

§ 128 *cont.*]

1769.

for hearing his appeal from a judgment of the Court of Errors there, 4 July, 1768, affirming a judgment of the Court of Common Pleas, 25 June, 1768, in Richard Ottley's action of trover to recover from him two hogsheads containing about 30 *cwt.* of sugar seized in lieu of the $4\frac{1}{2}\%$ duty on sugars grown by Ottley on lands newly purchased by him in the island. An appearance had been entered for Ottley on 7 July, and a petition that the appeal be dismissed for non-prosecution had been referred on 4 Aug.]

[*pp.* 77, 96, 118.]

[129.] [Reference to the Committee of the petition of Richard Holden and John Jones, merchants of Kingston, Jamaica, for a day for hearing their appeal from a decree of the Chancellor, 25 Aug., 1768, allowing Robert Duff's bill for an injunction to stay their proceeding at law on a judgment against Duff and John Herdman for 435*l.* 18*s.* 10 $\frac{1}{2}$ *d.*]

1 Nov.
Jamaica.

[*p.* 126.]

[130.] [Reference to the Board of Trade of a petition of the New York Assembly, by their agent, Robert Charles, praying that the Governor be directed to pass into law a bill carried in May for emitting 120,000*l.* in bills of credit: a copy of an address of the Assembly to the Governor is also referred.]

10 Nov.
New York.

p. 132.]

[The bill is forbidden to be passed, in accordance with the Committee report of 20 Jan., on considering the opinions of the law officers and the Board of Trade, that the 25th clause may be construed to be contrary to the Act of Parliament of 4 George III—to prevent paper bills of credit hereafter to be issued in any of his Majesty's colonies or plantations in America, from being declared to be a legal tender and such bills, as are now subsisting, from being prolonged beyond the periods limited for calling in and sinking the same.

(1770.)
9 Feb.

On the same date a Board of Trade representation of 8 Feb., for repealing a New York act of 5 Jan., 1770, for emitting 120,000*l.* in bills of credit, was referred to the Committee, and by them to the law officers, to be compared