(1761.)

15 May.

are recommended by them on 26 March, and approved on [pp. 550, 560; XIV. p. 29.] 14 April. P.R.]

On consideration of the order of 11 March, 1752, his Majesty] is hereby pleased with the Advice of the Privy Council, to revoke and repeal the same in every part, except that which Relates to the Correspondence to be carried on between the Lords Commissioners of Trade and Plantations and the Governors of his Majestys Colonies, which is still to be carried on in the same manner prescribed by the additional Instruction which was directed by the above Order of Council of his late Majesty of 11th March 1752 to be prepared and sent to the Governors of all His Majestys Colonies and Plantations respectively. And his Majesty doth hereby signify his further Pleasure, that the Lords Commissioners for Trade and Plantations do Cause a Copy of this Order to be Entered upon the Books of the Plantation Office; And that one of his Majestys Principal Secretarys of State do cause Copys thereof to be sent to the Governors of his Majestys Colonies and Plantations in America to the End that all Persons concerned may govern themselves accordingly.

[Geo. III. Vol. I. p. 323.]

Reference to the Committee of the petition of John Spooner to be restored to the possession of a plantation in Jamaica, half of which had been sold and the other half mortgaged to him by John Cossley, and from which he has been unjustly and against law removed in defiance of a decree of the High Court of Chancery of Great Britain.] [p. 468.] - [Reference to the Committee of the petition of John Spooner, Esq., for a day for hearing his appeal from an 10 April. order of the Jamaica Chancery, 22 Nov., 1755, on his bills against John Cossley, Judith Burrows, and Cossley Hall, to be restored to possession of an estate, half of which he had purchased from Cossley and to the other half of which he was entitled by mortgage, and to foreclose Cossley from the equity of redemption of the mortgaged moiety pursuant to several orders and decrees of the Court of Chancery in England. [XVI. p. 158.]

23 Jan. Jamaica.

(1756.)

158 ACTS OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL (COLONIAL).

1752.

(1758.) [On the death of John Spooner, the Committee revive the 17 Feb. appeal by making Charles Spooner, his sole executor, a party.] [XVI. p. 259; XVII. p. 38.]

(1758.) [On the Committee report of 6 March, it is ordered that 1 April. Spooner be put in possession of the whole plantation (Eolus's Valley) and that the Jamaica Chancery carry this order into speedy and effectual execution. Names occurring in the report are Joseph Hiscox, Zachariah Bourryan, Richard Oliver, Sarah Cossley, and Mr. Holford.]

[XVII. pp. 47, 49-53, 93.]

11 Mar. Antigua. [170.] [Reference to the Committee of the petition of Gedney Clarke and Richard Gosling, Esqrs., of St. Michael parish, Barbados, for a day for hearing their appeal from a judgment of the Court of Errors of Antigua, 12 March, 1751, whereby a judgment confest by Robert Jocelyn, Esq., on 28 Sept., 1747, to Richard Smith by virtue of a warrant of attorney to Jocelyn from William Jenkins, was amended by adding the words, "for and in the name and behalf of William Jenkins of the parish of St. Michael in the island abovesaid, Esqr."]

(1753.) [On the Committee report of 20 March, the appeal is 10 May. dismissed.] [XIV. pp. 353, 355-6, 408.]

11 Mar. Barbados. [171.] [Reference to the Committee of the petition of Gedney Clarke, Esq., Collector of Customs and of the  $4\frac{1}{2}$  % Duty at Bridgetown, Barbados, for a day for hearing his appeal from a decree of the Vice Admiralty Court there, 22 Feb., 1751, in favour of Stephen Wolfenden, John Brichinsa, and Thomas Liddel, on his libel for condemnation of the ship *Infant Augusta* with its guns, &c., and 21 head of cattle, 10 asses, 50 hogs, and 2 pipes of Vidonia wine, brought into the island contrary to law.] [p. 552.]

(1753.) [Order, in accordance with the Committee report of 16 Jan., 7 Feb. reversing the decree in part, and ordering the condemnation of the whole of the cargo and the payment to the appellant of his costs in the court below. The Committee heard the case ex parte, no appearance having been entered for the