716 ACTS OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL (COLONIAL).

1765,

21 June. [Similar reference of three other appeals from judgments of the same date on seizures by Knowler—(a) by William Woodbridge, late of Guadaloupe, merchant, for sugar belonging to him seized in the *Two Sisters* and in the stores of John Sargenton, a British merchant in Dominica: (b) by Joseph and Henry Guinand, London merchants, for sugars taken on the snow John and Betsey, Thomas Wills commander; and (c) by Anthony Columbier, a London merchant, for his sugar taken in the ship *Elizabeth*, Thomas Love, master.]

- (1767.)[On Committee reports of 11 Dec., 1766, the judgments are 13 Feb. affirmed, save that the claimants are not to pay the informer's costs : directions are to be given for the distribution according to the Acts of Parliament and Proclamation. The petitioners alleged that the Two Sisters delivered provisions to Sargenton, and the Jane and Betsey to John Turcon and Co., British merchants in Dominica, loaded sugar in payment under the inspection and permission of General Dalrymple, who was then present, and the acting collector and naval officer of the island: that the sugar seized in the Elizabeth was consigned by Michael Talon to Columbier for debts due in Martinique when it was evacuated by the British and in return for a former cargo sold to British merchants in Dominica, and that Gen. Dalrymple and, after his departure, the acting commander-in-chief of the island, Joseph Partridge, permitted the lading of the sugar. The sugar on board all three vessels was seized at Roseau on 30 Jan., 1764, on the ground that it had been illegally imported into Dominica [V. pp. 132-8, 167-9.] from some foreign colony.]
- 19 Mar. [600.] [Reference to the Committee of the petitions of Bhode Island. [600.] [Reference to the Committee of the petitions of William Stead, merchant of London, for a day for hearing his appeals from judgments of the Superior Court at Newport, R.I., in Sept., 1764, reversing judgments of the Inferior Court in May, 1764, in his favour, on his actions against Napthali and Isaac Hart, and against Isaac Elizer, in each case to

recover 1,200*l. stg.* due upon a note endorsed by the defendants and payable to Stead.] [*pp.* 163, 328–9.]

[On the Committee reports of 2 Dec., the judgments of (1766.) Sept., 1764, are reversed, and those of May, 1764, affirmed. **3** Dec. A note for 1,200*l. stg.* was drawn by Jacob Isaacks, payable to Elizer, endorsed by him to the Harts, and by them to the appellant. In the second case the sum sued for is now said to be 986*l.* 3s. 6d. due upon a note drawn by Jacob and Moses Isaacks payable to Elizer, and endorsed to the Harts and then to Stead.] [V. pp. 104-5, 114.]

- [601.] [An appearance for Philip Fowler to the appeal of 23 Mar. Josiah Hilton from a judgment of the New Hampshire Court ^{New}_{Hampshire}. of Errors, 11 Oct., 1763, is entered by Mr. Holker of St. Thomas the Apostle's.] [p. 164.]
- [Reference to the Committee of Fowler's appeal from the 10 July. same judgment and his petition for the restoration, pending determination of the appeal, of 56 acres of land in Newmarket awarded by the judgment to Hilton.] [p. 261.]
- [602.] [Reference to the Board of Trade of the petition 29 Mar. for relief of] Joshua Mauger of Grosvenor Street Middlesex, St. Pierre. Gregory Olive and John Le Breton of London Merchants Agents for Thomas Meader, Robert Barnes, Morgan Snook junior, Messrs. Lempriere Durell and Co., John and William Anderson, Robert, George, John and Lewis Vigours, John Brown, Morgan Snook Junior, Messrs. Clark and Young, James McMichael, William Buffett and James Page, setting forth the Losses they have sustained by the surrender of the Island of St. Peter to the French in pursuance of the late Treaty of Peace. [p. 174.]
- [The Committee refer to the Treasury the Board of Trade 16 May. report that the facts are fully verified by a state and valuation of the property returned to them by the Governor of Newfoundland, which is also referred.] [p. 200.]

[On the Committee report of 2 April, the petition is (1767.) dismissed.] [V. pp. 202, 217.] 13 April.

1765.