

# 34 ACTS OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL (COLONIAL).

1746.

2 Dec. [Referred by Committee to Board of Trade. The entry is repeated on 26 Oct, 1747.] [pp. 49, 419.]

17 Nov. [38.] [Reference to the Committee of the petition of Rose  
Jamaica. Fuller, Francis Whitwick and Francis Sadler, complaining against Governor Trelawny for removing them from being Judges of the Supreme Court in Jamaica.] [p. 40.]

9 Dec. [The petitioners' agent having informed the Committee that Governor Trelawny had transmitted to the Board of Trade his reasons for their removal, the Committee direct the Board of Trade to lay a copy of the reasons before them.] [p. 55.]

(1747.) [The Committee order Governor Trelawny to transmit forthwith his reasons for removing the petitioners.] [p. 344.]

(1752.) [On the Committee's recommendation of 25 Feb., permission

11 Mar. is given to withdraw the petition. Trelawny's answer was before the Committee on 25 Feb.] [XIII. pp. 525, 549.]

17 Nov. [39.] [Reference to the Committee of the] Petition of  
South Thomas Lowndes humbly praying that His Majesty will give  
Carolina. His Royal Orders to the Governor Surveyor General and all other Officers of the Province of South Carolina whom it may concern directing them to accept of the Surrender of any Grant or Grants for a Tract or Tracts of Land in the said Province not exceeding Twelve Thousand Acres and to permit the Person or Persons Surrendring such Grant or Grants to cover the Lands which did thereunto belong with a Grant of the Petitioners for Twelve Thousand Acres, All Arrears of Quit Rent being first paid and all proper Entries or Memorandums being made in the Office of Surveyor General and other Publick Offices to prevent any Fraud or undue practice from being Committed. [p. 40.]

2 Dec. [Referred by Committee to Board of Trade.] [p. 48.]

17 Nov. [40.] [Reference to the Committee of the petition of  
Rhode Gulian Verplank of the city of New York, merchant, that the  
Island. appeal of Isaac Polock from a judgment of the Superior Court at Newport, R.I., 3 Sept., 1745, on an action of debt brought

1746.

by the petitioner against Pollock, be dismissed with costs for non-prosecution.] [p. 41.]

[On the Committee report of 17 Feb., the appeal is dismissed (1747.) with 5*l. stg.* costs.] [pp. 143, 184.] 12 Mar.

[41.] [Reference to the Committee of the petition of the 17 Nov. Hon. Isaac Gale of the parish of St. Elizabeth, Jamaica, for Jamaica, a day for hearing his appeal from a Chancery decree, 5 Feb., 1746, dismissing for want of parties a bill filed by him against Alexander Strachan, Edward Wilson, Richard Basnett and the Attorney General of Jamaica, to pay to the petitioner what should appear to be due to him as the proper representative and assignee of Mary Basnett, deceased.] [p. 41.]

[Committee order for hearing on 3 April, and for affixing (1749.) the usual summons, as the Attorney General has not entered 1 Mar. his appearance.] [XII. p. 204.]

The Lords of the Committee this day took into Consideration (1749.) the Appeal of Isaac Gale Esqr. against Alexander Strachan 29 June. and others from Jamaica, and an Objection being made to the entering into the Merits of the said Appeal for want of proper Partys, Their Lordships heard Counsel thereupon, and were of Opinion That the Bill ought not to have been dismissed for want of proper Partys, For that if the Objection had been a good One, it was not a sufficient Reason for dismissing the Bill. But their Lordships were of Opinion, that there were sufficient Partys before the Court for the Court to have proceeded to the hearing and Determining the Merits of the Cause—Their Lordships therefore agreed to proceed upon the Merits at the next Committee. [XII. p. 299.]

[Order in accordance with the Committee report of 21 Nov., (1750.) 1749.] The report gives an account of the disposal by Richard 29 Mar. and Mary Basnett of the plantation of Brimmer Hall. Wilson and Strachan were trustees for Mary Basnett during her life. Gale, her loving kinsman, is her residuary legatee, but her will, as that of a *femme couverte*, is alleged to be void, and the trustees still claim to hold the estate in favour of Richard Basnett. Part of Gale's bill as against Wilson for conveying