Brief List of Printed Cases from Caribbean Colonies Found in Three Collections

The following cases are found in the Hardwicke Papers at the British Library, the Sir George Lee collection at Law Library of Congress, and the William Samuel Johnson collection at Diamond Law Library at Columbia University

The Hardwicke cases appear here in the order in which they appear in the bound volumes of Additional Manuscripts. Call numbers for Hardwicke materials indicate the first page on which the printed cases begin. Hardwicke Papers cases have been transcribed for the Division of Manuscripts at the Library of Congress. The Lee collection at the Law Library of Congress also contains printed cases related to James Barclay that are not listed here. The location of Columbia University materials is indicated as follows: Treasure – Johnson 1770m and 2nd Fl. Microfilm Cabinet.

ANTIGUA

British Library

- Nathaniel Carpenter v Amy Parry by Henry Lyons – Jan.14, 1731 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36216 f.53
- Robert Martin v Edward Horne Forest – Nov. 5, 1734 – appellant – Add Mss 36216 f.83
- John Dunbar v Harry Webb, present Attorney General of the Leeward Islands – Feb. 6 or 10, 1753 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36217 f.34
- John Payne v William Abdy – June 10, 1767 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36220 f.142

Law Library of Congress

- Edward Otto Bayar v Thomas Warner – 1753 – appellant
- John Dunbar v Thomas Shepard *et ux* – 1753 – respondent
- John Dunbar v Harry Webb – 1753 – appellant and respondent
- Richard Kirwan v Charles Alexander – 1754 – appellant and respondent
- Robert Davis v William Warner – 1758 – appellant and respondent

Diamond Law Library. Columbia University

- John Payne v William Abdy – 1767 – appellant
- Thomas Coursey v Thomas Underwood – 1769 – appellant

BARBADOS

British Library

- Isaac and Abraham Mendez v William Rees Battyn by Edward Jordan – July 25, 1722 – appellant – Add Mss – 36216 f.8
- William Moore v Francis Ford – July 4, 1726 – respondent – This appeal is not printed but in manuscript**.** – Add Mss 36216 f.19
- Richard Morris v Isaac LeGay – March 18, 1730 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36216 f.24
- Samuel Adams v William Sturge – July 8, 1757 – appellant – Add Mss 36217 f.172
- George Augustus Selwyn v John Murray – Feb. 5, 1761 – appellant – Add Mss 36218 f.90
- John Stone v Joseph Maynard – 1762 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.166
- Thomas Suleven v Reynold Skeete – 1764 – appellant and respondent (Respondent's printed case notes appellant as Thomas Sullivan.) and also a copy of the respondent's case in manuscript – Add Mss 36219 f.140

- Francis Grant v William Singleton – June 10, 1767 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36220 f.135
- John Carter v Catherine Sims – Jan. 30, 1769 – appellant with appendix and respondent with appendix (Respondent's printed case spells Katherine with a K.) – Add Mss 36220 f.183

Law Library of Congress
- Elliot Saer v Benjamin Charnock – 1752 – appellant and respondent
- Gedney Clarke v Richard Smith – 1753 – appellant and respondent and appendix (2 copies of the materials of the respondent)
- John Stone *et ux* v Peter Chapman – 1755 – appellant (2 copies)
- Samuel Adams v William Sturge – 1757 – appellant and respondent

Diamond Law Library. Columbia University
- John Carter v Katherine Sims – 176_ – appellant with appendix and respondent with appendix
- Francis Grant v William Singleton – 1767 – respondent (2 copies)

DOMINIQUE

British Library
- Anthony Colombier v Thomas Knowler; William Woodbridge v Thomas Knowler; Joseph and Henry Guinand v Thomas Knowler; Victor McNelly v Thomas Knowler – 1766 – 4 printed cases of the appellants with appendixes and respondent's printed case with manuscript "Observations" (Respondent's printed case reads Anthony Colombier, Victor McNelly, Joseph and Henry Guinand and William Woodbridge v Thomas Knowler) – Add Mss 36220 f.80 (The case was first tried in the Vice-Admiralty Court in Antigua; the ship was seized in Dominique, as is reflected on the printed case.)

GRENADA

British Library
- David Inglis v Richard Burke – June 1767 – appellant and respondent with appendix of respondent – Add Mss 36220 f.148

Diamond Law Library. Columbia University
- David Inglis v Richard Burke – 1767 – respondent with appendix
- Michael Scott *et ux* v James Brebner – 1770 – appellant

JAMAICA

British Library
- John Humphrey v Samuel Smith – March 27, 1734 – appellant – Add Mss 36216 f.81
- John Lewis v Samuel Smith – Nov. 1736 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 37216 f.90
- Thomas Bontein v Edward Trelawny – 1753 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36217 f.25
- Joseph Williams v Hannah Beecher – March 22, 1757 – appellant and respondent (On respondent's printed case, the respondent is William Jenkins and Hannah his wife, late Hannah Beecher.) – Add Mss 36217 f.123
- James Barclay v Daniel Munro – March 25, 1757 – appellant and respondent (Spelling is Monro on respondent's printed case.) – Add Mss 36217 f.132
- Thomas Powell v Elizabeth Hughes – appellant and respondent plus appendix of accounts – April 1, 1757 – Add Mss 36217 f.139
- Andrew Arcedeckne v Thomas Hall – appellant – Feb. 9, 1758 (and manuscript petition of appeal and proceedings under seal from Jamaica) – Add Mss 36217 f.185
- John Spooner v John Cossley – appellant and respondent – March 6, 1758 – Add Mss 36217 f.208
- The controversy at Add Mss 36217 f.210 and following (June 22, 1758) includes three printed cases with variant spellings, as indicated.

The first is Margaret Blancan and Dominick Larralde v Robert Foster, James Spagg and Martha his wife, executors of William Foster, and Augustine Dupouy [and] James Spagg and Martha his wife v Augustin Dupouy, together on one printed case – the case of the appellant (Blancan) – f.210
The second printed case is William Foster v Augustine Dupoy – case of the respondent. Foster died after the case was printed, but the case was revived. – f.212
The third printed case is that of the appellant in Spagg v Dupouy. Spagg was the executor for Foster. – f.216

- Hannah Blake v Alexander Paterson – March 8, 1759 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.11
- John Bell v William Perrin – March 15, 1759 – appellant and respondent with supplementary manuscript material – Add Mss 36218 f.17
- Zachery Bayly v Rev. John Poole – July 7, 1760 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.40
- Samuel Adams v John Nimbhard – 1760 – appellant and respondent (Respondent's name on his printed case is Nembhard.) – Add Mss 36218 f.64
- William Beckford v Robert Halked – 1760 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.72
- Joseph Weatherby v Andrew Raitt – appellant and respondent – Jan. 20, 1761 (Date on respondent's case is 1760.) – Add Mss 36218 f.86
- Edmund Hyde v Foster March – 1761 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.92
- Samuel Ranshin v David Dove – 1760 or 1761 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.105
- Zachary Bayly v Peter Furnell – 1761 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.113
- Francis Delap v Samuel Lindsey – June 29, 1761 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.121
- John Burn v Julia Cole – March 16, 1762 – appellant – Add Mss 36218 f.144
- Sir John Molesworth v Thomas Fearon – April 6, 1762 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.154
- Thomas Peters v John Bourke – April 6, 1762 – appellant and respondent (Respondent's name is spelled Burke in the respondent's printed case.) – Add Mss 36218 f.161
- Zachary Bayly v Thomas Jackson – 1762 – appellant – Add Mss 36218 f.207
- Richard Crosse v Michael Atkins – 1763 – appellant and respondent (Respondent is the Attorney General of Jamaica on the respondent's printed case.) with supplementary manuscript "Observations" – Add Mss 36218 f.209
- Augustine Dupoy v Dominick Laralde and Margaret Blancau – 1764 – appellant (2 copies) and respondent – Add Mss 36219 f.94
- Collin McKenzie v Dally Jackson Woodhouse – July 1764 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36219 f.110
- Zachary Bayly v Thomas Jackson – 1765 – appellant and respondent (Note also the 1762 action: Yorke is on the first action alone and in 1765 he is joined by DeGrey. The printed cases begin the same. They have not been compared throughout to determine their relationship. There are also manuscript "Observations.") – Add Mss 36219 f.154
- William Beckford v Samuel Jeake – 1765 – appellant and respondent with printed appendix to the appellant's case and much supplementary manuscript material – Add Mss 36219 f.168
- Mark Hall v George Anderson – July 1765 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36219 f.210
- Henry Israel v His Majesty's Advocate General at the relation of William Donaldson, Collector of the Customs – 1765 – appellant (also in manuscript) and respondent with a printed appendix to the respondent's case (Respondent's printed case records parties as Henry Israel Junior v James Innes, his Majesty's Advocate General for Jamaica.) – Add Mss 36219 f.217
- John Doe on the demise of William Perrin and Thomas Vaughan v Hannah Blake – 1765 – appellant and respondent (Respondent's printed case lists the parties as William Perrin and Thomas Vaughan v Hannah Blake.) – Add Mss 36219 f.236
- Zachary Bayly v James Ord – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36219 f.244
- Zachary Bayly v the Attorney General of Jamaica – 1765 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36219 f.256

- Thomas Peters v John Bourke – April 6, 1762/1765 – appellant (2 copies) and respondent (Respondent's name is spelled Burke on the respondent's printed case.) - Add Mss 36219 f.261
- Jasper Hall v Malcolm Laing – 1767 – appellant and respondent with supplementary manuscript material – Add Mss 36220 f.163
- William Pusey v Sir Simon Clarke – March 1769 – appellant and respondent (Respondent's printed case gives name of appellant as John Pusey.) – Add Mss 36220 f.205
- John Millward v John Lindsay – Jan. 30, 1769 – appellant and respondent (Respondent's printed case gives name of respondent as the Reverend John Lindsay.) – Add Mss 36220 f.210

Law Library of Congress
- Edward Pratter v Richard Basnett – 1733 – appellant
- Dorothy Stout v James Stout – 1739 – appellant and respondent
- Norwood Witter v John Doe the lessee of John Sharpe, William Perrin and Thomas Vaughan – 1752 – respondent
- James Lawrence v John Wilson – 1752 – respondent
- Samuel Jackson v David Olyphant – 1753 – appellant
- John Garrioche v James Uniacke - 1753 – appellant and respondent (2 copies of each)
- Richard Beckford v Esther Nunes and William Aikenhead – 1754 – appellant and respondent for both respondents
- Zachary Bayly v George Smith – 1756 – appellant and respondent
- Samuel Gordon v Anna Margaretta Hill – 1756 – appellant
- Charles Price v John Bonner – 1757 – appellant and respondent (may have appendix)
- Joseph Williams v Hannah Beecher – 1757 – appellant and respondent
- Thomas Powell v Elizabeth Hughes – 1757 – respondent (may have appendix)
- Andrew Arcedeckne v Thomas Hall – 1758 – appellant and respondent
- John Spooner v John Cosley – 1758 – appellant and respondent
- Margaret Blancau v Augustine Dupoy – 1758 – respondent
- William Foster v Augustine Dupoy – 1758 – respondent

Diamond Law Library. Columbia University
- Mary Hardyman v George Goodin – 17__ – respondent
- John Millward v Rev. John Lindsay *et ux* – 176_ – respondent
- Aaron Baruch Lousada v Edward Woolery – 1769 – respondent
- Peter Furnell v John Ashburne – 1769 – appellant with appendix
- Hutchison Mure v John Palmer *et ux* – no date – appellant, and – 1769 – respondent
- James Mackqueen v Simon Taylor – 177_– respondent
- George Richards v Samuel Alpress – 1771 – respondent

MONTSERRAT

British Library
- Walter Tullideph v Bethia Symes – July 1768 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36220 f.193

Diamond Law Library. Columbia University
- William Tullideph v Bethia Symes – 1768 – appellant
- George Scandret v Michael White – 1771 or 1770 – respondent

NEVIS

British Library
- Andrew Denn v Horatio Herbert, Deputy Collector of the Customs – 1760 – appellant and respondent (Respondent's printed case records appellants as John Cort; John Denn on behalf of himself; and Andrew Denn and Richard Denn, owners of the schooner called the *Nelly*.) – Add Mss 36218 f.78

- David Chollett v Alexander Mackay – March 16, 1762 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36218 f.133

ST. CHRISTOPHER

British Library

- William Wells v Richard Wilson – Jan. 11, 1757 – appellant (with the will of Nicholas Taylor as appendix) and respondent with manuscript supplementation – Add Mss 36217 f.103
- Jackson Browne v Henry Sharpe – May 19, 1757 – appellant and respondent – Add Mss 36217 f.152
- William Coleman v Thomas Truman and between Thomas Truman v William Coleman – 1768 – appellant (Coleman) and respondent (Truman) (Respondent's printed case gives name as Trueman.) Add Mss 36220 f.199

Law Library of Congress

- August Boyde v John Johnson – 1738 – appellant
- John Mills v George Bryan – 1755 – appellant and respondent
- William Wells *et ux* v Richard Wilson – 1756 – appellant and respondent (3 copies of appellant; 2 copies of respondent; one copy of relevant will)
- Jackson Browne v Henry Sharpe – 1757 – appellant and respondent