Carolina – 1700
Collins, appeal of – Maryand – 1735
Corbin v Corbin – Virginia – 1732
Corbin v Faldo – Virginia – 1732
Corbin v Lomax – Virginia – 1766
Corbin v Roscow – Virginia – 1720
Cranston v Jekyll – Rhode Island – 1724
Crawford v Hawker – Pennsylvania – 1766
Creaugh v Whitfield – Rhode Island – 1760
Crowley, appeal of – New Jersey – 1712
Cruger v Depeyster – New York – 1699
Cunningham v Forsay – New York – 1765
D’Oyly v Harrison – Virginia – 1709
Danielson v Fish – Connecticut – 1756
Danielson v Throop – Connecticutt – 1756
Darvall v Hall – New York – 1681
Davis v Powell – Georgia – 1751
Degge v Kay – Virginia – 1751
Demere v Davis – Georgia – 1755
Dering v Packer – New Hampshire – 1759
[In re The Diligence] – New Hampshire – 1684
Dixon v Turner – Virginia – 1768
Doe v Parsons – Virginia – 1763
Dudley v Clarke – Rhode Island – 1773
Dudley v Richards – Massachusetts – 1764
Dudley v Shaw – Rhode Island – 1773
Dunbar v Custis – Virginia – 1755
Dyer v Winder – New York – 1681
Edmundson v Tabb – Virginia – 1760
Elam v Dockray – Rhode Island – 1773
In re The Elizabeth and Catherine – New York – 1702
Ellis v Sprague – Massachusetts – 1735
Etherington, appeal of – New York – 1765
Evans, appeal of – Pennsylvania – 1736
Finney v Bickerton – Delaware – 1774
Finney v Byrne – Delaware – 1774
Flixt v Willett – Massachusetts – 1718
Fogg v Harvey – Rhode Island – 1745
Ford v Hodgson – Rhode Island – 1718
Forward v Poulson (later Hunt) – Maryland – 1720
Fothergill v Stover – Pennsylvania – 1765
Fowler v Hilton – New Hampshire – 1765
Francis v Jeffries – Massachusetts – 1742
Freebody v Brenton – Rhode Island – 1758
Freebody v Brenton – Rhode Island – 1766
Freebody v Cook – Rhode Island – 1754
Freebody v Holmes – Rhode Island – 1766
Freebody v Wanton – Rhode Island – 1747
French v Folensby – New Hampshire – 1750
Frisby v Baldwin – Maryland – 1748
Gale v Everard – North Carolina – 1729
Gibbs v Sheldon – Rhode Island – 1748
Gilbert v Branfield – Massachusetts – 1722
Gooch v Dummer – Massachusetts – 1734
Gookin v Smith – Massachusetts – 1705
Gouverneur v De Biemer – New York – 1716
Graham v Rector, Visitors and Governors of William and Mary College – Virginia – 1762
CASE NAMES SHORT

Grant v Dyre – Rhode Island – 1755
Grant v Hardy – Rhode Island – 1769
Gulliver v Hack – Virginia – 1736
Hallam v Winthrop – Connecticut – 1699
Harris, appeal of – Rhode Island – 1705
Hart v Solomons – Rhode Island – 1769
Hassard v Potter – Rhode Island – 1735
Hassard v Rous – Rhode Island – 1746
Hazard v Hazard – Rhode Island – 1755
Heal v Ball – Virginia – 1727
Heeltap v Weems – Maryland – 1776
Hilton v Fowler – New Hampshire – 1765
Hiscox v Hill – Virginia – 1768
Holmes v Freebody – Rhode Island – 1766
Howlett v Doe – Virginia – 1763
Howlett v Osburne – Virginia – 1763
Hunt v Hill – Virginia – 1738
Hutchins v Rex – New York – 1702
Isaacks v Stead – Rhode Island – 1768
Isaacs v Merrett – Rhode Island – 1757
James v Moffatt – New Hampshire – 1773
Jeffries v Donnell – Massachusetts – 1767
Jekyll v Cranston – Rhode Island – 1724
Jennings v Cuming – Maryland – 1738
Johnson v Arnold – Rhode Island – 1735
Jones v Fullerton – New Jersey – 1696
Jones v Porter – Virginia – 1753
Jones v Shields – Virginia – 1753
Jones v Toleson – Virginia – 1719
Keeler v Rhodes – Rhode Island – 1773
Kennedy v Fowles – New York – 1742
Kenner v Ball – Virginia – 1747
King v Braxton – Virginia – 1753
King v Lewis – Virginia – 1753
Knowles v Douglas – Massachusetts – 1750
Larkin v York – Rhode Island – 1760
Lason v Serjeant – Massachusetts – 1706
Laycock v Clarke – Rhode Island – 1767
Lee v Hunter – Virginia – 1771
Leighton v Frost – Massachusetts – 1735
Lewis v Wilkinson – Rhode Island – 1766
Lidderdale v Chiswell – Virginia – 1755
Liebenrood v Dennie – Massachusetts – 1749
Lillie v Adams – Massachusetts – 1711
Lillie v Bromfield – Massachusetts – 1711
Lillie v Tab – Virginia – 1747
Long v Harper – Pennsylvania – 1766
Ludwell v Toton – Virginia – 1690
Ludwell, appeal of – Virginia – 1749
Macarell, appeal of – Pennsylvania – 1712
Macnamara v Rex – Maryland – 1711
Macnamara v Brooke – Maryland – 1730
MacSparran v Hassard – Rhode Island – 1736
MacSparran v Mumford – Rhode Island – 1725
Martin v Gardiner – Rhode Island – 1738
Martin v Gibbs – Rhode Island – 1738
In re The Mary – Massachusetts – 1692
In re The Mary Ann – Massachusetts – 1726
Mason v Withers – Virginia – 1752
Mauritz v Philips – New York – 1692
McCarty v McCarty – Virginia – 1752
McCarty v Turf – Virginia – 1752
McMurterie v Browne – Pennsylvania – 1765
Meade v Godwin – Virginia – 1743
Meade v Thustout – Virginia – 1743
Merrill v Proprietors of the township of Bow – New Hampshire – 1754
Moss v Hopkins – Rhode Island – 1759
Mossesson v Norris – New York – 1735
Nash v Dobbs – North Carolina – 1773
Nelson v Ambler – Virginia – 1759
Nelson v Beale – Maryland – 1730
Newton, appeal of – Rhode Island – 1705
Niccoll v [Blake] – South Carolina – 1700
Oulton v Savage – Massachusetts – 1717
Owen, appeal of – Rhode Island – 1721
Palmes and Hallam v [Winthrop] – Connecticut – 1699
Palmes v Winthrop – Connecticut – 1703
Parsons v Parsons – Virginia – 1763
In re The Pearl – Rhode Island – 1721
Pearse v Cummysns – New York – 1741
Pearse v Key – New York – 1741
Pease v Pullibank – Massachusetts – 1775
Peers v Amory – South Carolina – 1699
Peirce v Rice – Rhode Island – 1752
Peirce v Willie – Rhode Island – 1698
Pelham v Bannister – Massachusetts – 1738
Pelham v Stone – Massachusetts – 1737
Perry v Churchill – Virginia – 1740
Perry v Randolph – Virginia – 1724
Philips v Savage – Massachusetts – 1734
Pike v Hoare – Pennsylvania – 1770
Plampin v Scarborow – Virginia – 1685
Polock v Verplank – Rhode Island – 1746
Potter v Freeborn – Rhode Island – 1745
Potter v Hazard – Rhode Island – 1762
Powers v Vanbrugh – Rhode Island – 1746
Randolph v Armitage – Massachusetts – 1681
Randolph v Beverley – Virginia – 1742
Randolph v Bollard – Massachusetts – 1681
Randolph v Brock – Massachusetts – 1681
Randolph v Clay – Massachusetts – 1681
Randolph v Grecian – Massachusetts – 1681
Randolph v Harding – Massachusetts – 1681
Randolph v Huling – Massachusetts – 1681
Randolph v Hunkins – Massachusetts – 1681
Randolph v Hutchinson – Massachusetts – 1681
Randolph v Janon – Massachusetts – 1681
Randolph v Lucy (?) – Massachusetts – 1681
Randolph v Nichols – Massachusetts – 1681
Randolph v Severet and Nicholls – Massachusetts – 1681
Randolph v Whetstone – Massachusetts – 1681
Randolph v Woodford – Virginia – 1742
Randolph v Wormley – Virginia – 1749
Randolph, appeals of – Massachusetts – 1681
Rawstone, appeal of – Maryland – 1694
Read v Nicholls – Rhode Island – 1762
Reid v Reed – New Jersey – 1775
Remington v Brenton – Rhode Island – 1709
Rickards v Hudson – Virginia – 1762
Robinson v Anderson – Virginia – 1752
Rodman v Bannister – Rhode Island – 1751
Rogers v Spalding – Virginia – 1740
Rolf v Proprietors of Bow – New Hampshire – 1762
Rous v Hassard – Rhode Island – 1746
Sabere v Sabere – Rhode Island – 1730
[In re The Salisbury] – Massachusetts – 1691
Sandford v Smith – Rhode Island – 1773
Selden v Beverley – Virginia – 1703
Shearman v Cornell – Rhode Island – 1765
Sheipers v Logan – Pennsylvania – 1774
Sherburne v Sherburne – New Hampshire – 1759
Shirley v Waldo – Massachusetts – 1750
Simons v Wanton – Rhode Island – 1761
Smith, appeal of – New York – 1717
Sonmans v Ormstone – New Jersey – 1716
Staniiford v Newell – Rhode Island – 1747
Stannard v Wormley – Virginia – 1716
Stanton v Thompson – Rhode Island – 1755
Starke v Hawkins – Virginia – 1742
Starke v Parker – Virginia – 1699
Starke v Thrustout – Virginia – 1742
Stead v Elizer – Rhode Island – 1765
Stead v Hart – Rhode Island – 1765
Stead v Isaacs – Rhode Island – 1766
Steuart v Buchanan – Virginia – 1763
Stoddard v Barrell – Massachusetts – 1733
Stoddard v Jones – Massachusetts – 1737
Styles v Kirkbride – Pennsylvania – 1773
Summers, appeal of – New York – 1717
Swift v Hawkins – Pennsylvania – 1770
Swift v Jones – Pennsylvania – 1770
Swift v Mitchell – Pennsylvania – 1770
Tabb v Edmundson – Virginia – 1760
Taliaferro v Taliaferro – Virginia – 1775
Tasker v Simpson – Maryland– 1739
Taylor v Clark – Rhode Island – 1749
Taylor v Jones – Maryland– 1707
Taylor v Taylor – Massachusetts – 1718
Thayre v [Savage] – Massachusetts – 1682
In re The Three Brothers – Massachusetts – 1692
Thrustout v Logan – Pennsylvania – 1774
Tidmarsh v Brandon – Massachusetts – 1734
Ting v Wynthrop – Massachusetts – 1700
Torrey v Mumford – Rhode Island – 1733
Trecothick v Wentworth – New Hampshire – 1758
Tripp v Tripp – Rhode Island – 1746
Tunley v Maccarty – Massachusetts – 1718
In re The Turtle – South Carolina – 1699
In re The Two Brothers – Massachusetts – 1692
Van Rensselaer v Jackson – New York – 1773
Vanbrugh v Powers – Rhode Island – 1746
Vassall v Fletcher – Massachusetts – 1753
Vaughan v [Rex] – New Hampshire – 1684
Waldo v Fairweather – Massachusetts – 1734
Waldo v Fairweather (1) – Massachusetts – 1733
Waldo v Fairweather (2) – Massachusetts – 1733
Waldo v Tyng – Massachusetts – 1734
Waldo v Waldo – Massachusetts – 1734
Waldo v Waldo – Massachusetts – 1734
Waldo v Waldoe – Massachusetts – 1734
Waldo v Paget – Rhode Island – 1752
Waldo v Tucker – Virginia – 1740
Ward v Palmer – New York – 1680
Wentworth v Bowdoin – Massachusetts – 1774
Wharton v Northrup – Rhode Island – 1729
Wheeler v Levenworth – Connecticut – 1737
Wheelwright v Tyler – Rhode Island – 1749
Whipple v Bowen – Rhode Island – 1755
Whipple v Ninigret – Rhode Island – 1755
Whitman v Whitman – Rhode Island – 1752
Whittlesey, appeal of – Connecticut – 1765
Wickoff v Ouke – New Jersey – 1773
Wilson v Darrell – Virginia – 1765
Winder v Jones – Virginia – 1719
Winthrop v Lechmere – Connecticut – 1727
Wood v Sanford – Rhode Island – 1729
Wright v Cornwall – New York – 1683
### Useful Lists

#### Vessels Named in Appeals

Year reflects the first mention in the *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*. Information in brackets are not found in the *APC* but in Joseph Smith, *Appeals to the Privy Council from the American Plantations*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vessel Name</th>
<th>Case(s)</th>
<th>Colony</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abbey</td>
<td>Swift v Jones</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>1770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abigail</td>
<td>Pease v Pullibank</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>1775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banstead</td>
<td>Nelson v Ambler</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>1759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Batchelor Delight of Providence</em></td>
<td>Appeals of Randolph</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>1681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bon Rencontre</td>
<td>Appeal of Etherington</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>1765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canary Merchant</td>
<td>Pearse v Key</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>1741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chance</td>
<td>Crawford v Hawker</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>1766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cole and Bean</td>
<td>Niccoll v [Blake]</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>1700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation</td>
<td>Hart v Solomons</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>1769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Diligence]</td>
<td>[In re The Diligence]</td>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>1684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolphin</td>
<td>Forward v Poulson (later Maryland Hunt)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke of Cumberland</td>
<td>Demere v Davis</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>1755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth and Catherine</td>
<td><em>In re The Elizabeth and Catherine</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>1702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth of Nantes</td>
<td>Nelson v Ambler</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>1759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elpierto del Principe aka Porto Prince</td>
<td>Cranston v Jekyll</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>1724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Expectation of Boston</em></td>
<td>Jekyll v Cranston</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Expedition of Bristoll</em></td>
<td>Appeals of Randolph</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>1681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Francis and Thomas aka St. Peter</em></td>
<td>Mauritz v Philips</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>1692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Intent</td>
<td>Appeal of Summers</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>1717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guifit of God of Guernzey</td>
<td>Appeals of Randolph</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>1681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guifit of God of Kittery</td>
<td>Appeals of Randolph</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>1681</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VESSELS

Heron
HMS Beaver
HMS Flamborough
HMS Mercury
HMS Mercury
HMS Sardoine
Hope
Hope
Hope Still
Hopewell
Industry
Industry
Industry of Plymouth
Jacob aka Noble Jane
John
Kingston aka South Kingstown
Liveen
Maidenhead of Nuberry
Mary
Mary
Mary of Bristol
Mary and Margaret
Mary Ann
Maxwell
Mermaid
Molly
Murray
Neptune
Noble Jane aka Jacob
Patuxent of Newbury
Pearl
Porto Prince aka Elpierto del Principe

Demere v Davis
Dudley v Clarke
Pearse v Cummysns
Appeal of Beale
Keeler v Rhodes
Crawford v Hawker
Appeal of Casey
Andrews v Farrint
Gibbs v Sheldon
Appeal of Beale
Dudley v Clarke
Appeals of Randolph
Rodman v Banniste
Ford v Hodgson
Rous v Hassard
Palmes v Winthrop
Appeals of Randolph
Appeal of Allen
Appeal of Brenton
Appeals of Randolph
Kennedy v Fowles
In re The Mary Ann
Appeal of Etherington
Dudley v Shaw
Long v Harper
Davis v Powell
Nelson v Ambler
Rodman v Banniste
Appeal of Collins
In re The Pearl
Cranston v Jekyll

Georgia
Rhode Island
New York
Massachusetts
Rhode Island
Pennsylvania
North Carolina
Pennsylvania
Rhode Island
Rhode Island
Massachusetts
Massachusetts
Rhode Island
Rhode Island
Rhode Island
Rhode Island
Connecticut
Massachusetts
New Hampshire
Massachusetts
New York
Rhode Island
Pennsylvania
Georgia
Virginia
Rhode Island
Maryland
Rhode Island
Rhode Island

1755
1773
1741
1776
1773
1766
1774
1736
1775
1748
1776
1773
1681
1751
1718
1745/6
1703
1681
1700
1692
1681
1742
1726
1765
1773
1766
1751
1759
1751
1735
1721
1724
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USEFUL LISTS</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Providence</td>
<td>Moss v Hopkins</td>
<td>Rhode Island 1759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providence</td>
<td>Channing v Fenner</td>
<td>Rhode Island 1749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providence</td>
<td>McMuterie v Browne</td>
<td>Pennsylvania 1765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providence</td>
<td>Brown v Allen</td>
<td>Rhode Island 1738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province</td>
<td>Oulton v Savage</td>
<td>Massachusetts 1717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restoration</td>
<td>Pearse v Cummysns</td>
<td>New York 1741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond</td>
<td>Nelson v Ambler</td>
<td>Virginia 1759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising Sun</td>
<td>Stead v Isaacs</td>
<td>Rhode Island 1766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. John Baptist aka Society of Dublin</td>
<td>Appeal of Macarell</td>
<td>Pennsylvania 1712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Joseph</td>
<td>Appeal of Macarell</td>
<td>Pennsylvania 1712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Peter aka Francis and Thomas</td>
<td>Mauritiz v Philips</td>
<td>New York 1692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Stephen</td>
<td>Appeal of Etherington</td>
<td>New York 1765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Salisbury]</td>
<td>[In re The Salisbury]</td>
<td>Massachusetts 1691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sally</td>
<td>Moss v Hopkins</td>
<td>Rhode Island 1759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Society of Dublin aka St. John Baptist</td>
<td>Appeal of Macarell</td>
<td>Pennsylvania 1712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Kingstown aka Kingston</td>
<td>Rous v Hassard</td>
<td>Rhode Island 1745/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Brothers</td>
<td>Brenton v Wilkinson</td>
<td>Massachusetts 1692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Brothers</td>
<td>Appeal of Smith</td>
<td>New York 1717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turtle of Barbados</td>
<td>Peers v Amory</td>
<td>South Carolina 1699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Brothers</td>
<td>Brenton v Lawson</td>
<td>Massachusetts 1692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Sisters of Boston</td>
<td>Appeals of Randolph</td>
<td>Massachusetts 1681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker</td>
<td>Davis v Powell</td>
<td>Georgia 1751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young Eagle</td>
<td>Rous v Hassard</td>
<td>Rhode Island 1745/6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Moss v Hopkins**, Rhode Island 1759
- **Channing v Fenner**, Rhode Island 1749
- **McMuterie v Browne**, Pennsylvania 1765
- **Brown v Allen**, Rhode Island 1738
- **Oulton v Savage**, Massachusetts 1717
- **Pearse v Cummysns**, New York 1741
- **Nelson v Ambler**, Virginia 1759
- **Stead v Isaacs**, Rhode Island 1766
- **Appeal of Macarell**, Pennsylvania 1712
- **Appeal of Macarell**, Pennsylvania 1712
- **Mauritz v Philips**, New York 1692
- **Appeal of Etherington**, New York 1765
- **[In re The Salisbury]**, Massachusetts 1691
- **Moss v Hopkins**, Rhode Island 1759
- **Appeal of Macarell**, Pennsylvania 1712
- **Rous v Hassard**, Rhode Island 1745/6
- **Brenton v Wilkinson**, Massachusetts 1692
- **Appeal of Smith**, New York 1717
- **Peers v Amory**, South Carolina 1699
- **Brenton v Lawson**, Massachusetts 1692
- **In re The Two Brothers**, Massachusetts 1692
- **Appeals of Randolph**, Massachusetts 1681
- **Davis v Powell**, Georgia 1751
- **Rous v Hassard**, Rhode Island 1745/6
- **Hassard v Rous**
Useful Lists

Proceedings That Have Printed Cases
(by Colony, Report Number, and Case Name)

Lists the colony, report number, and the first case named within a single report number for all proceedings that have printed cases. Printed cases may be of one or more parties and sometimes more than one for a party.

Maryland
04_1720_00 Forward v Poulson (later Hunt)
04_1739_00 Tasker v Simpson
04_1748_00 Frisby v Baldwin

Massachusetts
05_1733_01 Waldo v Fairweather
05_1734_05 Philips v Savage
05_1753_00 Vassall v Fletcher
05_1767_00 Jeffries v Donnell

New Hampshire
06_1754_00 Merrill v Proprietors of Bow
06_1758_00 Trecothick v Wentworth
06_1759_01 Sherburne v Sherburne
06_1759_02 Dering v Packer
06_1762_00 Rolfe v Proprietors of Bow

Pennsylvania
10_1765_01 Fothergill v Stover
10_1765_02 McMurterie v Browne
10_1766_01 Long v Harper

Rhode Island
11_1732_00 Brenton v Boreland
11_1736_00 MacSparran v Hassard
11_1738_01 Brown v Allen
11_1738_02 Coggeshall v Coggeshall
11_1745_02 Potter v Freeborn
11_1746_01 Rous v Hassard
11_1751_00 Rodman v Bannister
11_1754_00 Freebody v Cook
11_1755_02 Stanton v Thompson
11_1755_04 Whipple v Bowen
USEFUL LISTS

11_1757_00 Isaacs v Merrett
11_1758_00 Freebody v Brenton
11_1760_02 Larkin v York
11_1762_02 Potter v Hazard
11_1765_02 Shearman v Cornell
11_1766_01 Stead v Isaacs
11_1766_02 Lewis v Wilkinson
11_1766_04 Freebody v Brenton
11_1766_05 Freebody v Holmes

Virginia
13_1736_00 Burges v Hack
13_1740_01 Rogers v Spalding
13_1749_02 Randolph v Wormley
13_1751_00 Degge v Kay
13_1752_03 McCarty v Turf
13_1753_01 Jones v Shields
13_1753_02 King v Lewis
13_1753_03 Jones v Porter
13_1755_01 Lidderdale v Chiswell
13_1755_02 Dunbar v Custis
13_1759_02 Burwell v Johnson
13_1760_00 Tabb v Edmundson
13_1762_01 Camm v William and Mary College
13_1762_02 Rickards v Hudson
13_1763_01 Cleeve v Mills
13_1763_03 Howlett v Osburne
13_1763_04 Parsons v Parsons
13_1765_01 Camm v Hansford
13_1766_00 Corbin v Lomax
13_1771_00 Lee v Hunter
Useful Lists

Proceedings That Have Printed Cases
(by Report Number and Name of the Party)

Lists the report number and last name of the party for whom the printed case was prepared.

04_1720_00 Case of the respondent (Hunt) (Maryland, 1720)
04_1739_00 Case of the appellant (Tasker) (Maryland, 1739)
04_1739_00 Case of the respondent (Simpson) (Maryland, 1739)
04_1748_00 Case of the appellant (Frisby) (Maryland, 1748)
05_1733_01 Case of the respondent (Fayreweather) (Massachusetts, 1733)
05_1734_05 Case of the appellant (Philips) (Massachusetts, 1734)
05_1734_05 Case of the respondents (Savage) (Massachusetts, 1734)
05_1753_00 Case of the appellant (Vassall) (Massachusetts, 1753)
05_1753_00 Case of the respondent (Fletcher) (Massachusetts, 1753)
05_1757_00 Case of the appellant (Jeffries) (Massachusetts, 1767)
06_1754_00 Case of the appellant (Merrill) (New Hampshire, 1754)
06_1754_00 Case of the respondent (Proprietors of the township of Bow) (New Hampshire, 1754)
06_1758_00 Case of the appellant (Trecothick) (New Hampshire, 1758)
06_1759_01 Case of the respondent (Sherburne) (New Hampshire, 1759)
06_1759_01 Case of the respondent (Sherburne) (New Hampshire, 1759)
06_1759_02 Case of the appellant (Dering) (New Hampshire, 1759)
06_1759_02 Case of the respondent (Packer) (New Hampshire, 1759)
06_1762_00 Case of the appellant (Rolfe) (New Hampshire, 1762)
06_1762_00 Case of the respondent (Proprietors of Bow) (New Hampshire, 1762)
10_1765_01 Case of the appellant (Fothergill) (Pennsylvania, 1765)
10_1765_01 Case of the respondent (Stover) (Pennsylvania, 1765)
10_1765_02 Case of the appellant (McMurterie) (Pennsylvania, 1765)
10_1765_02 Case of the respondent (Browne) (Pennsylvania, 1765)
10_1766_01 Case of the appellant (Long) (Pennsylvania, 1766)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1766</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Harper)</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1732</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Boreland)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1736</td>
<td>Case of the appellant (MacSparran)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1736</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Hassard)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1738</td>
<td>Case of the appellant (Brown)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1738</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Allen)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1738</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Coggeshall)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1736</td>
<td>Case of the appellant (MacSparran)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1738</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Coggeshall)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1746</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Cook)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1755</td>
<td>Case of the appellant (Stanton)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1755</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Thompson)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1754</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Freebody)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1754</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Cook)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1750</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Merrett)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1757</td>
<td>Case of the appellant (Isaacs)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1757</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Bowen)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1758</td>
<td>Case of the respondent in the first and second appeals</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1760</td>
<td>Case of appellant (Larkin)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1760</td>
<td>Case of respondent (York)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1762</td>
<td>Case of the appellant (Potter)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1762</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Hazard)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1765</td>
<td>Case of appellant (Shearman)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1766</td>
<td>Case of the appellant (Stead)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1766</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Isaacs)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1766</td>
<td>Case of the appellant (Lewis)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1766</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Wilkinson)</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1766</td>
<td>Case of the appellant in two appeals and as respondent in two cross-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
appeals (Freebody) (Rhode Island, 1766)

11_1766_04 Case of the respondent in two appeals and as appellant in two cross-
appeals (Brenton) (Rhode Island, 1766)

11_1766_05 Case of the appellant (Holmes) (Rhode Island, 1766)

11_1766_05 Case of the respondent (Freebody) (Rhode Island, 1766)

13_1736_00 Case of the appellant (Lemuel Gulliver on the demise of Frances
Burgess) (Virginia, 1736)

13_1740_01 Case of the appellant (Rogers) (Virginia, 1740)

13_1740_01 Case of the respondent (Spalding) (Virginia, 1740)

13_1749_02 Case of the appellant (Randolph) (Virginia, 1749)

13_1749_02 Case of the respondent (Wormley) (Virginia, 1749)

13_1751_00 Case of the appellant (Degge) (Virginia, 1751)

13_1751_00 Case of the respondent (Kay) (Virginia, 1751)

13_1752_03 Case of the appellant (McCarty) (Virginia, 1752)

13_1752_03 Case of the respondent (Turf . . . “upon the fictitious demise of
Daniel M’Carty”) (Virginia, 1752)

13_1753_01 Case of the appellant (Jones) (Virginia, 1753)

13_1753_01 Case of the respondent (Shields) (Virginia, 1753)

13_1753_02 Case of the appellant (King in King v Lewis) (Virginia, 1753)

13_1753_02 Case of the respondent (Braxton in King v Braxton) (Virginia, 1753)

13_1753_03 Case of the appellant (Jones) (Virginia, 1753)

13_1753_03 Case of the respondent (Porter) (Virginia, 1753)

13_1755_01 Case of the appellant (Lidderdale) (Virginia, 1755)

13_1755_01 Case of the respondent (Chiswell) (Virginia, 1755)

13_1755_02 Case of the appellant (Dunbar) (Virginia, 1755)

13_1755_02 Case of the respondent (Custis) (Virginia, 1755)

13_1759_02 Case of the appellant (Burwell) (Virginia, 1759)

13_1759_02 Case of the respondent (Johnson) (Virginia, 1759)

13_1760_00 Case of the appellant (Tabb) (Virginia, 1760)

13_1760_00 Case of the appellant (Edmundson as appellant in a cross-appeal)
(Virginia, 1760)

13_1762_01 Case of the appellants (Camm) and (Graham) (Virginia, 1762)

13_1762_01 Case of the respondent (Rector . . . in both Camm and Graham)
(Virginia, 1762)
13_1762_02 Case of the appellant (Rickards) (Virginia, 1762)
13_1762_02 Case of the respondent (Hudson) (Virginia, 1762)
13_1763_01 Case of the appellant (Cleeve) (Virginia, 1763)
13_1763_01 Case of the respondent (Mills) (Virginia, 1763)
13_1763_03 Case of the appellant (Howlett) (Virginia, 1763)
13_1763_03 Case of the respondent (Osborne) (Virginia, 1763)
13_1763_04 Case of the appellant (Parsons) (Virginia, 1763)
13_1763_04 Case of the respondent (Parsons) (Virginia, 1763)
13_1765_01 Case of the appellant (Camm) (Virginia, 1765)
13_1765_01 Case of the respondent (Hansford) (Virginia, 1765)
13_1766_00 Case of the appellant (Corbin) (Virginia, 1766)
13_1766_00 Case of the respondent (Lomax) (Virginia, 1766)
13_1771_00 Case of the appellant (Lee) (Virginia, 1771)
### Useful Lists

**Proceedings That Have Printed Cases Listed in ESTC**

*(by Report Number and Name of the Party)*

Lists the report number, last name of the party for whom the printed case was prepared, and the number assigned to the printed case by the English Short Title Catalogue. If there is no ESTC number, the printed case is omitted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Number</th>
<th>Case Description</th>
<th>ESTC Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04_1739_00</td>
<td>Case of the appellant (Tasker) (Maryland, 1739)</td>
<td>N15037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04_1739_00</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Simpson) (Maryland, 1739)</td>
<td>N15036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04_1748_00</td>
<td>Case of the appellant (Frisby) (Maryland, 1748)</td>
<td>T39018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05_1734_05</td>
<td>Case of the appellant (Philips) (Massachusetts, 1734)</td>
<td>N16903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05_1734_05</td>
<td>Case of the respondents (Savage) (Massachusetts, 1734)</td>
<td>N17105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06_1754_00</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Proprietors of the township of Bow) (New Hampshire, 1754)</td>
<td>N473543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06_1759_01</td>
<td>Case of the appellant (Sherburne) (New Hampshire, 1759)</td>
<td>T91656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06_1759_01</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Sherburne) (New Hampshire, 1759)</td>
<td>N66141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06_1762_00</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Proprietors of Bow) (New Hampshire, 1762)</td>
<td>N62941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10_1765_01</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Stover) (Pennsylvania, 1765)</td>
<td>N63621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11_1732_00</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Boreland) (Rhode Island, 1732)</td>
<td>N67012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11_1738_01</td>
<td>Case of the appellant (Brown) (Rhode Island, 1738)</td>
<td>N48495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11_1738_01</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Allen) (Rhode Island, 1738)</td>
<td>N48493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11_1738_02</td>
<td>Case of the appellant (Coggeshall) (Rhode Island, 1738)</td>
<td>T140450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11_1738_02</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Coggeshall) (Rhode Island, 1738)</td>
<td>T140451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13_1740_01</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Spalding) (Virginia, 1740)</td>
<td>N68544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13_1753_02</td>
<td>Case of the appellant (King in King v Lewis) (Virginia, 1753)</td>
<td>N469682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13_1755_02</td>
<td>Case of the appellant (Dunbar) (Virginia, 1755)</td>
<td>N474424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13_1762_01</td>
<td>Case of the appellants (Camm) and (Graham) (Virginia, 1762)</td>
<td>T222030, T222034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13_1762_01</td>
<td>Case of the respondent (Rector . . . in both Camm and Graham) (Virginia, 1762)</td>
<td>T222032, T222035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13_1763_01 Case of the appellant (Cleeve) (Virginia, 1763) [T128996]
13_1763_01 Case of the respondent (Mills) (Virginia, 1763) [T129014]
13_1765_01 Case of the appellant (Camm) (Virginia, 1765) [T222031]
13_1765_01 Case of the respondent (Hansford) (Virginia, 1765) [T222033]
13_1771_00 Case of the appellant (Lee) (Virginia, 1771) [N478563]
Useful Lists

Repositories of Printed Cases

Lists alphabetically the name, address, and telephone number of all the libraries and archives in which printed cases have been found. Efforts were made to ensure the accuracy of this information as of 1 January 2013.

American Antiquarian Society  
185 Salisbury Street  
Worcester, MA 01609-1634  
(508) 755-5221  
www.americanantiquarian.org

British Library  
96 Euston Road  
London NW1 2DB  
England  
+44 (0) 843-208-1144  
www.bl.uk

435 W. 116th Street  
New York, NY 10027-7237  
(212) 854-3743  
www.law.columbia.edu/library

1545 Massachusetts Ave.  
Langdell Hall  
Cambridge, MA 02138-2903  
(617) 495-3174  
www.law.harvard.edu/library

Historical Society of Pennsylvania  
1300 Locust Street  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107  
(215) 732-6200  
www.hsp.org

Huntington Library  
1151 Oxford Road  
San Marino, CA 91108  
(626) 405-2100  
www.huntington.org

John Carter Brown Library
94 George Street
Providence, RI 02906
(401) 863-2725

Mailing address:
Brown University
Box 1894
Providence, RI 02912
www.brown.edu/Facilities/John_Carter_Brown_Library

Lambeth Palace Library
Lambeth Palace Road
London SE1 7JU
England
+44 (0) 20 7898 1400
www.lambethpalacelibrary.org

Law Library of Congress
101 Independence Ave. SE
James Madison Memorial Building, Room LM 201
Washington, D.C. 20540-0002
(202) 707-1820
www.loc.gov/law

Library Company of Pennsylvania
1314 Locust Street
Philadelphia, PA 19107
(215) 546-3181
www.librarycompany.org

Maryland Historical Society
201 West Monument Street
Baltimore, MD 21201
(410) 685-3750
www.mdhs.org

Maryland State Archives
350 Rowe Boulevard
Annapolis, MD 21401
(410) 260-6400
www.msa.md.gov

Massachusetts Historical Society
1154 Boylston Street
Boston, MA 02215
(617) 536-1608
www.masshist.org

New-York Historical Society
170 Central Park West
New York, NY 10024
(212) 873-3400
www.nyhistory.org

New York Public Library
Stephen A. Schwarzman Building
Fifth Ave at 42nd Street
New York, NY 10018-2788
(917) 275-6975
www.nypl.org

New York State Library
222 Madison Ave.
Empire State Plaza
Albany, NY 12230
(518) 474-5355
www.nysl.nysed.gov

State Library of Pennsylvania
Forum Building
Commonwealth Ave. and Walnut Street
Harrisburg, PA
(717) 783-5950

Mailing Address:
333 Market Street
Harrisburg, PA 17126-1745
www.statelibrary.state.pa.us

University of Delaware. Hugh M. Morris Library
181 S. College Ave.
Newark, DE 19717
(302) 831-2965
www.lib.udel.edu

University of Michigan. William L. Clements Library
909 S. University Ave.
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1190
(734) 764-2347
www.clements.umich.edu

Wisconsin Historical Society
816 State Street
Madison, WI 53706
(608) 264-6535
www.wisconsinhistory.org

Yale University. Beinecke Rare Book and Manuscript Library
121 Wall Street
New Haven, CT 06511
Mailing address:
PO Box 208240
New Haven, CT 06520-8240
(203) 432-2972
www.library.yale.edu/libraries/beinecke.html

Yale University. Sterling Library
120 High Street
New Haven, CT 06511
Mailing address:
PO Box 208240
New Haven, CT 06520-8240
(203) 432-2798
www.library.yale.edu/rsc/sml
## Useful Lists

### List of Those Who Argued Appeals

Lists the counsel who signed the printed cases and the short name, colony, and date of the cases that they signed. The date is the date of the first mention in the *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counsel</th>
<th>Case Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ambler, C(harles)</strong></td>
<td>Freebody v Brenton – Rhode Island – 1758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tabb v Edmundson – Virginia – 1760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cleeve v Mills – Virginia – 1763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Howlett v Osbourne – Virginia – 1763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freebody v Brenton – Rhode Island – 1766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Belcher, Jonathan</strong></td>
<td>Philips v Savage – Massachusetts – 1734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Brown(e), J</strong></td>
<td>Philips v Savage – Massachusetts – 1734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Burges v Hack – Virginia – 1736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coggeshall v Coggeshall – Rhode Island – 1738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Campbell, Fredk</strong></td>
<td>Stanton v Thompson – Rhode Island – 1755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clarke, C</strong></td>
<td>Rogers v Spalding – Virginia – 1740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comyn, Ste</strong></td>
<td>King v Lewis – Virginia – 1753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DeGrey, William (1st Baron Walsingham)</strong></td>
<td>Sherburne v Sherburne – New Hampshire – 1759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dering v Packer – New Hampshire – 1759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Burwell v Johnson – Virginia – 1759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rolfe v Proprietors of Bow – New Hampshire – 1762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rickards v Hudson – Virginia – 1762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parsons v Parsons – Virginia – 1763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fothergill v Stover – Pennsylvania – 1765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>McMurterie v Browne – Pennsylvania – 1765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Camm v Hansford – Virginia – 1765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Long v Harper – Pennsylvania – 1766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stead v Isaacs – Rhode Island – 1766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freebody v Brenton – Rhode Island – 1766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Holmes v Freebody – Rhode Island – 1766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corbin v Lomax – Virginia – 1766</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Jeffries v Donnell – Massachusetts – 1767

**Dunning, J(ohn) (1st Baron Ashburton)**
Stead v Isaacs – Rhode Island – 1766
Lewis v Wilkinson – Rhode Island – 1766
Holmes v Freebody – Rhode Island – 1766
Lee v Hunter – Virginia – 1771

**Evans, K**
McCarty v Turf – Virginia – 1752
Jones v Shields – Virginia – 1753

**Feilde, Paule**
Sherburne v Sherburne – New Hampshire – 1759

**Forrester, A(lexander)**
Potter v Freeborn – Rhode Island – 1745
Randolph v Wormley – Virginia – 1749
Rodman v Bannister – Rhode Island – 1751
King v Braxton – Virginia – 1753
Vassall v Fletcher – Massachusetts – 1753
Jones v Porter – Virginia – 1753
Merrill v Proprietors of the township of Bow – New Hampshire – 1754
Freebody v Cook – Rhode Island – 1754
Dunbar v Custis – Virginia – 1755
Stanton v Thompson – Rhode Island – 1755
Whipple v Bowen – Rhode Island – 1755
Isaacs v Merrett – Rhode Island – 1757
Freebody v Brenton – Rhode Island – 1758
Burwell v Johnson – Virginia – 1759
Dering v Packer – New Hampshire – 1759
Sherburne v Sherburne – New Hampshire – 1759
Edmundson v Tabb – Virginia – 1760
Larkin v York – Rhode Island – 1760
Rolfe v Proprietors of Bow – New Hampshire – 1762
Potter v Hazard – Rhode Island – 1762
Camm v Rectors, Visitors and Governors of William and Mary College – Virginia – 1762
Graham v Rectors, Visitors and Governors of William and Mary College – Virginia – 1762
Rickards v Hudson – Virginia – 1762
Cleeve v Mills – Virginia – 1763
Howlett v Osburne – Virginia – 1763
Parsons v Parsons – Virginia – 1763
Shearman v Cornell – Rhode Island – 1765
Lewis v Wilkinson – Rhode Island – 1766
Corbin v Lomax – Virginia – 1766
Jeffries v Donnell – Massachusetts – 1767

**Gardiner, J**
Poter v Hazard – Rhode Island – 1762

**Hay, G**
Sherburne v Sherburne – New Hampshire – 1759

**Henley, Robert (1st Earl of Northington)**
Degge v Kay – Virginia – 1751
Jones v Shields – Virginia – 1753
Jones v Porter – Virginia – 1753
Merrill v Proprietors of the township of Bow – New Hampshire – 1754
Dunbar v Custis – Virginia – 1755

**Hume-Campbell, A(lexander)**
MacSparran v Hassard – Rhode Island – 1736
Rous v Hassard – Rhode Island – 1745/6
Frisby v Baldwin – Maryland – 1748
Degge v Kay – Virginia – 1751
McCarty v Turf – Virginia – 1752
Jones v Shields – Virginia – 1753
King v Braxton – Virginia – 1753
Jones v Porter – Virginia – 1753
Vassall v Fletcher – Massachusetts – 1753
Merrill v Proprietors of the township of Bow – New Hampshire – 1754

**Jackson, R(ichard)**
McMurterie v Browne – Pennsylvania – 1765
Long v Harper – Pennsylvania – 1766
Lewis v Wilkinson – Rhode Island – 1766
Holmes v Freebody – Rhode Island – 1766
Freebody v Brenton – Rhode Island – 1766

**Murray, William (1st Earl of Mansfield)**
Boreland v Brenton – Rhode Island – 1732
MacSparran v Hassard – Rhode Island – 1736
Brown v Allen – Rhode Island – 1738
Coggeshall v Coggeshall – Rhode Island – 1738
Rogers v Spalding – Virginia – 1740
Hassard v Rous – Rhode Island – 1745/6
Rodman v Bannister – Rhode Island – 1751
Degge v Kay – Virginia – 1751
McCarty v Turf – Virginia – 1752
Jones v Shields – Virginia – 1753
King v Lewis – Virginia – 1753
Jones v Porter – Virginia – 1753
Vassall v Fletcher – Massachusetts – 1753
Merrill v Proprietors of the township of Bow – New Hampshire – 1754
Freebody v Cook – Rhode Island – 1754

Nares, G (Sir George?)
Stead v Isaacs – Rhode Island – 1766

Noel, W
Tasker v Simpson – Maryland – 1739
Hassard v Rous – Rhode Island – 1745/6

Norton, Fletcher (1st Baron Grantley)
Tabb v Edmundson – Virginia – 1760
Potter v Hazard – Rhode Island – 1762
Camm v Rectors, Visitors and Governors of William and Mary College – Virginia – 1762
Graham v Rectors, Visitors and Governors of William and Mary College – Virginia – 1762
Cleeve v Mills – Virginia – 1763
Howlett v Osburne – Virginia – 1763
Parsons v Parsons – Virginia – 1763
Camm v Hansford – Virginia – 1765
Fothergill v Stover – Pennsylvania – 1765
Stead v Isaacs – Rhode Island – 1766

Perrott, Geo(rge)
Randolph v Wormley – Virginia – 1749

Perryn, R(ichard)
McMurterie v Browne – Pennsylvania – 1765
Long v Harper – Pennsylvania – 1766

Pratt, Charles (1st Earl Camden)
Randolph v Wormley – Virginia – 1749
Lidderdale v Chiswell – Virginia – 1755
Dunbar v Custis – Virginia – 1755
Stanton v Thompson – Rhode Island – 1755
Isaacs v Merrett – Rhode Island – 1757
Trecothick v Wentworth – New Hampshire – 1758
Dering v Packer – New Hampshire – 1759
Burwell v Johnson – Virginia – 1759
Larkin v York – Rhode Island – 1760

Ryder, D(udley) (Sir)
Boreland v Brenton – Rhode Island – 1732
Philips v Savage – Massachusetts – 1734
MacSparran v Hassard – Rhode Island – 1736
Burges v Hack – Virginia – 1736
Brown v Allen – Rhode Island – 1738
Coggeshall v Coggeshall – Rhode Island – 1738
Tasker v Simpson – Maryland – 1739
Rogers v Spalding – Virginia – 1740
Hassard v Rous – Rhode Island – 1745/6
Frisby v Baldwin – Maryland – 1748
Degge v Kay – Virginia – 1751
McCarty v Turf – Virginia – 1752

Sewell, Tho(mas)
Freebody v Brenton – Rhode Island – 1758
Larkin v York – Rhode Island – 1760
Rickards v Hudson – Virginia – 1762

Strange, J(ohn) (Sir)
Philips v Savage – Massachusetts – 1734
Brown v Allen – Rhode Island – 1738
Coggeshall v Coggeshall – Rhode Island – 1738
Tasker v Simpson – Maryland – 1739
Rogers v Spalding – Virginia – 1740

Wedderburn, Al(expander) (1st Earl of Rosslyn)
Rolfe v Proprietors of Bow – New Hampshire – 1762
Corbin v Lomax – Virginia – 1766

Willis, E(dward)
Camm v Rectors, Visitors and Governors of William and Mary College – Virginia – 1762
Graham v Rectors, Visitors and Governors of William and Mary College – Virginia – 1762
Camm v Hansford – Virginia – 1765

Willis, J (Sir John)
Waldo v Fayreweather – Massachusetts – 1733

Wynne, William
Fothergill v Stover – Pennsylvania – 1765

Yorke, C(charles)
Vassall v Fletcher – Massachusetts – 1753
Stanton v Thompson – Rhode Island – 1755
Lidderdale v Chiswell – Virginia – 1755
Dunbar v Custis – Virginia – 1755
Freebody v Brenton – Rhode Island – 1758
Trecothick v Wentworth – New Hampshire – 1758
Sherburne v Sherburne – New Hampshire – 1759
Dering v Packer – New Hampshire – 1759
Burwell v Johnson – Virginia – 1759
Edmundson v Tabb – Virginia – 1760
Larkin v York – Rhode Island – 1760
Rolfe v Proprietors of Bow – New Hampshire – 1762
Potter v Hazard – Rhode Island – 1762
Camm v Rectors, Visitors and Governors of William and Mary College – Virginia – 1762
Graham v Rectors, Visitors and Governors of William and Mary College – Virginia – 1762
Rickards v Hudson – Virginia – 1762
Cleeve v Mills – Virginia – 1763
Howlett v Osburne – Virginia – 1763
Parsons v Parsons – Virginia – 1763
Camm v Hansford – Virginia – 1765
Fothergill v Stover – Pennsylvania – 1765
McMurterie v Browne – Pennsylvania – 1765
Shearman v Cornell – Rhode Island – 1765
Long v Harper – Pennsylvania – 1766
Lewis v Wilkinson – Rhode Island – 1766
Freebody v Brenton – Rhode Island – 1766
Holmes v Freebody – Rhode Island – 1766
Corbin v Lomax – Virginia – 1766
NOT ‘TRUE’ APPEALS

Useful Lists

Not ‘True’ Appeals

Lists the report number, short case name, and colony, of those cases that we have classified as not ‘true’ appeals.

01_1765_00 Appeal of Whittlesey (Connecticut)
04_1694_00 Appeal of Rawstone (Maryland)
05_1691_00 [In re The Salisbury] (Massachusetts)
05_1749_00 Liebenrood v Dennie (Massachusetts)
06_1700_00 Appeal of Allen (New Hampshire)
06_1753_00 Appeal of Walker (New Hampshire)
07_1712_00 Appeal of Crowley (New Jersey (East))
07_1716_00 Sonmans v Ormstone (New Jersey (East))
08_1681_02 Dyer v Winder (New York)
08_1692_00 Mauritz v Philips (New York)
08_1708_00 Appeal of Budge (New York)
08_1716_00 Gouverneur v DeBiemer (New York)
08_1717_01 Appeal of Summers (New York)
08_1717_02 Appeal of Smith (New York)
08_1735_00 Mosesson v Norris (New York)
08_1765_01 Appeal of Etherington (New York)
09_1729_00 Gale v Everard (North Carolina)
10_1720_00 Appeal of Clement (Pennsylvania)
11_1705_02 Appeal of Newton (Rhode Island)
11_1756_00 Brenton v Sylvester (Rhode Island)
13_1685_00 Plampin v Scarborrow (Virginia)
13_1696_00 Appeal of Bowtell (Virginia)
13_1709_00 D’Oyly v Harrison (Virginia)
13_1715_00 Beverly v Seldon (Virginia)
13_1747_01 Lillie v Tab (Virginia)
13_1749_01 Appeal of Ludwell (Virginia)
Useful Lists

Conversion Chart

Lists the differences in The National Archives (TNA) designations of the Privy Council’s register volumes and the designations given in the Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series. The left-hand column gives the current TNA reference. Where the current TNA description of the item differs from that in the APC, the former is given in italics following the latter. A few references are followed by notes.

PC 2  Volume 1 – 1613-1680
68  Charles II v. 15 (21 April 1679 – 29 May 1680)

PC 2  Volume 2 – 1680 – 1720
69  Charles II v. 16 (1 June 1680 – 31 May 1683)
70  Charles II v. 17 (13 June 1683 – 4 February 1685)
71  James II (6 February 1685 – 18 March 1687)
   James II v. 1 (6 February 1685 – 18 March 1687) – TNA
72  James II Part 2 (1 April 1687 – 16 December 1688)
   James II v. 2 (4 April 1687 – 16 December 1688) – TNA
73  William III v. 1 (14 February 1689 – 21 August 1690)
   William III v. 1 (14 February 1688/9 – 27 August 1690) – TNA
74  William III v. 2 (3 September 1690 – 29 September 1692)
75  William III v. 3 (1 October 1692 – 29 November 1694)
   William III v. 3 (1 October 1692 – 2 August 1694) – TNA
76  William III v. 4 (2 December 1694 – 23 April 1697)
77  William III v. 5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700)
   William III v. 5 (5 March 1697 – 29 March 1700) – TNA
78  William III v. 6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702)
   William III v. 6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1701) – TNA
79  Anne v. 1 (8 March 1702 – 18 January 1704)
   Anne v. 1 (8 March 1701 – 18 January 1703)
80  Anne v. 2 (20 January 1704 – 29 September 1705)
   Anne v. 2 (29 January 1703 – 29 September 1705) – TNA
81  Anne v. 3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1708)
   Anne v. 3 (11 October 1705 – 29 February 1707) – TNA
82  Anne v. 4 (5 March 1708 – 31 May 1710)
   Anne v. 4 (5 March 1707 – 2 May 1710) – TNA
83  Anne v. 5 (2 June 1710 – 28 July 1712)
   Anne v. 5 (10 June 1710 – 28 July 1712) – TNA
84  Anne v. 6 (18 August 1712 – 31 July 1714)
85  George I v. 1 (1 August 1714 – 2 March 1717)
   George I v. 1 (1 August 1714 – 2 March 1716) – TNA
CONVERSION CHART

86 George I v. 2 (2 March 1717 – 25 August 1720)
  George I v. 2 (2 March 1716 – 13 September 1720) – TNA
87 George I v. 3 (25 August 1720 – 31 December 1720) – APC v.2
  George I v. 3 (25 August 1720 – 31 May 1722) – APC v.3
  George I v. 3 (15 September 1720 – 31 May 1722) – TNA
George I v. 3 appears in APC volume 2 and in APC volume 3, although only Barbados matters are included in volume 2. The 31 May 1722 date has been used for all George I v. 3 cases.

PC 2

Volume 3 – 1720-1745
87 George I v. 3 (25 August 1720 – 31 May 1722)
  George I v. 3 (15 September 1720 – 31 May 1722) – TNA
88 George I v. 4 (1 June 1722 – 25 August 1724)
89 George I v. 5 (September 1724 – May 1727)
  George I v. 5 (1 September 1724 – 31 May 1727) – TNA
90 George II v. 1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729)
91 George II v. 2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732)
92 George II v. 3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734)
  George II v. 3 (1 July 1732 – 23 July 1734) – TNA
93 George II v. 4 (1 August 1734 – 23 September 1736)
94 George II v. 5 (1 October 1736 – 4 September 1738)
95 George II v. 6 (1 October 1738 – 27 March 1740)
96 George II v. 7 (1 April 1740 – 7 August 1741)
97 George II v. 8 (22 October 1741 – 29 September 1743)
  George II v. 8 (1 October 1741 – 29 September 1743)
98 George II v. 9 (1 October 1743 – 27 February 1745)
  George II v. 9 (4 October 1743 – 27 February 1744)

PC 2

Volume 4 – 1745-1766
99 George II v. 10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746)
  George II v. 10 (1 March 1744 – 23 July 1746) – TNA
100 George II v. 11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748)
  George II v. 11 (1 August 1746 – 26 April 1748) – TNA
101 George II v. 12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750)
  George II v. 12 (1 May 1748 – 29 April 1750) – TNA
This volume does extend through 29 April.
102 George II v. 13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752)
  George II v. 13 (1 May 1750 – 20 March 1752) – TNA
103 George II v. 14 (1 April 1752 – 31 December 1753)
  George II v. 14 (1 April 1752 – 26 December 1753) – TNA
104 George II v. 15 (1 January 1754 – 31 December 1755)
105 George II v. 16 (1 January 1756 – 31 December 1757)
  George II v. 16 (1 January 1756 – 28 December 1757) – TNA
106 George II v. 17 (1 January 1758 – 21 May 1759)
  George II v. 17 (5 January 1758 – 21 May 1759) – TNA
USEFUL LISTS

107 George II v. 18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760)
George II v. 18 (2 May 1759 – 6 October 1760) – TNA
The bound volume for George II v. 18 is labeled 24 May and begins with entries for 24 May. It does not overlap with v. 17, as the APC and TNA dates indicate.

108 George III v. 1 (25 October 1760 – 31 December 1761)

109 George III v. 2 (1 January 1762 – 30 April 1763)
George III v. 2 (2 January 1762 – 29 April 1763) – TNA

110 George III v. 3 (1 May 1763 – 30 September 1764)
George III v. 3 (May 1763 – October 1764) – TNA

111 George III v. 4 (1 October 1764 – 27 August 1766)
George III v. 4 (1 October 1764 – August 1766) – TNA

PC 2 Volume 5 – 1766-1783

112 George III v. 5 (August 1766 – January 1768)
This volume actually only extends to the beginning of January 1768.

113 George III v. 6 (January 1768 – April 1769)
George III v. 6 (1 January 1768 – 27 April 1769) – TNA

114 George III v. 7 (May 1769 – December 1770)
George III v. 7 (May 1769 – 1 December 1770) – TNA

115 George III v. 8 (January – December 1771)
George III v. 8 (1 January – 31 December 1771) – TNA
There is no specific reference to a George III v. 9 in the APC.

116 George III v. 10 (January – December 1772)
George III v. 9 (1 January – December 1772) – TNA
The APC mistakenly describes both January – December 1772 and January 1773 – April 1774 as George III v.10.

117 George III v. 10 (January 1773 – April 1774)
George III v. 10 (1 January 1773 – 30 April 1774) – TNA

118 George III v. 11 (May 1774 – May 1775)
George III v. 11 (May 1774 – 31 May 1775) – TNA

119 George III v. 12 (June 1775 – May 1776)
George III v. 12 (1 June 1775 – 31 May 1776) – TNA

120 George III v. 13 (June 1776 – May 1777)
George III v. 13 (1 June 1776 – 31 May 1777) – TNA

121 George III v. 14 (June 1777 – March 1778)
George III v. 14 (1 June 1777 – 31 March 1778) – TNA

122 George III v. 15 (April – September 1778)
George III v. 15 (1 April – 30 September 1778) – TNA

123 George III v. 16 (October 1778 – June 1779)
George III v. 16 (1 October 1778 – 30 June 1779) – TNA

124 George III v. 17 (July 1779 – February 1780)
George III v. 17 (1 July 1779 – 29 February 1780) – TNA

125 George III v. 18 (March – December 1780)
CONVERSION CHART

George III v. 18 (1 March – 31 December 1780) – TNA

126 George III v. 19 (January – September 1781)
  George III v. 19 (1 January – 30 September 1781) – TNA

127 George III v. 20 (October 1781 – September 1782)
  George III v. 20 (1 October 1781 – 30 September 1782) – TNA

128 George III v. 21 (October 1782 – December 1783)
  George III v. 21 (1 October 1782 – 31 December 1783) – TNA
Useful Lists

Canadian Appeals

This preliminary list of Canadian appeals was constructed from index entries in the Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series (APC). Additional research in the Privy Council registers, in genealogical records and on matters of procedure will be needed to further clarify the case names and establish their accuracy.

A search has not been made for surviving printed cases from Canada. However, cites by Joseph Smith in Appeals to the Privy Council from the American Plantations to copies that he was aware of are noted here, as is one printed case at the Diamond Law Library at Columbia University.

Privy Council documents indexed in volume 6 of the APC are noted here, but their presence at The National Archives at Kew has not been verified.

NEWFOUNDLAND

Lawrence Hearn v Henry Gapper and James Young, executors of James Webb

Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.120, 169, 399, 401, 468 (PC 2/109)
Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.176–177, 193 (PC 2/110)
Smith TOC (Hearn v Gapper and Young)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee report – 15 Dec. 1763 (APC v.6 [579] p.359)

Hezekiah Thurman v Hugh Palliser

APC v.4 [582] p.694 (12 Dec. 1764 – 27 April 1770)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.331, 357 (PC 2/114)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee report – 6 April 1770 (APC v.6 [840] p.484)

Edmund Shannahan v Alexander Dunn

APC v.5 [117] p.198 (26 May 1769 – 27 April 1770)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.30, 47, 329, 358 (PC 2/114)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee report – 6 April 1770 (APC v.6 [840] p.484)
NOVA SCOTIA

John Mascall et al v John Scaife
APC v.4 [350] p.375 (29 March 1758 – 16 Jan. 1761)
Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.70 (PC 2/106)

John Grant v Henry Newton
APC v.4 [418] p.447 (4 March 1760 – 28 Jan. 1761)
Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.278, 291, 295, 307, 311 (PC 2/107)
Smith TOC (Grant v Newton)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of the appellant – British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.82 (cited by Joseph Smith in Appeals to the Privy Council from the American Plantations)

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee report – 20 Jan. 1761 (APC v.6 [518] p.332)

James Major, James Le Roy, and Nicholas Le Mesurier for James and John Le Roy v Henry Newton and Thomas Burnet
APC v.4 [482] p.540–543 (2 June 1762 – 16 March 1763)
Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.250, 446, 523–526, 535 (PC 2/109)

Nicholas Enouf v Henry Newton and Thomas Haywood
APC v.4 [610] p.724–725 (21 June 1765)

Isaac Seguin (revived by Ann Seguin) v William Nesbitt, Henry Newton, Thomas Hayward and Henry Hervey
APC v.5 [57] p.123 (1 Feb. 1768 – 9 Feb. 1770)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.50 (PC 2/113)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.223, 228 (PC 2/114)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee report – 30 Jan. 1770 (APC v.6 [828] p.473)

John Wilson v William Nesbitt and Thomas Francis
APC v.5 [114] p.194 (3 May 1769 – 5 Jan. 1770)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.12, 83,181, 200 (PC 2/114)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of the appellant – Diamond Law Library. Columbia University

Henry Lynch v Henry Mowat
USEFUL LISTS

APC v.5 [360] p.457–458 (15 April 1778 – 18 May 1781)
Register – George III v.15 (April 1778 – Sept. 1778) p.31 (PC 2/122)
Register – George III v.17 (July 1779 – Feb. 1780) p.542, 570 (PC 2/124)
Register – George III v.18 (March 1780 – Dec. 1780) p.54, 460 (PC 2/125)
Smith TOC (Lynch v Mowat; Lynch v Binney and Mowat)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee report (Lynch v Binney and Mowat) – 12 May 1781 (APC v.6 [1010] p.589)

William Lovegrove v Jonathan Binney v Thomas Peart
APC v.5 [399] p.506 (6 April 1781 – 30 April 1783)
Smith TOC (Lovegrove v Binney)

QUEBEC

William Johnstone v Sieur Houdin
APC v.4 [506] p.559 (16 March 1763 – 15 Dec. 1763)
Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.536 (PC 2/109)
Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.184 (PC 2/110)
Smith TOC (Johnstone v Houdin)

Nicholas Enouf v George Suckling at the instance of Thomas Ainsley
APC v.4 [610] p.724 (29 May 1765)

John Ord, Jacob Rowe and William James v Anthony Peter Houdin
APC v.5 [39] p.110 (26 June 1767 – 24 July 1767)

Gabriel Christie v Francis Noble Knipe and John Le Quesne

Gabriel Christie v Francis Noble Knipe
APC v.5 [55] p.121–122 (23 Dec. 1767 – 13 June 1768)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.3, 183–191, 203 (PC 2/113)
Smith TOC (Christie v Knipe and Le Quesne)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed cases
Case of the appellant and respondents – British Library – Add Mss 36220 f.155
(cited by Joseph Smith in Appeals to the Privy Council from the American Plantations)

Eleazer Levy v Ralph Burton (revived by Robert Burton), Daniel Disney,
Daniel Robertson and William Jones
APC v.5 [69] p.142–143 (11 May 1768 – 19 Oct. 1774)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.177 (PC 2/113)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.183 (PC 2/114)
Register – George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.228 (PC 2/118)
Smith TOC (Levy v Burton; Levy v Robertson)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of the appellant (Levy v Robertson) – British Library – Add Mss 15491 f.16
(cited by Joseph Smith in Appeals to the Privy Council from the American Plantations)

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee report – 20 July 1771 (APC v.6 [868] p.504–505)

Conrad Gugy v Francois le Maitre Dueme
Ursuline nuns v Francois le Maitre Dueme
APC v.5 [403] p.511 (27 April 1781 – 23 July 1783)

Adam Lymburner v John Melvin and Meredith Wills
APC v.5 [432] p.527 (3 March 1783)

William Taylor and George Forsyth v James Monk
APC v.5 [451] p.547 (19 Dec. 1783)
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Caribbean Appeals

This preliminary list includes appeals from Antigua, Bahamas, Barbados, Bermuda, Dominica, East Florida, Grenada, Guiana, Jamaica, Leeward Islands, Montserrat, Nevis, St. Christopher, St. Vincent, Tobago, Tortola, West Florida. It was constructed from index entries in the *Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series (APC)*, beginning with the year 1674 and ending with 1783. The focus is on appeals or petitions for leave to appeal with a definite lower court decision. A list by colony and section number of matters indexed as appeals but for which no lower court decision is apparent is provided for each volume of the *APC*. A smattering of disputes, not indexed as appeals (and so noted in this list), are included if the *APC* abstract uses appeals language. Prize cases are not included if the matter was referred to the Committee for Hearing Appeals on Prize. Appeals are listed according to the location in the margin note unless otherwise explained.

The spelling of the names of parties is accepted as presented in the *APC* abstracts. Appeals with John Doe or Richard Roe as named parties are treated as if those parties were individuals. Significant doubt about the identity of the respondent(s) results in the designation ‘X, appeal of’ as the name of the case. Given the abbreviated nature of the abstracts, additional research in the Privy Council registers, in genealogical records, and on matters of procedure will be needed to clarify the case names throughout and establish their accuracy.

If the *APC* only uses wording such as “petition of John Jones referred,” the action was not assumed to be an appeal. However, brief checks were made in Joseph Smith’s *Appeals to the Privy Council from the American Plantations*, the Privy Council’s registers, and the *Calendar of State Papers, Colonial (CSP)* for some items and judgments with regard to inclusion made accordingly.

Printed cases are noted for three collections only: the Hardwicke Papers at the British Library, the Sir George Lee collection at the Law Library of Congress, and the William Samuel Johnson collection at the Diamond Law Library at Columbia University. Further searching has not been undertaken.

- The Hardwicke cases in the British Library appear here in the order in which they appear in the bound volumes of Additional Manuscripts. Call numbers for Hardwicke materials indicate the first page on which the printed cases begin. These cases have been transcribed for the Division of Manuscripts at the Library of Congress.
- The Lee collection at the Law Library of Congress may also contain printed cases related to James Barclay for which detailed information was not available to this compiler and thus are not listed here.
- Location of material in the Diamond Law Library at Columbia University is as follows: Treasure – Johnson 1770m and 2nd Fl. Microfilm Cabinet.

The name of the case is added to the entry for the repository only if needed for clarification or if the spelling of the names of the parties significantly differs.

Privy Council documents catalogued as PC 1 at The National Archives (TNA) at Kew are listed for each appeal for which an entry is indexed under the name of the colony in the *APC*,...
volume 6, as either ‘appeals’ or ‘petitions and complaints’, the latter only if they appear to relate to a later appeal. A page-by-page study of volume 6 might reveal more documents. PC 1 documents can then be located by date in the *Anglo-American Legal Tradition* website. Additional PC 1 documents discovered after the creation of the APC should be located and added using the TNA catalogue.

---

**APPEALS BY COLONY – Volume 1**

Appeals are not indexed as such in volume 1 of the APC. Smith regards the earliest issues brought from the colonies to be ‘petitions in the nature of an appeal’ rather than true appeals. See his discussion of some of these matters beginning on p.66 of his treatise. A more complete enumeration of early actions in volume 1 may be warranted. However, Smith regards Rodney v Cole from Nevis as the first genuine appeal.

**JAMAICA**

**Francis Mingham v T. Martin**

APC v.1 [1308] p.864 (10 Oct. 1679)

Register – Charles II v.15 (21 April 1679 – 29 May 1680) p.225 (PC 2/68)

Smith TOC (Mingham v Martin)

Note: See also the entry for Mingham v Martin in the APC v.2 [6]

**NEVIS**

**Capt. John Rodney (Rodeney) v Thomas Cole**

APC v.1 [976], [983], [985] p. 597, 599, 601 (2 Jan. 1674 – 20 March 1674)

Register – Charles II v.11 (11 April 1673 – 30 Sept. 1675) p.158, 165, 188, 194 (PC 2/64)

CSP III no. 1207

Smith TOC (Rodney v Cole)

---

**APPEALS BY COLONY – Volume 2**

**ANTIGUA**

**Capt. George St. Lowe (Loe) v John Kirwan**

APC v.2 [221] p.97–98 (8 April 1687 – 16 July 1687)

Register – James II Part II (1 April 1687 – 16 Dec. 1688) p.433, 453, 458, 468, 476, 481 (PC 2/72)

CSP VII no. 1303, 1313, 1350

Smith TOC (St. Loe v Kirwan)

Note: Not indexed as an appeal

**John Lucas, appeal of**

APC v.2 [741] p.331 (18 May 1699)

Register – William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.334 (PC 2/77)

CSP XII no. 405

Smith TOC (Lucas v Rex)

**William Cockrane v Capt. Garret Powell**
USEFUL LISTS

APC v.2 [751] p.337 (29 June 1699 – 3 Aug. 1699)
Register – William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.353, 355, 362 (PC 2/77)
Smith TOC (Cockrane v Powell)

Anthony Monteyro, appeal of
APC v.2 [838] p.377 (16 Sept. 1701)
Register – William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 Feb. 1702) p.257 (PC 2/78)
Smith TOC (In re The Experience and Susanna)
Note: Not indexed as an appeal

Samuel Barron (Barons), appeal of
APC v.2 [1057] p.548 (1 April 1708 – 19 May 1709)
Register – Anne v.4 (5 March 1708 – 31 May 1710) p.48, 123–124, 312, 317 (PC 2/82)
Smith TOC (Elliot v Perne)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Depositions – 21 May 1708 and 15 June 1708 (APC v.6 [190] p.68–69)

John and Anne French, appeal of
APC v.2 [1189] p.674 (20 July 1713)
Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.213 (PC 2/84)

William Codrington v Col. Michael Lambert
APC v.2 [1197] p.676 (10 Jan. 1714 – 28 Feb. 1714)
Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.302, 340, 344 (PC 2/84)

Valentine and Elizabeth Morris v Thomas and Elizabeth Williams, Edward Byam and John Fry
Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.362 (PC 2/84)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.222, 230 (PC 2/85)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 11 May 1715 (APC v.6 [257] p.104)
Note: PC 1 document is labeled ‘Barbados’.

Caesar Rodney v Edward Chester
Daniel and Elizabeth Smith v Maine Sweet
Register – George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 Aug. 1720) p.35, 124, 129 (PC 2/86)
Note: Also indexed under Leeward Islands and Nevis

William Franklyn (later Ann Franklyn) v Stephen Buraston (Borraston)
APC v.2 [1295] p.741–743 (14 May 1718 – 28 March 1727)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.118, 306, 327, 340 (PC 2/89)
Smith TOC (Franklyn v Buraston)

Edward Chester v Royal African Company
APC v.2 [1305] p.749–750 (6 Nov. 1718 – 24 March 1721)
Register – George I v.3 (25 Aug. 1720 – 31 May 1722) p.171 (PC 2/87)
Smith TOC (Chester v Royal African Co.)

Benjamin Eversden and John Wright v Henry Douglas, Nathaniel Crump et al
APC v.2 [1306] p.751 (21 Dec. 1718 – 28 March 1720)
Smith TOC (Eversden v Douglass)

Daniel Mackinnen and William Kennedy v Thomas de Witt, John de Witt, and Mary de Witt
Register – George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 Aug. 1720) p.307, 381, 386 (PC 2/86)
Smith TOC (Mackinnen v DeWitt)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Petition of appeal and Order of Reference – 25 Aug. 1718/9 (APC v.6 [280] p.119)
Committee Report – 25 Feb. 1720 (APC v.6 [293] p.121)

Elinor Tankard v Archibald Cockran and Baptist Looby
APC v.2 [1340] p.785 (26 July 1720 – 14 Dec. 1720)
Register – George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 Aug. 1720) p.454 (PC 2/86)
Register – George I v.3 (25 Aug. 1720 – 31 May 1722) p.76, 81 (PC 2/87)
BARBADOS

Samuel Hanson, appeal of
APC v.2 [69] p.31 (12 April 1682 – 7 Nov. 1683)
Register – Charles II v. 16 (1 June 1680 – 31 May 1683) p.486, 621, 673 (PC 2/69)
Register – Charles II v. 17 (13 June 1683 – 4 Feb. 1685) p.21, 27, 51, 61–62, 65, 70, 75, 81, 94, 99 (PC 2/70)
CSP VI – no. 469, 915, 1040, 1334, 1368, 1384, 1391, 1407, 1479, 1500, 1667
Smith TOC (Hanson v Rex)
Note: Smith provides extensive coverage with more citations to material in the CSP and in the register, plus reference to TNA documents.

Capt. Richard Young and Samuel Hanson, petition of
Register – Charles II v. 17 (13 June 1683 – 4 Feb. 1685) p.293 (PC 2/70)
Register – James II Part I (6 Feb. 1685 – 18 March 1687) p.146 (PC 2/71)
Smith TOC (Young and Hanson, Petition of)

Sir John Wytham (Witham), appeal of
APC v.2 [180] p.80–81 (27 March 1685 – 18 May 1688)
CSP VI no. 1890, 2023
CSP VII no. 94, 95, 439
Smith TOC (Witham v Rex) (more extensive coverage)
Note: Not indexed as an appeal

John Goldingham and Ralph Lane, appeal of
APC v.2 [202] p.90 (11 Dec. 1685–6 – 12 March 1686)
CSP VII no. 494, 595
Smith TOC (Goldingham and Lane v Rex)

Dame Ayliffe Raynsford and William and Diana Stokes v Henry Gorges
APC v.2 [208] p.92 (12 May 1686 – 2 Dec. 1687)
CSP VII no. 669, 698, 1546
Smith TOC (Raynsford and Stokes v Gorges)

Richard Scott, appeal of
APC v.2 [217] p.94 (21 Jan. 1686/7 – 4 May 1688)
CSP VII no. 1108, 1564, 1739
Smith TOC (Scott v Dyer)
Sir Timothy Thornhill, appeal of
APC v.2 [218] p.95 (11 Feb. 1687 – 25 March 1688)
(FC 2/71)
CSP VII no. 398, 1563, 1652
Smith TOC (Thornhill v Rex)
Note: Note indexed as an appeal

Richard Knights v John Hallett
APC v.2 [260] p.109–110 (11 May 1688)
Register – James II Part II (1 April 1687 – 16 Dec. 1688) p.666 (FC 2/72)
Smith TOC (Knight v Hallett)
Note: Possibly related to APC v.2 [900]

Sir John Witham v John Gray
APC v.2 [265] p.113 (6 July 1688 – 21 Jan. 1692)
Register – James II Part II (1 April 1687 – 16 Dec. 1688) p.705 (FC 2/72)
Register – William III v.2 (3 Sept. 1690 – 29 Sept. 1692) p.313 (FC 2/74)
CSP VII no. 2180
CSP VIII no. 2020
Smith TOC (Witham v Gray)

Ralph Lane, appeal of
Register – William III v.1 (14 Feb. 1689 – 21 Aug. 1690) p.177, 243 (FC 2/73)
Register – William III v.3 (1 Oct. 1692 – 29 Nov. 1694) p.50, 295 (FC 2/75)
Register – William III v.4 (2 Dec. 1694 – 23 April 1697) p.179 (FC 2/76)
CSP VIII no. 244, 440
CSP IX no. 726
Smith TOC (Lane, Ralph, appeal of)

Col. John Hallet, appeal of
APC v.2 [506] p.252 (18 May 1693 – 19 Dec. 1695)
Register – William III v.3 (1 Oct. 1692 – 29 Nov. 1694) p.156, 247 (FC 2/75)
Register – William III v.4 (2 Dec. 1694 – 23 April 1697) p.237 (FC 2/76)
CSP IX no. 351, 425, 2176
Smith TOC (Hallett v Rex)

Richard Holder and Wylde Clarke v Charles Coates
Register – William III v.4 (2 Dec. 1694 – 23 April 1697) p.92, 241, 532, 573 (FC 2/76)
CSP IX no. 1721, 2208
Smith TOC (Holder v Coates)

William Sharpe, appeal of
APC v.2 [595] p.288 (20 June 1695 – 1 Aug. 1695)
Register – William III v.4 (2 Dec. 1694 – 23 April 1697) p.147, 164, 168 (FC
CSP IX no. 1984
Smith TOC (Sharpe v Dun)

Richard Bate, Isaac Hawkins, John Burrow and Christopher Prissick, executors of Barbara Newton, appeal of
APC v.2 [599] p.289 (11 July 1695 – 29 Aug. 1695)
CSP IX no. 1954
Smith TOC (Bate v Gibbs)

George Peers v Jonathan Amory (In re The Turtle)
APC v.2 [747] p.333–334 (31 May 1699 – 11 September 1701)
Register – William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.345 (PC 2/77)
William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 February 1702) p.57, 123, 125, 233, 256 (PC 2/78)
Smith TOC (Peers v Amory; In re The Turtle)
Note: Margin note is ‘Carolina’.

Robert Chaplain (Chaplin) v Capt. Alexander Cunningham
APC v.2 [749] p.334–336 (22 June 1699 – 5 Nov. 1700)
Register – William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.349 (PC 2/77)
Register – William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 Feb. 1702) p.56, 93–94, 97 (PC 2/78)
CSP XII no. 544

James and Elizabeth Cowes v William Sharpe
Register – Anne v.2 (20 Jan. 1704 – 29 Sept. 1705) p.61, 77, 90, 173, 207, 219 (PC 2/80)
Smith TOC (Cowes v Sharpe)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 6 Dec. 1704 (APC v.6 [125] p.31)

Thomas Symcocks, appeal of
Register – William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 Feb. 1702) p.249, 256, 395 (PC 2/78)
Smith TOC (In re The Rebecca)

John and Katherine Farmer v Elizabeth Bond, John Hallett, John Eginton, and Francis Bond
Register – William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 Feb. 1702) p.286, 308 (PC 2/78)
Anne Baylie v John and Margaret Holder, William Baylie, Mathias and Thomasin Lake, Nicholas Prideaux, and William Carter
APC v.2 [848] p.382 (1 Jan. 1702 – 29 Jan. 1702)
Register – William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 Feb. 1702) p.291, 319 (PC 2/78)

William Battyn, appeal of
APC v.2 [883] p.417 (6 Aug. 1702 – 4 March 1703)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Appeal and Order of reference of 6 Aug. – 5 Aug. 1702 (APC v.6 [67] p.14)
Committee report and draft of Order of 4 March – 3 March 1703 (APC v.6 [106] p.23)

Richard Knights v John Hallett
APC v.2 [900] p.438 (24 April 1703 – 17 May 1703)
Note: Possibly related to APC v.2 [260]

Richard Worsham v Henry Applethwaite
APC v.2 [928] p.464 (30 March 1704 – 19 Dec. 1704)
Register – Anne v.2 (20 Jan. 1704 – 29 Sept. 1705) p.93, 119, 123, 229 (PC 2/80)
Smith TOC (Worsham v Applethwaite)

Tobias Frere v William and Elenor Springham

Elizabeth Burgess and Robert Wright v William Ross (alias Bartholomew Rees)
APC v.2 [969] p.486–487 (26 April 1705 – 5 Feb. 1707)
Register – Anne v.3 (11 Oct. 1705 – 29 Feb. 1708) p.261, 284, 296 (PC 2/81)
Smith TOC (Wright v Ross)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Petition, Order of reference and Committee report of 13 Dec. – 14 Nov. 1706 (APC v.6 [154] p.49)
Committee Report – 8 Jan. 1707 (APC v.6 [160] p.50)

George Lillington, appeal of
Register – Anne v.3 (11 Oct. 1705 – 29 Feb. 1708) p.5, 8, 17–18, 33, 75–76, 254, 283, 298, 312 (PC 2/81)
Smith TOC (Lillington v Regina)
Note: See also APC v.2 [1088].
DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Petition and Heads of complaint; Board of Trade representation; further petition;
Order of 29 Nov. – 11 Oct. 1705 (APC v.6 [137] p.39–40)

Petition and Order in Council – 21 Oct. 1706 (APC v.6 [153] p.49)

Committee report, Lillington’s petition, affidavits and abstract of proceedings – 8 Jan. 1707 (APC v.6 [158] p.49–50)

Joseph and Mary Mason v George and Mary Brice
APC v.2 [979] p.495 (29 Nov. 1705 – 18 Jan. 1706)
Register – Anne v.3 (11 Oct. 1705 – 29 Feb. 1708) p.21, 73, 83 (PC 2/81)
Smith TOC (Mason v Brice)

Edward Chilton, appeal of
APC v.2 [981] p.496 (5 Dec. 1705 – 5 Feb. 1707)
Register – Anne v.3 (11 Oct. 1705 – 29 Feb. 1708) p.27, 30, 34, 253, 283, 297 (PC 2/81)
Smith TOC (Chilton v Regina)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Petition – 5 Dec. 1705 (APC v.6 [138] p.40)

Committee report with Chilton’s petition of 4 Jan. – 8 Jan. 1707 (APC v. [159] p.50)

Richard and Martha Holt and Mary Croft v Edward and Mary Burke
Register – Anne v.3 (11 Oct. 1705 – 29 Feb. 1708) p.58, 73, 83 (PC 2/81)
Smith TOC (Holt v Burke)

Thomas Hodges, appeal of
APC v.2 [1010] p.511 (14 Nov. 1706/7)
Register – Anne v.3 (11 Oct. 1705 – 29 Feb. 1708) p.259 (PC 2/81)

Mitford Crowe v Ann Ramsey and Rebecca Butler
APC v.2 [1013] p.511–512 (2 Jan. 1707 – 17 April 1707)
Register – Anne v.3 (11 Oct. 1705 – 29 Feb. 1708) p.282, 284, 337, 348 (PC 2/81)
Smith TOC (Crow v Ramsey)

Note: See possible relation to APC v.2 [1073], [1080], and [1154].

George Lyte, appeal of
APC v.2 [1014] p.512 (2 Jan. 1707 – 20 March 1707)
Register – Anne v.3 (11 Oct. 1705 – 29 Feb. 1708) p.282, 316, 323 (PC 2/81)
Smith TOC (Lyte v Regina)

John Egginton v Middleton and Dorothy Chamberlain, John and Elizabeth Nanfan
APC v.2 [1025] p.518 (20 May 1707 – 12 June 1707)
Register – Anne v.3 (11 Oct. 1705 – 29 Feb. 1708) p.364, 379, 380, 382 (PC 2/81)

Smith TOC (Egginton v Chamberlain)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Committee Report – 11 June 1707 (APC v.6 [174] p.56)

**William Copp v William and Eleanor Rayner**

APC v.2 [1064] p.559–560 (20 May 1708 – 11 July 1708)

Register – Anne v.4 (5 March 1708 – 31 May 1710) p.96, 101, 124, 134–135 (PC 2/82)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Petition, Order of reference and Committee report of 30 June – 20 May 1708 (APC v.6 [189] p.68)

**Norman Mackaskell v John Robinson and Rebecca Butler**

APC v.2 [1073] p.571 (17 Oct. 1708 – 31 March 1709)

Register – Anne v.4 (5 March 1708 – 31 May 1710) p.174, 177, 221, 276, 287 (PC 2/82)

Smith TOC (Mackaskell v Robinson)

Note: See possible relation to APC v.2 [1013], [1080], and [1154].

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Petition and Order of reference of 17 Oct. – 11 Oct. 1708 (APC v.6 [204] p.81)

Committee report – 5 March 1709 (APC v.6 [218] p.93)

Robinson’s petition – 14 March 1709 (APC v.6 [218] p.93)

**Manuel Manasses and Butler Gilligan v Mitford and Oriana Crowe** (multiple appeals)

APC v.2 [1080] p.593–596 (27 Jan. 1709 – 31 March 1718)

Register – Anne v.4 (5 March 1708 – 31 May 1710) p.248, 262, 277, 288, 305, 314, 324, 368 (PC 2/82)


Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.109, 236, 251–252, 267 (PC 2/84)

Register – George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 Aug. 1720) p.104, 125, 128 (PC 2/86)

Smith TOC (Gilligan v Crowe)

Note: See possible relation to APC v.2 [1013], [1073], and [1154].

**Arthur Upton v Alexander Skene**

APC v.2 [1082] p.596–597 (31 March 1709 – 22 Nov. 1715)

Register – Anne v.4 (5 March 1708 – 31 May 1710) p.297, 308–310, 565 (PC 2/82)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.312 (PC 2/85)

**William Arnoll, Elizabeth Arnoll, and Matthew Gray, appeal of**

APC v.2 [1084] p.598–599 (16 April 1709 – 31 July 1710)

Register – Anne v.4 (5 March 1708 – 31 May 1710) p.305, 314, 319, 456 (PC 2/82)

Register – Anne v.5 (2 June 1710 – 29 July 1712) p.22, 45 (PC 2/83)

Smith TOC (Arnoll v Harris) (Arnoll v Regina)

**George Lillington, appeal of**


Register – Anne v.4 (5 March 1708 – 31 May 1710) p.321, 345–346, 394, 405, 418, 494, 517 (PC 2/82)

Smith TOC (Lillington v Regina)

Note: Not indexed as an appeal but see APC v.2 [976]. Spelling of the name is most likely Lillington.

**John and Elizabeth Colleton v Thomas Prideaux**

APC v.2 [1096] p.605 (9 June 1709 – 5 Jan. 1710)

Register – Anne v.4 (5 March 1708 – 31 May 1710) p.335, 493, 502 (PC 2/82)

**Othniel and Mary Haggatt v Joseph Alford and Daniel Alford**


Register – Anne v.4 (5 March 1708 – 31 May 1710) p.455, 487, 490 (PC 2/82)

Smith TOC (Haggatt v Alford)

**Arthur and Susanna Slingsby, appeal of**


Register – Anne v.4 (5 March 1708 – 31 May 1710) p.507 (PC 2/82)

Register – Anne v.5 (2 June 1710 – 28 July 1712) p.23, 46 (PC 2/83)

Smith TOC (Slingsby v Regina)

**Edmund Sutton v Margaret Sutton**

APC v.2 [1128] p.628 (28 Nov. 1710 – 8 March 1712)

Register – Anne v.5 (2 June 1710 – 28 July 1712) p.159, 166, 380, 386 (PC 2/83)

Smith TOC (Sutton v Sutton)

**Richard and Margaret Husbands v Middleton Chamberlain**

APC v.2 [1129] p.628–629 (30 Nov. 1710 – 24 Nov. 1712)

Register – Anne v.5 (2 June 1710 – 28 July 1712) p.247, 331 (PC 2/83)

Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.9, 27, 39, 50 (PC 2/84)

Smith TOC (Husbands v Chamberlain)

**Jane Lyte, John Mills and John Mills Jr. v Constance Lyte (Shatterden)**


Register – Anne v.5 (2 June 1710 – 28 July 1712) p.189, 367, 376 (PC 2/83)

Smith TOC (Lyte v Lyte)

**Mitford and Oriana Crow v Mrs. Butler Chamberlain**

APC v.2 [1154] p.657 (17 Sept. 1711 – 10 Jan. 1712)
Register – Anne v.5 (2 June 1710 – 28 July 1712) p.315, 368 (PC 2/83)
Note: See possible relation to APC v.2 [1013], [1073], and [1180].

**Edward and Martha Denny v William Cleland**

APC v.2 [1157] p.658 (10 Jan. 1712 – 22 Nov. 1715)
Register – Anne v.5 (2 June 1710 – 28 July 1712) p.362 (PC 2/83)
Register – Anne v. 6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.320, 336 (PC 2/84)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.312 (PC 2/85)
Smith TOC (Denny v Cleland)

**Robert Stewart and Hugh Agnew v William and Alice Davies**

APC v.2 [1159] p.659 (26 Jan. 1712 – 15 April 1713)
Register – Anne v.5 (2 June 1710 – 18 July 1712) p.371 (PC 2/83)
Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.82, 90 (PC 2/84)

**Nathaniel Rouse and James Aynsworth v John Rouse**

APC v.2 [1176] p.668 (20 Oct. 1712 – 24 June 1713)
Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.36, 185, 196 (PC 2/84)

**William Rawlins v Edward Burke**

APC v.2 [1179] p.668–669 (24 Nov. 1712 – 24 June 1713)
Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.48, 112, 194 (PC 2/84)

**John Walker and Alexander Walker and their attorneys Thomas and Reynold Alleyne v George and Susannah Nicholas**

APC v.2 [1180] p.669 (8 Jan. 1713 – 15 April 1713)
Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.67, 82, 90 (PC 2/84)

**John and Elizabeth Whetstone v John Carter**

Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.264 (PC 2/84)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.225, 231 (PC 2/85)

**Henry Grey v Burch Hothersall**

APC v.2 [1198] p.677 (10 Jan. 1714 – 10 May 1726)
Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.303 (PC 2/84)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.249, 257 (PC 2/85)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.78, 213, 218 (PC 2/89)
Smith TOC (Grey v Hathersall)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew*

Petition – 5 Jan. 1710 (APC v.6 [230] p.96)

**John and Elizabeth Whetstone v John Carter**

Committee Report – 16 June 1715 (APC v.6 [260] p.105)

**Henry Grey v Burch Hothersall**

Committee Report – 19 July 1715 (APC v.6 [264] p.106)
Hannah Peers and John Peers v Samuel Barwick (Berwick)
Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.362 (PC 2/84)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.191, 197, 350, 433,
472, 481 (PC 2/85)
Smith TOC (Peers v Barwick)

Benjamin Ballard v Hester Burke
Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.362 (PC 2/84)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.144, 173 (PC 2/85)

Isaac Mendez and Moses Mendez v William Rees Battyn
APC v.2 [1203] p.680 (24 May 1714 – 31 July 1722)
Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.362, 371 (PC 2/84)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.143, 172 (PC 2/85)
Register – George I v.3 (25 Aug. 1720 – 31 May 1722) p.2 (PC 2/87)
Register – George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 Aug. 1724) p.78, 81 (PC 2/88)
Smith TOC (Mendez v Battyn)

Margaret Walter and John Walter v George and Susanna Nicholas
APC v.2 [1206] p.681 (24 May 1714)
Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.364 (PC 2/84)

Col. Henry Peers v Frances (Francis) Jones and John Jones
APC v.2 [1207] p.681–682 (3 July 1714 – 18 Jan. 1715)
Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.371 (PC 2/84)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.117, 174, 182 (PC 2/85)

John Gardner (Gardiner), Alexander Walker, Thomas Alleyne and Reynold
Alleyne v Michael Tirrell (Tyrrell)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.105, 248, 495 (PC 2/85)
Register – George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 Aug. 1720) p.282, 389, 402, 420
(PC 2/86)
Register – George I v.3 (25 Aug. 1720 – 31 May 1722) p.271, 272 (PC 2/87)
Smith TOC (Gardner v Tirrell)

Robert Stewart v William Rees Battyn
APC v.2 [1218] p.691 (5 Jan. 1715 – 20 July 1715)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.154, 248, 257 (PC 2/85)
Smith TOC (Stewart v Battyn)

Bernard and Sarah Cook and Susannah King v Robert Lettice Hooper
APC v.2 [1242] p.704 (8 Nov. 1715 – 27 Aug. 1718)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.309, 326, 335 (PC 2/85)
Register – George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 Aug. 1720) p.131, 162, 169 (PC 2/86)
Smith TOC (Cook v Hooper)

William Heysham, William Roberts, and Thomas Withers v James Aynsworth
APC v.2 [1243] p.704–705 (22 Nov. 1715 – 10 March 1716)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.311, 337, 349 (PC 2/85)

William Heysham (by his attorneys) v Alexander Walker
APC v.2 [1244] p.705 (22 Nov. 1715 – 16 March 1718)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.311 (PC 2/85)
Register – George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 Aug. 1720) p.110, 117 (PC 2/86)

William Fortescue by Thomas Beckles v John Bromley
APC v.2 [1279] p.729 (14 Nov. 1717 – 14 May 1718)
Register – George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 Aug. 1720) p.67, 134, 137 (PC 2/86)

Manuel Manasses Gilligan v William Ramsey
APC v.2 [1290] p.737 (16 March 1718 – 1 Feb. 1721)
Register – George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 Aug. 1720) p.118, 125, 135, 220, 462 (PC 2/86)
Register – George I v.3 (25 Aug. 1720 – 31 May 1722) p.89, 113, 119 (PC 2/87)
Smith TOC (Gilligan v Ramsey)

Andrew Cassally, appeal of
Register – George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 Aug. 1720) p.131, 167, 171 (PC 2/86)
Smith TOC (Cassally, Andrew, appeal of)

William Gordan v Governor Lowther
Register – George I v.3 (25 Aug. 1720 – 31 May 1722) p.6, 10 (PC 2/87)
Register – George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 Aug. 1724) p.147, 164, 170 (PC 2/88)
Smith TOC (Gordan v Lowther)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Bernard Cook, appeal of
APC v.2 [1334] p.775–776 (22 March 1720 – 20 Jan. 1722)
USEFUL LISTS

Register – George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 Aug. 1720) p.396, 404 (PC 2/86)

Note: This appeal is irregularly from the Petit Sessions of the Peace.

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Whipping of B. Cook – His petition and order of reference – 22 March 1720 (APC v.6 [294] p.121)

Board of Trade representation – 11 November 1725 (APC v.6 [340] p.155)

BERMUDA

John Hubbard v Capt. James Smailes
APC v.2 [333] p.149–150 (2 Dec. 1689 – 13 Sept. 1716)
Register – William III v.2 (3 Sept. 1690 – 29 Sept. 1692) p. 244 (PC 2/74)
Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.109, 184 (PC 2/84)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.445 (PC 2/85)
CSP VIII no. 615, 834, 1762
Smith TOC (Hubbard v Smailes)

Note: Not indexed as an appeal. See also APC v.2 [243] regarding a petition of Mary Smailes which references APC v.2 [333].

Isaac Richier v Nicholas Trott
APC v.2 [476] p.236 (24 Nov. 1692 – 14 Sept. 1693)
Register – William III v.3 (1 Oct. 1692 – 29 Nov. 1694) p.32–33, 223 (PC 2/75)
CSP VIII no. 2635–2638
CSP IX no. 553
Smith TOC (Richier v Trott)

Note: Not indexed as an appeal. This may relate to the dispute in APC v.2 [544].

Isaac Richier v Lieut. Governor Goddard
Register – William III v.3 (1 Oct. 1692 – 29 Nov. 1694) p.365, 377 (PC 2/75)
Register – William III v.4 (2 Dec. 1694 – 23 April 1697) p.93, 241 (PC 2/76)
Register – William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.368, 393, 396, 404, 444–445 (PC 2/77)
CSP IX no. 991, 2209
CSP X no. 1029
CSP XII no. 934, 992
Smith TOC (Richier v Goddard)

Note: Not indexed as an appeal. This may relate to the dispute in APC v.2 [476].

Samuel Hubbard, appeal of
APC v.2 [603] p.289 (22 Aug. 1695 – 19 Dec. 1695)
CSP IX no. 2017
Martha Johnstowne v William Place
Rhoda Place v Martha Johnstowne
APC v.2 [750] p.336–337 (29 June 1699 – 6 Sept. 1704)
Register – William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.352 (PC 2/77)
Register – William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 Feb. 1702) p.192, 332 (PC 2/78)
Register – Anne v.2 (20 Jan. 1704 – 29 Sept. 1705) p.173 (PC 2/80)

More Darrell by his guardian Daniel Keel v George Darrell
Register – William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p.368, 401, 406 (PC 2/77)

Lewis Johnstowne v Thomas and Mary Burton
Register – William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 Feb. 1702) p.56, 70, 71, 203, 213, 218 (PC 2/78)
Register – Anne v.3 (11 Oct. 1705 – 29 Feb. 1708) p.20, 31, 43 (PC 2/81)
Smith TOC (Johnstowne v Burton)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Petition – 19 June 1700 (APC v.6 [16] p.6)

Perient Trott, Samuel Trott and John Trott v Gilbert Nelson
APC v.2 [801] p.357–358 (5 Sept. 1700)
Register – William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 Feb. 1702) p.81 (PC 2/78)

Edward Jones v Lt. Gov. Benjamin Bennet (Bennett)
Register – Anne v.2 (20 Jan. 1704 – 29 Sept. 1705) p.98 (PC 2/80)
Register – Anne v.3 (11 Oct. 1705 – 29 Feb. 1708) p.165, 428 (PC 2/81)
Register – Anne v.4 (5 March 1708 – 31 May 1710) p.156 (PC 2/82)
Register – Anne v.5 (2 June 1710 – 28 July 1712) p.299, 332, 341, 396 (PC 2/83)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.445 (PC 2/85)
Register – George I v.3 (25 Aug. 1720 – 1 May 1722) p.239, 262, 265 (PC 2/87)
Register – George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 Aug. 1724) p.357, 480, 528, 538, 563, 616–617 (PC 2/88)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Board of Trade representation – 19 March 1706 (APC v.6 [145] p.41)
Note: Not indexed as an appeal
Thomas Barrow, appeal of
APC v.2 [1032] p.521–522 (23 June 1707 – 2 June 1709)
Register – Anne v.3 (11 Oct. 1705 – 29 Feb. 1708) p.391, 404, 410, 411 (PC 2/81)
Register – Anne v.4 (5 March 1708 – 31 May 1710) p.324, 327, 330 (PC 2/82)
Smith TOC (Barrow v Regina)
Note: See also APC v.2 [1032A] regarding Barrow’s right to practice law.

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Complaints of E. Jones… including articles by way of information and complaint
   (APC v.6 [201 no.71] p.78)
Petition – 2 June 1709 (APC v.6 [222] p.94)

JAMAICA
Capt. Francis Mingham v Thomas Martin
APC v.2 [6] p.6–7 (30 June 1680 – 9 March 1681)
Register – Charles II v.16 (1 June 1680 – 31 May 1683) p.28, 45, 243, 257, 267 (PC 2/69)
CSP V no. 1459
CSP VI no. 65, 77
Smith TOC (Mingham v Martin)
Note: See also the entry for Mingham v Martin in the APC v.1 [1038].

Capt. Charles Talbot, appeal of
APC v.2 [225] p.98 (6 May 1687)
Register – James II Part II (1 April 1687 – 16 Dec. 1688) p.456 (PC 2/72)
CSP VII no. 1238
Smith TOC (In re The Swallow)

Charles Sadler, appeal of
APC v.2 [269] p.115 (12 Oct. 1688)
Register – James II Part II (1 April 1687 – 16 Dec. 1688) p.748 (PC 2/72)
CSP VII no. 1906

John Towers, appeal of
APC v.2 [341] p.151 (2 Jan. 1689–90)
CSP VIII no. 684

Col. William Ivy, John Towers, Francis Blackmore, Charles Bouchier, James Banister and William Ivy, Jr., appeal of
APC v.2 [342] p.151–152 (2 Jan. 1689–90 – 23 April 1691)
Register – William III v.2 (3 Sept. 1690 – 29 Sept. 1692) p.159 (PC 2/74)
CSP VIII no. 685, 1423

George Elletson, Thomas Ryves and George Reid, appeal of
Register – William III v.2 (3 Sept. 1690 – 29 Sept. 1692) p.274, 295 (PC 2/74)
CSP VIII no. 1909
Smith TOC (Elletson v Daniell)

**Sir Richard White, appeal of**
Register – William III v.3 (1 Oct. 1692 – 29 Nov. 1694) p.194, 253 (PC 2/75)
CSP IX no. 490, 600
Smith TOC (White v de Castillo)

**Robert Briscoe v John Hodgings**
APC v.2 [1034] p.526–527 (1 July 1707 – 9 Sept. 1707)
Register – Anne v.3 (11 Oct. 1705 – 29 Feb. 1708) p.400, 402, 409, 410 (PC 2/81)
Smith TOC (Briscoe v Hodgings)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew*

Petition and Order of Reference – 1 July 1707 (APC v.6 [179] p.59)

**Sir Charles Orby and Dame Anne Hopegood v Charles Long**
APC v.2 [1067] p.564–567 (1 Aug. 1708 – 20 Feb. 1714)
Register – Anne v.4 (5 March 1708 – 31 May 1710) p.147, 279–282, 285–287, 301 (PC 2/82)
Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.292–293, 336 (PC 2/84)
Smith TOC (Orby v Long)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew*

Petition – 1 Aug. 1708 (APC v.6 [199] p.71)
Minute of the Council of Jamaica – 28 July 1713 (APC v.6 [249] p.102)

**James and Thomazine Russell v John Clarke**
Register – Anne v.4 (5 March 1708 – 31 May 1710) p.155–156, 173 (PC 2/82)
Smith TOC (Russell v Clarke)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew*

Petition and Order of Reference – 18 Aug. 1708 (APC v.6 [202] p.81)

**Penelope Knight v John and Mary Marshall and Richard and Susanna Gregory**
APC v.2 [1188] p.674 (24 June 1713 – 28 Feb. 1714)
Register – Anne v.6 (18 Aug. 1712 – 31 July 1714) p.197, 200, 304, 321, 340, 344 (PC 2/84)
Smith TOC (Knight v Marshall)

**William Axtell et al v John Clarke**
APC v.2 [1249] p.706–707 (10 March 1715–16 – 6 July 1716)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.350, 412, 422 (PC 2/85)
Smith TOC (Axtell v Clarke)

John Halstead and William Gibbons v Robert Needham
APC v.2 [1250] p.707 (10 March 1716 – 8 June 1716)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.350, 413 (PC 2/85)
Smith TOC (Halstead v Needham)

Josiah and Elizabeth Jordan v Thomas and Mary Brayne
APC v.2 [1253] p.708 (28 April 1716 – 10 Jan. 1717)
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.382, 486, 487 (PC 2/85)

Thomas and Elizabeth Roose v Francis Roose, Peter Beckford, Mary Fuller and Ann Fuller
APC v.2 [1280] p.730 (14 Nov. 1717 – 6 Aug. 1723)
Register – George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 Aug. 1720) p.67, 243, 248 (PC 2/86)
Register – George I v.3 (25 Aug. 1720 – 31 May 1722) p.220, 296, 304 (PC 2/87)

William Cockburn v Mr. (first name not provided) Beckford
APC v.2 [1286] p.735 (9 Feb. 1718 – 5 March 1718)
Register – George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 Aug. 1720) p.104, 111 (PC 2/86)
Smith TOC (Cockburn v Beckford)

John Morton v John Carver

LEEWARD ISLANDS

Stephen Cabibell, Peter Soulgre (Soulegre) and William Harris, appeal of
APC v.2 [1316] p.760–761 (26 May 1719 – 8 Jan. 1720)
Register – George I v.2 (2 March 1717 – 25 Aug. 1720) p.264, 352, 362 (PC 2/86)

MONTSERRAT

Anthony and Mary Revell v George Lyddell
Register – George I v.1 (1 Aug. 1714 – 2 March 1717) p.104, 192, 198 (PC 2/85)

NEVIS

Thomas Cooke, appeal of
APC v.2 [213] p.94 (27 Oct. 1686/7 – 2 July 1687)
Register – James II Part II (1 April 1687 – 16 Dec. 1688) p.330, 466, 475 (PC 2/72)
CSP VII no. 947, 1329
Smith TOC (Cooke v St. Loe)

Anthony Peterson v Christian (Peterson?)
APC v.2 [674] p.312 (15 April 1697 – 4 Aug. 1698)
Register – William III v.4 (2 Dec. 1694 – 23 April 1697) p. 610 (PC 2/76)
Register – William III v.5 (5 May 1697 – 30 March 1700) p. 37, 213 (PC 2/77)
Smith TOC (Peterson v Peterson)
Note: Not indexed as an appeal. The APC does not provide the last name of Anthony Peterson’s sister, the respondent, but Joseph Smith names the case Peterson v Peterson.

William Mead, appeal of
William and Mary Shipman and Thomas Harvey, appeal of
APC v.2 [844] p.380 (27 Nov. 1701 – 19 Feb. 1702)
Register – William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 Feb. 1702) p.283, 353 (PC 2/78)
Smith TOC (Shipman et al, appeal of)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Complaints – 13 Feb. 1702 (APC v.6 [50] p.11–12)

William Mead, appeal of
APC v.2 [852] p.384 (18 Jan. 1702 – 18 March 1702)
Register – William III v.6 (4 April 1700 – 19 Feb. 1702) p.303 (PC 2/78)
Smith TOC (In re The Swan)
Note: Not indexed as an appeal

ST. CHRISTOPHER

Peter Van Belle, appeal of
APC v.2 [924] p.459 (9 March 1704 – 6 July 1704)
Register – Anne v.2 (20 Jan. 1704 – 29 Sept. 1705) p.77, 139 (PC 2/80)
Smith TOC (Vanbelle, Peter, appeal of)
Note: Also indexed under Leeward Islands and Nevis

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Petition with statement of the case – 9 March 1704 (APC v.6 [119] p.29)
The following items in APC, vol. 2, have no apparent final court decision below, though they are indexed as appeals:

Antigua – [60], [204], [402], [567], [1142], [1241], [1303]
Barbados – [115], [145], [172], [206] a request for pardon by mother for her son, [774], [856], [977] a petition for reversal of sequestration of an estate in favor of cash security, [978] a petition against action of Governor in debarring petitioner from leaving the island, [1055], [1087], [1099] petitioner seeks relief from the biased make-up of the Court of Common Pleas, [1163], [1307], [1308] a complaint re arbitrary proceedings of the Governor, [1328], [1333] a petition against action of Committee of Public Accounts requesting the repeal of a law or the stay of proceedings under the law, [1338].
Bermuda – [70], [795], [1097] complaint re excessive fine and bail imposed. Noted in [198] and [201 no 62-64] of the APC v.6 are related miscellaneous documents at the National Archives at Kew for the dispute in [1097].

Jamaica – [164], [165], [184], [187], [188], [189], [199], [205] a petition relating to the suspension from the practice of law, [597], [618], [810], [849] request for King’s order to enforce execution of writ, [881] a petition relating to imprisonment under the authority of the Court of Chancery, [1031], [1086] request for pardon, [1138], [1153], [1184], [1192], [1211] issue relates to the confirmation of a Jamaican Act.

Leeward – [845]

Montserrat – [127], [1141]

St. Christopher – [1173], [1281]

---

**APPEALS BY COLONY – Volume 3**

**ANTIGUA**

George and Susanna Forrest v Margaret Martin and Henry Martin

Margaret Martin and Henry Martin (later revived by Robert Martin) v Edward Horn Forrest

APC v.3 [12] p.21–22 (9 July 1721 – 7 Nov. 1734)

Register – George I v.3 (25 Aug. 1720 – 31 May 1722) p.260, 296 (PC 2/87)


Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.283, 293 (PC 2/89)

Register – George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.143, 147, 532 (PC 2/92)

Register – George II v.4 (1 Aug. 1734 – 23 Sept. 1736) p.20, 30, 34 (PC 2/93)

Smith TOC (Forrest v Martin)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Printed cases*

Case of the appellant (Robert Martin v Edward Horne Forest) – British Library – Add Mss 36216 f.83

Edward and Mary Mann v James and Rachel Gamble

APC v.3 [23] p.31 (14 Dec. 1721–2 – 29 Nov. 1722)

Register – George I v.3 (25 Aug. 1720 – 31 May 1722) p.414 (PC 2/87)

Register – George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 Aug. 1724) p.117, 144 (PC 2/88)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew*

Petition – 14 Dec. 1721 (APC v.6 [305] p.132)

James Parke v Nathaniel Gilbert

APC v.3 [36] p.40 (11 Aug. 1722 – 6 Aug. 1723)

Register – George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 Aug. 1724) p.89, 178, 294, 303 (PC 2/88)

Smith TOC (Parke v Gilbert)
Nathaniel Crump v Henry Martin and Margaret Martin
APC v.3 [41] p.43 (26 Feb. 1723 – 6 Aug. 1723)
Register – George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 Aug. 1724) p.221, 280, 302 (PC 2/88)
Smith TOC (Crump v Martin)
Note: No final decree had issued from Antigua.

Richard Rigby v Edward Chester, William Yeomans et al
Register – George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 Aug. 1724) p.346, 566 (PC 2/88)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.16, 18, 25 (PC 2/89)
Smith TOC (Rigby v Chester)

Lawford Cole v Matthew Mills
APC v.3 [61] p.65 (6 April 1724 – 4 July 1724)
Register – George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 Aug. 1724) p.493, 495, 528, 534, 562 (PC 2/88)

Rowland Hamilton v William and Sarah Horne et al
APC v.3 [77] p.111 (5 Feb. 1725 – 15 Feb. 1728)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.32, 289, 304, 316 (PC 2/89)
Register – George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.166, 192, 214–215, 227 (PC 2/90)
Smith TOC (Hamilton v Horne)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Petition and Order of Reference – 5 Feb. 1725 (APC v.6 [329] p.141)
Committee Report – 20 Jan. 1727
Hamilton’s petition and Order of Reference – 2 Nov. 1727
Petition of Home and Nugent – 14 Dec. 1727 (APC v.6 [362] p.171)

Samuel Proctor and James Barton v Magdalen Bondinot
APC v.3 [87] p.119–120 (6 July 1725 – 15 Aug. 1728)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.89, 91, 102, 277, 329, 339, 357 (PC 2/89)
Register – George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.350, 360 (PC 2/90)
Smith TOC (Barton v Bondinot)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 18 Feb. 1727 (APC v.6 [368] p.181)
Committee Report – 26 July 1728 (APC v.6 [396] p.193)

Edward (sen.) and Ann (Anne) Chester, John Gunthorp, Richard Sherwood, Catherine, Elizabeth and Mary Paynter v William Paynter, Edward Byam, Major John Tomlinson and Francis Carlisle
Ann (Anne) Chester, William Paynter (son of John Paynter) and the executors of John Paynter v John Gunthorp and Catherine Paynter as executors of William Paynter (sen.) and William Paynter (son of William
Paynter, sen.) by William Horne and Francis Burton
APC v.3 [90] p.121–123 (14 Oct. 1725 – 6 May 1741)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.118, 120, 205, 228 (PC 2/89)
Register – George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.463, 486 (PC 2/91)
Register – George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.95, 101–103, 105 (PC 2/92)
Register – George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 Aug. 1741) p.266, 312, 457, 464 (PC 2/96)
Smith TOC (Chester v Painter)

John Hamilton v (first name not provided) Mugill
APC v.3 [96] p.125 (11 May 1726)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.219 (PC 2/89)
Note: It is not clear from the APC entry if Mugill is, in fact, the respondent in this appeal.

Nathaniel Carpenter v John Parry and the widow and executors of Samuel Parry
Nathaniel Carpenter v Henry Lyons, guardian of Amy Parry
APC v.3 [103] p.132–133 (9 Aug. 1726 – 19 Jan. 1732)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.276, 307, 374–376 (PC 2/89)
Register – George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.21 (PC 2/90)
Register – George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.414, 511, 531, 548 (PC 2/91)

Documentation
Printed cases
Case of the appellant and respondent (Nathaniel Carpenter v Amy Parry by Henry Lyons) – British Library – Add Mss 36216 f.53
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Petition and Order of Reference – 9 Aug. 1726 (APC v.6 [351] p.163)
Committee Report – 31 May 1727 (APC v.6 [385] p.192)

Henry Lyons v executors of Henry Lyons, and Nathaniel Crump, Michael Arnold, Nicholas Lynch, John Teale (the four being the executors of Jeffrey Lyons), Elizabeth Lyons and Sarah Lyons Executors of Henry Lyons, Nathaniel Crump, Michael Arnold, Nicholas Lynch, John Teale (the four being the executors of Jeffrey Lyons), Elizabeth Lyons and Sarah Lyons v Henry Lyons
APC v.3 [104] p.133–134 (9 Aug. 1726 – 31 May 1727)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.297, 320, 354–355, 360, 364 (PC 2/89)
Smith TOC (Lyons v Lyons)
DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee reports – 11 May 1727 (APC v.6 [379] p.188)

Sir William Codrington v William Byam, Nathaniel Crump, Archibald Cockrane and Francis Carlisle
APC v.3 [105] p.134 (6 Sept. 1726 – 28 March 1727)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.279, 306, 326, 338 (PC 2/89)
Smith TOC (Codrington v Byam)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew
Petition and Order of Reference – 6 Sept. 1726 (APC v.6 [352] p.163)
Note: Possibly related to APC v.3 [307]

Richard Staple v William Glanvill
APC v.3 [113] p.151 (8 Feb. 1727 – 31 May 1727)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.319, 335, 360, 365 (PC 2/89)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 18 May 1727 (APC v.6 [381] p.190)

James and Margaret Wetherill v Sir William Codrington
APC v.3 [137] p.179 (13 Dec. 1727 – 12 June 1728)
Register – George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.187, 192, 306, 311 (PC 2/90)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 25 May 1728 (APC v.6 [396] p.193)

William Byam v Nathaniel Gilbert
APC v.3 [141] p.206 (10 Jan. 1727/8 – 15 Feb. 1727/8)
Register – George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.206, 212, 227 (PC 2/90)
Smith TOC (Byam v Gilbert)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Petition – 10 Jan. 1728 (APC v.6 [396] p.193)

Jacob Thibon v unnamed children and representatives of the late John Pierce
Unnamed children and representatives of the late John Pierce v Ann Picard de la Fente, Catherine Terry, and Arabella Turton, John Gambell, John Frye and Samuel Frye
Jacob Thibon v Richard and Ann Rigby and George and Dorothy Barker
APC v.3 [177] p.230–231 (22 May 1729 – 11 July 1734)
Register – George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.512 (PC 2/90)
Register – George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.5, 179, 214, 251, 381,
431 (PC 2/91)
Register – George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.425, 490–492, 518–519
(PC 2/92)
Smith TOC (Thibou v Pierce)

**John Dubois v Capt. Toller**
APC v.3 [201] p.270–271 (22 Jan. 1730 – 21 July 1732)
Register – George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.138 (PC 2/91)
Register – George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.4, 19 (PC 2/92)
Smith TOC (DuBois v Toller)

**Charles Dunbar v William Glanville, William Yeamans, Valentine Morris and Christopher Stoodley**
APC v.3 [214] p.285 (11 June 1730 – 8 April 1731)
Register – George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.248, 256, 258, 318, 343–344, 362 (PC 2/91)
Smith TOC (Dunbar v Glanville)

**John and Rachel Pare v Henry Palmer**
APC v.3 [243] p.344 (1 July 1731 – 21 July 1732)
Register – George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.415 (PC 2/91)
Register – George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.4, 5–8, 19 (PC 2/92)

**William Gregson v Frederick and Frances Voguel and Richard Roe (their lessee)**
Register – George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.63, 99, 105 (PC 2/92)

**John Toller v John Burke**
**John Burke v John Toller**
APC v.3 [292] p.401–402 (20 Dec. 1733 – 11 April 1734)
Register – George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.280, 390, 430, 454 (PC 2/92)
Smith TOC (Toller v Burke)

**Thomas Morris v Giles and Elizabeth Watkins**
APC v.3 [294] p.404–405 (20 Dec. 1733 – 7 Feb. 1734)
Register – George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.281, 311, 320 (PC 2/92)
Smith TOC (Morris v Watkins)

**Joseph French v Richard and Johanna Sherwood, William Denbow and Thomas Kerby**
APC v.3 [305] p.416–417 (11 July 1734 – 21 April 1737)
Register – George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.526 (PC 2/92)
Register – George II v.5 (1 Oct. 1736 – 4 Sept. 1738) p.188–194, 211 (PC 2/94)

**John Burke (George and Elizabeth Burke Crump and John Burke the son added as later parties) and James Duncan v Robert Weir, Walter Weir, Francis Weir, William Paynter, Edward Byam, George Thomas, George**
Byam and Thomas Freeman (later adding William Gunthorpe as nephew and heir of William Paynter, deceased)
Register – George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.526 (PC 2/92)
Register – George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 Aug. 1741) p.290 (PC 2/96)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.128 (PC 2/102)

Sir William Codrington v William Byam, Nathaniel Crump, Archibald Cockran and Francis Carlisle (the four as executors of Samuel Philips), Robert and Rebecca Tint, Dorothy Philips and Elizabeth Philips
APC v.3 [307] p.418 (11 July 1733 – 3 April 1736)
Register – George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.526 (PC 2/92)
Register – George II v.4 (1 Aug. 1734 – 23 Sept. 1736) p.90, 133–136, 146 (PC 2/93)
Note: Possibly related to APC v.3 [105]

Nathaniel Crump and Nathaniel Gilbert v Valentine Morris and William Yeamans
Valentine Morris and William Yeamans, appeal of
APC v.3 [320] p.430 (24 Oct. 1734 – 21 April 1737)
Register – George II v.4 (1 Aug. 1734 – 23 Sept. 1736) p.21 (PC 2/93)
Register – George II v.5 (1 Oct. 1736 – 4 Sept. 1738) p.21, 165–177, 210 (PC 2/94)
Smith TOC (Crump v Morris)

Charles Dunbar and Richard Roe (his lessee) v John Kerr
APC v.3 [357] p.482 (13 Oct. 1735 – 21 April 1737)
Register – George II v.4 (1 Aug. 1734 – 23 Sept. 1736) p.232 (PC 2/93)

William and Mary Smith and Thomas Kirby (Kerby) v Joseph Mathews, James Salmond, Patrick Lynch, John Turnell, Caesar Rodeney, James Read, Paul Fisher, Robert Lone, William Snelgrave, Edward Jones, and Robert Weir
Smith TOC (Smith v Mathews)

Wavell Smith v Francis Carlisle
Wavell Smith v Nathaniel Gilbert and John Thomlinson
Register – George II v.4 (1 Aug. 1734 – 23 Sept. 1736) p.457, 503–505, 511 (PC 2/93)
Register – George II v.5 (1 Oct. 1736 – 4 Sept. 1738) p.600 (PC 2/94)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.10 (PC 2/95)
Smith TOC (Smith v Rex)
Note: The matter involves an indictment for extortion and may more properly be titled, as by Joseph Smith, as Smith v Rex.

Thomas Morris v Giles and Elizabeth Watkins
APC v.3 [387] p.514–515 (24 Nov. 1736 – 12 June 1739)
Register – George II v.5 (1 Oct. 1736 – 4 Sept. 1738) p.21 (PC 2/94)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p. 179, 186, 187–194, 210 (PC 2/95)
Smith TOC (Morris v Watkins)

Charles Dunbar v Henry Lory and Richard Todman
APC v.3 [388] p.515–517 (24 Nov. 1736 – 16 July 1736)
Register – George II v.5 (1 Oct. 1736 – 4 Sept. 1738) p.22 (PC 2/94)
Register – George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 Aug. 1741) p.92, 234, 240–245, 262 (PC 2/96)
Smith TOC (Dunbar v Lory)

Peter Wilcox, Giles Wilcox, Anne Reynolds, Francis and Sarah Hamilton, and Lydia Stephens v Isaac Royal and Richard Roe (his lessee)
APC v.3 [446] p.614–615 (25 July 1738 – 31 July 1738)
Register – George II v.5 (1 Oct. 1736 – 4 Sept. 1738) p.600, 607, 615 (PC 2/94)
Smith TOC (Wilcox v Royal)

Wavel Smith, appeal of
APC v.3 [447] p.615–616 (25 July 1738 – 12 June 1739)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.219 (PC 2/95)
Smith TOC (Smith v Rex)

Richard and Mary Kirwan and Archibald Hamilton v Samuel and Jane Lyons and Charles and Biss Alexander

Archibald Hamilton, Richard and Mary Kirwan, John Gunthorpe and Rowland Hamilton v Samuel and Jane Lyons and Charles and Biss Alexander
APC v.3 [457] p.620–621 (30 Nov. 1738 – 21 Dec. 1738)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.47, 61, 63 (PC 2/95)
Smith TOC (Kirvan v Alexander) (Kirwan v Lyons)

Edward Otto Bayer, Richard Roe, his lessee v Ashton Warner
Edward Otto Bayer v Ashton Warner (later revived against eldest son and executors) and Robert Gray
APC v.3 [461] p.625–627 (16 May 1739 – 7 Feb. 1753)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.196, 262, 506–515, 541 (PC 2/95)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.432 (PC 2/102)
Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.208–210, 234, 288,
298–299, 309 (PC 2/103)
Smith TOC (Bayer v Warner)

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed cases**

Case of appellant – Law Library of Congress

**Charles Dunbar v Henry Webb**
APC v.3 [474] p.640–644 (6 Sept. 1739 – 10 July 1740)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.343 (PC 2/95)
Register – George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 Aug. 1741) p.92, 141, 142–147, 156
(PC 2/96)
Smith TOC (Dunbar v Webb)

**Lucy Chester Park v Charles Dunbar as lessor of the nominal plaintiff John Doe**

**Lucy Chester Park v Charles Dunbar**
APC v.3 [482] p.656 (22 Nov. 1739 – 6 Dec. 1740)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p. 418 (PC 2/95)
Register – George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 Aug. 1741) p.9, 117, 131, 132–134,
156, 226, 229, 256, 268–290, 304 (PC 2/96)

**Isaac and Catherine Thibou and Sophia Field v Ashton Warner**
APC v.3 [484] p.657 (27 Dec. 1739–40 – 10 March 1740)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p. 495, 617–632, 660
(PC 2/95)

**Harry Webb, Isaac Hobhouse, John Paine, Dominick Trant, Thomas Mead,**
**George French, Peter Lee and Robert Webb v Michael and Catherine Tully,**
**Sarah Lynch, Mary Lynch and Catherine Moineux**
Register – George II v.9 (1 Oct. 1743 – 27 Feb. 1745) p.8 (PC 2/98)
Register – George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.273, 339, 353, 379,
417 (PC 2/99)

**James Langford v William Simpson**
APC v.3 [593] p.780–781 (9 May 1744 – 20 March 1745)
Register – George II v.9 (1 Oct. 1743 – 27 Feb. 1745) p.315 (PC 2/98)
Register – George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.381, 397, 417 (PC 2/99)

**Elizabeth Langford and James Langford v Jonas Langford, Richard and Mary Oliver, Mathew and Isabella Lovell, James and Mehitabell Nibbs Jr., and John and Elizabeth Blissard**
APC v.3 [605] p.799–800 (7 Nov. 1744 – 3 June 1747)
Register – George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.454, 465 (PC 2/99)
Register – George II v.11 (1 Aug. 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.227, 268 (PC 2/100)
BAHAMAS

Michael Bevan, appeal of
APC v.3 [38] p.41 (27 Oct. 1722 – 15 Feb. 1723)
Register – George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 Aug. 1724) p.124, 178, 204 (PC 2/88)
Smith TOC (Bevan v Rex)

John Colebrook, appeal of
APC v.3 [250] p.350 (28 Oct. 1731 – 13 April 1732)
Register – George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.462, 467, 490, 589, 611, 625 (PC 2/91)
Smith TOC (Colebrook v Rex)

James Scott and John Snow, appeal of
APC v.3 [608] p.805 (26 Nov. 1744 – 12 March 1747)
Register – George II v.9 (1 Oct. 1743 – 27 Feb. 1745) p.589 (PC 2/98)
Register – George II v.11 (1 Aug. 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.145, 185 (PC 2/100)

BARBADOS

William Moore v Francis Ford and George Barry
APC v.3 [95] p.124–125 (18 April 1726 – 8 Feb. 1727)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.212, 304, 315 (PC 2/89)
Smith TOC (Moore v Ford)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of the respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36216 f.19
Note: This appeal is not printed but in manuscript.

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 20 Jan. 1727 (APC v.6 [364] p.172)

David Hamilton v Edward Sutton, John and Mary Jenkins
APC v.3 [99] p.126 (31 May 1726 – 8 Feb. 1727)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.230, 308, 315 (PC 2/89)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Petition and Order of Reference – 31 May 1726 (APC v.6 [347] p.160)
Committee Report – 2 Feb. 1727 (APC v.6 [365] p.172)

Thomas Seawell and Thomas Noel Seawell v Elizabeth Seawell and Benjamin Charnock
APC v.3 [106] p.134–135 (6 Sept. 1726 – 22 May 1729)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p. 279, 298, 370 (PC 2/89)
Register – George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.216–218, 227–230, 512 (PC 2/90)
Smith TOC (Seawell v Seawell)

William Gordon v Gelasius MacMahon
APC v.3 [111] p.138–139 (29 Nov. 1726 – 8 Feb. 1727)
CARIBBEAN APPEALS

Register – George I v.5 (Sept 1724 – May 1727) p.289, 292, 315 (PC 2/89)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew

Committee Report – 14 Dec. 1726 (APC v.6 [360] p.171)

Governor Worsley v Thomas Daverse

APC v.3 [187] p. 252 (26 Sept. 1729)

Register – George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.52 (PC 2/91)

Smith TOC (Worsley v Daverse)

James Crow v Gelasius and Frances MacMahon and Elizabeth Holdip

APC v.3 [194] p.258–259 (2 Dec. 1729 – 11 June 1730)

Register – George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.96, 192, 235, 236–237, 244 (PC 2/91)


William Andrews, Samuel Osborn, and Thomas Stokes v Joseph Young and James Caswell (now replaced by John Ashley)


APC v.3 [225] p.307–308 (12 Nov. 1730 – 8 April 1731)

Register – George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.285, 325, 335, 344, 345–350, 364 (PC 2/91)

Smith TOC (Morris v Wood)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed cases

Case of the appellant (Richard Morris v Isaac LeGay) and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36216 f.24

John and Jane Bennett v Dr. Henry and Jane Warren

John Bennett, Jonathan Blenman, Abel Alleyne, and Dudley Woodbridge v Dr. Henry and Jane Warren

APC v.3 [269] p.367–368 (30 Nov. 1732 – 26 Nov. 1735)

Register – George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.76, 87, 110, 117, 121, 123–127, 135 (PC 2/92)

Register – George II v.4 (1 Aug. 1734 – 23 Sept. 1736) p.74, 106, 253, 263 (PC 2/93)

Smith TOC (Bennett v Warren)

Charles Huggins v Robert Warren

APC v.3 [283] p.391–393 (1 Nov. 1733 – 12 Feb. 1735)

Register – George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.241, 270, 277, 290 (PC 2/92)

Register – George II v.4 (1 Aug. 1734 – 23 Sept. 1736) p.84, 93, 94, 100 (PC 2/93)

Smith TOC (Huggins v Warren)
Oliver Kennedy v Burch and Anne Hothersall
APC v.3 [318] p.428 (24 Oct. 1734)
Register – George II v.4 (1 Aug. 1734 – 23 Sept. 1736) p.21 (PC 2/93)

William Rawlin, appeal of

Joseph Gibbs v John Howell
Register – George II v.5 (1 Oct. 1736 – 4 Sept. 1738) p.65, 345, 349–351, 367 (PC 2/94)

Benjamin Charnock v Elliot Saer and George Gascoigne
Elliot Saer v Benjamin Charnock
APC v.3 [416] p.575–577 (29 Nov. 1737 – 11 March 1752)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.45, 105–110, 144 (PC 2/95)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.323, 366 (PC 2/101)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.503, 514–525, 548–549 (PC 2/102)
Smith TOC (Charnock v Saer)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case (Saer v Charnock) of appellant and respondent – Law Library of Congress

William Arnoll v Margaret Phillips
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.11 (PC 2/95)
Register – George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 Aug. 1741) p.50, 88–89, 96 (PC 2/96)

Susannah Brome and William Brome v Sir Joseph Eyles
APC v.3 [426] p.587–588 (12 Jan. 1738 – 21 March 1744)
Register – George II v.5 (1 Oct. 1736 – 4 Sept. 1738) p.370, 525 (PC 2/94)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.45, 100, 110, 145 (PC 2/95)
Register – George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 Aug. 1741) p.531–532 (PC 2/96)
Register – George II v.8 (22 Oct. 1741 – 29 Sept. 1743) p.18, 19, 26, 115 (PC 2/97)
Smith TOC (Broome v Eyles)
John and Elizabeth Burke v Martha Lane
Robert and Mary Burnet v Martha Lane
Mary Lane v Martha Lane
APC v.3 [431] p.592 (23 Jan. 1738 – 22 March 1739)
Register – George II v.5 (1 Oct. 1736 – 4 Sept. 1738) p.393 (PC 2/94)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.5, 10, 18, 44, 120,
121–124, 144 (PC 2/95)

John Jones v William Harrison
APC v.3 [450] p.617–618 (12 Oct. 1738 – 12 June 1739)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.4, 56, 130, 154–158,
211 (PC 2/95)
Smith TOC (Jones v Harrison)

John and Mary Ashley and William Holder by his guardian Edward Brace v
Thomas and Elizabeth Applewhaite, William and Alice Christian Gibbes,
and Dudley and Anne Woodbridge
APC v.3 [460] p.624–625 (30 April 1739 – 27 Nov. 1740)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.181, 218, 285 (PC 2/95)
Register – George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 Aug. 1741) p.110, 116, 160, 173,
230–234, 261 (PC 2/96)
Smith TOC (Ashley v Applewhaite)

Samuel Palmer v William Sealy
William Sealy v Samuel Palmer
APC v.3 [483] p.657 (22 Nov. 1739/40 – 27 Nov. 1740)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.419 (PC 2/95)
Register – George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 Aug. 1741) p.104, 218, 247, 248–255,
261 (PC 2/96)
Smith TOC (Palmer v Sealy)

John Millington (later adding Richard Smith) v Sir Robert Worsley and
John, Lord Carteret
APC v.3 [497] p.677–678 (26 June 1740 – 16 July 1741)
Register – George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 Aug. 1741) p.130, 175, 449, 512, 513,
535 (PC 2/96)

Reynold Alleyne and Richard Salter by Salter’s attorney Richard Wiltshire,
William Tryon and Thomas Tryon by their attorney James Bruce,
William Paterson, Isaac De Peiza, George Maxwell and Abel Alleyne v
Mary Alleyne
APC v.3 [520] p.697–698 (18 June 1741 – 31 Dec. 1741)
Register – George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 Aug. 1741) p.503 (PC 2/96)
Register – George II v.8 (22 Oct. 1741 – 29 Sept. 1743) p.8, 15, 34 (PC 2/97)

Patrick and Elizabeth McCabe v Benjamin Charnock
APC v.3 [540] p.721–722 (14 April 1742 – 2 June 1743)
Smith TOC (McCabe v Chamoch)

**John Price v Mary Warren, Henry Peers and Thomas Applewhaite**

APC v.3 [555] p.735–736 (15 Sept. 1742 – 26 Nov. 1751)
Register – George II v.8 (22 Oct. 1741 – 29 Sept. 1743) p.235, 252 (PC 2/97)
Register – George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.434, 481 (PC 2/99)
Register – George II v.11 (1 Aug. 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.515, 526, 539 (PC 2/100)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.229 (PC 2/101)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.263, 339, 357, 387 (PC 2/102)
Smith TOC (Price v Warren)

**Edward Brace (revived in the names of John Brace, Benjamin Charnock, Samuel Brace, Joseph Terrill, Edward Brace the younger, and Samuel Game) v John and Elizabeth Lyte, Tobias and Arabella Frere**

APC v.3 [558] p.740–741 (14 Oct. 1742 – 11 April 1745)
Register – George II v.8 (22 Oct. 1741 – 29 Sept. 1743) p.242, 338 (PC 2/97)
Register – George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.44 (PC 2/99)

**Henry Grey v Burch Hothersall**

Register – George II v.9 (1 Oct. 1743 – 27 Feb. 1745) p.8, 33 (PC 2/98)
Smith TOC (Grey v Hathersall)

**BERMUDA**

**Samuel Eveleigh v Thomas Brook**

APC v.3 [70] p.84–87 (23 July 1724 – 25 July 1728)
Register – George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 Aug. 1724) p.573, 605, 612–613 (PC 2/88)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p. 7, 57, 73, 88, 93, 96, 219, 240, 244, 245, 246, 262, 305, 354, 361 (PC 2/89)
Register – George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.226, 340, 345 (PC 2/90)
Smith TOC (Everleigh v Brook)
Note: Not indexed as an appeal

**Thomas Gates et al, appeal of**

Register – George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.374, 408, 431 (PC 2/90)
Smith TOC (In re The Fisher)
JAMAICA

Edward Pratter v John Chaplin, junior

Stephen Brown, appeal of
APC v.3 [55] p. 60–62 (14 Nov. 1723 – 10 May 1726)
Register – George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 Aug. 1724) p.356, 469, 475 (PC 2/88)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.2, 14, 17, 26, 202, 216, 218 (PC 2/89)
Smith TOC (Brown v Rex)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Order of Reference – 14 Nov. 1723 (APC v.6 [321] p.139)

Daniel Axtell v Peter and John Bonfils
APC v.3 [80] p.115–116 (3 March 1725 – 9 Aug. 1726)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.36, 81, 83, 92, 103, 212, 262, 275 (PC 2/89)
Smith TOC (Axtell v Bonfils)

John Doe (lessee in ejectment of Barrow Harris) v Thomas Barrett
Thomas Barrett in behalf of Peter Miller v Barrow Harris
APC v.3 [120] p.156–157 (13 May 1727 – 5 July 1727)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.359 (PC 2/89)
Register – George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.37 (PC 2/90)
Smith TOC (Doe ex dem. Harris v Barrett)

John Charnock et al v Dorothy Lloyd
APC v.3 [131] p.172 (2 Oct. 1727)
Register – George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.132 (PC 2/90)

Samuel and Ann Page v Thomas Samms
APC v.3 [134] p.177 (13 Nov. 1727 – 19 Nov. 1729)
Register – George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.182 (PC 2/90)
Register – George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.74, 80 (PC 2/91)

Solomon de Paz, David Bravo and Benjamin Bravo v David Gabuy (Gabay) and Isaac Gabuy (Gabay)
APC v.3 [202] p.271 (2 Feb. 1730 – 16 March 1730)
Register – George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.159, 191, 214 (PC 2/91)
Smith TOC (DePaz v Gabay)

Thomas Alden, John and Judith Standish, Alexander and Anne Geikie,
Edward and Elizabeth Brown v Charles Chaplin
APC v.3 [261] p.359 (13 April 1732 – 13 June 1732)
Register – George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.621, 627, 651, 661, 667
Smith TOC (Alden v Chaplin)

**Edward Pratter, James Rigby and Edward Manning v Richard Basnett, John Tymms and Edmond Hyde**

APC v.3 [275] p.381 (29 March 1733 – 19 July 1733)
Register – George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.139, 141, 143, 181–183, 195 (PC 2/92)

**DOCUMENTATION**
*Printed cases*
Case of appellant – Law Library of Congress

**Thomas Garbrand, by Mathias Philip and William Perrin, and Edward Pratter v Robert Strachan**

APC v.3 [282] p.391 (19 July 1733 – 3 April 1735)
Register – George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.200, 201, 221, 242, 248 (PC 2/92)
Register – George II v.4 (1 Aug. 1734 – 23 Sept. 1736) p.41, 84, 91, 93, 95, 104, 143 (PC 2/93)
Smith TOC (Garbrand v Strachan)

**John Humphrey v Samuel and Mary Smith**

APC v.3 [291] p.401 (20 Dec. 1733 – 3 April 1735)
Register – George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.280 (PC 2/92)
Register – George II v.4 (1 Aug. 1734 – 23 Sept. 1736) p.84, 126, 127–131, 146 (PC 2/93)

**DOCUMENTATION**
*Printed cases*
Case of the appellant – British Library – Add Mss 36216 f.81

**Charles Price and Sarah Price v Ann Price**

Register – George II v.4 (1 Aug. 1734 – 23 Sept. 1736) p.51, 500, 506 (PC 2/93)
Smith TOC (Price v Price)

**John Lewis, Samuel Collett, Richard Fenton and John Barker v Samuel and Anne Smith and John Vassall**

APC v.3 [355] p.481 (13 Oct. 1735 – 21 April 1737)
Register – George II v.4 (1 Aug. 1734 – 23 Sept. 1736) p.231, 384, 506 (PC 2/93)
Register – George II v. 5 (1 Oct. 1736 – 4 Sept. 1738) p.5–10, 15–20, 214 (PC 2/94)
Smith TOC (Lewis v Smith)

**DOCUMENTATION**
*Printed cases*
Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 37216 f.90

**Lewis Williams v Robert Saunders**
CARIBBEAN APPEALS

APC v.3 [356] p.482 (13 Oct. 1735 – 19 Jan. 1737)
Register – George II v.4 (1 Aug. 1734 – 23 Sept. 1736) p.231, 506 (PC 2/93)
Register – George II v.5 (1 Oct. 1736 – 4 Sept. 1738) p.27–31, 54 (PC 2/94)

**Stephen Browne v John Burke and his wife**
APC v.3 [418] p.578–579 (29 Nov. 1737 – 12 June 1739)
Register – George II v.5 (1 Oct. 1736 – 4 Sept. 1738) p.308, 490, 491, 525, 526 (PC 2/94)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.27, 167, 177, 211 (PC 2/95)
Smith TOC (Brown v Bourke)

**Dorothy Stout and Mathias Philip v James Stout**
APC v.3 [419] p.579 (29 Nov. 1737 – 27 Dec. 1739)
Register – George II v.5 (1 Oct. 1736 – 4 Sept. 1738) p.309 (PC 2/94)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.422–424, 491 (PC 2/95)
Smith TOC (Stout v Stout)

**John Doe upon the demise of Lewis, John and William Williams v Lewis Williams by Elizabeth Williams**
APC v.3 [452] p.618 (17 Nov. 1738 – 12 June 1739)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.28, 149, 161–162, 212 (PC 2/95)
Smith TOC (Williams v Williams)

**Jacob Mendez Guttierrez v William and Sarah Forbes (3 appeals)**
APC v.3 [475] p.644 (27 Sept. 1739 – 12 June 1749)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.350 (PC 2/95)
Register – George II v.8 (22 Oct. 1741 – 29 Sept. 1743) p.93, 105–107, 122 (PC 2/97)
Register – George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.214 (PC 2/99)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.262 (PC 2/101)
Smith TOC (Gutierrez v Forbes)

**Patrick Welsh (revived by James Welsh, Andrew Snow, John Lee, Ignatius Duany, and Dominic Verdon) v Edward Manning, John Ducomyn, Anthony Danvers, Samuel Spencer, William Groves, John Cockran, George Skene and Isaac Cardell**
APC v.3 [506] p.685–686 (23 Oct. 1740 – 5 May 1743)
Register – George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 Aug. 1741) p.227 (PC 2/96)
Register – George II v.8 (22 Oct. 1741 – 29 Sept. 1743) p.409 (PC 2/97)
Smith TOC (Welsh v Manning)

**Bathsua Beckford v William Beckford**
Edward Manning v Mathew Concannen
APC v.3 [526] p.703 (19 Nov. 1741)
Register – George II v.8 (22 Oct. 1741 – 29 Sept. 1743) p.13, 110, 118 (PC 2/97)
Smith TOC (Manning v Concannen)

Dennis Kelly v Ann Norris (revived against John Lewis, John Butler, William and Dorothea Wright)

Rev. Calvin Galpine v Mary Clarke and Fernley (Ferneley) Clarke
Mary Clarke and Fernley (Ferneley) Clarke
APC v.3 [541] p.722–723 (6 May 1742 – 3 May 1746)
Register – George II v.9 (1 Oct. 1743 – 27 Feb. 1745) p.660 (PC 2/98)
Register – George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.465, 470–475 (PC 2/99)

Charles and Dorothy Brown v Edmund Hyde and Robert and Jane Hamilton
Edmund Hyde v Charles and Dorothy Brown
APC v.3 [547] p.727–728 (17 May 1742 – 17 Jan. 1750)
Register – George II v.11 (1 Aug. 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.110 (PC 2/100)

Andrew Arcedeckne v James and Jane Blackwood
APC v.3 [550] p.729 (29 July 1742 – 12 July 1743)

Mathias Philip v Alexander and Jane Crawford and Thomas and Mary French
Register – George II v.9 (1 Oct. 1743 – 27 Feb. 1745) p.85 (PC 2/98)
Smith TOC (Philip v Crawford)

Anna Shanks Hassall v Robert Foster
APC v.3 [562] p.743 (16 Dec. 1742/3 – 3 Nov. 1743)
CARIBBEAN APPEALS

Register – George II v.8 (22 Oct. 1741 – 29 Sept. 1743) p.281, 385 (PC 2/97)

**Henry Bradley (revived with Lydia Bradley as party), John Gray and Benjamin Maynard v Thomas Bontein**

APC v.3 [566] p.747–748 (23 March 1743 – 11 Jan. 1749)
Register – George II v.8 (22 Oct. 1741 – 29 Sept. 1743) p.352 (PC 2/97)
Register – George II v.11 (1 Aug. 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.456 (PC 2/100)

Smith TOC (Bradley v Bontein)

**John Bennett (later his widow Mary), John Gray and Benjamin Maynard v Thomas (the first name provided in [566] of APC v.2) Bontein**

APC v.3 [567] p.748 (23 March 1743 – 11 Jan. 1749)
Register – George II v.11 (1 Aug. 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.456 (PC 2/100)

Smith TOC (Bennett v Bontein)

**Mathew and Shirley Concannen v Anne Ellis, John Ellis, William Beckford Ellis, George Ellis, William Beckford, Richard Beckford and Dorothy Nedham**

APC v.3 [583] p.769 (13 Dec. 1743/4)
Register – George II v.9 (1 Oct. 1743 – 27 Feb. 1745) p.74 (PC 2/98)

**Abraham Richardson v William, Richard and Julinus Beckford**

APC v.3 [586] p.772 (2 Feb. 1744 – 16 March 1749)
Register – George II v.9 (1 Oct. 1743 – 27 Feb. 1745) p.169, 392 (PC 2/98)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.203, 218 (PC 2/101)

Smith TOC (Richardson v Beckford)

**William Nedham and Charles Price v Dennis Kelly, Peter Beckford, Richard Mill, Mary Kelly, Henry Kelly and John Patten**

APC v.3 [588] p.775–776 (21 March 1744)

**Robert Hamilton, Jane, Frances, Margaret and Eleanor Hamilton v Abraham Richardson**

**Abraham Richardson v Robert Hamilton, Jane, Frances, Margaret and Eleanor Hamilton**

APC v.3 [594] p.781–782 (9 May 1744 – 16 March 1749)
Register – George II v.9 (1 Oct. 1743 – 27 Feb. 1745) p.316 (PC 2/98)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.168–180, 217 (PC 2/101)

Smith TOC (Hamilton v Richardson) (Richardson v Hamilton)
Abraham Richardson and Charles and Dorothy Browne v Edmund Hyde, Rose Fuller and Robert and Jane Hamilton
APC v.3 [603] p.798 (18 Sept. 1744 – 16 March 1749)
Register – George II v.9 (1 Oct. 1743 – 27 Feb. 1745) p.533 (PC 2/98)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.203, 218 (PC 2/101)

Abraham Richardson v Thomas Edlyne
APC v.3 [606] p.800 (7 Nov. 1744)
Register – George II v.9 (1 Oct. 1743 – 27 Feb. 1745) p.582 (PC 2/98)

Andrew Arcedockne v Joshua Crosby
APC v.3 [613] p.809 (8 Feb. 1745 – 23 May 1745)
Register – George II v.9 (1 Oct. 1743 – 27 Feb. 1745) p.662 (PC 2/98)
Register – George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.104 (PC 2/99)

MONTSERRAT
Bartholomew and Margaret Lynch v David Gallway
APC v.3 [146] p.190–191 (21 March 1728 – 1 Feb. 1729)
Register – George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.261, 407, 430 (PC 2/90)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 4 Dec. 1727 (APC v.6 [396] p.193)

NEVIS
Margaret Cressey v John and Mary Fausset
APC v.3 [67] p.71–72 (4 July 1724 – 7 Nov. 1724)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.6, 13 (PC 2/89)

Philip and Ann De Witt v Edward and Ann Abbott
APC v.3 [218] p.287–288 (9 July 1730 – 25 Nov. 1731)
Register – George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.261, 466–467, 480 (PC 2/91)

Tobias Wall v Edward Jessup
APC v.3 [488] p.669–670 (31 Jan. 1740 – 10 July 1740)
Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.547 (PC 2/95)
Register – George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 Aug. 1741) p.141, 147–150, 157 (PC 2/96)
Smith TOC (Wall v Jessup)

John Woodley v James Gordon and William Wells
APC v.3 [505] p.685 (23 Oct. 1740 – 21 May 1741)
Register – George II v.7 (1 April 1740 – 7 Aug. 1741) p.226, 248, 311, 466, 479 (PC 2/96)
Smith TOC (Woodley v Gordon)

ST. CHRISTOPHER
Catherine, Benjamin, and Daniel Lambert by Peter Thomas and William Woodley v John Spooner
APC v.3 [8] p.19 (28 April 1721 – 11 Aug. 1721)
Register – George I v.3 (25 Aug. 1720 – 31 May 1722) p.191, 276 (PC 2/87)

William Fry v Hermanus Trebeck
Register – George I v.4 (1 June 1722 – 25 Aug. 1724) p.616 (PC 2/88)
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.81, 134, 166 (PC 2/89)

Sarah Meynell v William Byam
Register – George I v.5 (Sept. 1724 – May 1727) p.30, 33, 134–135, 166 (PC 2/89)

Charles and Jennet Paine, Nathaniel and Christian Paine, Margaret MacArthur v Anne De Witt, Dorothy Bontinan and Elizabeth Guithen
APC v.3 [135] p.178 (13 Nov. 1727 – 26 June 1729)
Register – George II v.1 (14 June 1727 – 22 May 1729) p.182, 185, 189, 206, 218 (PC 2/90)
Register – George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.8, 11 (PC 2/91)
Smith TOC (Paine v Bontineau) (Paine v Dewitt)

Peter de la Motte v Richard Baker
APC v.3 [183] p.248 (17 July 1729 – 23 Dec. 1731)
Register – George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.29, 38, 48, 52, 62, 486, 509, 519 (PC 2/91)

Sir William Codrington v Benjamin and Ann Markham
APC v.3 [259] p.357–359 (19 Jan. 1732 – 11 April 1734)
Register – George II v.2 (1 June 1729 – 16 June 1732) p.554 (PC 2/91)
Register – George II v.3 (21 July 1732 – 18 July 1734) p.8, 87, 93–95, 96, 162, 391, 420–423, 454 (PC 2/92)

Benjamin Estridge and William Estridge v Rev. John Tittle
Rev. John Tittle v Benjamin Estridge and William Estridge
APC v.3 [382] p.508 (8 July 1736 – 17 May 1742)
Register – George II v.4 (1 Aug. 1734 – 23 Sept. 1736) p.484 (PC 2/93)
Register – George II v.5 (1 Oct. 1736 – 4 Sept. 1738) p.23, 41, 45, 56, 270, 379 (PC 2/94)
Smith TOC (Estridge v Tittle)

Michael Arnold v Gilbert Fleming, Mary Symonds and Thomas Bowry
APC v.3 [389] p.517–518 (24 Nov. 1736 – 19 May 1737)
Augustus Boyd and John Denn v Anthony Johnson, William Machan, Richard Emery, William Hart, Joseph King, John Johnson, Isaac Thomas, Christopher Dean and Anne Cameron

APC v.3 [417] p.577–578 (29 Nov. 1737 – 20 July 1738)
Register – George II v.5 (1 Oct. 1736 – 4 Sept. 1738) p.308, 524, 558, 559–563, 592 (PC 2/94)
Smith TOC (Boyd v Johnson)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of the appellant (August Boyd v John Johnson) – Law Library of Congress

William and Elizabeth Ottley and Margaret Willett v John Denn

Register – George II v.6 (1 Oct. 1738 – 27 March 1740) p.45, 362 (PC 2/95)

James Bunyard v James Losack

APC v.3 [564] p.743–744 (19 Jan. 1742/3 – 5 March 1746)
Register – George II v.8 (22 Oct. 1741 – 29 Sept. 1743) p.298, 328, 513 (PC 2/97)
Register – George II v.9 (1 Oct. 1743 – 27 Feb. 1745) p.9, 33 (PC 2/98)
Register – George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.147, 380 (PC 2/99)
Smith TOC (Bunyard v Losack)

William and Elizabeth Ottley and Margaret Willet v Martin Blake

APC v.3 [570] p.749–750 (25 April 1743 – 21 Feb. 1746)
Register – George II v.8 (22 Oct. 1741 – 29 Sept. 1743) p.391 (PC 2/97)
Register – George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.274, 317, 326, 329, 337 (PC 2/99)

Rev. John Tittle v John White

APC v.3 [609] p.805–806 (27 Dec. 1744 – 11 April 1745)
Register – George II v.9 (1 Oct. 1743 – 27 Feb. 1745) p.605 (PC 2/98)
Register – George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.3–6, 56 (PC 2/99)
Smith TOC (Tittle v White)

ITEM WITH NO APPARENT FINAL COURT DECISION BELOW,
THOUGH INDEXED IN THE APC AS AN APPEAL

Volume 3
St. Christopher – [153]

APPEALS BY COLONY – Volume 4

ANTIGUA

William Nibbs (later Robert Nibbs) v Giles Blizard by Stephen Blizard

APC v.4 [50] p.40 (17 Nov. 1746 – 11 March 1752)
Register – George II v.11 (1 Aug. 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.140 (PC 2/100)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.511 (PC 2/101)
Register – George II. v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.525, 533–535, 549 (PC 2/102)

**Benjamin King, appeal of**

APC v.4 [108] p.82 (2 May 1749)  
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.244 (PC 2/101)  
Note: Petition is for a writ of error and an appeal if necessary.

**John and Eleanor Dunbar, Elizabeth Watkins and Ann Watkins (the Watkins by Jonas Langford and William Mackinen) v Thomas Shephard (later Thomas Shephard [the son], Thomas Warner, Daniel Warner, Richard Bingham, Robert Shephard, Richard Boddicott and Stephen Mignian [Minion], George White) and Rachel Shephard**  
APC v.4 [109] p.82–83 (2 May 1749 – 7 March 1753)  
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.245 (PC 2/101)  
Smith TOC (Dunbar v Shephard)

**DOCUMENTATION**

Printed cases  
Case of respondent – Law Library of Congress

**John and Elinor Dunbar, William and Elizabeth Gunthorpe, and Ann Watkins by her guardians, Stephen Blizard, Jonas Langford, William Mackinen, Robert Christian, and John Watkins v Ashton Warner (later deceased; revived by Henry Webb), Daniel Warner, De la Court Walsh, Margaret Watkins, John Watkins, Christopher and Jane Baldwin (Baldwyn), and Mary Watkins by her guardian Richard Lee**  
APC v.4 [161] p.149–150 (14 Jan. 1752 – 7 March 1753)  
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.432, 493 (PC 2/102)  

**DOCUMENTATION**

Printed cases  
Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36217 f.34  
Case of appellant and respondent – Law Library of Congress

**John Turnbull v Rev. William Topham**  
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.437, 480–483, 496 (PC 2/102)

**Gedney Clarke and Richard Gosling v Richard Smith**  
APC v.4 [170] p.158 (11 March 1752 – 10 May 1753)  
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.304, 551 (PC 2/102)  
Smith TOC (Clarke and Gosling v Smith)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Printed cases*

Case of appellant and respondent with appendix – Law Library of Congress

Note: The APC margin note for this appeal is Antigua; the lower court was in Antigua; the litigants live in Barbados, as reflected on the printed case.


APC v.4 [174] p.162–163 (14 April 1752 – 9 Jan. 1755)

Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.36, 545 (PC 2/103)


**DOCUMENTATION**

*Printed cases*

Case of appellant and respondent – Law Library of Congress

**Robert Davis v William Warner**


Register – George II v.16 (1 Jan. 1756 – 31 Dec. 1757) p.555 (PC 2/105)

Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.69, 190, 191–194, 222 (PC 2/106)


Smith TOC (Davis v Warner)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Printed cases*

Case of appellant and respondent – Law Library of Congress

**Robert Ritchie v John Dearman Nanton**

APC v.4 [368] p.396–397 (21 Nov. 1758 – 29 March 1759)


Smith TOC (Ritchie v Nanton)

**Peter Prudden in behalf of the owners v John Halliday**

APC v.4 [464] p.502 (3 Dec. 1761)


**John Harvey v Christopher Ceeley, Alexander and Elizabeth Harvey, Rebecca Darcy, Anthony Brown, Allan Evanson and Nathaniel Evanson**


Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.432, 436 (PC 2/109)

Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.184, 190, 195 (PC 2/110)


**DOCUMENTATION**

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew

Committee Report – 19 Dec. 1763 (APC v.6 [579] p.359–360)

**Victor McNelly v Thomas Knowler**

**William Woodbridge v Thomas Knowler**

**Joseph Guinand and Henry Guinand v Thomas Knowler**

**Anthony Columbier v Thomas Knowler**


Smith TOC (McNelly v Knowler; Guinand v Knowler)

**DOCUMENTATION**

Printed cases

Four printed cases of the appellants with appendixes and respondent’s printed case with manuscript “Observations” – British Library – Add Mss 36220 f.80

Note: The case was first tried in the Vice-Admiralty Court in Antigua; the ship was seized in Dominique, as is reflected on the printed case.

**Robert Fulton, appeal of**

APC v.4 [616] p.728–729 (10 July 1765)


Note: Vice-Admiralty Court action is required before any appeal.

**DOCUMENTATION**

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew

Four petitions and four committee reports of 17 July – 10 July 1765 (APC v.6 [666] p.403)

**John Payne v William Abdy**

APC v.4 [618] p.729 (1 Aug. 1765 – 26 June 1767)

Register – George III v.4 (1 Oct. 1764 – 27 Aug. 1766) p.320, 323, 343 (PC 2/111)


Smith TOC (Payne v Abdy)

**DOCUMENTATION**

Printed cases

Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36220 f.142

Case of appellant – Columbia University. Diamond Law Library

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew

Committee Report – 10 June 1767 (APC v.6 [761] p.453)
BAHAMAS

Edward Scott v John Brown as Attorney General
APC v.4 [648] p.758 (28 May 1766)

John Bryant v Samuel Gambier, James Bradford, John Brown and Samuel Gambier
APC v.4 [658] p.768 (2 July 1766 – 11 July 1766)

BARBADOS

George Hannay v James Lyndall
APC v.4 [80] p. 61 (22 March 1748 – 17 June 1751)
Register – George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.623 (PC 2/100)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.227, 235, 238–239, 248 (PC 2/102)

Gedney Clarke v Stephen Wolfenden, John Brichinsa and Thomas Liddel
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.552 (PC 2/102)

George Hogshard v John and Hannah Fuller and Anthony Lynch
APC v.4 [183] p.172 (6 Aug. 1752)
Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.182 (PC 2/103)

William Kennedy v John Gay and Christian Alleyne and Thomas Nicholas
APC v.4 [203] p.198 (7 Feb. 1753)
Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.315 (PC 2/103)

John and Margaret Stone v Peter Chapman
Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.524 (PC 2/103)

Smith TOC (Stone v Chapman)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of appellant – Law Library of Congress

John and Sarah Pratt and Elizabeth Eyles v William Broome and Susannah Broome
APC v.4 [259] p.284 (29 Jan. 1755 – 24 June 1755)
CARIBBEAN APPEALS

Alexander Graeme, James Shepherd and Henry Dowell, appeal of
APC v.4 [301] p.325 (27 Jan. 1756)
Register – George II v.16 (1 Jan. 1756 – 31 Dec. 1757) p.15
Note: Described as an appeal from an order of the President and Council
regarding a land grant. Indexed as an appeal but not clear if there is a respondent
as such or just an objection to the President’s grant.

Samuel Adams, George Greeme, Elizabeth Maxwell, Thomas and Elizabeth
Inch v William Sturge
William Sturge v Samuel Adams, George Greeme, Elizabeth Maxwell,
Thomas and Elizabeth Inch
John Gibbons v Thomas Maxwell, Robert Bishop and Samuel Adams
APC v.4 [310] p.334 (10 April 1756 – 1 April 1758)
Register – George II v.16 (1 Jan. 1756 – 31 Dec. 1757) p.158, 159, 541, 548,
554–555, 570 (PC 2/105)
Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.46–47, 93 (PC 2/106)
Smith TOC (Adams v Sturge)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of appellant – British Library – Add Mss 36217 f.172
Case of appellant and respondent – Law Library of Congress

Gedney Clarke v Richard Oswald, Alexander Oswald, Michael Herries and
Company, and Ralph Sampson
APC v.4 [402] p.427 (10 Aug. 1759 – 5 April 1760)
Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.111, 310, 329 (PC
2/107)

George Augustus Selwyn v John Murray
APC v.4 [429] p.462 (11 July 1760 – 16 Feb. 1761)
Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.450 (PC 2/107)
2/108)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of appellant – British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.90

John and Margaret Stone v Joseph and Elizabeth Maynard
Jonas Maynard v John and Margaret Stone
APC v.4 [459] p.494 (12 Oct. 1761 – 12 April 1762)
Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.75, 120, 170, 153, 175,
201 (PC 2/109)
Smith TOC (Stone v Maynard; Maynard v Stone)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of appellant and respondent (Stone v Maynard) – British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.166

**William Nash v Gedney Clarke**
APC v.4 [486] p.548 (14 Aug. 1762 – 21 Dec. 1763)
Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.343, 536 (PC 2/109)
Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.181, 194 (PC 2/110)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew*
Committee Report – 15 Dec. 1763 (APC v.6 [579] p.359)

**Thomas and Alice Suleven v Dr. Reynold Skeete**
APC v.4 [518] p.568 (31 Aug. 1763 – 26 July 1765)
Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.89, 607 (PC 2/110)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Printed cases*
Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36219 f.140
Note: Respondent’s printed case notes appellant as Thomas Sullivan. There is also a copy of the respondent’s case in manuscript.

**Benjamin Charnock v Mary Sober and Dowding Thornhill Bonnet**
APC v.4 [574] p.688 (1 Aug. 1764 – 26 July 1765)
Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.592 (PC 2/110)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew*
Committee Report – 17 July 1765 (APC v.6 [667] p.403)

**John Carter v Katherine Sims**
APC v.4 [585] p.695 (15 Jan. 1765 – 14 April 1769)
Register – George III v.4 (1 Oct. 1764 – 27 Aug. 1766) p.70, 162 (PC 2/111)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.476–477, 536 (PC 2/113)
Smith TOC (Carter v Sims)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Printed cases*
Case of appellant with appendix and respondent with appendix – British Library – Add Mss 36220 f.183
Case of appellant with appendix and respondent with appendix – Columbia University. Diamond Law Library

*Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew*
Committee Report – 11 March 1769 (APC v.6 [812] p.470)

**Francis Grant v William Singleton and Samuel Welch**
APC v.4 [654] p.767 (18 June 1766 – 26 June 1767)
CARIBBEAN APPEALS


DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36220 f.135
Case of respondent – Columbia University. Diamond Law Library
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 10 June 1767 (APC v.6 [761] p.453–454)

BERMUDA
Lawrence Furlong v Thomas Smith and John Slater
APC v.4 [657] p.768 (2 July 1766 – 5 Aug. 1766)
Smith TOC (Furlong v Smith)

GRENADA
John Lymburner and Magnus Brash v Richard Burke
Stephen Moore and Hugh Finlay v Richard Burke
APC v.4 [633] p.741 (22 Nov. 1765 – 3 Dec. 1766)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew
Committee report (Lymburner v Burke; Moore v Burke) – Dec. 1766 (APC v.6 [731] p.440)

JAMAICA
(See interesting note at APC v.4 [283] p.309–311 regarding the practice of the Court of Chancery in that island.)

Ballard Beckford v Edward Manning
APC v.4 [8] p.8–9 (18 June 1745)
Register – George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.121 (PC 2/99)

Andrew Arcedckne v Daniel Monro and Mathias Philp (later William Perrin, Charles Burnett and Mark Hall for Mathias Phips)
APC v.4 [30] p.23 (23 July 1746 – 3 Dec. 1751)
Register – George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.503, 534 (PC 2/99)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.369, 405 (PC /102)

Isaac Gale v Alexander Strachan, Edward Wilson, Richard Basnett and the Attorney General
APC v.4 [41] p.35–36 (17 Nov. 1746 – 29 March 1750)
Register – George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.41 (PC 2/100)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.204, 299, 340–348,
Useful Lists

528 (PC 2/101)
Smith TOC (Gale v Strachan)

Sarah Williams, James Barclay and John Lewis v Norwood and Bonilla
Witter and Benjamin and Hannah Blake
APC v.4 [42] p.36 (17 Nov. 1746 – 8 Sept. 1747)
Register – George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.42, 331, 344, 345,
362–365, 383 (PC 2/100)
Note: Family affair also in APC v.4 [142], [583] and APC v.5 [355]

Anna Hassall v John Morse
APC v.4 [58] p.42–43 (3 June 1747 – 28 June 1749)
Register – George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.279, 460, 467 (PC 2/100)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.212, 255–258, 287
(PC 2/101)
Smith TOC (Hassall v Morse)

Richard and Milborough Cargill v Edward Hodgins, Gibbons Hodgins, John
Speke and Elizabeth Sutton

John Speke and Elizabeth Sutton v Richard and Milborough Cargill
APC v.4 [68] p.50–51 (8 Sept. 1747 – 28 June 1750)
Register – George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.386 (PC 2/100)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.204, 245–246, 247,
248 (PC 2/101)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.35, 39 (PC 2/102)

William Orgill v William Thomas and Thomas Croose
APC v.4 [69] p.51 (2 Oct. 1747 – 10 Feb. 1748)
Register – George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.345, 405, 528, 540
(PC 2/100)
Smith TOC (Orgill v Thomas)

Philip Wilkinson and Daniel Ayrault v Moses Mendez, Abraham Musquitta,
Mary Edgar, Patrick Yeamans and Arthur Hassall
APC v.4 [77] p.58–59 (19 Feb. 1748 – 30 April 1751)
Register – George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.548 (PC 2/100)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.103, 104, 119, 126,
208 (PC 2/102)
Smith TOC (Wilkinson v Mendez)

Francis Hals v Benjamin and Elizabeth Hume
APC v.4 [81] p.62 (22 March 1748)
Register – George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.623 (PC 2/100)

William Thomas, Thomas Croose (replaced by Richard Lewing), Mathias
Philp, Charles Price, and Thomas Walters v John Dickons and Alexander
and Mary Sutherland
CARIBBEAN APPEALS

APC v.4 [87] p.67–68 (30 June 1748 – 26 Nov. 1751)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.59 (PC 2/101)

Sarah Elletson v David and Mary Dehany
APC v.4 [88] p.68 (30 June 1748 – 8 July 1757)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.59 (PC 2/101)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.247 (PC 2/102)
Register – George II v.16 (1 Jan. 1756 – 31 Dec. 1757) p.521, 546, 551 (PC 2/105)

Samuel Jackson v David Olyphant
APC v.4 [90] p.68–69 (4 Aug. 1748 – 7 Feb. 1753)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.82 (PC 2/101)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of appellant – Law Library of Congress

James Barclay and John Knight v Frances Rigby and Robert Penny as Attorney General

James Barclay v Robert Penny as Attorney General
APC v.4 [92] p.69 (13 Oct. 1748 – 14 April 1752)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.99 (PC 2/101)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.64, 535 (PC 2/102)
Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.6–12, 30 (PC 2/103)
Smith TOC (Barclay v Rex)

Alexander McFarlane v Robert Penny as Attorney General
APC v.4 [96] p.72 (15 Nov. 1748)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.114 (PC 2/101)

Collin Campbell v (first name not provided) Bucknor
APC v.4 [102] p.76 (16 Feb. 1749)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.187 (PC 2/101)
Note: It is not clear from the APC entry if Bucknor is, in fact, the respondent in this appeal.

William and Mary Goleborn v Daniel Axtell
APC v.4 [104] p.81 (16 March 1749)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.230 (PC 2/101)

Robert Thackeray v Richard Farrill
APC v.4 [110] p.83 (2 May 1749)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.246 (PC 2/101)

William Beckford Ellis by his guardians Rose Fuller and Richard Beckford
v Richard Halked
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.318, 323 (PC 2/101)
(PC 2/103)

John Garrioche v James and Mary Uniacke and Cardiffe Targart
Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.531, 544 (PC 2/103)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of appellant and respondent – Law Library of Congress

Edmund Duany v Dr. Robert Dallas
APC v.4 [127] p.99 (11 April 1750 – 20 Dec. 1752)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.549 (PC 2/101)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.419 (PC 2/102)

Catherine Crymble and Roger Crymble, appeal of
APC v.4 [135] p.107 (16 Aug. 1750)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.77 (PC 2/102)
Smith TOC (Crymble v Doe ex dem. Crymble)

Norwood and Bonella Witter and Benjamin (jun.) and Hannah Blake v John Doe (on the demise of John Sharpe, William Perrin, and Thomas Vaughan)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.113 (PC 2/102)
Note: Family affair also in APC v.4 [42], [583] and APC v.5 [355]

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of respondent – Law Library of Congress

James Barclay v Humphrey Morley
APC v.4 [143] p.111 (30 April 1751 – 14 April 1752)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.219, 504 (PC 2/102)
Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.12–16, 31 (PC 2/103)

John Bonner v Charles Price
Charles Price v John Bonner (3 appeals)
APC v.4 [144] p.111–112 (30 April 1751 – 30 June 1757)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.219 (PC 2/102)
Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.80, 84–90, 109 (PC 2/103)
Register – George II v.16 (1 Jan. 1756 – 31 Dec. 1757) p.186, 252, 526–530, 540 (PC 2/105)

Smith TOC (Price v Bonner)

Printed cases
Case (Price v Bonner) of appellant and respondent with appendixes – Law Library of Congress

John Pusey v Edmund Pusey (2 appeals)
APC v.4 [151] p.130 (9 Aug. 1751 – 31 Oct. 1751)

Smith TOC (Pusey v Pusey)

David Lord Olyphant v Edward Manning
APC v.4 [152] p.130 (9 Aug. 1751 – 10 May 1753)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.289 (PC 2/102)

Smith TOC (Olyphant v Manning)

John Patten (revived by Richard Beckford), Forster March, Stephen Richard Redwood and Francis Hamilton v Esther Nunes and later also William Aikenhead
APC v.4 [154] p.131 (26 Sept. 1751 – 6 Aug. 1754)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.305 (PC 2/102)
Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.357, 365 (PC 2/103)

Smith TOC (Beckford v Nunes and Aikenhead)

Printed cases
Case of appellant and respondent for both respondents – Law Library of Congress
Note: Printed case bears Richard Beckford’s name as appellant.

James Crean v Thomas and Elizabeth Biggs
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.356 (PC 2/102)
Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.303, 430, 431–434, 442 (PC 2/107)

William Perrin, Mark Hall, Charles Burnett and Charles Spencer v Thomas
USEFUL LISTS

Crowder, James Barclay, Samuel Johnston, John and Mary Wilmore, Alexander Greig, William Gale, Robert Sawyers, Thomas Reid, Isaac Lamego, Daniel Mendes Da Costa, Aaron Baruh Lousada, Abigail Brandon, Abraham Gonsales, Jacob Gonsales, Samuel Seagrave, Clement Hoy, Judith De Castro, Moses Lamera, Aaron Lamera, John Glen, John and Catherine Hussey, John Morse, Mary Macey, and Caleb Foyster

APC v.4 [163] p.151 (14 Jan. 1752 – 30 June 1752)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.433 (PC 2/102)
Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.154 (PC 2/103)

Alexander McFarlane v Attorney General

William Thomas v Attorney General (4 appeals)

Patrick Yeamans v Attorney General (2 appeals)

Anna Hassall v Attorney General


DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew

Committee reports (appeals of Thomas and Yeamans) – 8 Feb. 1752 (APC v.6 [492] p.311)

John Spooner (revived by Charles Spooner) v John Cossley, Judith Burrows and Cossley Hall

APC v.4 [169] p.157–158 (23 Jan. 1752 – 1 April 1758)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.468 (PC 2/102)
Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.158 (PC 2/103)
Register – George II v.16 (1 Jan. 1756 – 31 Dec. 1757) p.259 (PC 2/105)
Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.38, 47, 49–53, 93 (PC 2/106)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed cases

Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36217 f.208
Case of appellant and respondent – Law Library of Congress

James Lawrence v William Tayler and John Wilson

Smith TOC (Lawrence v Wilson and Taylor)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed cases

Case of respondent – Law Library of Congress

Note: Printed case is entitled James Lawrence v John Wilson and William Taylor.
John Bontin v James Innes
Register – George II v.15 (1 Jan. 1754 – 31 Dec. 1755) p.175, 205, 276 (PC 2/104)
Register – George II v.16 (1 Jan. 1756 – 31 Dec. 1757) p.49, 85, 86, 95 (PC 2/105)
Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.75, 190, 194–198, 222 (PC 2/106)
Smith TOC (Boutin v Innes)

Thomas Bontein v Governor Trelawny
APC v.4 [218] p.223 (31 July 1753 – 22 Jan. 1754)
Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.459, 546 (PC 2/103)
Smith TOC (Bontein v Trelawny)

Ezekiel Dickinson and Caleb Dickinson v John Doe on the demise of Alexander McFarlane
Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.543 (PC 2/103)
Smith TOC (Dickinson v Doe ex dem. McFarlane)
Note: Possibly related to APC v.4 [478]

Edmund Hyde v Robert and Dorothy Greenlees

Edmund Hyde v Dorothy Greenlees
APC v.4 [232] p.243–244 (28 March 1754 – 7 July 1756)

Zachary Bayly v George Smith
APC v.4 [237] p.255–256 (29 May 1754 – 2 March 1756)
Register – George II v.16 (1 Jan. 1756 – 31 Dec. 1757) p.39, 48–49, 84 (PC 2/105)
Smith TOC (Bayly v Smith)
Printed cases
Case of appellant and respondent – Law Library of Congress

Samuel Gordon and William and Elizabeth Thomas v Anna Margareta Hill
(2 appeals)
Register – George II v.16 (1 Jan. 1756 – 31 Dec. 1757) p.55, 254–256, 266 (PC 2/105)
Smith TOC (Gordon v Hill)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of appellant – Law Library of Congress

William Foster (revived by James and Martha Spagg) v Augustine Dupouy
Margaret Blancau and Dominic Laraldi, appeal of
APC v.4 [275] p.295–296 (26 April 1755 – 28 July 1758)
Register – George II v.15 (1 Jan. 1754 – 31 Dec. 1755) p.379, 442 (PC 2/104)
Register – George II v.16 (1 Jan. 1756 – 31 Dec. 1757) p.15, 406 (PC 2/105)
Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.59, 163, 173–178, 221 (PC 2/106)
Smith TOC (Foster v Dupouy)
Note: See also APC v.4 [471]

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case (Margaret Blancau and Dominick Larralde v Robert Foster, James Spagg and Martha his wife, executors of William Foster, and Augustine Dupouy) of appellant (Blancau) – British Library – Add Mss 36217 f.210
Case (Margaret Blancau et al v Augustine Dupoy et al) of the respondent – Law Library of Congress
Case (Foster v Dupoy) of respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36217 f.212
Case (Foster v Dupoy) of respondent – Law Library of Congress
Case (Spagg v Dupouy) of appellant – British Library – Add Mss 36217 f.216
Note: Variant spellings throughout for names of parties

Thomas Pinnock, appeal of
APC v.4 [282] p.309 (22 July 1755)
Register – George II v.15 (1 Jan. 1754 – 31 Dec. 1755) p.468 (PC 2/104)

William Perrin v Richard Beckford and Richard Lewing
APC v.4 [292] p.320–321 (28 Nov. 1755 – 9 July 1756)
Register – George II v.15 (1 Jan. 1754 – 31 Dec. 1755) p.542 (PC 2/104)
Register – George II v.16 (1 Jan. 1756 – 31 Dec. 1757) p.258, 260 (PC 2/105)

James Barclay v Daniel Monro
APC v.4 [300] p.324–325 (27 Jan. 1756 – 26 March 1757)
Andrew Arcedeckne v Thomas Hall

APC v.4 [316] p.340 (7 July 1756 – 24 Feb. 1758)

Smith TOC (Arcedeckne v Hall)

Joseph Williams v Hannah Beecher


Note: On respondent’s printed case, the respondent is William Jenkins and Hannah his wife, late Hannah Beecher.

Mary Baillie v Thomas Cussans and John Cussans by Henry Morgan

Byndloss and Thomas Byndloss as their guardians and Matthew Gregory and Patrick Taylors

Thomas Powell v Elizabeth Hughes, Walter Hughes, Charles Hughes, and Jennet, Catherine, Joan and Mary Hughes, children of Stephen Hughes

Thomas Powell v Elizabeth Hughes, Walter Hughes, Charles Hughes, and Jennet, Catherine, Joan and Mary Hughes, children of Stephen Hughes, and Catherine Hughes, widow of Stephen Hughes

Smith TOC (Powell v Hughes)
**Printed cases**

Case of appellant and respondent plus appendix of accounts – British Library – Add Mss 36217 f.139

Case of respondent with appendix – Law Library of Congress

**Zachary Bayly v Gifford Rodon**


Register – George II v.16 (1 Jan. 1756 – 31 Dec. 1757) p.291, 571 (PC 2/105)

Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.29, 40 (PC 2/106)

Smith TOC (Bayly v Rodon)

**Edmund Hyde v Daniel Monro, James Barclay, Thomas Crowder and Samuel Gordon**

APC v.4 [356] p.388 (16 June 1758 – 3 March 1759)

Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.171, 379, 380, 396 (PC 2/106)

**John and Hannah Vanhorne v John Montgomery and Dennis Montgomery, William and Elizabeth Hall, and James and Margaret Campsey**

APC v.4 [357] p.388 (16 June 1758 – 24 July 1759)

Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.172 (PC 2/106)

Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.68, 79 (PC 2/107)

**Alexander Graham v William Perrin**

APC v.4 [358] p.388 (16 June 1758)

Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.172 (PC 2/106)

**Amy Cross v Thomas Nicholas Swigle**

APC v.4 [361] p.393 (28 July 1758)

Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.226–227 (PC 2/106)

**John Bell v William Perrin, Charles Spencer and Mark Hall**

APC v.4 [365] p.395 (6 Nov. 1758 – 29 March 1759)

Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.270, 405, 437–439, 454 (PC 2/106)

**John M’Leod and William Patrick Brown v Susannah Elletson and Thomas Hope Elletson**

APC v.4 [367] p.396 (21 Nov. 1758 – 16 May 1765)

Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.285 (PC 2/106)


**William Perrin (later by his widow Frances Perrin) v Samuel Malcher and George Hinde**

Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.294, 402 (PC 2/106)
Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.285, 299, 324 (PC 2/109)
Smith TOC (Perrin v Malcher)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew

Committee Report – 15 July 1762 (APC v.6 [539] p.339)

Hannah Blake v Alexander Paterson

DOCUMENTATION

Printed cases

Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.11

Zachary Bayly and Nathaniel Bayly v Peter Furnell
APC v.4 [373] p.400–401 (25 Jan. 1759 – 2 July 1761)
Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.337 (PC 2/106)
Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.192, 335 (PC 2/107)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed cases

Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.113

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew

Committee Report – 27 June 1761 (APC v.6 [518] p.332)

Thomas Peters (later also Thomas Hercey Barritt and Thomas Biggs) v John Bourke et al

Sir John Molesworth and Thomas Peters v Thomas Fearon
APC v.4 [385] p.413–414 (11 April 1759 – 26 July 1765)
Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.471 (PC 2/106)
Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.131, 493 (PC 2/107)
Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.176–187, 202 (PC 2/109)
Smith TOC (Peters v Bourke)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed cases

Case (Thomas Peters v John Bourke) of appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.161 and Add Mss 36219 f.261
Case (Sir John Molesworth and Thomas Peters v Thomas Fearon) of appellant
and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.154

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew

Committee Report – 18 July 1765 (APC v.6 [667] p.403)

**Francis Delap v Samuel Lindsey**

APC v.4 [391] p.419 (25 April 1759 – 2 July 1761)

Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.490 (PC 2/106)

Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.132 (PC 2/107)


Smith TOC (Delap v Lindsey)

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed cases**

Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.121

**Zachary Bayly v Rev. John Poole, Theodore Stone and Francis Birbeck**

APC v.4 [392] p.419–420 (26 April 1759 – 11 July 1760)

Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.497 (PC 2/106)

Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.334, 418–422, 442 (PC 2/107)

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed cases**

Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.40

**John Weatherby, John Stephens and Diederich Jacobi v Andrew Rait**


Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.275, 513 (PC 2/106)

Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.430 (PC 2/107)


**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed cases**

Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.86

**Jasper Hall, John and Lucy Evans v Benjamin Tanner**

APC v.4 [398] p.423 (24 May 1759)

Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.8 (PC 2/107)

**Samuel Adams v John Nimble and William Orgill**

APC v.4 [399] p.423 (11 July 1759 – 11 July 1760)

Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.24, 61, 418, 422–425, 443 (PC 2/107)

Smith TOC (Adams v Nimble)

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed cases**

Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.64

Note: Respondent’s name on his printed case is Nembhard.

**Zachary Bayly v Isaac Gale and John Gale and Mary Samms**
Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.110, 215, 217 (PC 2/107)

Smith TOC (Bayly v Gale)

Dr. Samuel Ranshin (later revived by Thomas Harper) v David and Elinor Dove
APC v.4 [403] p.427–428 (29 Aug. 1759 – 2 July 1761)
Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.132 (PC 2/107)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.105

William Beckford, Julinus Beckford and Rose Fuller v Robert Halked, sen.
APC v.4 [405] p.431–432 (12 Sept. 1759 – 11 July 1760)
Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.48, 145, 418, 426–430, 442 (PC 2/107)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.72

Colin M’Kenzie v Dally Jackson Woodhouse by Peter Woodhouse
APC v.4 [408] p.436–437 (9 Nov. 1759 – 3 Aug. 1764)
Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.23, 193, 335 (PC 2/107)
Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.568–570, 593 (PC 2/110)

Smith TOC (McKenzie v Woodhouse)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36219 f.110
Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 27 July 1764 (APC v.6 [618] p.371)

Richard Cross v Michael Atkins, John Curtis, Mark Davis and the Attorney General
Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.185, 361 (PC 2/107)
Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.184, 186–190, 194 (PC 2/110)

Smith TOC (Cross v Atkins; Cross v Davis)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of appellant and respondent with supplementary manuscript “Observations”
– British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.209
Note: In Richard Crosse v Michael Atkins, the respondent on the respondent’s printed case is the Attorney General of Jamaica.

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 19 Dec. 1763 (APC v.6 [579] p.359–360)

**Duke of Argyll and Earl of Ilay, Andrew Fletcher (Lord Milton), John Gregory and Matthew Gregory v William, Earl of Home, and his wife Elizabeth, Countess of Home**
APC v.4 [428] p.462 (11 July 1760)
Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.450, 503 (PC 2/107)

**Edmund Hyde v Foster March**
Smith TOC (Hyde v March)

**Registered cases**
Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.92

**Robert Mantle v Charles Le Conte**
**Charles Le Conte v Robert Mantle**
APC v.4 [438] p.468–471 (28 Jan. 1761 – 5 April 1762)
Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.121–123, 167 (PC 2/109)

**John Harvey v Jasper Hall**

**Vincente Abendana and Don Francisco Xavier da Costa on behalf of himself and Juan Antonio de la Sierra and Andres Sesey, appeal of**
APC v.4 [440] p.472 (28 Jan. 1761 – 12 April 1762)
Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.135, 174, 200 (PC 2/109)

**Zachary Bayly v Thomas Jackson (2 appeals)**
Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.136, 173, 200 (PC 2/109)
Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.472, 586, 600 (PC...

Smith TOC (Bayly v Jackson)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed cases
Case of appellant – British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.207
Case of appellant and respondent with manuscript “Observations” – British Library – Add Mss 36219 f.154

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 27 July 1764 (APC v.6 [618] p.371)
Committee Report – 16 July 1765 (APC v.6 [667] p.403)

Dr. John Burn v Julia Cole
APC v.4 [447] p.484 (17 March 1761 – 12 April 1762)
Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.172, 200 (PC 2/109)
Smith TOC (Burn v Cole)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of appellant – British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.144

William Perrin v Theophilact and Florence Blechynden
APC v.4 [455] p.490 (25 June 1761 – 2 July 1761)
Smith TOC (Perrin v Blechynden)

Richard Bradburne v John McAnuff
Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.246, 300, 324 (PC 2/109)
Smith TOC (Bradburne v McAnuff)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 15 July 1762 (APC v.6 [539] p.339)

Helen Sinclair v Samuel and Sibella Elizabeth Shiffner and George Papley
APC v.4 [470] p.526–527 (15 Feb. 1762 – 12 April 1762)
Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.74, 136, 174, 201 (PC 2/109)

Augustin(e) Dupouy v Dominic Laraldi and Margaret Blancau
Margaret Blancau and Dominic Laraldi v Augustin(e) Dupouy
APC v.4 [471] p.527 (15 Feb. 1762 – 3 Aug. 1764)
Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.74, 265 (PC 2/109)
Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.36, 574–578, 589–590, 598, 599 (PC 2/110)
Note: See also APC v.4 [275]

DOCUMENTATION

Printed cases
Case (Dupouy v Laraldi and Blancau) of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36219 f.94
Note: Various spellings Dupouy/Dupoy, Laraldi/Larralde, and Blancau/Blancan

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 27 and 28 July 1764 (APC v.6 [618] p.371)

Walter McFarlane and Dr. William McFarlane v Ezekiel Dickinson and Caleb Dickinson in the name of John Doe
APC v.4 [478] p.536 (12 April 1762 – 3 Aug. 1764)
Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.203 (PC 2/109)
Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.585, 600 (PC 2/110)
Note: Possibly related to APC v.4 [226]

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 27 July 1764 (APC v.6 [618] p.371)

Peter and Gibbons Serjeant v Francis Gale
APC v.4 [501] p.557 (23 Feb. 1763 – 20 July 1763)
Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.505 (PC 2/109)
Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.48, 55 (PC 2/110)
Note: Zachary Bayly also possibly an appellant

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 9 July 1763 (APC v.6 [564] p.357)

Zachary Bayly v Attorney General of Jamaica
Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.190, 471 (PC 2/110)
Smith TOC (Bayly v Attorney General of Jamaica)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed cases
Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36219 f.256

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew
Petition of Z. Bayly – 6 June 1764 (APC v.6 [612] p.369)
Committee Report – 17 July 1765 (APC v.6 [667] p.403)

Zachary Bayly v John Harvie (later deceased) and Alexander Harvie
APC v.4 [557] p.672 (30 June 1764 – 21 May 1765)
Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.486 (PC 2/110)
Register – George III v.4 (1 Oct. 1764 – 27 Aug. 1766) p.185, 197, 204 (PC 2/111)

Smith TOC (Baylie v Harvey)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew

Petition of A. Harvie – 5 May 1765 (APC v.6 [649] p.395)

Mark Hall, Charles Spencer, Malcolm Laing, William Philp Perrin by Malcolm Laing as next friend v George and Christian Anderson et al

APC v.4 [558] p.672–673 (5 July 1764 – 26 July 1765)

Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.491 (PC 2/110)


DOCUMENTATION

Printed cases

Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36219 f.210

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew

Committee Report – 16 July 1765 (APC v.6 [667] p.403)

William Beckford v Samuel Jeake

APC v.4 [564] p.682–683 (11 July 1764 – 26 July 1765)

Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.509 (PC 2/110)


Smith TOC (Beckford v Jeake)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed cases

Case of appellant and respondent with printed appendix to the appellant’s case and much supplementary material in manuscript – British Library – Add Mss 36219 f.168

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew

Committee Report – 17 July 1765 (APC v.6 [667] p.403)

Henry Israel, jun. v William Donaldson

APC v.4 [575] p.688–689 (3 Aug. 1764 – 26 July 1765)

Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.604 (PC 2/110)


Smith TOC (Israel v Innes [Donaldson])

DOCUMENTATION

Printed cases

Case of appellant (also in manuscript) and respondent with a printed appendix to the respondent’s case – British Library – Add Mss 36219 f.217

Note: Appellant’s printed case is entitled Henry Israel v His Majesty’s Advocate General at the relation of William Donaldson, Collector of the Customs for the
island of Jamaica. Respondent’s printed case records parties as Henry Israel
Junior v James Innes, his Majesty’s Advocate General for Jamaica.

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 16 July 1765 (APC v.6 [667] p.403)

**John Doe, lessee of William Perrin and Thomas Vaughan v Norwood Witter**

**John Doe, lessee of William Perrin and Thomas Vaughan v Hannah Blake**

(PC 2/111)

Smith TOC (Perrin v Witter; Perrin v Blake)

Note: Family affair also in APC v.4 [42], [142] and APC v.5 [355]

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Printed cases**

Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36219 f.236

Note: Respondent’s printed case entitles the appeal William Perrin and Thomas
Vaughan v Hannah Blake.

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 16 July 1765 (APC v.6 [667] p.403)

**George and Mary Bonynge v John Pusey**

APC v.4 [593] p.704 (22 Feb. 1765 – 26 July 1765)
Register – George III v.4 (1 Oct. 1764 – 27 Aug. 1766) p.72, 120, 277, 309 (PC
2/111)

**DOCUMENTATION**

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew
Appearance entered and Order of Reference – 23 Jan. 1765 and 22 Feb. 1765
(APC v.6 [628] p.384)
Committee Report – 16 July 1765 (APC v.6 [667] p.403)

**Zachary Bayly v James Ord and James Prevost**

APC v.4 [608] p.724 (21 May 1765 – 26 July 1765)
2/111)

Smith TOC (Bayly v Ord)

**DOCUMENTATION**

Printed cases

Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36219 f.244

Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew
Bayly’s petition and appeal – 21 May 1765 (APC v.6 [652] p.396)
Committee Report – 17 July 1765 (APC v.6 [667] p.403)

**Augustine Merida and Jacob Bravo v Governor Lyttelton, James Innes as**
**Advocate General and Robert Graham**

APC v.4 [617] p.729 (26 July 1765 – 6 Sept. 1765)
Register – George III v.4 (1 Oct. 1764 – 27 Aug. 1766) p.315, 324, 343 (PC
DOCUMENTATION

*Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew*

Committee Report – 14 Aug. 1765 (APC v.6 [667] p.403)

**Nicholas Bourke v Matthew Gregory and Foster March**
APC v.4 [630] p.740 (18 Oct. 1765)

**MONTSERRAT**

**Anthony Hodges v Anthony Fox in the name of John Dean**
APC v.4 [10] p.9 (18 Sept. 1745)
Register – George II v.10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.215 (PC 2/99)

**Patrick Roch v Rev. Edward Gaillardy**
APC v.4 [52] p.41 (3 April 1747 – 14 May 1747)
Register – George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.199, 225 (PC 2/100)

**Samuel Lowman, appeal of**
APC v.4 [95] p.72 (15 Nov. 1748)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.113 (PC 2/101)

**Thomas Dubery and William Irish v Henry M’David**
APC v.4 [539] p.652 (17 Feb. 1764)
Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.268, 418, 434 (PC 2/110)

**NEVIS**

**Lucas Rodriguez v John Scholes**
Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.490 (PC 2/103)
Register – George II v.16 (1 Jan. 1756 – 31 Dec. 1757) p.257, 276–279, 296 (PC 2/105)

**Andrew Denn, Richard Denn, and John Denn v Horatio Herbert**
APC v.4 [406] p.432 (9 Nov. 1759 – 11 July 1760)
Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.189, 197, 430, 434–435, 444 (PC 2/107)

Smith TOC (Denn v Herbert)

**Documented**

*Printed cases*

Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.78
Note: Respondent’s printed case records appellants as John Cort, John Denn on behalf of himself, and Andrew Denn and Richard Denn, owners of the schooner called the *Nelly*.

**David Chollet v Alexander Mackay**
APC v.4 [437] p.466–468 (28 Jan. 1761 – 5 April 1762)
Register – George III v.2 (1 Jan. 1762 – 30 April 1763) p.125–127, 167 (PC 2/109)
Smith TOC (Chollet v Mackey)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Printed cases*
Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36218 f.133

**George Webbe, jun. and John Richardson Herbert v John Bourke**
APC v.4 [572] p.687–688 (20 July 1764 – 3 Aug. 1764)
Register – George III v.3 (1 May 1763 – 30 Sept. 1764) p.559, 585, 599 (PC 2/110)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew*

Committee Report – 27 July 1764 (APC v.6 [618] p.371)

**ST. CHRISTOPHER**

**Henry Brouncker v Ashton Warner**
APC v.4 [22] p.15 (27 March 1746 – 5 June 1746)
Register – George II v. 10 (1 March 1745 – 31 July 1746) p.444, 504 (PC 2/99)

**Edward Brownett on behalf of Thomas Ottley, John Douglas and William Buckley, appeal of**
APC v.4 [44] p.37 (17 Nov. 1746 – 28 June 1750)
Register – George II v.11 (1 August 1746 – 30 April 1748) p.44 (PC 2/100)
Register – George II v.12 (1 May 1748 – 29 March 1750) p.206 (PC 2/101)
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.36, 39 (PC 2/102)

**John Mills, Matthew Mills and Richard Roe v William Ottley and Margaret Willett**
Register – George II v.13 (1 May 1750 – 30 March 1752) p.87 (PC 2/102)
Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.244–245, 262 (PC 2/103)
Smith TOC (Mills v Ottley)

**Thomas Young v Nicholas Dunbabbin**
Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.297, 326–328, 345 (PC 2/103)
Smith TOC (Young v Dunbabbin)
Note: Petition is for a writ of error and an appeal if necessary.

**Wavel Smith and Savile Cust v William Buckley**
APC v.4 [204] p.198–199 (7 Feb. 1753 – 7 March 1753)
Register – George II v.14 (1 April 1752 – 31 Dec. 1753) p.315, 324–326, 345 (PC 2/103)
Smith TOC (Smith v Buckley)
Note: Not indexed as an appeal. Petition is for a writ of error and an appeal if necessary. The margin note for this action is Leeward Islands but it can safely be attributed to St. Christopher.

John Mills by Thomas Mills as attorney, Peter Mathew Mills by Cornelia Mills, Peter Soulegre, Thomas Mills and John Mills as his four guardians, John Mills, Cornelia Mills, Peter Soulegre, Thomas Mills and Richard Goodright v George Bryan
Register – George II v.15 (1 Jan. 1754 – 31 Dec. 1755) p.175, 259, 332–333, 348 (PC 2/104)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of appellant and respondent – Law Library of Congress

William and Elizabeth Wells v Richard Wilson and Anthony Wharton
APC v.4 [250] p.270 (6 Aug. 1754 – 4 Feb. 1757)
Register – George II v.16 (1 Jan. 1756 – 31 Dec. 1757) p.399–401, 415 (PC 2/105)
Smith TOC (Wells v Wilson)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of appellant (with the will of Nicholas Taylor as appendix) and respondent with manuscript supplementation – British Library – Add Mss 36217 f.103
Case of appellant and respondent; one copy of relevant will – Law Library of Congress

Jackson Brown v Henry Sharpe, George Maxwell, Henry Lascelles, Lord and Lady Cranstoun, William Cranstoun and Brown Cranstoun, the latter two by Henry Sharpe as next friend, Anthony and Elizabeth Hodges, Jeremiah Hodges and Anthony Hodges
APC v.4 [322] p.344 (13 Aug. 1756 – 8 June 8, 1757)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of appellant and respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36217 f.152
Case of appellant and respondent – Law Library of Congress

Rev. John Tittle v William Coleman
APC v.4 [334] p.351 (13 May 1757 – 8 June 1757)
Register – George II v.16 (1 Jan. 1756 – 31 Dec. 1757) p.495, 521 (PC 2/105)

Thomas Joyner v Stephen Payne
APC v.4 [363] p.394 (3 Oct. 1758)
Register – George II v.17 (1 Jan. 1758 – 21 May 1759) p.256, 258 (PC 2/106)

**John Willet v Alexander Home**
APC v.4 [419] p.447 (29 March 1760)
Register – George II v.18 (2 May 1759 – 10 July 1760) p.323 (PC 2/107)
Note: Related to APC v.4 [436]

**Benjamin Herriott and John Willett v Alexander Home**
APC v.4 [436] p.466 (16 Jan. – 2 July 1761)
(PC 2/108)
Note: Related to APC v.4 [419]

**John Barker v Anthony Somersall**
**John McPherson v Anthony Somersall**
APC v.4 [581] p.693 (12 Dec. 1764 – 26 July 1765)
Register – George III v.4 (1 Oct. 1764 – 27 Aug. 1766) p.50–51, 95, 275–276,
306 (PC 2/111)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Privy Council documents in PC 1 in The National Archives at Kew*

Committee reports – 16 July 1765 (APC v.6 [667] p.403)

---

**APPEALS BY COLONY – Volume 5**

**ANTIGUA**

**Thomas Coursey and William Kirkpatrick v Thomas Underwood**
APC v.5 [93] p.174 (23 Nov. 1768 – 27 April 1770)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.376, 403, 440 (PC 2/113)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.331, 357 (PC 2/114)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Printed cases*

Case of the appellant – Columbia University. Diamond Law Library

*Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew*

Committee Report – 6 April 1770 (APC v.6 [840] p.484)

**Alexander Willock and Arthur Morson v David Williams and Clinton Williams**
Register – George III v.9 (Jan. 1772 – Dec. 1772) p.41, 42 (PC 2/116)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.374, 391 (PC 2/117)

**Samuel Redhead v Philip Hicks and Tryphena Ronan**
APC v.5 [352] p.454 (16 April 1777 – 16 Feb. 1781)
Register – George III v.13 (June 1776 – May 1777) p.456 (PC 2/120)
Register – George III v.14 (June 1777 – March 1778) p.40 (PC 2/121)
Register – George III v.19 (Jan. 1781 – Sept. 1781) p.87–89, 126 (PC 2/126)
Joseph Holt v George Savage et al
APC v.5 [395] p.501 (16 March 1781 – 31 July 1782)
Register – George III v.19 (Jan. 1781 – Sept. 1781) p.211 (PC 2/126)

John Otto Bayer v Rowland Otto Bayer
APC v.5 [417] p.516–517 (15 Feb. 1782 – 30 April 1783)

BAHAMAS

Robert Alexander v Robert Sterling and Ralph Dundass
APC v.5 [162] p.281 (1 Nov. 1770 – 31 Dec. 1773)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.543, 550, 55, 658, 675 (PC 2/114)
Register – George III v.9 (Jan. 1772 – Dec. 1772) p. 25, 467 (PC 2/116)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.87, 382, 392 (PC 2/117)
Smith TOC (Alexander v Stirling)
DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Admission of appeal – 7 Nov. 1770 (APC v.6 [840] p.484)

BARBADOS

Capt. Thomas Taylor v William Nash
APC v.5 [27] p.55–56 (27 March 1767 – 26 June 1767)
DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 10 June 1767 (APC v.6 [761] p.453–454)

Robert Lucas v James Straker, Thomas Ostrehan and Alexander Stevenson
APC v.5 [285] p.391 (2 Feb. 1774 – 6 Feb. 1775)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.437, 468 (PC 2/117)
Register – George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.150, 363–369, 397 (PC 2/118)

James and Mary Simmons v Walter and Rebecca Caddell
APC v.5 [324] p.421 (3 Nov. 1775 – 30 April 1777)
Register – George III v.12 (June 1775 – May 1776) p.113, 180 (PC 2/119)
Register – George III v.13 (June 1776 – May 1777) p.436–440, 474 (PC 2/120)
(first name not provided) Maycock v Samuel Husbands
APC v.5 [335] p.443 (10 May 1776)
Register – George III v.12 (June 1775 – May 1776) p.560 (PC 2/119)

Thomas Maxwell Adams v Margaret Graeme
APC v.5 [431] p.526 (24 Feb. 1783)

**DOMINICA**

**Robert Malloun v Francis Mashart**

Francis Mashart v Robert Malloun

APC v.5 [154] p.246 (6 June 1770 – 8 July 1772)

Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.404, 442 (PC 2/114)

Register – George III v.8 (Jan. 1771 – Dec. 1771) p.82, 260 (PC 2/115)

Register – George III v.9 (Jan. 1772 – Dec. 1772) p.331–335, 368 (PC 2/116)

Smith TOC (Malloun v Mashart)

**John Fordyce, Andrew Grant and William Trotter v James Morson**


Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.328, 456 (PC 2/117)

Register – George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.349–351, 373 (PC 2/118)

**EAST FLORIDA**

William Scheviz, Henry Benskin Lightfoot, John Taylor and William Scott, appeal of

APC v.5 [449] p.547 (12 Dec. 1783)

Register – George III v.21 (Oct. 1782 – Dec. 1783) p.595 (PC 2/128)

**GRENADE**

**David Inglis v Richard Burke**


**DOCUMENTATION**

*Printed cases*

Case of the appellant and the respondent with appendix of the respondent –

British Library – Add Mss 36220 f.148

Case of the respondent with appendix – Columbia University. Diamond Law Library

**Michael Scott and Maria Martha Victoria Cornette de St. Cyr his wife v James Brebner and Ninian Home**

APC v.5 [149] p.241 (27 April 1770 – 19 July 1771)

Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.363 (PC 2/114)


Smith TOC (Scott v Brebner and Home)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Printed cases*

Case of the appellant – Columbia University. Diamond Law Library

**Charles Philip Clozier, Francois Clozier Decosteaux, Louis Francois de Chantenille, Nicholas Francois de Buret, Charles Francois Da Raniel, Claude Passe Lamelirie and Mary Charlotte Clozier his wife, and Jean Francois de Vernon v Paul Antoine Dufaur**
APC v.5 [172] p.288–289 (9 Dec. 1770 – 29 April 1772)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.658 (PC 2/114)
Register – George III v.8 (Jan. 1771 – Dec. 1771) p.85 (PC 2/115)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 20 Jan. 1772 (APC v.6 [874] p.507)

Lewis Le Jeune and Louise Victoire de Flavigny his wife v Andrew Irwin
APC v.5 [173] p.289 (9 Dec, 1770 – 9 Jan. 1771)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.659, 691 (PC 2/114)
Register – George III v.8 (Jan. 1771 – Dec. 1771) p.20 (PC 2/115)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 20 Dec. 1770 (APC v.6 [840] p.484)

GUANA

Charles Abraham Du Pasquier v Jean Cornelis Vanden Fleuvel (Heuvel?)
APC v.5 [413] p.515–516 (2 Jan. 1782 – 1 Feb. 1782)
(PC 2/127)

JAMAICA

George Bonner v William and Jane Greig
APC v.5 [13] p.23–24 (7 Nov. 1766 – 15 July 1768)
Register – George III v.5 (Aug. 1766 – Jan. 1768) p.78, 331 (PC 2/112)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.232, 247 (PC 2/113)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Order of Reference – 7 Nov. 1766 (APC v.6 [731] p.440)
Committee Report – 7 July 1768 (APC v.6 [793] p.464)

Jasper Hall v Malcolm Laing
APC v.5 [26] p.52–55 (27 March 1767 – 15 July 1768)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p. 233–238, 248 (PC 2/113)
Smith TOC (Hall v Laing)

DOCUMENTATION

Printed cases
Case of the appellant and the respondent with supplementary manuscript material
– British Library – Add Mss 36220 f.163

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Order of Reference – 27 March 1767 (APC v.6 [745] p.444)
Committee Report – 7 July 1768 (APC v.6 [793] p.464)

Hutchison Mure and Dugald Malcolm v Thomas Fogerty
USEFUL LISTS

APC v.5 [37] p.109 (26 June 1767 – 14 April 1769)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.492, 540 (PC 2/113)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 13 March 1769 (APC v.6 [812] p.470)

John Watson and Samuel Gregory v Lettice Laird
Lettice Laird v John Watson and Samuel Gregory
APC v.5 [38] p.109–110 (26 June 1767 – 30 April 1777)
Register – George III v.5 (Aug. 1766 – Jan. 1768) p.369, 393 (PC 2/112)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.296, 355 (PC 2/114)
Register – George III v.12 (June 1775 – May 1776) p.77, 462 (PC 2/119)
Register – George III v.13 (June 1776 – May 1777) p.422, 478 (PC 2/120)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee report (Watson and Gregory v Laird) – 2 April 1770 (APC v.6 [840] p.484)

Edward Woollery, William Woollery, John Woolley and Robert Woollery v David and Elizabeth Bernard (revived with Charles Bernard)
Aaron Baruch Lousada (revived with Rachel Baruch Lousada, Benjamin Dias Fernandes and Jacob Feurtado) v Edward Woollery, William Woolley, John Woolley and Robert Woollery
James Dunn v Edward Woollery, William Woolley, John Woollery and Robert Woollery
APC v.5 [49] p.118 (6 Nov. 1767 – 9 Feb. 1770)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.63, 76, 105, 162, 228, 482–483 (PC 2/113)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.220–221, 227–228 (PC 2/114)
Smith TOC (Woollery v Lousada)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of the respondent (Lousada v Woollery) – Columbia University. Diamond Law Library

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee report (Lousada v Woollery and Dunn v Woollery) – 30 Jan. 1770 (APC v.6 [828] p.473)

Samuel Adams v Henry Stanyford, George Garrett, and John Stevens
APC v.5 [58] p.123 (5 Feb. 1768 – 5 Jan. 1770)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.52 (PC 2/113)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.118, 182, 200 (PC 2/114)
John Millward, James Lewis and Thomas Penoyre v John and Grace Lindsay
APC v.5 [73] p.151 (29 June 1768 – 14 April 1769)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.225, 244, 501–502, 538 (PC 2/113)
Smith TOC (Millward v Lindsay)
DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of the appellant and the respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36220 f.210
Case of the respondent – Columbia University. Diamond Law Library
Note: Respondent’s printed case gives name of the respondent as the Reverend John Lindsay.

William Morris v Charles Kelsal
APC v.5 [78] p.156 (5 Aug. 1768 – 11 Jan. 1769)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.265, 399, 422 (PC 2/113)

William Pusey v Sir Simon Clarke
George Bonyngge v John Edwards and wife
APC v.5 [87] p.171 (7 Oct. 1768 – 31 Dec. 1773)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.348, 497 (PC 2/113)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.373, 391 (PC 2/117)
Smith TOC (Pusey v Clarke)
DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of the appellant (Pusey) and the respondent (Clark) – British Library – Add Mss 36220 f.210
Note: Respondent’s printed case gives name of appellant as John Pusey.

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee report (Pusey v Clark) – 14 March 1769 (APC v.6 [812] p.470)

Jacob Carrillo Saldana v Arthur Forrest
APC v.5 [89] p.172 (26 Oct. 1768 – 15 June 1770)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.360 (PC 2/113)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.172, 408, 418 (PC 2/114)

George, William, Thomas and John Reid by their guardian Thomas Reid v Thomas Bourke
George, Thomas, and John Reid v Thomas Bourke
APC v.5 [92] p.174 (23 Nov. 1768 – 13 June 1777)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.376 (PC 2/113)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.330, 358 (PC 2/114)
Register – George III v.13 (June 1776 – May 1777) p.168, 514–517 (PC 2/120)
Register – George III v.14 (June 1777 – March 1778) p.13 (PC 2/121)
DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 6 April 1770 (APC v.6 [840] p.484)
Committee Report – 27 May 1777 (APC v.6 [959] p.572–573)

Peter Furnell v John Ashburne
APC v.5 [94] p.174–175 (23 Nov. 1768 – 14 April 1769)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.377, 404, 481–482, 541 (PC 2/113)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of the appellant with appendix – Columbia University. Diamond Law Library

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 11 March 1769 (APC v.6 [812] p.470)

Hutchison Mure and James Kerr v John and Rosa Palmer
Hutchison Mure and James Kerr v Norwood Witter et al
John and Rosa Palmer v Hutchison Mure et al
APC v.5 [100] p.179–180 (11 Jan. 1769 – 13 Sept. 1774)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.355, 423, 513–517, 541 (PC 2/113)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.447, 693 (PC 2/114)
Register – George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.211 (PC 2/118)

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of the appellant (Mure) and the respondent (Palmer) – Columbia University. Diamond Law Library

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report (Mure and Kerr v Palmer) – 15 March 1769 (APC v.6 [812] p.470)
Committee Report (Mure and Kerr v Palmer) – 20 July 1771 (APC v.6 [868] p.504–505)

David and Mary Cunningham v James Reid
APC v.5 [102] p.182 (27 Jan. 1769 – 14 April 1769)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.247, 432, 497, 540 (PC 2/113)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 14 March 1769 (APC v.6 [812] p.470)

Thomas Rennalls v Isaac Tripier
APC v.5 [105] p.186 (20 Feb. 1769 – 14 April 1769)
Mary Hardyman and James Hardyman v Grace Goodin
APC v.5 [111] p.192 (14 April 1769 – 9 Feb. 1770)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.548 (PC 2/113)

George and Jane Cussans Richards and Thomas Cussans v William Miles,
John Miles, Philip Miles and Samuel Alpress
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.117 (PC 2/114)

Richard Holden and John Jones v Robert Duff and John Herdman
APC v.5 [129] p.215 (1 Nov. 1769)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.126 (PC 2/114)

Esther Swete, Francis Baxter, Charles Gardiner, Robert Richards v Thomas
and Helen Beach and Janet Sadler
APC v.7 [132] p.217 (29 Nov. 1769 – 19 July 1771)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.155, 259 (PC 2/114)

Edward Gardiner v Ann Bennett, George Rosewell Bennett, John Williams,
Edward Manning, and William Pullen (with George Paplay, Benjamin
Hume and Charles Spencer later also)
George Rosewell Bennett v Edward Gardiner
APC v.5 [141] p.236 (9 Feb. 1770 – 30 April 1777)
Register – George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.518 (PC 2/118)
Register – George III v.12 (June 1775 – May 1776) p.308, 392 (PC 2/119)
Register – George III v.13 (June 1776 – May 1777) p.412–417, 475 (PC 2/120)
Smith TOC (Bennett v Gardiner)

**William McFarlane and Thomas Priddie v Thomas Hersey Barritt**
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.255, 687–688 (PC 2/114)
Register – George III v.8 (Jan. 1771 – Dec. 1771) p.20 (PC 2/115)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew*
Committee Report – 20 Dec. 1770 (APC v.6 [840] p.484)

**Thomas Gray, William Lloyd, James Borton and James Powell v Sarah, Thomas, Samuel, Anthony, Edward and Lettice Shreyer, and Joseph and Mary Shreyer Williams**
APC v.5 [147] p.239–240 (5 April 1770 – 19 July 1771)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.328 (PC 2/114)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew*
Committee Report – 11 July 1771 (APC v.6 [868] p.504–505)

**James Macqueen, John Macqueen, Euphine Macqueen, John Hanbury Taylor, George Richards and Walter Grant v Simon Taylor and John Taylor**
**Gilbert and Ann Mathison, John Kinlock, William Harris, Charles Mitchell, William Mitchell and George Hanbury Mitchell v Simon Taylor and John Taylor**
**Simon Taylor v Roger Hope Elletson, James Ord and Peter Prevost**
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.362, 433 (PC 2/114)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.374–376, 396 (PC 2/117)

**DOCUMENTATION**

*Printed cases*
Case of the respondent (James Macqueen v Simon Taylor) – Columbia University. Diamond Law Library

*Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew*
Committee report (Macqueen v Taylor) – 9 July 1771 (APC v.6 [868] p.504–505)

**David Rieusset, Leeson Blackwood, Thomas Thomas and Yvon Thomas v Dr. Thomas and Sarah Cockburn**
APC v.5 [153] p.245–246 (6 June 1770 – 15 June 1770)
James Hardyman and Mary Hardyman v Richard James Lawrence, James Lawrence, Thomas Hibbert Montague, James Bernard and Charles Bernard

Richard James Lawrence, James Lawrence, Thomas Hibbert Montague, James Bernard and Charles Bernard v James Hardyman and Mary Hardyman

James Hardyman, appeal of

APC v.5 [159] p.276–277 (27 July 1770 – 8 Oct. 1773)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.111, 402, 410, 420 (PC 2/114)
Register – George III v.9 (Jan. 1772 – Dec. 1772) p.338 (PC 2/116)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.318 (PC 2/117)

Jane Stone v Robert Wadham Spragge and James Trower

Robert Wadham Spragge and James Trower v Jane Stone
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.701 (PC 2/114)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.110–116, 126 (PC 2/117)

Smith TOC (Stone v Spragge)

DOCUMENTATION

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee report (Spragge v Stone) – 27 March 1773 (APC v.6 [907] p.539)

Jeremiah Meyler and Charles Hall v Arnold Nesbitt and Moses Franks
APC v.5 [179] p.293 (28 March 1771 – 14 June 1771)

Jasper Hall v Alexander Hood and Bryan Edwards (2 appeals)

Nicholas Bourke, Jasper Hall, Henry Livingston, Philip Livingston, and Charles Dawes, jun. v Alexander Hood et al (2 appeals)

Patrick Lynch, appeal of

Jasper Hall, appeal of (2 appeals)

John Armistead, appeal of

Elizabeth Bourke, John and Thomas Bourke, Charles Palmer, Jasper Hall, William Patrick Browne, Richard Welch, Robert Cooper Lee and James McCabe v Alexander Hood, Bryan Edwards and John Woolfreys
APC v.5 [185] p.296–298 (15 May 1771 – 1 July 1778)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.320, 466–467 (PC 2/117)
Register – George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.23, 149, 172, 506 (PC 2/118)
USEFUL LISTS

2/118)
Register – George III v.12 (June 1775 – May 1776) p.512 (PC 2/119)
Register – George III v.13 (June 1776 – May 1777) p.456–458, 519–523 (PC 2/120)
Register – George III v.14 (June 1777 – March 1778) p.14–15 (PC 2/121)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee reports (Hall v Hood and Edwards) – 26 June 1778 (APC v.6 [973] p.575)

Elizabeth Jones and Luke Lightfoot v Jasper Hall

Elizabeth Jones and Luke Lightfoot v Jasper Hall and John Reid
APC v.5 [204] p.321–322 (27 June 1771 – 1 July 1778)
Register – George III v.8 (Jan. 1771 – Dec. 1771) p.290, 403 (PC 2/115)
Register – George III v.9 (Jan. 1772 – Dec. 1772) p.119, 125 (PC 2/116)
Register – George III v.13 (June 1776 – May 1777) p.373, 464 (PC 2/120)
Register – George III v.14 (June 1777 – March 1778) p.409 (PC 2/121)
Register – George III v.15 (April 1778 – Sept. 1778) p.228–236, 263 (PC 2/122)
Smith TOC (Jones v Hall)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee report (Jones and Lightfoot v Hall) – 26 June 1778 (APC v.6 [973] p.575)

William Beckford and Peter Beckford v Alexander Ross, John Nixon and Marmaduke Hilton
APC v.5 [205] p.322 (27 June 1771 – 15 Jan. 1772)
Register – George III v.8 (Jan. 1771 – Dec. 1771) p.290, 332 (PC 2/115)

John Vernon, John Pigot and Richard Drakeford, appeal of
APC v.5 [211] p.329 (29 Nov. 1771 – 31 Dec. 1773)
Register – George III v.8 (Jan. 1771 – Dec. 1771) p.464, 466 (PC 2/115)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.372, 390 (PC 2/117)

Thomas Crowder v Nicholas Bourke, Jasper Hall, Lucius and Fanny Tucker
APC v.5 [215] p.331 (3 Feb. 1772 – 31 March 1773)
Register – George III v.9 (Jan. 1772 – Dec. 1772) p.63 (PC 2/116)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.116–118, 126 (PC 2/117)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 27 March 1773 (APC v.6 [907] p.539)

Mary Ballard Beckford, infant, by Donald Campbell v Sarah, Thomas, Samuel, Anthony and Edward Shreyer
APC v.5 [216] p.331 (28 Feb. 1772)
Register – George III v.9 (Jan. 1772 – Dec. 1772) p.96 (PC 2/116)

Keylock Rusden, William Reynolds, Thomas Gowland, William Smith et al v Daniel Macleane
APC v.5 [217] p.331–332 (28 Feb. 1772 – 30 April 1777)
Register – George III v.9 (Jan. 1772 – Dec. 1772) p.96 (PC 2/116)
Register – George III v.13 (June 1776 – May 1777) p.410–411, 472 (PC 2/120)

James Stirling and William Anderson v Richard Welsh, Attorney General, on behalf of Robert Poultn ey
APC v.5 [219] p.332 (16 March 1772 – 31 Dec. 1773)
Register – George III v.9 (Jan. 1772 – Dec. 1772) p.112 (PC 2/116)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.381, 393 (PC 2/117)

James Ord and James Prevost (revived replacing James with John Ord) v Don Pedro Joachin de Rotaldi and Esprit Barrall
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.95, 380, 392 (PC 2/117)

Samuel Smith v John Cargill, Thomas Cargill, Robert Cargill and John McDonald
APC v.5 [252] p.368 (6 May 1773 – 4 Aug. 1773)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.189, 261, 265 (PC 2/117)

Abraham Solomons v Sarah Israel
APC v.5 [261] p.378 (28 July 1773 – 20 May 1774)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.257 (PC 2/117)
Register – George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.46–48, 77 (PC 2/118)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 12 May 1774 (APC v.6 [928] p.555)

Thomas Cussans v Richard Cargill, John Cargill, Thomas Cargill and John Macdonald
APC v.5 [262] p.378 (28 July 1773 – 6 July 1773)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.258, 321 (PC 2/117)
Register – George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.140, 169 (PC 2/118)

James Borton and William Beckford Ellis, infant, by his guardian James Borton v Angus Campbell
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.351 (PC 2/117)
Register – George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.337–349, 373 (PC 2/118)

Sir Simon Clarke v John and Mary Reid
APC v.5 [282] p.390 (2 Feb. 1774 – 6 Feb. 1775)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.435, 456 (PC 2/117)
USEFUL LISTS

Register – George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.391, 398 (PC 2/118)

William Harvey, Walter and Ann Harvey Farquhar, Thomas and Milborough Harvey McLean, Elizabeth Harvey, Thomas and Elizabeth Harvey, infants, by their guardian, William Harvey v Daniel Lascelles
APC v.5 [283] p.391 (2 Feb. 1774 – 21 May 1776)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.436 (PC 2/117)
Register – George III v.12 (June 1775 – May 1776) p.564, 581 (PC 2/119)

John and Rosa Palmer v Barlow Trecothick
APC v.5 [284] p.391 (2 Feb. 1774 – 6 July 1774)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.436 (PC 2/117)
Register – George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.150, 172 (PC 2/118)

Nathaniel Philips, Philip Pinnock and Susannah Hyde v Sir Edward Hughes
APC v.5 [302] p.400 (6 July 1774 – 23 Jan. 1775)
Register – George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.185, 351, 375 (PC 2/118)

Stephen Attlay v Sarah Tiplar
APC v.5 [303] p.400 (22 July 1774 – 31 May 1776)
Register – George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.191, 211 (PC 2/118)
Register – George III v.12 (June 1775 – May 1776) p.564, 580 (PC 2/119)

Samuel Williams Haughton and Margaret Bonella Haughton v Hannah Blake
APC v.5 [310] p.404–405 (23 Jan. 1775 – 30 April 1777)
Register – George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.377, 435 (PC 2/118)
Register – George III v.13 (June 1776 – May 1777) p.417–419, 472 (PC 2/120)

Sir Richard Bickerton v Jasper Hall and George Paplay
Jasper Hall v Sir Richard Bickerton
APC v.5 [321] p.416 (12 July 1775 – 30 April 1777)
Register – George III v.12 (June 1775 – May 1776) p.69, 309 (PC 2/119)
Register – George III v.13 (June 1776 – May 1777) p.419–421, 473 (PC 2/120)
Smith TOC (Bickerton v Hall)

Tristram and Dorothy Ratcliffe and Mary Read, an infant, by her guardian v Richard Huggins Read
Register – George III v.13 (June 1776 – May 1777) p.168, 423, 477 (PC 2/120)

James Rowe v William Harvie
APC v.5 [344] p.449 (17 Feb. 1777 – 16 June 1779)
Register – George III v.13 (June 1776 – May 1777) p.329, 464 (PC 2/120)
Register – George III v.16 (Oct. 1778 – June 1779) p.492–494, 532 (PC 2/123)
Smith TOC (Rowe v Harvey)

Mary Hiscutt and Dr. William Mann v Fortunatus Dwarris (revived by Hiscutt and Isaac Lascells Winn)
CARIBBEAN APPEALS

APC v.5 [345] p.449 (17 Feb. 1777 – 13 March 1782)
Register – George III v.13 (June 1776 – May 1777) p.330, 424–426, 477 (PC 2/120)
Smith TOC (Hiscutt v Divarris)

Robert Watts v Frances Elizabeth Watts by Adam Hobkirk
APC v.5 [351] p.454 (16 April 1777 – 13 June 1777)
Register – George III v.13 (June 1776 – May 1777) p.455, 523 (PC 2/120)
Register – George III v.14 (June 1777 – March 1778) p.15 (PC 2/121)

William Jackson and Richard Thomas v John Lamond
APC v.5 [353] p.454–455 (13 June 1777 – 16 June 1779)
Register – George III v.14 (June 1777 – March 1778) p.23, 119 (PC 2/121)
Register – George III v.16 (Oct. 1778 – June 1779) p.489–492, 531 (PC 2/123)
Smith TOC (Lamond v Jackson)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 3 June 1779 (APC v.6 [984] p.576)

William Perrin and Thomas Vaughan v Hannah Blake
William Perrin and Thomas Vaughan v Norwood Witter
APC v.5 [355] p.455 (4 July 1777)
Note: See also APC v.4 [42], [142] and [583] where the names are given as William Perrin, Thomas Vaughan, Hannah Blake and Norwood Witter. The first names of the parties are not provided in [355] of APC v.5.

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee report (Perrin and Vaughan v Blake) – 29 Nov. 1777 (APC v.6 [959] p.572–573)

Peter Serjeant v Thomas Hibbert
Register – George III v.14 (June 1777 – March 1778) p.206, 368–370, 529 (PC 2/121)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 29 Nov. 1777 (APC v.6 [959] p.572–573)

John and Mary Roberts v Milbee Johnson, Francis Boyce, Edward Chaplin, Stephen Charles Craford and Elizabeth Truxton
APC v.5 [357] p.456 (13 Aug. 1777 – 16 June 1779)
Register – George III v.14 (June 1777 – March 1778) p.206, 402 (PC 2/121)
Register – George III v.16 (Oct. 1778 – June 1779) p.494, 533 (PC 2/123)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 3 June 1779 (APC v.6 [984] p.576)

Rev. Dr. John and Grace Lindsay v Dr. Charles Wake and William Mathew Burt
Humphrey Sturt and William Beckford v Dr. Charles Wake, William Mathew Burt and William Beckford
APC v.5 [367] p.472 (19 March 1779 – 18 May 1781)
Register – George III v.16 (Oct. 1778 – June 1779) p.326 (PC 2/123)
Register – George III v.17 (July 1779 – Feb. 1780) p.61, 545, 571 (PC 2/124)
Register – George III v.19 (Jan. 1781 – Sept. 1781) p.76–84, 345 (PC 2/126)

Documentation
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Petition of appeal – 18 Feb. 1779 (APC v.6 [978] p.575)
Order of Reference – 19 March 1779 (APC v.6 [978] p.575)
Draft of Report – undated (APC v.6 [978] p.575)
Order – 18 May 1781 (APC v.6 [1010] p.589)
Note: Documents in v.6 are entitled Lindsay v Gibbons. Sir John Gibbons is mentioned in the APC v.5 [367] but not as a party.

John Gardner Kemeys, James Clitherow, Paul Feilde and Joseph Banks v Dame Ann Clark, Richard Brissett and Benjamin Lyon
APC v.5 [369] p.472–473 (29 March 1779 – 13 March 1782)
Register – George III v.16 (Oct. 1778 – June 1779) p.378, 395 (PC 2/123)

James Hardyman v Thomas Harrison, Attorney General
APC v.5 [373] p.477 (28 July 1779 – 18 May 1781)
Register – George III v.17 (July 1779 – Feb. 1780) p.61, 545 (PC 2/124)

Documentation
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 18 May 1781 (APC v.6 [1010] p.589)

Thomas Harrison, Attorney General v Thomas Barker
APC v.5 [377] p.478–479 (2 Feb. 1780 – 30 April 1783)
Register – George III v.17 (July 1779 – Feb. 1780) p.521 (PC 2/124)

John Parkinson v Thomas Parkinson, John Myrie, George Robert Goodin and Thomas Harrison, Attorney General
John Parkinson, John Myrie and George Robert Goodin v Thomas Harrison, Attorney General
APC v.5 [378] p.479 (20 March 1780 – 30 April 1783)
Register – George III v.18 (March 1780 – Dec. 1780) p.36, 50 (PC 2/125)
Jasper Hall and Philip Livingston v Attorney General
APC v.5 [383] p.484 (18 Aug. 1780)
Register – George III v.18 (March 1780 – Dec. 1780) p.352 (PC 2/125)

George and Grace Pinnock v Mary Dickson, an infant
APC v.5 [411] p.515 (9 Nov. 1781)
Register – George III v.20 (Oct. 1781 – Sept. 1782) p.37, 68 (PC 2/127)

Thomas Harrison, Attorney General v James Elford
APC v.5 [412] p.515 (16 Nov. 1781 – 31 July 1782)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC I at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 11 July 1782 (APC v.6 [1020] p.596)

William and Joan Jackson v James and Johanna Wildman
APC v.5 [414] p.516 (4 Jan. 1782 – 31 July 1782)

DOCUMENTATION
Privy Council documents in PC I at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 11 July 1782 (APC v.6 [1020] p.596)

Elizabeth Edlyne v Robert Bontine
Register – George III v.20 (Oct. 1781 – Sept. 1782) p.419 (PC 2/127)

Samuel Hurlock v Duncan Davidson
APC v.5 [428] p.525–526 (4 Dec. 1782 – 5 Nov. 1783)
Register – George III v.21 (Oct. 1782 – Dec. 1783) p.92, 144, 535–537, 541 (PC 2/128)

James, Duke of Chandos, and Anna Eliza, Duchess of Chandos v Walrond and Mary Fearon
APC v.5 [433] p.527 (5 March 1783 – 25 July 1783)

Alexander and Mary Forbes, Alexander McRae and James Lewis v Samuel Gregory, John Watson, and Thomas Hibbert
APC v.5 [440] p.542 (2 July 1783)

Sir Charles Price v Abel Smith, Rene Payne and Robert Smith
APC v.5 [442] p.542–543 (8 Aug. 1783)
Thomas Cargill v William Beckford
APC v.5 [447] p.547 (22 Oct. 1783)
Register – George III v.21 (Oct. 1782 – Dec. 1783) p.246, 521 (PC 2/128)

John Mead v Robert McGhie
APC v.5 [448] p.547 (22 Oct. 1783)

Montserrat
Walter Tullideph v Bethia Symes, John and Elizabeth Symes, Thomas
Dorsett, William French, Alexander Willcock, and Walter Skerrett
APC v.5 [25] p.52 (27 March 1767 – 14 April 1769)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.489–492, 536 (PC 2/113)

Documentation
Printed cases
Case of the appellant and the respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36220 f.193
Case of the appellant – Columbia University. Diamond Law Library
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 13 March 1769 (APC v.6 [812] p.470)

George Scandret and William Anderton v Michael White and Harry Webb
APC v.5 [131] p.216–217 (29 Nov. 1769 – 19 July 1771)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.155, 411 (PC 2/114)

Documentation
Printed cases
Case of the respondent – Columbia University. Diamond Law Library
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee Report – 9 July 1771 (APC v.6 [868] p.504–505)

Felix Doran, John Kirwan, William Irish, Charles Molineux and Charles
O’Gara v Richard Farrill
APC v.5 [163] p.281 (9 Nov. 1770)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.558 (PC 2/114)

Michael Brislane, appeal of
APC v.5 [181] p.293–295 (1 May 1771 – 6 May 1773)
Register – George III v.8 (Jan. 1771 – Dec. 1771) p.175 (PC 2/115)
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.119–121, 187, 191 (PC 2/117)

Documentation
Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee report and minute on the appeal – 27 March 1773 (APC v.6 [907] p.539)
Thomas Hakey, Peter Cazalet, Charles Dalbiac and Peter Robert Luard v James Schaw, William Musgrave, William Irish, Charles Molineux and Crisp Molineux

NEVIS
Matthew Craymer v John Bertrand
APC v.5 [371] p.473–475 (3 June 1779 – 16 Feb. 1781)
Register – George III v.16 (Oct. 1778 – June 1779) p.501 (PC 2/123)
Register – George III v.19 (Jan. 1781 – Sept. 1781) p.84–86, 126 (PC 2/126)

ST. CHRISTOPHER
William Coleman v Thomas Truman, Abednego and Jane Matthew et al
APC v.5 [54] p.121 (12 Dec. 1767 – 14 April 1769)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.106, 178, 195, 496, 537 (PC 2/113)
Note: The appellant in a cross-appeal is not named.

DOCUMENTATION
Printed cases
Case of the appellant and the respondent – British Library – Add Mss 36220 f.199
Note: Respondent’s printed case gives name as Trueman.

Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew
Committee report on appeal and cross-appeal – 14 March 1769 (APC v.6 [812] p.470)

Robert Mitchell and George Scott v John Tasker
APC v.5 [90] p.172–173 (26 Oct. 1768 – 8 July 1772)
Register – George III v.6 (Jan. 1768 – April 1769) p.360, 495, 543 (PC 2/113)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.12, 14, 694 (PC 2/114)
Smith TOC (Mitchell v Tasker)

John Gardiner v James St. John
APC v.5 [160] p.277–280 (14 Sept. 1770 – 30 June 1775)
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.485, 627, 605, 626 (PC 2/114)
Register – George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.476 (PC 2/118)
Register – George III v.12 (June 1775 – May 1776) p.31, 56 (PC 2/119)
Register – George III v.13 (June 1776 – May 1777) p.140, 428 (PC 2/120)

William Mathew Burt, appeal of
USEFUL LISTS

APC v.5 [350] p.453–454 (16 April 1777 – 4 July 1777)
Register – George III v.13 (June 1776 – May 1777) p.454 (PC 2/120)
Register – George III v.14 (June 1777 – March 1778) p.110, 131 (PC 2/121)

**Henry Cruger, sen. v William Garnier**
APC v.5 [354] p.455 (13 June 1777 – 5 Feb. 1781)
Register – George III v.14 (June 1777 – March 1778) p.23, 109, 131 (PC 2/121)
Register – George III v.15 (April 1778 – Sept. 1778) p.265 (PC 2/122)
Register – George III v.16 (Oct. 1778 – June 1779) p. 498 (PC 2/123)
Register – George III v.19 (Jan. 1781 – Sept. 1781) p.74–76, 95 (PC 2/126)

**William Pyle and Lucas Berners v Henry Bennett**
APC v.5 [376] p.478 (5 Jan. 1780 – 18 May 1781)
Register – George III v.17 (July 1779 – Feb. 1780) p.489, 546 (PC 2/124)

**DOCUMENTATION**
*Privy Council documents in PC 1 at The National Archives at Kew*
Committee Report – 12 May 1781 (APC v.6 [1010] p.589)

ST. VINCENT

**Harry Smith v Richard Ottley**
Register – George III v.7 (May 1769 – Dec. 1770) p.77, 96, 118 (PC 2/114)
Smith TOC (Smith v Ottley)

**TOBAGO**

**Peter Franklyn, appeal of**
APC v.5 [427] p.525 (30 Oct. 1782)

**TORTOLA**

**Jacob Schwartzkopff v Henry Mears**
APC v.5 [387] p.488 (9 Dec. 1780 – 30 May 1781)
Register – George III v.18 (March 1780 – Dec. 1780) p.463 (PC 2/125)

**WEST FLORIDA**

**Samuel Thomas and Phillips Comyn v John Campbell**
Register – George III v.10 (Jan. 1773 – April 1774) p.240, 266, 377, 396 (PC 2/117)
Register George III v.11 (May 1774 – May 1775) p.318, 353–358, 374, 442 (PC 2/118)
Smith TOC (Thomas and Comyn v Campbell)

**Elias Durnford v Alexander Macullagh**
APC v.5 [370] p.473 (21 April 1779 – 3 June 1779)
Register – George III v.16 (Oct. 1778 – June 1779) p.409, 499 (PC 2/123)
Useful Lists

Brief List of Printed Cases from Caribbean Colonies Found in Three Collections

The following cases are found in the Hardwicke Papers at the British Library, the Sir George Lee collection at Law Library of Congress, and the William Samuel Johnson collection at Diamond Law Library at Columbia University.

The Hardwicke cases appear here in the order in which they appear in the bound volumes of Additional Manuscripts. Call numbers for Hardwicke materials indicate the first page on which the printed cases begin. Hardwicke Papers cases have been transcribed for the Division of Manuscripts at the Library of Congress. The Lee collection at the Law Library of Congress also contains printed cases related to James Barclay that are not listed here. The location of Columbia University materials is indicated as follows: Treasure – Johnson 1770m and 2nd Fl. Microfilm Cabinet.

ANTIGUA

British Library
• Nathaniel Carpenter v Amy Parry by Henry Lyons – Jan.14, 1731 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36216 f.53
• Robert Martin v Edward Horne Forest – Nov. 5, 1734 – appellant – Add Mss 36216 f.83
• John Dunbar v Harry Webb, present Attorney General of the Leeward Islands – Feb. 6 or 10, 1753 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36217 f.34
• John Payne v William Abdy – June 10, 1767 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36220 f.142

Law Library of Congress
• Edward Otto Bayar v Thomas Warner – 1753 – appellant
• John Dunbar v Thomas Shepard et ux – 1753 – respondent
• John Dunbar v Harry Webb – 1753 – appellant and respondent
• Richard Kirwan v Charles Alexander – 1754 – appellant and respondent
• Robert Davis v William Warner – 1758 – appellant and respondent

Diamond Law Library, Columbia University
• John Payne v William Abdy – 1767 – appellant
• Thomas Coursey v Thomas Underwood – 1769 – appellant

BARBADOS

British Library
• Isaac and Abraham Mendez v William Rees Battyn by Edward Jordan – July
25, 1722 – appellant – Add Mss 36216 f.8

- William Moore v Francis Ford – July 4, 1726 – respondent – This appeal is not printed but in manuscript – Add Mss 36216 f.19
- Richard Morris v Isaac LeGay – March 18, 1730 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36216 f.24
- Samuel Adams v William Sturge – July 8, 1757 – appellant – Add Mss 36217 f.172
- George Augustus Selwyn v John Murray – Feb. 5, 1761 – appellant – Add Mss 36218 f.90
- John Stone v Joseph Maynard – 1762 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.166
- Thomas Suleven v Reynold Skeete – 1764 – appellant and respondent (Respondent’s printed case notes appellant as Thomas Sullivan) and also a copy of the respondent’s case in manuscript – Add Mss 36219 f.140
- Francis Grant v William Singleton – June 10, 1767 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36220 f.135
- John Carter v Catherine Sims – Jan. 30, 1769 – appellant with appendix and respondent with appendix (Respondent’s printed case spells Katherine with a K.) – Add Mss 36220 f.183

Law Library of Congress
- Elliot Saer v Benjamin Charnock – 1752 – appellant and respondent
- Gedney Clarke v Richard Smith – 1753 – appellant and respondent and appendix (2 copies of the materials of the respondent)
- John Stone et ux v Peter Chapman – 1755 – appellant (2 copies)
- Samuel Adams v William Sturge – 1757 – appellant and respondent

Diamond Law Library, Columbia University
- John Carter v Katherine Sims – 176_ – appellant with appendix and respondent with appendix
- Francis Grant v William Singleton – 1767 – respondent (2 copies)

DOMINIQUE

British Library
- Anthony Colombier v Thomas Knowler; William Woodbridge v Thomas Knowler; Joseph and Henry Guinand v Thomas Knowler; Victor McNelly v Thomas Knowler – 1766 – 4 printed cases of the appellants with appendixes and respondent’s printed case with manuscript “Observations” (Respondent’s printed case reads Anthony Colombier, Victor McNelly, Joseph and Henry Guinand and William Woodbridge v Thomas Knowler) – Add Mss 36220 f.80 (The case was first tried in the Vice-Admiralty Court in Antigua; the ship was seized in Dominique, as is reflected on the printed case.)
GRENADA

British Library
- David Inglis v Richard Burke – June 1767 – appellant and respondent with appendix of respondent – Add Mss 36220 f.148

Diamond Law Library. Columbia University
- David Inglis v Richard Burke – 1767 – respondent with appendix
- Michael Scott et ux v James Brebner – 1770 – appellant

JAMAICA

British Library
- John Humphrey v Samuel Smith – March 27, 1734 – appellant – Add Mss 36216 f.81
- John Lewis v Samuel Smith – Nov. 1736 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 37216 f.90
- Thomas Bontein v Edward Trelawny – 1753 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36217 f.25
- Joseph Williams v Hannah Beecher – March 22, 1757 – appellant and respondent (On respondent’s printed case, the respondent is William Jenkins and Hannah his wife, late Hannah Beecher.) – Add Mss 36217 f.123
- James Barclay v Daniel Munro – March 25, 1757 – appellant and respondent (Spelling is Monro on respondent’s printed case.) – Add Mss 36217 f.132
- Thomas Powell v Elizabeth Hughes – appellant and respondent plus appendix of accounts – April 1, 1757 – Add Mss 36217 f.139
- Andrew Arcedeckne v Thomas Hall – appellant – Feb. 9, 1758 (and manuscript petition of appeal and proceedings under seal from Jamaica) – Add Mss 36217 f.185
- John Spooner v John Cossley – appellant and respondent – March 6, 1758 – Add Mss 36217 f.208
- The controversy at Add Mss 36217 f.210 and following (June 22, 1758) includes three printed cases with variant spellings, as indicated:
  The first is Margaret Blancan and Dominick Larralde v Robert Foster, James Spagg and Martha his wife, executors of William Foster, and Augustine Dupouy [and] James Spagg and Martha his wife v Augustin Dupouy, together on one printed case – the case of the appellant (Blancan) – f.210
  The second printed case is William Foster v Augustine Dupoy – case of the respondent. Foster died after the case was printed, but the case was revived. – f.212
  The third printed case is that of the appellant in Spagg v Dupouy. Spagg was the executor for Foster. – f.216
- Hannah Blake v Alexander Paterson – March 8, 1759 – appellant and
USEFUL LISTS

- John Bell v William Perrin – March 15, 1759 – appellant and respondent with supplementary manuscript material – Add Mss 36218 f.17
- Zachery Bayly v Rev. John Poole – July 7, 1760 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.40
- Samuel Adams v John Nimbhard – 1760 – appellant and respondent (Respondent’s name on his printed case is Nembhard.) – Add Mss 36218 f.64
- William Beckford v Robert Halked – 1760 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.72
- Joseph Weatherby v Andrew Raitt – appellant and respondent – Jan. 20, 1761 (Date on respondent’s case is 1760.) – Add Mss 36218 f.86
- Edmund Hyde v Foster March – 1761 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.92
- Samuel Ranshin v David Dove – 1760 or 1761 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.105
- Zachary Bayly v Peter Furnell – 1761 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.113
- Francis Delap v Samuel Lindsey – June 29, 1761 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.121
- John Burn v Julia Cole – March 16, 1762 – appellant – Add Mss 36218 f.144
- Sir John Molesworth v Thomas Fearon – April 6, 1762 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.154
- Thomas Peters v John Bourke – April 6, 1762 – appellant and respondent (Respondent’s name is spelled Burke in the respondent’s printed case.) – Add Mss 36218 f.161
- Zachary Bayly v Thomas Jackson – 1762 – appellant – Add Mss 36218 f.207
- Richard Crosse v Michael Atkins – 1763 – appellant and respondent (Respondent is the Attorney General of Jamaica on the respondent’s printed case.) with supplementary manuscript “Observations” – Add Mss 36218 f.209
- Augustine Dupoy v Dominick Laralde and Margaret Blancu – 1764 – appellant (2 copies) and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.94
- Collin McKenzie v Dally Jackson Woodhouse – July 1764 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36219 f.110
- Zachary Bayly v Thomas Jackson – 1765 – appellant and respondent (Note also the 1762 action: Yorke is on the first action alone and in 1765 he is joined by DeGrey. The printed cases begin the same. They have not been compared throughout to determine their relationship. There are also manuscript “Observations.”) – Add Mss 36219 f.154
- William Beckford v Samuel Jeake – 1765 – appellant and respondent with
printed appendix to the appellant’s case and much supplementary manuscript material – Add Mss 36219 f.168

- Mark Hall v George Anderson – July 1765 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36219 f.210

- Henry Israel v His Majesty’s Advocate General at the relation of William Donaldson, Collector of the Customs – 1765 – appellant (also in manuscript) and respondent with a printed appendix to the respondent’s case (Respondent’s printed case records parties as Henry Israel Junior v James Innes, his Majesty’s Advocate General for Jamaica.) – Add Mss 36219 f.217


- Zachary Bayly v James Ord – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36219 f.244

- Zachary Bayly v the Attorney General of Jamaica – 1765 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36219 f.256

- Thomas Peters v John Bourke – April 6, 1762/1765 – appellant (2 copies) and respondent (Respondent’s name is spelled Burke on the respondent’s printed case.) - Add Mss 36219 f.261

- Jasper Hall v Malcolm Laing – 1767 – appellant and respondent with supplementary manuscript material – Add Mss 36220 f.163

- William Pusey v Sir Simon Clarke – March 1769 – appellant and respondent (Respondent’s printed case gives name of appellant as John Pusey.) – Add Mss 36220 f.205

- John Millward v John Lindsay – Jan. 30, 1769 – appellant and respondent (Respondent’s printed case gives name of respondent as the Reverend John Lindsay.) – Add Mss 36220 f.210

Law Library of Congress

- Edward Pratter v Richard Basnett – 1733 – appellant

- Dorothy Stout v James Stout – 1739 – appellant and respondent


- James Lawrence v John Wilson – 1752 – respondent

- Samuel Jackson v David Olyphant – 1753 – appellant

- John Garrioche v James Uniacke - 1753 – appellant and respondent (2 copies of each)

- Richard Beckford v Esther Nunes and William Aikenhead – 1754 – appellant and respondent for both respondents
USEFUL LISTS

Zachary Bayly v George Smith – 1756 – appellant and respondent
• Samuel Gordon v Anna Margareta Hill – 1756 – appellant
• Charles Price v John Bonner – 1757 – appellant and respondent (may have appendix)
• Joseph Williams v Hannah Beecher – 1757 – appellant and respondent
• Thomas Powell v Elizabeth Hughes – 1757 – respondent (may have appendix)
• Andrew Arcedeckne v Thomas Hall – 1758 – appellant and respondent
• John Spooner v John Cosley – 1758 – appellant and respondent
• Margaret Blancau v Augustine Dupoy – 1758 – respondent
• William Foster v Augustine Dupoy – 1758 – respondent

Diamond Law Library. Columbia University
• Mary Hardyman v George Goodin – 17__ – respondent
• John Millward v Rev. John Lindsay et ux – 176_ – respondent
• Aaron Baruch Louisada v Edward Woolery – 1769 – respondent
• Peter Furnell v John Ashburne – 1769 – appellant with appendix
• Hutchison Mure v John Palmer et ux – no date – appellant, and – 1769 – respondent
• James Mackqueen v Simon Taylor – 177_ – respondent
• George Richards v Samuel Alpress – 1771 – respondent

MONTSEHAT

British Library
• Walter Tullideph v Bethia Symes – July 1768 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36220 f.193

Diamond Law Library. Columbia University
• William Tullideph v Bethia Symes – 1768 – appellant
• George Scandret v Michael White – 1771 or 1770 – respondent

NEVIS

British Library
• Andrew Denn v Horatio Herbert, Deputy Collector of the Customs – 1760 – appellant and respondent (Respondent’s printed case records appellants as John Cort; John Denn on behalf of himself; and Andrew Denn and Richard Denn, owners of the schooner called the Nelly.) – Add Mss 36218 f.78
• David Chollett v Alexander Mackay – March 16, 1762 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.133

ST. CHRISTOPHER

British Library
• William Wells v Richard Wilson – Jan. 11, 1757 – appellant (with the will of Nicholas Taylor as appendix) and respondent with manuscript supplementation
- Add Mss 36217 f.103
  - Jackson Browne v Henry Sharpe – May 19, 1757 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36217 f.152
  - William Coleman v Thomas Truman and between Thomas Truman v William Coleman – 1768 – appellant (Coleman) and respondent (Truman) (Respondent’s printed case gives name as Trueman.) Add Mss 36220 f.199

Law Library of Congress
- August Boyde v John Johnson – 1738 – appellant
- John Mills v George Bryan – 1755 – appellant and respondent
- William Wells et ux v Richard Wilson – 1756 – appellant and respondent (3 copies of appellant; 2 copies of respondent; one copy of relevant will)
- Jackson Browne v Henry Sharpe – 1757 – appellant and respondent