[612.] [Reference to the Board of Trade of a memorial of the Ohio Company addressed to his Majesty, and a memorial of Colonel George Mercer. on behalf of the Company, that the instruction of 16 March, 1749, in their favour (see pp. 55-8) be renewed, or that some provision be recommended to Parliament for reimbursing their expences, or that they receive compensation by way of a grant of land in some other part of his Majesty's American dominions.] [p. 244.]

i ł

ł

[The Committee order a copy of the Board of Trade report (1767.)on Mercer's petition] to be sent to the Earl of Shelburne in 24 Aug. order to write to the Governor thereupon. [V. p. 425.]

[Reference to the Committee of the petition of [613.]Virginia. Thomas Wilson, William Huggins and Anne, his wife, John Wilson, William Wilson, and Isaac Hobday and Elizabeth, his wife, for a day for hearing their appeal from a decree of the Virginia Chancery, 11 April, 1763, upon a bill filed against them and Lewis Almond and Jane, his wife, to account for all the personal estate of Sampson Darrell, the elder, and pay the same with interest, and deliver the real estate to Sampson Darrell, George Turner and Elizabeth, his wife, William Sansom and Ann, his wife, John Noble and Elizabeth, his wife, and William Slaughter.] [pp. 261, 280.]

[Appearance for the respondents entered by Mr. Walton of (1769.)Girdlers Hall, Basinghall Street.] [VI. p. 468.] 8 Mar.

[Reference to the Committee of the petition of 10 July. [614.]Connec-Elisha Whittlesey for leave to appeal from a resolution of the ticut. General Assembly of Connecticut in May, 1763, whereby certain agreements between him and Jacob Pierpoint for the purchase of each other's lands were declared null and void and prohibited from being given in evidence in any court in the colony.] [p. 262.]

[Reference to the Committee of the petition of [615.]Waddell Cunningham for leave to appeal from a judgment of the Supreme Court of New York in October term, 1764, on an action of trespass, assault and battery brought against

10 July. New York.

1765. 21 June. Ohio Company.

10 July.

÷

. . f. .

728 ACTS OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL (COLONIAL).

1765.

him by Thomas Forsey, whereby he was condemned in 1,500l. damages and 75l. 19s. 6d. costs, and also from an order of the Lieut.-Governor and Council denying him an appeal to them.] [p. 262.]

- 28 July. [On the Committee report of 17 July, it is ordered that Cunningham's appeal be admitted by the Governor and Council as a Court of Errors, and if either party is aggrieved by their determination, that an appeal be admitted to his Majesty in Council.] [pp. 289-91, 311.]
- 2 Oct. [Reference to the Committee of the petition of Robert Charles, agent for New York, on behalf of the Council and Representatives, that the above order be suspended till the merits of Cunningham's petition are fully examined into and final order made therein consonant to the practice of the Common Law of England.] [p. 366.]
- 10 July. [616.] [Reference to the Committee of the petition of Antigua. [616.] [Reference to the Committee of the petition of Robert Fulton, agent for Richard Tyrrell, Rear Admiral of the White Squadron, and the officers and seamen now serving on the Leeward Island station under his command, on behalf of Admiral Tyrrell and the officers and seamen of H.M.S. *Princess Louisa*, Joseph Norwood commander, for leave to appeal from so much of a sentence of the Vice Admiralty Court of Antigua, 19 April, 1764, as divided the condemned schooner *Mary Ann* and her cargo, $\frac{1}{3}$ to the Crown, $\frac{1}{3}$ to the Governor, and $\frac{1}{3}$ to Norwood as informer, and from a subsequent order of 2 Aug., 1764, refusing to admit their claim of a division in moieties between the crown and the captor.

[Other similar petitions concern the sloop Union, condemned on 20 Jan., 1764, sugar seized on board the sloop Sarah and condemned on 13 Jan., 1764, and the schooner Pastor, condemned on 23 March, 1764—all taken by the Princess Louisa.] [pp. 264–6.]

26 July.

. [On Committee reports of 17 July, the Vice Admiralty Court is directed to receive the petitioner's claim and proceed